

March 12, 2012 · AS OF 3-4-2017 NO RESPONSE – 18 U.S.C. 1001

sirdavid-lee:dba[BUESS,DAVID BUESS]PAG

22014 Delaware Township Road 184

Arlington, Ohio [45814]

Phone/Fax 419 694 5796

March 10, 2012

House Committee on the Judiciary

2138 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

p/202-225-3951

Fax: 1 202 225 7680 Transfer to 04222010

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

Majority Office

Phone:202-224-7703

Fax:202-224-9516 1

Minority Office

Phone:202-224-5225

Fax:202-224-9102

Dear Employees:

The W-4 Form would appear to be fraud in the inducement as I can find no information whereby it applies to OMB No. 1545-0074. You all should Google this OMB number and see where it leads you: 26 CFR 602.101 and 5CFR 1320: 1320-7(f),1312,1313,1314. All deal with Title 46 Transportation of Goods. Just one more fraud by Federal Employees upon the general population. OMB number 1545-0074 is not found here nor mentioned.

(a) Purpose. This part collects and displays the control numbers assigned to collection of information in Internal Revenue Service regulations by the Office of management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1980. The Internal Revenue Service intends that this part comply with the requirements of 1320.7(f), 1320.12, 1320.13, and 1320.14 of 5 CFR part 1320 (OMB regulations implementing the Paperwork Reduction Act), for the display of control numbers assigned by OMB to collections of information in Internal Revenue Service regulations. This part does not display control numbers assigned by the Office of Management and Budget to collections of information of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. (Note Added: as the IRS have no enforcement section: see attachment U.S. v. Wall: does OMB and Department of Treasury always give OMB numbers to Entities who are ruled unconstitutional by the USSC? U.S v. Constantine 296 U.S 287 – see attachment) Please note that OMB Control Number 1545-0074 is not mentioned in this documentation.

Please also note that sections 1320.12, .13, .14 deals with transportation of goods not income taxes.

Furthermore there is no lawful definition of income as ruled by the United States Supreme Court on this document nor IRS CODE: WHY?: See Attached List of USSC Rulings. To my knowledge - None of these rulings are found in the IRS CODE either. Both the Income Tax and the IRS were ruled unconstitutional by the USSC so why are we forcing employers in these union States (50) to break the law? I would appear the OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET are full of incompetent employees.

As the High Court has ruled that: “wage for labor is an exchange and no income generated”, see attachment for court cases, “(1895) income taxes unconstitutional”, “Congress, by legislation, cannot define income, since it cannot altar the Constitution from which it derives it power to legislate”, and the best was the ruling of the high court that the “IRS is unconstitutional”, the very existence of this W-4 form is fraud in the inducement, criminal fraud, collusion, and RICO.

Would you all please pull your heads out of the sand and follow the Constitution and these rulings of the High Court?

Why does the OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET force Corporations to register with an unconstitutional INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF (WHAT) TREASURY if not for fraudulent purposes? Force employees of these companies, corporations to pay unconstitutional income taxes? Are you all just plain stupid or just incompetent?

Here is the Attachment: Key Cases not found in IRS Code: Rulings of the “Supreme Court” would you care to explain these to the American Citizen?

Case Law Not IRS Code

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISIONS: LOWER COURTS: NOT FOUND IN INTERNAL REVENUE CODE: TITLE 26: FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT: 18 USC 1341 Honest Service Fraud. Legal Maximum: “It Is A Fraud To Conceal A Fraud.”

This Document Is For Education Of The General Public Only As Private Attorney Generals Must When Filing A Case Must Benefit The General Population. Print And Keep It Handy. If You Are Not Fully Educated On The 1933 Bankruptcy Act: Administrative Procedures Act: Trading With The Enemy Act: Gold Standards Act: Bank Holiday And The Congressional Records Get Busy As These Will Prove Beyond Any Doubt Acts Of TREASON And Sedition Have Been Committed: Collusion:Civil RICO:Fraud:Intentional Fraud:RICO: Tax Fraud:Violation Of Oath And Bond:Fraudulent Convayances:Theft:Grand Theft:Slavery:Identity Theft: Al Et All. File A 1040X And Get Your Money Back. When Cashing All Checks Endorse It As Such: Redeem In Lawful Money Pursuant To 48 Stat 337: Title 12 USC 411. Follow This Case: :sirdavid-lee:dba[BUESS,DAVID BUESS] And Rodney-Dale; Class Private Attorney General U.S Court Of Appeals Columbia Circuit Case No 2011-3083.

The INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE is a DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY – UNITED NATIONS And Their Personnel Are Trained By The Personnel Department Of The UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION. The IRS Are A Collections Agency And Fall Under Title 5 Of The United States Code: They Have No Lawful Right To Use The IRS Code Against Anyone. In 1999 All Revenue Districts And Directors Were Abolished Including Washington, D.C.. Public Company Traded On D&B For Profit. I Demand The Law License Of All IRS Agents: Judges: Lawyers: Attorneys: All Practicing Law Without A License. A BAR Card Is Not A Law License. 1818 U. S. v Beavans U. S. 336 And (1945) Hooven & Allison v Evatt 65 S Ct. 870, 880, 321 U.S. 652 89 L Ed 12.52 Established Two Political Jurisdictions For The U. S. Congress: 1) U. S. Citizens Are Residence Of One Of The Holding Territories Belonging To The United States: Guam: Puerto Rico: Virgin Islands: Washington, D.C.: Al Et Al: 2) The union States (50). Congress Writes Legislation: Corporate Policy: For Both But Fail To Distinguish For Which Political Jurisdiction The Legislation: Corporate Policy The Legislation Is Being Written For: Fraud By Trickery: Honest Service Fraud Thus The union States (50) Are Accepting It Without Question As Most Legislators Do Not Even Know About U. S. v Beavans. So You Are A Man: Woman On The Land In The union State Of [FILL IN THE ALL CAPS NAME OF YOUR STATE]: IE: CORPORATION.

TREASON: UNITED STATES CODE, Title 28, 3002(15)(A)(B)(C) Definitions: United States Of America A.K.A. United States: De Facto See 1933 Bankruptcy Act And Executive Order 6102 Of President Roosevelt: Suspends The Constitution: – The Gold Standards Act-Criminal Fraud To Steal The Treasury Of The United States: Violation Of Oath And Bond: Induced Panic By Threat Of A \$10,000.00 Fine. Hoard – Look Up The Meaning. §3002 Definitions:

(15) "United States" Means-

(A) A Federal Corporation

(B) An Agency: Department, Commission, Board, Or Other Entity Of The United States: Or

(C) An Instrumentality Of The United States.

1. Brushaber v. Union Pacific R.Co., 240 U. S. 1.11 (1916)

2. Maxwell v. Dow, 176 U.S. 581, 20 S.Ct. 448 (1900)

3. Texas v. White, 7 Wall. 700; U.S. v. Cathcart, 25 F. Case No. 14,756

4. Stanton v. Baltic Mining Company 240 U.S. 103, 112 (1919)

5. Bowers v Kerbaugh-Empire 271 U.S. 170, 174, 174 (1926); In re Charge to Grand Jury, 30 F. Case No. 18,273 (65 C.J. Section 2) -- not known to be overturned.

6. Peck v Lowe 247 U.S. 165, 173 (1918)

7. Doyle v Mitchell Bros. 247 U. S. 179, 183 (1918)

8. Eisner v Macomber 252 U. S. 179, 183 (1918)

9. Evans v Gore 253 U.S. 245(1920)

10. Flint v Stone Tracy Co. 220 U.S. 107, 144, 151-152, 165, 55 S L.ed. 107 419 Sup CCL Rep 342, Ann Cas. 1912 B 1312 (1911)

11. Merchants Loan And Trust Co. v. Smietanka, 255 U.S. 509 519 (1921)

12. Helvering v Edison Brothers' Stone, 8th Cir. 133 F2d 575 (1943)

13. Southern Pacific v Lowe 247 U.S. 330, 335 (1918): Art 1, Sec. 8, Cl 17 And Art.IV, Sec. 3 CL 2: Art. 1 Sec. 8 Cl. 17: Art. IV Sec. 3 Cl. 15 USC 1681h: 28 U.S.C. 1333 or 1337: False Claims Act, see 31 U.S.C. 3729(a)(7)

14. UNITED STATES v MERKSKY 361 U.S. 431, 438(1960)

15. CALIFORNIA BANKERS ASSN. v SCHULZ 419 U.S. 21, 26 (1974)

16. FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORP v. Merrill, 332 U.S. 380, 384 (1947)

17. Utah Power & Light Co v. United States, 391

18. United States v. Stewart, 108 re Floyd Acceptance, 7: Wall 666; Article 1 Sec.2: Art. 1 Sec.9

19. Knowlton v Moore, 178 U.S. 41, 47(1900); 19 CFR 351, 102

20. Butcher's Union Co. v Crescent City Co. 111 U.S. 746, 756 (1884)

21. TRUAX v CORRIGAN 257 U.S. 312, 348 (1921)

22. Sims v Abrens 167 Ark. 557 271 S.W. 720, 773 (1925)

23. Myer v STATE OF NEBRASKA 262 U.S. 390, 399 (1923)

24. Slaughter-House Cases, 16 Wall 36

25. Butchers' Union Co. v Crescent City Co. 4 Sup Ct. 652

26. Vick Wo v Hopkins 6 Sup Ct. 1064

27. Minnesota v Barer 10 Sup Ct 862

28. Allegeyer v Louisiana 17 Sup Ct. 427

29. Lochner v New York 25 Sup Ct. 539, 3 Ann Cas 1133

30. Twining v New Jersey 29 Sup Ct. 14

31. Chicago B&O R.R. v. McGuire 31 Sup Ct. 259

32. Truax v Raich 36 Sup Ct. 7, L.R.A.1916D, 545 Ann. Cas. 1917B 283.

33. Adams v Tanner 37 Sup Ct. 662 L.R.A.1917F, 1163, Ann. Cas. 1917D 973

34. New York Life Ins. Co v Dodge 38 Sup Ct. 337, Ann Cas. 1918E, 593

35. Traux v Corrigan 42 Sup Ct. 124

36. Adkins v Children's Hospital 43 Sup Ct. 394, 67 L. Ed (April 9, 1923)

37. Wyeth v Cambridge Board Of Health 200 Mass 474, 86 N. E. 925, 128 Am St. Rep. 439 23 L. R. A. (N.S.) 147

38. MURDOCK v COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 319 U.S. 105, 113; 63 Sup Ct. 875; 87 L Ed 1298 (1943);

39. Tyler et al Administrators v. United States, 281 US 497, 502 (1930)

40. Pollock v Farmers' Loan And Trust Co. 157 U.S. 429, 442, 555, 556, 573, 582, 595 (1895)
41. STRATTON'S INDEPENDENCE, LTD. V HOWBERT 231 U.S. 399, 417 (1913)
42. Main v Grand Trunk R. Co. 35 L. ed 994, 3 Inters. Com.Rep. 807, 12 Sup Ct. Rep. , As interpreted in Galveston, H&S A.R. Co. v. Texas, 52 S.L. ed. 1031, 1037, 28 Sup Ct. Rep. 638
43. U. S. v WHITRIDGE 231 U. S. 231 U. s. 144, 147(1913)
44. Taft v BOWERS 278 U.S. 470, 481 (1929)
45. COPPAGE v STATE OF KANSAS 236 U.S.1, 23-24(1915)
46. U. S. v. Constantine 296 U.S. 287(1935?) IRS Ruled Unconstitutional As Prohibition Had Been Repealed. In 1965 The United States Supreme Court Traced The IRS Back To The Civil War And Found No Legislative Act Of Congress Lawfully Establishing The IRS As A Government Agency: Contractor: Sub-Contractor. Paul Andrews Mitchell (Federal Witness) Web Site supremelaw.org then Click On 31 Questions. Today The General Accounting Office In Affidavit Refuse To Produce The Lawful OMB Number For The IRS. None Of Their Publications Carry A OMB Number: Meaning they Are Not A U. S. Government Agency: Department: Contractor: Sub-Contractor. Today In Affidavit Congress Refuses To Produce The Organic Act Of Congress making The IRS A Government Agency: Department: Contractor: Sub-Contractor: Contact: :sirdavid-lee:dba[BUESS, DAVID BUESS] Office of Corrections For The Great Turtle Island 419 694 5796 Or Write Us C/O 22014 Delaware Township Road 184 Arlington Ohio [45814-9998]
47. The IRS Cannot Tax From The Source (Fraud By Trickery) Only From The Source Of The Kind In Question: Commissioner v Glenshaw Glass Co. 348 U.S. 426 (1945) Deals With Corporate Profit Gained From Settlement In Anti-Trust Case Not Income.

Recent Case Rulings Against U. S. AND IRS

- 1 No Law Requires Payment Of An Income Tax: Federal District Court Western Division Of Tennessee (Memphis) Case No. 03-CR-20111 U. S. v. Kluglin (6/22-23/2005)
2. U. S. v. Linda Wall U. S. District Court, Central District Of California, Western Div. (Los Angeles) Case No. 2:04 cv 05325DDP-MAN and 2:03 cv 08406DDP-MAN Dismissed Without Prejudice: IRS Found Guilty Of Stepping Outside Their Authority: Mail Fraud: Counterfeiting Documents: No Enforcement Section Of IRS Code etc.
3. U. S. v Lawrence USDC Peoria IL 06 cr 10019 (2005) IRS violated Paper Work Reductions Act: 44 U.S.C.3500-3520: 3512 I.R.S. Failed To Inform Lawrence He Was NOT required To Fill Out The Forms.
4. U.S. v Lindsey Springer: 08-278, 09 cr 043: Without Revenue Districts There Is No Delegation Of Authority: Revenue Officers or Revenue Agents Are Not The Secretary Of The Treasury Nor The Commissioner Of The INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.

We Will Make Adjustments As Required To Help Benefit The General Population. I Have Already Advised Congress {CONGRESS} The IRS Code Is Void For Reason Of Fraud. This May Also Effect Probate Laws. As the UNITED STATES is a Federal Corporation and has been since 1779 would you please show me the Constitutional Amendment giving Federal Employees the Lawful Right/Authority to Altar the Constitution by Legislative Act? TREASON.

Has it not this corporation been borrowing money against the names, good faith, credit and slave labor or all men and women? It is payback time: We demand our money now: all \$14.2 Trillion of it.

First rule of law: law must be written so it is understandable by the person reading it, otherwise it is void for vagueness. Stop writing Legislation, Law(s) and Corporate Policy as your job is to sit there until the people give you something to do. So I will give you this ORDER – Undo all the fraud you have committed by Legislation, Law, and Corporate Policy and fire all IRS Employees Immediately or get charged with misuse and misappropriation of Public Funds. Furthermore I demand job descriptions of all Federal/Corporate Employees and that they be published. All job descriptions shall include “other related duties”. Furthermore all court rulings listed above shall be immediately placed within the IRS CODE to prevent further errors.

Furthermore as the IRS was ruled unconstitutional no IRS employee shall receive Federal Retirement Benefits, Health Benefits, et al. This problem began with Congress when they ignored the rulings of the High Court so they can deal with the results. You have 45-days to comply (May 11, 2012). END