

Homelessness in Washington State

2016 Annual Report on the Homeless Grant Programs

December 2016 Report to the Legislature Brian Bonlender, Director

Acknowledgements

Washington State Department of Commerce

Diane Klontz, Assistant Director, Community Services and Housing Tedd Kelleher, Managing Director, Housing Assistance Unit Kathy Kinard, Homeless Grants Manager Mary Schwartz, Data Systems Manager Cheryl Bayle, Office of Homeless Youth Program Manager Jennifer Garrett, Homeless Management Information Systems Team Cary Retlin, Senior Policy Advisor Kathryn Stayrook, Administrative Support

Tedd Kelleher, tedd.kelleher@commerce.wa.gov
Washington State Department of Commerce
Housing Assistance Unit
1011 Plum St. SE
P.O. Box 42525
Olympia, WA 98504-2525
www.commerce.wa.gov

For people with disabilities, this report is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 360-725-4000 (TTY 360-586-0772).

Table of Contents

| recutive Summary 1 |
|--|
| ogress Toward Ending Homelessness in 20163 |
| onsolidated Homeless GrantError! Bookmark not defined. |
| ousing and Essential Needs12 |
| ffice of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs15 |
| opendix A: Independent Youth Housing Program17 |
| opendix B: 2015 Summary of Spending and Outputs by County18 |
| opendix C: Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons Results53 |

Executive Summary

This annual report on Washington state's homeless grant programs details efforts to implement the state plan to reduce homelessness for Calendar Year 2015 and State Fiscal Year 2016, depending on the legislative requirement and availability of data. This document fulfills reporting requirements under the 2006 Homelessness Housing and Assistance Act (RCW 43.185C).

Document recording fee surcharges were established under the act and are a critical source of local and state funding for homeless programs, providing at least 25 percent of all the funds spent from all sources (including federal, state, local, and private) on homeless assistance in the state.

Beyond being the single largest source of funding, the act and recording fees provide a framework for necessary performance data collection and system improvement planning. The act and the recording fees have brought together what previously was a scattered and siloed response to homelessness into unified county-led crisis response systems, influenced by federal and state policies and evidence-based best practices. A portion of the document recording fee surcharges for homeless housing program sunset on June 30, 2019.

Challenges in 2016

Housing prices are increasing more rapidly than incomes both nationally and in Washington, which is affecting the gains our state has made since 2006 to reduce homelessness. A 2012 study found that a \$100 rent increase contributes to between a 6 percent and 32 percent increase in homelessness (depending on geographic variation). As rents and home prices continue to increase, financial challenges that contribute to homelessness are forecasted to continue.

Additionally, while the Legislature made progress in the last legislative session toward improving the state mental health care system, mental health and chemical dependency continue to be barriers to people staying stably housed. The Section 1115 Medicaid Transformation Waiver⁴ will allow Medicaid funds to be used for the medically necessary behavioral health supports needed to keep more people stably housed. Stable housing for

¹ Washington State Affordable Housing Advisory Board, *Affordable Housing Needs Study Appendices*, state of Washington and public and private partners, Olympia, 2015, page 19. http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/AHAB-Housing-Needs-Appendices.pdf

² Byrne et al., *New Perspectives on Community-Level Determinants of Homelessness*, The Journal of Urban Affairs, Vol. 35, No. 5, p. 607, 2012.

³ Zillow, Washington Home Prices and Values, 2016. http://www.zillow.com/WA/HOME-VALUES/

⁴ Washington State Health Care Authority and Department of Social and Health Services. *Washington State Medicaid Transformation Waiver Application*. https://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Waivers/1115/downloads/wa/wa-medicaid-transformation-pa.pdf

chronically homeless individuals reduces demand and spending on expensive emergency mental and physical health care.⁵

The Interagency Council on Homelessness and the State Advisory Council on Homelessness are collaborating with the Governor-appointed Affordable Housing Advisory Board to examine existing state systems and policies, and identify potential improvements that will help hold the gains and further reduce homelessness.

Progress Toward Ending Homelessness

Due in large part to the historic increases in the cost of housing, the number of people experiencing homelessness in Washington increased for the third year in a row. The prevalence (per-capita) of homelessness in the state also increased for the third year in a row. Although the overall prevalence of homelessness in Washington is down more than 17 percent over the last 10 years, the recent increases in homelessness are concerning. This report describes the strategic, data-driven policy changes and initiatives Commerce is driving to reach functional-zero homelessness, where the capacity of a homeless service system is equal to or greater than the need. Following is a brief summary of policy changes and initiatives, which are further described in the body of this report.

Policy Changes

To achieve the homeless strategic plan vision that no person is left living outside, Commerce implemented several new policy changes for grantees providing homelessness services. Notable is a change in the grant performance benchmark to require grantees to work toward functional-zero homelessness for at least two sub-populations. Other policy changes are to reduce barriers to housing, align program housing requirements with typical residential leases, and increase the quality of data.

Initiatives to Implement Best Practices

Commerce initiated and continued several activities to support homeless service providers statewide in 2016. These initiatives are largely around increased use of data to drive policy at the state and local levels, for example through *County Report Cards*, ⁶ and through implementation and support of best practices, such as low-barrier access to housing.

Commerce initiatives to improve service delivery include increased support for the grantee use of a vulnerability assessment tool, which helps prioritize limited resources for the most vulnerable and training service providers in "trauma informed care," which aims to improve service delivery to the many people experiencing homelessness who live with the effects of trauma.

⁵ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24343350

⁶https://public.tableau.com/views/FinalCountyReportCardAugust2016/CountyReportCard?:embed=y&:display_count=yes

Progress Toward Ending Homelessness in 2016

Many new promising initiatives and strategies have been implemented or initiated at every level of government and by nonprofits across Washington state. Commerce's most significant efforts are highlighted below.

Strategies to Reduce Homelessness

The updated state plan identifies a new statewide vision and guiding principles along with goals and specific strategies to support homeless crisis response systems throughout the state.

In early 2016, the State Advisory Council on Homelessness and the Interagency Council on Homelessness delivered recommendations to the Governor on policy and programmatic changes that could improve homeless services and systems in our state. Leaders from these groups continue to meet with representatives from veteran, youth, domestic violence, employment, corrections, housing authorities, and other stakeholder groups.

In addition, the two councils and the Affordable Housing Advisory Board met in July to set goals to reduce homelessness, increase access to affordable housing, and align policy priorities across all three groups. They will similarly align policy recommendations for the 2017 legislative session, based on their policy priorities. These groups also requested that Commerce lead conversations to develop and deliver statewide visions for affordable housing and homelessness. Commerce will bring its broad perspective on homelessness, housing, and strengthening communities to the task of creating statewide visions for affordable housing and homelessness.

The State Advisory Council on Homelessness and the Interagency Council on Homelessness bring together representatives from state agencies, local government, and other stakeholder groups to improve access to services. They work to ensure silos are broken at every level, and that every homeless person that needs access to assistance – no matter what system they are engaged with – can get the information they need to find a resolution to their housing crisis. Commerce chairs the Interagency Council on Homelessness, has a seat on the State Advisory Council on Homelessness, and provides staff support to both councils.

Additional Reports in 2017

Commerce will be producing several reports in 2017 that will provide plans and information about Washington state's efforts to address homelessness and support local government efforts:

• **Updated Homeless Strategic Plan** – communicates the vision, mission, guiding principles, and policy proposals of the statewide homeless housing system.

- Affordable Housing Vision, Guiding Principles and Next Steps focuses on affordable housing initiatives, including the state Housing Trust Fund, and related topics such as the relationships between the Growth Management Act, transportation infrastructure, and affordable housing.
- Analysis of Affordable Housing and Homelessness Data, Research, Findings, and Trends – explores significant issues facing the homelessness and affordable housing systems.

Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs

With Gov. Inslee's support, the Legislature created the Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs at Commerce in 2015 to address the issues of a key population facing homelessness (RCW 43.330.705). The office convened an advisory committee to develop recommendations, and published a legislative report this year that details recommendations for addressing funding, policy, and gaps in our state's youth housing system. Information about the office and related programs is available on the Office of Homeless Youth webpage.⁷

Improvements to the Adult System Homeless Housing Program

In 2016, Commerce initiated a variety of policy changes to improve the effectiveness of homeless programs, and ensure that households with the highest need are prioritized. Most changes were implemented through updates to *Consolidated Homeless Grant Guidelines*, and related improvements are planned for 2017.

Adult System Policy Changes in 2016

- Prioritize use of document recording fees for housing unsheltered people Commerce created a benchmark that at least 35 percent of people assisted through the use of recording fees must have been unsheltered in the last two years; this includes all domestic violence victims.
- Flexible funding allows for payments of expenses that directly help a homeless
 household obtain or maintain housing. These expenses include transportation costs to
 move to permanent housing, expenses to increase income, such as work uniforms, and
 items necessary to address an emergency need.
- Expanded funding eligibility to include permanent supportive housing for sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with disabilities.

Adult System Proposed Policy Changes for 2017

Commerce is working with stakeholders to review and refine proposed changes that would go into effect July 2017.

⁷ http://www.commerce.wa.gov/serving-communities/homelessness/office-of-youth-homelessness/

- Increase the grant performance benchmark from assisting at least 35 percent of unsheltered people, to grantees working toward functional zero for at least two subpopulations, or a 5 percent incremental increase each year. The end goal is that counties have robust crisis response systems and can maintain functional zero.
- Increase rapid access to housing assistance and remove barriers to assistance.
- Align program housing requirements with typical residential leases.
- Increase Homeless Management Information System data quality threshold.

Commerce Initiatives to Implement Best Practices

Commerce staff have initiated and continued several activities to support homeless service providers statewide in 2016.

- *County Report Cards:* * twice yearly published performance report for counties to use in reporting service outcomes and advocating for resources in their communities.
- *Vulnerability Assessment Tools Learning Community*: monthly webinar to support peer network of homeless providers using the various vulnerability assessment tools.
- Emergency Shelter Provider Network: monthly networking for shelter providers to exchange ideas and support on shelter best practices.
- Trauma Informed Care Trainings: regional trainings on working with homeless people who have been impacted by trauma, and how to provide trauma-informed care and services at a programmatic and systems level.
- Over a dozen trainings on grant guidelines and best practices to grantee staff and their stakeholders.
- Presented Homeless Housing 101 and best practices at the 2016 annual state Conference on Ending Homelessness.
- Provided workshops and presentations to Department of Corrections, Department of Social and Health Services, Western and Eastern State Hospitals, Department of Early Learning, Washington Thrives, Washington State Community Action Programs, and others.
- Multimedia materials, including *Homeless Myth & Facts* brochure and *You Deserve Housing* presentation.
- Commerce also released local homeless housing plan guidance in May for local governments, and will host a local plan academy in 2017 to provide support to counties and jurisdictions as they develop and refine strategies to address homelessness in their communities.

Medicaid Waiver Application to Fund Services for People With Disabilities Who are Homeless

The Health Care Authority, Department of Social and Health Services, and Commerce requested a waiver from the federal government to allow the use of Medicaid funding for services tied to

⁸https://public.tableau.com/views/FinalCountyReportCardAugust2016/CountyReportCard?:embed=y&:display_count=yes

housing for disabled people who are homeless. The five-year waiver includes a rigorous research component to demonstrate the hypothesis that the spending on services needed to keep people housed directly leads to lower spending of federal and state Medicaid funds.

New Strategies for Measuring the Number of Homeless Persons

A supplemental point-in-time count⁹ of homeless persons was completed this year and spans January 2014 through January 2016. It will continue at twice-annual intervals. Through a data sharing agreement with the Department of Social and Health Services Research Data Analysis Division (RDA), administrative data from five different data sources are matched to provide a broader understanding of our homeless population.

New Homeless Management Information System Technology

The new homeless management information system (HMIS) software from BitFocus, Inc. went into production use on April 1, 2016, and is currently used by all but four counties (Clark, Pierce, Snohomish, and Spokane) for front-end data entry of homeless persons served by state, federal, and locally funded housing assistance programs.

Commerce will mandate that all counties enter data into the state's software no later than January 1, 2018, unless the counties of Clark, Snohomish, Pierce and Spokane can update the state HMIS database at least monthly with client data via an XML-based web updating process no later than June 1, 2017.

100-Day Challenge Initiative to Reduce Family Homelessness

In 2016, Commerce led two cohorts of counties through an intensive technical assistance effort aimed at reducing unsheltered family homelessness and implementing lasting system changes. Six counties participated in the challenges, and best practices have been shared in a consultant report and in the annual training forum for all Commerce homeless grant recipients. The results of this initiative will also be shared at the state Conference on Ending Homelessness in May 2017.

There were many promising results from the first cohort of counties (Thurston, Mason, and Cowlitz). Sixty unsheltered families were housed during that effort – a 60 percent change in unsheltered families in those counties. Each county also modified their systems to prioritize unsheltered households, and each county implemented multiple long-term system changes.

Funding for the technical assistance was provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Department of Social and Health Services Economic Services Administration, and Commerce under the leadership of the Vulnerable Families Partnership, a public-private effort created to

_

⁹ http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/hau-hmis-snapshot-homelessness-1-2016.pdf

¹⁰ No additional funding for services was provided through the 100 Day Challenge Initiative

¹¹ https://app.box.com/s/nxpvhlltkl24gh80vi0254w5gswu9aqu

improve outcomes for families at risk of homelessness. The second challenge will be completed in December 2016. Commerce will share the results in a consultant report from Walla Walla, Yakima, and Benton-Franklin teams in January 2017.

Significant Research Efforts in Seattle and King County

Other partners around the state conducted research in 2016 intended to improve outcomes related to homeless services. Two examples of that work are the Seattle/King County: Homeless System Performance Assessment and Recommendations with Particular Emphasis on Single Adults¹² and the Recommendations for the City of Seattle's Homeless Investment Policy: The Path Forward – Act Now, Act Strategically, and Act Decisively. ¹³ Both reports already have had impacts on policy and practices related to our state's largest city and county and are likely to influence system changes in 2017.

Sampling and Reporting Required for All Document Recording Fee Expenditures

Since 2012, Commerce has been required to report on the expenditures of housing vouchers, housing placements, eviction prevention payments, and rent to landlords, and the portion of those rents that come from document recording fees. Below is a summary of that data. Additional details by county are in Appendix B. The data collection and analysis for this purpose is currently performed by Commerce staff, and paid for by program administration funds.

Table 1: CY2015 Summary of Estimated Expenditures to Landlords and Amounts Apportioned to Document Recording Fees

| | Eviction Prevention | Housing Placements | Housing Vouchers | Leased Facilities | Owned Facilities |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Total Spending to Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$36,608,734 | \$27,785,995 | \$710,565 | \$1,965,012 | \$529,766 |
| Total Households Served | 10,114 | 6,948 | 213 | 3,214 | 9,176 |
| Total Spending to Private For- profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$32,694,706 | \$26,111,143 | \$678,750 | \$1,313,312 | \$0 |
| Total Spending to Non-Profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$3,042,062 | \$1,315,351 | \$31,814 | \$646,900 | \$459,901 |
| Total Spending to Government Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$871,966 | \$359,501 | \$0 | \$4,790 | \$69,864 |

¹² www.seattle.gov/documents/departments/pathwayshome/fs.pdf

¹³ http://www.seattle.gov/documents/departments/pathwayshome/bpa.pdf

| Document Recording Fee Spending to Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$28,672,680 | \$14,006,099 | \$110,037 | \$650,832 | \$75,279 |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Document Recording Fee Households Served | 7,651 | 4,003 | 44 | 1,061 | 1,303 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Private For-profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$26,496,013 | \$13,441,860 | \$78,223 | \$428,504 | \$0 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Non Profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$1,752,190 | \$242,827 | \$31,814 | \$217,537 | \$35,175 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Government Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$424,477 | \$321,412 | \$0 | \$4,790 | \$40,104 |

See Appendix B for detailed expenditure reporting required under RCW 43.185C.240(1)(c)(iv).

Independent Examination of State Document Recording Fee Expenditures

Background

In 2014, RCW 36.22.179(1)(b) was amended to require 45 percent of the state document recording fees be set aside for private, for-profit rental housing. That change also requires the Office of Financial Management (OFM) to obtain an independent examination of state document recording fee spending, specifically to verify if the 45 percent requirement is met. The examination is paid for out of funds that would otherwise go to housing vouchers for homeless households. The cost of the audit is approximately \$90,000 each year, or the equivalent of approximately 50 housing vouchers for homeless households.

Results of the Independent Examination

The Independent Examination of the Department of Commerce's Expenditures of Private for-profit Rental Housing ¹⁴ was conducted by Reed and Associates, CPAs. The examination was for the period of January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015, and found that about 51 percent of document recording fee funds were spent on private for-profit rental housing, which exceeds the 45 percent set-aside requirement.

Considerations

The most recent data collected and analyzed by Commerce shows at least 83 percent of rental payments made statewide for homeless housing (state and local funds) are made to private, for-profit rental housing landlords and property managers.

¹⁴ http://bit.ly/COM-RH

Private landlords are crucial partners for local homeless service providers to house homeless people. However, "source of income" discrimination by some landlords remains a barrier to homeless people accessing private-market rental units. Source of income discrimination occurs when a landlord or property manager chooses not to accept tenants with means-tested government benefits, such as disability benefits, housing vouchers, or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families.

Commerce-contracted homeless service providers report that compliance with the budget categories required to track private rental housing payments is burdensome and diverts resources from assisting homeless households.

Consolidated Homeless Grant

The Consolidated Homeless Grant includes funding appropriated to Commerce for homeless housing from the Home Security Fund, Affordable Housing For All Account, and Transitional Housing Operating and Rent Account.

The grant funding supports crisis response systems in every county across the state so that people who are experiencing homelessness receive the right intervention and services quickly, and homeless episodes are rare, brief, and one-time. The basic elements of a crisis response system include outreach and engagement, coordinated entry, diversion, emergency shelter, rapid rehousing, limited and targeted transitional housing, permanent housing, and permanent supportive housing.

Interventions for people living outside, and primarily focused on housing stability, have been shown to successfully reduce homelessness and achieve functional-zero homelessness when scaled appropriately. Functional zero homelessness is where the capacity of a homelessness service system is equal to or greater than the need.

Commerce uses the federal Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act (HEARTH)¹⁵ measures to review contract performance toward achieving an effective crisis response system and functional zero.

Consolidated Homeless Grant client data for calendar year 2015 is reported in Table 2 (following page). The housing interventions are federally defined and align with the data standards in the Homeless Management Information System.

Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Commerce reinstituted an online survey for the Consolidated Homeless Grant grantees to use as a client satisfaction tool. Over 200 people responded positively about services in SFY 2016.

- 49 percent of respondents reported being homeless.
- 33 percent of respondents reported being at risk homelessness.
- 69 percent of respondents were helped in less than 30 days of seeking assistance, with 84 percent stating their housing crisis was solved.
- 89 percent of the respondents reported being satisfied or very satisfied with the help they were offered, and 90 percent felt the staff that supported them were respectful and professional.
- 89 percent also felt the help they received was specific to their circumstances rather than a standard service.

¹⁵ Videos explaining HUD performance measures are at https://www.hudexchange.info/training-events/system-performance-measures/.

Table 2: Calendar Year 2015 Consolidated Homeless Grant Household Outcomes by Intervention

| | | Н | omeless Housir | ng Interventions | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| HEAI | RTH Outcome Measures | Homelessness Rapid Emergency Trans | | | Transitional Housing |
| Households Enrolled | | 1,735 | 1,321 | 4,980 | 475 |
| Households Served | | 2,239 | 1,835 | 6,239 | 856 |
| Households Exited | | 1,777 | 1,446 | 4,838 | 558 |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Exited Participants | | 102 Days | 116 Days | 42 Days | 221 Days |
| Percent Exited to any Stable | | 89% | 78% | 21% | 56% |
| Of All Known Exits | Percent Exited to any Other (non-stable housing) Situation | 11% | 22% | 79% | 44% |
| Percent Destinat | Exited to Unknown ions | 10% | 12% | 33% | 19% |
| Of All Known Entries | Percent of Households Entering This Program From an Unsheltered Location | 0% | 46% | 34% | 17% |
| Percent of Households Returning to Homelessness From a Permanent Exit Within the Last 24 Months | | NA | 13% | 15% | 14% |
| | Cost Per Successfully Household | NA | \$1,497 | \$1,747 | \$4,661 |

Housing and Essential Needs

Housing and Essential Needs funds are incorporated into the Consolidated Homeless Grant and administered within the grant requirements guidelines, which include prioritizing unsheltered people with the greatest needs.

The Department of Social and Health Services determines eligibility for a "Housing and Essential Needs Referral" based on a person's level of disability. Once a person has a referral, they can access assistance through their county's homeless services coordinated entry system. Services are provided through a network of faith-based organizations, community non-profits, and local governments that have experience working with property owners and managing rent assistance programs.

Housing and Essential Needs funding is appropriated by state fiscal year from state general funds. The appropriation, spending and number of clients assisted has remained steady since the program inception in November 2011.

Table 3: State Fiscal Year 2016 Housing and Essential Needs Spending

| Spending Category | SFY 2016 Spending |
|---|-------------------|
| Rent Assistance and Operations/Essential Needs/Grantee Administration | \$26,861,219 |
| Commerce Administration | \$ 1,976,359 |
| Total | \$28,837,578 |

In Table 4, the Housing and Essential Needs client data report from the Homeless Management Information System database is for calendar year 2015.

Table 4: Calendar Year 2015 Increases in Housing Stability and Self-Sufficiency

| | Household* Housing Outcomes | Housing and Essential Needs Funded Housing Assistance | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|----------|--|
| | Household Housing Outcomes | Homelessness Prevention | Rapid Re-housing | Total | |
| House | sholds Enrolled | 3,214 | 742 | 3,956 | |
| House | holds Served | 6,767 | 1,461 | 8,228 | |
| House | holds Exited | 3,717 | 641 | 4,358 | |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Exited Participants | | 308 Days | 319 Days | 311 Days | |
| UMC S: | Percent Exited to a Stable Housing Situation | 63% | 67% | 64% | |
| Of Known Exits | Percent Exited to any Other Housing (non stable) Situation | 37% | 33% | 36% | |
| Percei | nt Exited to Unknown Destinations | 31% | 33% | 31% | |
| Percent of Households Entering This Program From an Unsheltered Location | | 0% | 59% | 59% | |
| Percent of Households Returning to Homelessness from an Exit from this Program in the Previous 24 Months | | N/A | 15% | 15% | |
| Avera | ge Cost Per Successfully Housed Household | N/A | \$1,202 | N/A | |

^{*}Households consist of one person or a group of people who self-report living together.

Table 5: State Fiscal Year 2016 Expenditures and Number of People Served With Rent Assistance, and Number of People Provided Essential Needs in Calendar Year 2015.

| County | Expenditures SFY 2016 | Rent Assistance Distinct Recipients CY 2015* | Essential Needs Cumulative Recipients CY 2015** |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Statewide Totals | \$26,861,219 | 8,228 | 45,893 |
| Adams | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Asotin | \$30,458 | 12 | 28 |
| Benton-Franklin | \$307,343 | 138 | 203 |
| Chelan-Douglas | \$196,990 | 74 | 135 |
| Clallam | \$328,041 | 240 | 160 |
| Clark | \$1,398,269 | 403 | 1,433 |
| Columbia-Garfield | \$524 | 1 | 1 |
| Cowlitz | \$704,663 | 166 | 791 |
| Ferry | \$18,696 | 8 | 2 |
| Grant/Adams | \$208,288 | 92 | 232 |
| Grays Harbor | \$866,626 | 364 | 1,132 |
| Island | \$37,396 | 35 | 68 |
| Jefferson | \$102,297 | 23 | 61 |
| King | \$11,067,300 | 3,525 | 24,276 |
| Kitsap | \$789,529 | 164 | 2,306 |
| Kittitas | \$164,734 | 26 | 57 |
| Klickitat | \$69,503 | 37 | 143 |
| Lewis | \$428,890 | 284 | 649 |
| Lincoln | \$22,833 | 6 | 21 |
| Mason | \$478,335 | 198 | 587 |
| Okanogan | \$62,877 | 30 | 122 |
| Pacific | \$143,608 | 103 | 75 |
| Pend Oreille | \$25,604 | 12 | 41 |
| Pierce | \$2,057,673 | 273 | 585 |
| San Juan | \$1,899 | 1 | N/A |
| Skagit | \$316,374 | 168 | 154 |
| Skamania | \$53,932 | 45 | 70 |
| Snohomish | \$2,042,005 | 747 | 3,053 |
| Spokane | \$1,385,128 | 325 | 3,085 |
| Stevens | \$46,717 | 30 | 112 |
| Thurston | \$1,586,745 | 274 | 1,454 |
| Wahkiakum | \$596 | 1 | 6 |
| Walla Walla | \$115,137 | 81 | 140 |
| Whatcom | \$1,082,166 | 270 | 1,446 |
| Whitman | \$1,494 | 3 | 17 |
| Yakima | \$718,535 | 69 | 3,248 |

^{*}Use of Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) allows an unduplicated count of individuals served with housing support

**A recipient may be counted more than once if they returned for essential needs support – HMIS is not in use for essential needs.

Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs

The Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs was created by the Legislature during the 2015 legislative session to "coordinate ... funding, policy, and practice efforts related to homeless youth." The office includes six programs, three of which were previously managed by the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS). The Independent Youth Housing Program is already managed by Commerce. The Office of Homeless Youth released its legislative report in December.

- **Crisis Residential Centers:** Short-term, semi-secure, and secure facilities for runaway youth and adolescents in conflict with their families. Youth are referred to a Crisis Residential Center by law enforcement or social workers at DSHS.
- **HOPE Centers**: Temporary residential placements for homeless youth under the age of 18. Youth may self-refer to a HOPE Center for services. Entering a Hope Center is voluntary.
- Independent Youth Housing: Rental assistance and case management for eligible youth who have aged out of the state foster care system. Participants must be between 18 and 23 years old, have been a dependent of the state at any time during the four-month period preceding his or her 18th birthday, and meet income eligibility. Priority is given to young adults who were dependents of the state for at least one year. Further details can be found in Table 1, on page 8 of this report.
- Street Youth Services: Street Youth Services focus on identification and engagement with youth under the age of 18 who are living on the street. Once rapport is established with the youth, programs provide needed services directly or link the youth to appropriate community resources. Services can include drug/alcohol abuse intervention, counseling, emergency housing, prevention and education activities, employment skill building, advocacy, family focused services, and follow-up support.
- **Young Adult Shelters** provide emergency, temporary shelter, assessment, referrals, and permanency planning services for young adults ages 18 through 24.
- Young Adult Housing Program provides resources for rent assistance, transitional housing, and case management for young adults ages 18 through 24.

The placement of these youth housing programs at Commerce will facilitate greater coordination across the systems that touch homeless youth to achieve better results across five priority service areas:

- Stable housing. Everyone should have a safe and healthy place to sleep at night.
- Permanent connections through establishing positive, healthy relationships with adults.
- **Education and employment**, including the opportunity to complete high school and pursue additional education and training.
- Social and emotional well-being through access to behavioral and physical health care.
- **Safety** through coordination among state and local partners, including facilitating family reconciliation when possible and appropriate.

¹⁶ Washington State Legislature, *An act relating to homeless youth prevention and protection,* page 4, Sec. 5(3), 2015 session, http://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2015-16/Pdf/Bills/Session%20Laws/Senate/5404-S2.SL.pdf.

Independent Youth Housing Program Outcome Data

The Independent Youth Housing Program, codified in 2007 by RCW 43.63A.305, provides rent assistance and case management to youth ages 18 to 23 years old who have exited the state dependency system. The program was appropriated \$1.8 million from the state Home Security Fund during the 2013-15 Biennium.

The success of the Independent Youth Housing Program is measured using the Homeless Management Information System and includes the following outcomes reported as required under RCW 43.63A.311.

Table 6: SFY 2015 Outcomes 17 for Independent Youth Housing program

| Outcome Measures | IYHP Funded Household Outcomes |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Total Households* Enrolled | 52 |
| Total Households Served | 119 |
| Total Households Exited | 53 |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Exited Participants | 383 |
| Exited to a Stable Housing Situation (with or without subsidy) | 42 |
| Exited to an Unsubsidized Stable Housing Situation | 37 |
| Exited to any Other Housing Situation | 14 |
| Exited With Increased Income** | 23 |
| Exited With Improved Economic Self-Sufficiency** | 32 |
| Exited With Increased Education** | 25 |
| Exited With Increased Employment** | 25 |
| Exited With Increased Life Skills** | 29 |
| Exited With Decreased Use of State Funded Services** | 3 |
| Returned to Homelessness Within 24 Months** | 0 |

^{*}Households consist of one person or a group of people who self-report living together.

^{**}Households may be counted in one or more of these categories.

 $^{^{17}}$ Appendix A provides a county-by-county breakdown of the participant outcomes for IYHP.

Appendix A: Independent Youth Housing Program

Table 7: State Fiscal Year 2015 Independent Youth Housing Program Outcomes by County

| Outcome Measures | Benton Franklin | King | Kittitas | Lewis | Pierce | Spokane | Thurston | Walla Walla | Yakima | Total |
|---|--------------------|------|----------|-------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|--------|-------|
| Households Newly Enrolled During the Report Period | 9 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 61 |
| Households Served During Report Period | 14 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 22 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 133 |
| Households Exited During Report Period | 6 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 59 |
| The Average Length of Program Participation (days) | 331.5 | 425 | 188 | 328 | 353 | 548 | 491 | 424 | 408 | 416 |
| Exited to Stable Housing | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 47 |
| Exited to Unsubsidized Stable Housing | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 42 |
| Exited to any Other Housing Situation | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Exited with Increased Income | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 27 |
| Exited with Improved Economic Self – Sufficiency (3 or more categories) | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| Exited with Increased Education | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 27 |
| Exited with Increased Employment | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 28 |
| Exited with Increased Life Skills | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 33 |
| Exited With Decreased Use of Non Cash Benefits | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Exited with Improved Circumstances | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 34 |
| Return to Homelessness Within 24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix B: 2015 Summary of Spending and Outputs by County

Tables 8 through 14 contain detailed, county-by-county data on all reported spending (including state and locally administered document recording fees) and outcomes for Calendar Year 2015 for activities defined in RCW 43.185C.240(1)(c)(iv): eviction prevention, housing placements, housing vouchers, leased facilities, and owned facilities. Note that a dash (-) is used to indicate instances where no data were reported, to differentiate instances where a county reported a zero.

Table 8 (pages 18-22): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Total Spending for Housing Vouchers

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 14 | 18 | 111 | \$52,216 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 14 | 18 | 111 | \$52,216 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 54 | 119 | 493 | \$327,783 |
| Clark | For-profit | 54 | 119 | 493 | \$327,783 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 57 | 89 | 225 | \$125,285 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 50 | 78 | 198 | \$114,015 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 7 | 11 | 27 | \$11,269 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 1 | 3 | 11 | \$6,244 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 1 | 3 | 10 | \$5,682 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$561 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 5 | 13 | 39 | \$21,635 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 4 | 11 | 34 | \$19,689 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 1 | 2 | 5 | \$1,946 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 82 | 85 | 317 | \$177,400 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 71 | 73 | 274 | \$159,363 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 11 | 12 | 43 | \$18,037 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|---------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Whitman | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 9 (pages 22-26): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Reported Housing Voucher Spending by County Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 14 | 18 | 111 | \$52,216 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 14 | 18 | 111 | \$52,216 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 13 | 20 | 51 | \$25,271 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 6 | 10 | 24 | \$14,001 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 7 | 11 | 27 | \$11,269 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| King | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 0 | 1 | 3 | \$1,259 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$697 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$561 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 1 | 3 | 9 | \$4,364 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 1 | 1 | 4 | \$2,417 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 1 | 2 | 5 | \$1,946 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 15 | 16 | 59 | \$26,925 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 4 | 4 | 15 | \$8,888 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 11 | 12 | 43 | \$18,037 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 10 (pages 26-30): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Total Reported Eviction Prevention Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | 16 | 33 | 21 | \$11,632 |
| Adams | For-profit | 13 | 26 | 16 | \$8,760 |
| Adams | Non Profit | 3 | 7 | 4 | \$2,871 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 56 | 124 | 150 | \$202,098 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 52 | 116 | 140 | \$188,104 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 4 | 8 | 10 | \$13,993 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 401 | 818 | 1617 | \$889,713 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 401 | 818 | 1617 | \$889,713 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 218 | 430 | 627 | \$534,552 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 203 | 401 | 585 | \$521,131 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 15 | 29 | 42 | \$13,420 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 328 | 594 | 1244 | \$631,430 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 286 | 518 | 1084 | \$559,200 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 25 | 46 | 96 | \$62,025 |
| Clallam | Government | 17 | 30 | 64 | \$10,204 |
| Clark | Total | 458 | 619 | 2871 | \$2,149,405 |
| Clark | For-profit | 411 | 556 | 2578 | \$1,983,462 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 23 | 31 | 143 | \$87,075 |
| Clark | Government | 24 | 32 | 149 | \$78,867 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 8 | 25 | 54 | \$40,366 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 7 | 22 | 48 | \$37,250 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 0 | 1 | 3 | \$1,635 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 1 | 3 | \$1,481 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 232 | 255 | 1360 | \$1,018,313 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 208 | 229 | 1221 | \$939,695 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 12 | 13 | 68 | \$41,253 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 12 | 13 | 71 | \$37,364 |
| Ferry | Total | 36 | 61 | 171 | \$222,466 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 36 | 61 | 171 | \$222,466 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 188 | 325 | 456 | \$276,393 |
| Grant | For-profit | 141 | 244 | 342 | \$218,907 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 19 | 33 | 46 | \$25,131 |
| Grant | Government | 28 | 49 | 68 | \$32,354 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 278 | 375 | 977 | \$777,663 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 278 | 375 | 977 | \$777,663 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 132 | 310 | 560 | \$58,239 |
| Island | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 132 | 310 | 560 | \$58,239 |
| Jefferson | Total | 51 | 99 | 189 | \$188,405 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 51 | 99 | 189 | \$188,405 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 1,998 | 4,307 | 8,478 | \$6,348,612 |
| King | For-profit | 1,794 | 3,868 | 7,614 | \$5,858,474 |
| King | Non Profit | 100 | 215 | 423 | \$257,191 |
| King | Government | 104 | 224 | 441 | \$232,946 |
| Kitsap | Total | 655 | 1,082 | 2,577 | \$2,233,032 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 655 | 1,082 | 2,577 | \$2,233,032 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 78 | 158 | 203 | \$134,697 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 73 | 147 | 189 | \$131,321 |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 5 | 11 | 14 | \$3,375 |
| Klickitat | Total | 54 | 81 | 486 | \$123,813 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 54 | 81 | 486 | \$123,813 |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 466 | 840 | 1,849 | \$1,080,666 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 456 | 823 | 1,811 | \$1,068,874 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 10 | 17 | 38 | \$11,791 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 191 | 237 | 807 | \$801,230 |
| Mason | For-profit | 115 | 142 | 484 | \$643,100 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 57 | 71 | 242 | \$133,922 |
| Mason | Government | 19 | 24 | 81 | \$24,207 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Okanogan | Total | 115 | 240 | 740 | \$553,896 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 103 | 216 | 664 | \$511,13 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 6 | 12 | 37 | \$22,439 |
| Okanogan | Government | 6 | 12 | 39 | \$20,323 |
| Pacific | Total | 207 | 372 | 1,640 | \$1,324,991 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 207 | 372 | 1,640 | \$1,324,991 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 39 | 88 | 100 | \$29,883 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 39 | 88 | 100 | \$29,883 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 1,041 | 2,135 | 2,806 | \$2,645,234 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 1,041 | 2,135 | 2,806 | \$2,645,234 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 20 | 52 | 28 | \$31,139 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 16 | 42 | 22 | \$28,348 |
| San Juan | Non Profit | 4 | 10 | 6 | \$2,791 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 181 | 271 | 1,016 | \$1,167,847 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 181 | 271 | 1,016 | \$1,167,847 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 59 | 76 | 520 | \$451,454 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 59 | 76 | 520 | \$451,454 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 723 | 917 | 2,917 | \$2,584,825 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 723 | 917 | 2,917 | \$2,584,825 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 162 | 427 | 540 | \$565,14 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 155 | 408 | 515 | \$560,241 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Spokane | Non Profit | 7 | 19 | 25 | \$4,907 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 64 | 122 | 202 | \$151,322 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 57 | 110 | 181 | \$139,640 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 3 | 6 | 10 | \$6,130 |
| Stevens | Government | 3 | 6 | 11 | \$5,552 |
| Thurston | Total | 298 | 335 | 1,625 | \$5,987,717 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 298 | 335 | 1,625 | \$5,987,717 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 26 | 67 | 153 | \$93,172 |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 26 | 67 | 153 | \$93,172 |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 171 | 266 | 403 | \$234,785 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 161 | 250 | 380 | \$221,901 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 10 | 16 | 24 | \$12,884 |
| Whatcom | Total | 648 | 1,103 | 2,373 | \$1,590,470 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 503 | 856 | 1,842 | \$1,230,435 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 17 | 29 | 62 | \$56,211 |
| Whatcom | Government | 128 | 218 | 468 | \$303,823 |
| Whitman | Total | 162 | 416 | 192 | \$102,133 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 133 | 343 | 158 | \$91,475 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 29 | 73 | 34 | \$10,657 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 354 | 359 | 1,832 | \$1,371,974 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 318 | 322 | 1,645 | \$1,266,052 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 18 | 18 | 91 | \$55,580 |
| Yakima | Government | 18 | 19 | 95 | \$50,341 |

Table 11 (pages 31-35): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Reported Eviction Prevention Spending Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | 7 | 15 | 9 | \$4,940 |
| Adams | For-profit | 7 | 15 | 9 | \$4,940 |
| Adams | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 401 | 818 | 1,617 | \$889,713 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 401 | 818 | 1,617 | \$889,713 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 218 | 430 | 627 | \$534,552 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 203 | 401 | 585 | \$521,131 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 15 | 29 | 42 | \$13,420 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 66 | 120 | 251 | \$133,457 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 58 | 106 | 221 | \$114,164 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 8 | 14 | 30 | \$19,293 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 326 | 441 | 2,045 | \$1,542,813 |
| Clark | For-profit | 302 | 408 | 1,890 | \$1,454,256 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 14 | 19 | 87 | \$52,685 |
| Clark | Government | 11 | 15 | 68 | \$35,871 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 6 | 18 | 38 | \$28,974 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 5 | 16 | 35 | \$27,311 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$989 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$673 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 165 | 182 | 969 | \$730,931 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 153 | 168 | 895 | \$688,976 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 7 | 8 | 41 | \$24,960 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 5 | 6 | 32 | \$16,994 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Ferry | Total | 36 | 61 | 171 | \$222,466 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 36 | 61 | 171 | \$222,466 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 188 | 325 | 456 | \$276,393 |
| Grant | For-profit | 141 | 244 | 342 | \$218,907 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 19 | 33 | 46 | \$25,131 |
| Grant | Government | 28 | 49 | 68 | \$32,354 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 132 | 310 | 560 | \$58,239 |
| Island | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 132 | 310 | 560 | \$58,239 |
| Jefferson | Total | 51 | 99 | 189 | \$188,405 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 51 | 99 | 189 | \$188,405 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 1,423 | 3,068 | 6,039 | \$4,556,947 |
| King | For-profit | 1,316 | 2,836 | 5,583 | \$4,295,379 |
| King | Non Profit | 60 | 130 | 256 | \$155,614 |
| King | Government | 47 | 102 | 201 | \$105,953 |
| Kitsap | Total | 357 | 590 | 1,404 | \$1,217,002 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 357 | 590 | 1,404 | \$1,217,002 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 63 | 128 | 164 | \$107,766 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 58 | 117 | 150 | \$104,390 |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 5 | 11 | 14 | \$3,375 |
| Klickitat | Total | 54 | 81 | 486 | \$123,81 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 54 | 81 | 486 | \$123,813 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 308 | 556 | 1,224 | \$711,741 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 299 | 539 | 1,186 | \$699,949 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 10 | 17 | 38 | \$11,791 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 191 | 237 | 807 | \$801,230 |
| Mason | For-profit | 115 | 142 | 484 | \$643,100 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 57 | 71 | 242 | \$133,922 |
| Mason | Government | 19 | 24 | 81 | \$24,207 |
| Okanogan | Total | 82 | 171 | 527 | \$397,579 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 76 | 158 | 487 | \$374,758 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 3 | 7 | 22 | \$13,576 |
| Okanogan | Government | 3 | 6 | 18 | \$9,244 |
| Pacific | Total | 98 | 175 | 773 | \$624,410 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 98 | 175 | 773 | \$624,410 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 39 | 88 | 100 | \$29,883 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 39 | 88 | 100 | \$29,883 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 973 | 1,996 | 2,623 | \$2,472,992 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 973 | 1,996 | 2,623 | \$2,472,992 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 20 | 52 | 28 | \$31,139 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 16 | 42 | 22 | \$28,348 |
| San Juan | Non Profit | 4 | 10 | 6 | \$2,791 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 181 | 271 | 1,016 | \$1,167,847 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 181 | 271 | 1,016 | \$1,167,847 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Skamania | Total | 59 | 76 | 520 | \$451,454 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 59 | 76 | 520 | \$451,454 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 723 | 917 | 2,917 | \$2,584,825 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 723 | 917 | 2,917 | \$2,584,825 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 162 | 427 | 540 | \$565,149 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 155 | 408 | 515 | \$560,241 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 7 | 19 | 25 | \$4,907 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 46 | 87 | 144 | \$108,617 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 42 | 80 | 133 | \$102,382 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 2 | 4 | 6 | \$3,709 |
| Stevens | Government | 2 | 3 | 5 | \$2,525 |
| Thurston | Total | 298 | 335 | 1,625 | \$5,987,717 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 298 | 335 | 1,625 | \$5,987,717 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 96 | 150 | 227 | \$132,145 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 89 | 138 | 210 | \$122,654 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 7 | 12 | 17 | \$9,491 |
| Whatcom | Total | 329 | 560 | 1,204 | \$808,193 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 277 | 471 | 1,014 | \$677,439 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 9 | 15 | 31 | \$28,105 |
| Whatcom | Government | 43 | 74 | 158 | \$102,648 |
| Whitman | Total | 300 | 770 | 355 | \$196,547 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 271 | 696 | 322 | \$185,889 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|---------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Whitman | Non Profit | 29 | 73 | 34 | \$10,657 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 252 | 256 | 1,305 | \$984,784 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 233 | 236 | 1,206 | \$928,258 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 11 | 11 | 55 | \$33,629 |
| Yakima | Government | 8 | 9 | 43 | \$22,897 |

Table 12 (pages 35-39): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Total Reported Housing Placements and Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Adams | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Asotin | Total | 28 | 62 | 112 | \$181,719 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 28 | 62 | 112 | \$181,719 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 367 | 770 | 1,303 | \$625,107 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 367 | 770 | 1,303 | \$625,107 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 94 | 151 | 268 | \$119,770 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 63 | 101 | 178 | \$88,534 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 31 | 50 | 89 | \$31,236 |
| Clallam | Total | 221 | 332 | 1,222 | \$713,927 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 162 | 243 | 896 | \$501,248 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 59 | 89 | 326 | \$212,678 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Clark | Total | 231 | 439 | 1,410 | \$795,638 |
| Clark | For-profit | 221 | 420 | 1,349 | \$776,949 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 10 | 19 | 61 | \$18,689 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 6 | 13 | 14 | \$3,847 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 6 | 13 | 14 | \$3,847 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 274 | 497 | 1,813 | \$1,145,118 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 274 | 497 | 1,813 | \$1,145,118 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 20 | 46 | 81 | \$60,853 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 13 | 31 | 54 | \$46,832 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 7 | 15 | 27 | \$14,020 |
| Grant | Total | 117 | 193 | 217 | \$54,353 |
| Grant | For-profit | 103 | 170 | 191 | \$42,922 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 3 | 6 | 6 | \$1,479 |
| Grant | Government | 10 | 17 | 19 | \$9,951 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 238 | 345 | 1113 | \$1,244,389 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 238 | 345 | 1113 | \$1,244,389 |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 167 | 349 | 825 | \$660,394 |
| Island | For-profit | 167 | 349 | 825 | \$660,394 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 19 | 28 | 82 | \$62,474 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 19 | 28 | 82 | \$62,474 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 535 | 1,328 | 2,930 | \$3,092,197 |
| King | For-profit | 502 | 1,246 | 2,749 | \$3,013,560 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| King | Non Profit | 20 | 49 | 109 | \$19,831 |
| King | Government | 13 | 33 | 72 | \$58,805 |
| Kitsap | Total | 205 | 231 | 1,159 | \$509,645 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 205 | 231 | 1,159 | \$509,645 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 48 | 113 | 135 | \$114,005 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 48 | 113 | 135 | \$114,005 |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 18 | 31 | 135 | \$78,344 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 18 | 31 | 135 | \$78,344 |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 194 | 373 | 878 | \$630,305 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 194 | 373 | 878 | \$630,305 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 59 | 123 | 270 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 55 | 115 | 253 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 2 | 5 | 11 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 1 | 3 | 6 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 65 | 124 | 399 | \$335,208 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 61 | 116 | 373 | \$316,212 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 3 | 5 | 17 | \$13,138 |
| Okanogan | Government | 2 | 3 | 9 | \$5,857 |
| Pacific | Total | 55 | 97 | 441 | \$332,694 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 55 | 97 | 441 | \$332,694 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 10 | 22 | 45 | \$16,420 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 10 | 22 | 45 | \$16,420 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Pierce | Total | 870 | 1,679 | 3,981 | \$2,973,701 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 870 | 1,679 | 3,981 | \$2,973,701 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 8 | 20 | 32 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 7 | 19 | 30 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non Profit | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 100 | 179 | 463 | \$482,032 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 100 | 179 | 463 | \$482,032 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 12 | 17 | 106 | \$61,984 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 12 | 17 | 106 | \$61,984 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 478 | 764 | 2,164 | \$1,688,940 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 403 | 643 | 1,822 | \$1,402,901 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 25 | 40 | 114 | \$84,832 |
| Snohomish | Government | 50 | 80 | 228 | \$201,206 |
| Spokane | Total | 1,768 | 2,864 | 6,223 | \$10,143,939 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 1,634 | 2,647 | 5,751 | \$9,301,441 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 134 | 217 | 471 | \$842,498 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 40 | 97 | 154 | \$129,345 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 37 | 91 | 144 | \$122,015 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 2 | 4 | 7 | \$5,069 |
| Stevens | Government | 1 | 2 | 4 | \$2,260 |
| Thurston | Total | 286 | 494 | 1,088 | \$588,916 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 263 | 455 | 1,002 | \$545,951 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 23 | 39 | 86 | \$42,965 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 2 | 3 | 15 | \$6,513 |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 2 | 3 | 15 | \$6,513 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 94 | 199 | 512 | \$226,092 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 75 | 159 | 409 | \$189,257 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 19 | 40 | 102 | \$36,835 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 122 | 236 | 301 | \$218,280 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 113 | 218 | 278 | \$200,807 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 9 | 18 | 23 | \$17,472 |
| Whitman | Total | 46 | 113 | 151 | \$78,913 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 23 | 57 | 76 | \$43,903 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 23 | 57 | 76 | \$35,009 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 151 | 336 | 396 | \$410,912 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 142 | 316 | 373 | \$389,900 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 9 | 20 | 23 | \$21,011 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 13 (pages 39-43): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Reported Housing Placements and Spending by County Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 366 | 767 | 1298 | \$622,747 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 366 | 767 | 1298 | \$622,747 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 94 | 151 | 268 | \$119,770 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 63 | 101 | 178 | \$88,534 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 31 | 50 | 89 | \$31,236 |
| Clallam | Total | 27 | 41 | 149 | \$83,523 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 27 | 41 | 149 | \$83,523 |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 10 | 18 | 58 | \$33,650 |
| Clark | For-profit | 10 | 18 | 58 | \$33,650 |
| Clark | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 6 | 12 | 13 | \$3,639 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 6 | 12 | 13 | \$3,639 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 274 | 497 | 1,813 | \$1,145,118 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 274 | 497 | 1,813 | \$1,145,118 |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 20 | 46 | 81 | \$60,853 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 13 | 31 | 54 | \$46,832 |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 7 | 15 | 27 | \$14,020 |
| Grant | Total | 117 | 193 | 217 | \$54,353 |
| Grant | For-profit | 103 | 170 | 191 | \$42,922 |
| Grant | Non Profit | 3 | 6 | 6 | \$1,479 |
| Grant | Government | 10 | 17 | 19 | \$9,951 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 30 | 43 | 139 | \$155,757 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 30 | 43 | 139 | \$155,757 |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 167 | 349 | 825 | \$660,394 |
| Island | For-profit | 167 | 349 | 825 | \$660,394 |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 19 | 28 | 82 | \$62,474 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 19 | 28 | 82 | \$62,474 |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 542 | 1,345 | 2,967 | \$3,132,782 |
| King | For-profit | 509 | 1,263 | 2,786 | \$3,054,145 |
| King | Non Profit | 20 | 49 | 109 | \$19,831 |
| King | Government | 13 | 33 | 72 | \$58,805 |
| Kitsap | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 28 | 67 | 80 | \$67,402 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 28 | 67 | 80 | \$67,402 |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 18 | 31 | 135 | \$78,344 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 18 | 31 | 135 | \$78,344 |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 171 | 329 | 775 | \$556,549 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 171 | 329 | 775 | \$556,549 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 38 | 73 | 234 | \$196,330 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 36 | 69 | 222 | \$187,958 |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 1 | 2 | 5 | \$3,904 |
| Okanogan | Government | 1 | 2 | 7 | \$4,467 |
| Pacific | Total | 27 | 48 | 221 | \$166,335 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 27 | 48 | 221 | \$166,335 |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 10 | 22 | 45 | \$16,420 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 10 | 22 | 45 | \$16,420 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 691 | 1,333 | 3,160 | \$2,360,789 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 691 | 1,333 | 3,160 | \$2,360,789 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 77 | 137 | 355 | \$369,870 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 77 | 137 | 355 | \$369,870 |
| Skagit | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 12 | 17 | 106 | \$61,984 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 12 | 17 | 106 | \$61,984 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 478 | 764 | 2,164 | \$1,688,940 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 403 | 643 | 1,822 | \$1,402,901 |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | 25 | 40 | 114 | \$84,832 |
| Snohomish | Government | 50 | 80 | 228 | \$201,206 |
| Spokane | Total | 169 | 274 | 595 | \$963,014 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 169 | 274 | 595 | \$963,014 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 23 | 57 | 90 | \$75,757 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Stevens | For-profit | 22 | 54 | 86 | \$72,526 |
| Stevens | Non Profit | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$1,506 |
| Stevens | Government | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$1,723 |
| Thurston | Total | 65 | 113 | 249 | \$135,679 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 65 | 113 | 249 | \$135,679 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Total | 79 | 168 | 432 | \$189,257 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 61 | 128 | 330 | \$152,422 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 19 | 40 | 102 | \$36,835 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 66 | 128 | 164 | \$118,215 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 66 | 128 | 164 | \$118,215 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 227 | 558 | 748 | \$415,157 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 179 | 440 | 589 | \$341,731 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 48 | 118 | 159 | \$73,425 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 151 | 336 | 396 | \$410,982 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 142 | 316 | 373 | \$389,970 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | 9 | 20 | 23 | \$21,011 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 14 (pages 44-48): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Reported Facility-Based Housing Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility-based Housing | Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) | Fee Spending for Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | \$22,500 | \$22,500 | \$22,500 | \$22,500 |
| Adams | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Adams | Non Profit | \$22,500 | \$22,500 | \$22,500 | \$22,500 |
| Adams | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | \$100,800 | \$50,400 | \$50,400 | \$50,400 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | \$100,800 | \$50,400 | \$50,400 | \$50,400 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | \$20,412 | \$20,400 | \$20,412 | \$20,400 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | \$20,400 | \$20,400 | \$20,400 | \$20,388 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | \$12 | \$11 | \$12 | \$11 |
| Clallam | Total | \$85,800 | \$5,400 | \$85,800 | \$5,400 |
| Clallam | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Non Profit | \$85,800 | \$5,400 | \$85,800 | \$5,400 |
| Clallam | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Clark | Total | \$149,692 | \$22,056 | \$149,692 | \$22,056 |
| Clark | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Clark | Non Profit | \$149,692 | \$22,056 | \$149,692 | \$22,056 |
| Clark | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Total | \$42,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | \$42,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 |
| Cowlitz | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Ferry | Total | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Activities | Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Facility-based Housing Related | | Fee Spending for Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) |
|--------------|--|---|--|-----------|--|
| Ferry | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Ferry | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Ferry | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Grant | Total | \$88,464 | \$58,704 | \$18,600 | \$18,600 |
| Grant | For-profit | \$18,600 | \$18,600 | \$18,600 | \$18,600 |
| Grant | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Grant | Government | \$69,864 | \$40,104 | - | - |
| Grays Harbor | Total | \$28,500 | \$28,500 | \$28,500 | \$28,500 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | \$28,500 | \$28,500 | \$28,500 | \$28,500 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Island | Total | \$157,133 | \$51,493 | \$142,925 | \$51,493 |
| Island | For-profit | \$142,925 | \$51,493 | \$142,925 | \$51,493 |
| Island | Non Profit | \$14,208 | - | - | - |
| Island | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Total | \$31,923 | \$31,923 | \$12 | \$12 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | \$31,923 | \$31,923 | \$12 | \$12 |
| Jefferson | Government | - | - | - | - |
| King | Total | - | - | - | - |
| King | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| King | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| King | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Kitsap | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Kitsap | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Kitsap | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Kittitas | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Kittitas | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Kittitas | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Klickitat | Total | \$29,453 | - | \$29,453 | - |
| Klickitat | For-profit | \$29,453 | - | \$29,453 | - |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments All Rent Payment Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Activities | | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility-based Housing | Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) | Fee Spending for Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) |
|--------------|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| Klickitat | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Total | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | \$6,000 |
| Lewis | For-profit | \$2,400 | \$2,400 | \$2,400 | \$2,400 |
| Lewis | Non Profit | \$3,600 | \$3,600 | \$3,600 | \$3,600 |
| Lewis | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Total | \$30,933 | \$18,697 | \$30,933 | \$18,697 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | \$30,933 | \$18,697 | \$30,933 | \$18,697 |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Pierce | Total | \$616,046 | \$220,080 | \$486,966 | \$220,080 |
| Pierce | For-profit | \$440,766 | \$199,200 | \$440,766 | \$199,200 |
| Pierce | Non Profit | \$175,280 | \$20,879 | \$46,200 | \$20,879 |
| Pierce | Government | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | Total | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Total | \$24,578 | \$24,578 | \$24,578 | \$24,578 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility-based Housing | Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) | Fee Spending for Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Skamania | For-profit | \$19,800 | \$19,800 | \$19,800 | \$19,800 |
| Skamania | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Government | \$4,778 | \$4,778 | \$4,778 | \$4,778 |
| Snohomish | Total | \$2,508 | \$2,508 | - | - |
| Snohomish | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | \$2,508 | \$2,508 | | - |
| Snohomish | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Spokane | Total | \$898,916 | \$78,180 | \$768,788 | \$78,180 |
| Spokane | For-profit | \$550,592 | \$55,991 | \$550,592 | \$55,991 |
| Spokane | Non Profit | \$348,324 | \$22,188 | \$218,196 | \$22,188 |
| Spokane | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Total | \$99,572 | \$5,250 | \$5,250 | \$5,250 |
| Thurston | For-profit | \$5,250 | \$5,250 | \$5,250 | \$5,250 |
| Thurston | Non Profit | \$94,32 | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Total | \$4,200 | - | \$4,200 | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | \$44,200 | - | \$4,200 | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Whatcom | Total | \$7,344 | \$756 | - | - |
| Whatcom | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | \$7,344 | \$756 | - | - |
| Whatcom | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Whitman | Total | \$24,000 | \$12,683 | \$24,000 | \$12,683 |
| Whitman | For-profit | \$24,000 | \$12,683 | \$24,000 | \$12,683 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility-based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility-based Housing | Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) | Fee Spending for Rent Payments (from sample of actual rent payments, adjusted by unit or beds) |
|---------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Whitman | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Total | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Non Profit | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Government | - | - | - | - |

Table 14 (continued): Calendar Year 2015 Summary of Reported Facility-Based Housing Spending by County (pg. 48-52)

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | 18 | 0 | 32 | 0 | - | - |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Adams | Non Profit | 18 | 0 | 32 | 0 | - | - |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | - | - |
| Asotin | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benton- Franklin | Total | 191 | 313 | 218 | 121 | \$50,400 | - |
| Benton- Franklin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Benton- Franklin | Non Profit | 191 | 313 | 232 | 121 | \$50,400 | - |
| Benton- Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Total | 107 | 368 | 82 | 535 | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | For-profit | 107 | 368 | 82 | 535 | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Clallam | Total | 34 | 386 | 80 | 528 | - | - |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Clallam | Non Profit | 34 | 386 | 80 | 528 | - | - |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Clark | Total | 317 | 474 | 266 | 833 | - | - |
| Clark | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Clark | Non Profit | 317 | 474 | 266 | 833 | - | - |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Columbia- Garfield | Total | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Columbia- Garfield | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Columbia- Garfield | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Columbia- Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Total | 88 | 318 | 913 | 292 | - | - |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | 88 | 318 | 913 | 292 | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 30 | 0 | 20 | - | - |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Ferry | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Grant | Total | 15 | 65 | 38 | 42 | \$69,864 | \$40,104 |
| Grant | For-profit | 15 | 65 | 38 | 42 | - | - |
| Grant | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$69,864 | \$40,104 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 11 | 160 | 113 | 39 | - | - |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | 11 | 160 | 113 | 39 | - | - |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Island | Total | 82 | 17 | 79 | 25 | \$14,208 | - |
| Island | For-profit | 82 | 17 | 79 | 25 | - | - |
| Island | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$14,208 | - |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Jefferson | Total | 27 | 124 | 28 | 63 | \$31,911 | \$31,91 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | 27 | 124 | 28 | 63 | \$31,911 | \$31,911 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| King | Total | 4,108 | 7,521 | 5,259 | 9,463 | - | - |
| King | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| King | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kitsap | Total | 289 | 336 | 269 | 499 | - | - |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kittitas | Total | 75 | 25 | 0 | 34 | - | - |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Klickitat | Total | 46 | 29 | 19 | 37 | - | - |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 46 | 29 | 19 | 37 | - | - |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Lewis | Total | 44 | 66 | 103 | 225 | - | - |
| Lewis | For-profit | 18 | 26 | 41 | 90 | - | - |
| Lewis | Non Profit | 26 | 40 | 62 | 135 | - | - |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Mason | Total | 52 | 129 | 0 | 77 | - | - |
| Mason | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mason | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Total | 0 | 140 | 0 | 70 | - | - |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Okanogan | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pacific | Total | 12 | 156 | 31 | 0 | - | - |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pacific | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 5 | 22 | 43 | 16 | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 5 | 22 | 43 | 16 | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Pierce | Total | 678 | 1,400 | 306 | 2,710 | \$129,080 | - |
| Pierce | For-profit | 614 | 1,267 | 277 | 2,453 | - | - |
| Pierce | Non Profit | 64 | 133 | 29 | 257 | \$129,080 | - |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Skagit | Total | 94 | 187 | 48 | 412 | - | - |
| Skagit | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Total | 24 | 12 | 22 | 2 | - | - |
| Skamania | For-profit | 19 | 10 | 18 | 2 | - | - |
| Skamania | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Skamania | Government | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | - | - |
| Snohomish | Total | 706 | 1,287 | 264 | 1,659 | \$2,508 | \$2,508 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | \$2,508 | \$2,508 |
| Snohomish | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spokane | Total | 786 | 1,384 | 280 | 2,462 | \$130,128 | - |
| Spokane | For-profit | 563 | 991 | 204 | 1,763 | - | - |
| Spokane | Non Profit | 223 | 393 | 81 | 699 | \$130,128 | - |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Stevens | Total | 18 | 34 | 10 | 38 | - | - |
| Stevens | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|-------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Thurston | Total | 162 | 383 | 428 | 1,113 | \$94,322 | - |
| Thurston | For-profit | 162 | 383 | 428 | 1,113 | - | - |
| Thurston | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$94,322 | - |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 23 | 6 | 11 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | 23 | 6 | 11 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 28 | 269 | 108 | 128 | - | - |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 28 | 269 | 108 | 128 | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Whatcom | Total | 661 | 431 | 334 | 398 | \$7,344 | \$756 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | \$7,344 | \$756 |
| Whatcom | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Whitman | Total | 18 | 63 | 26 | 5 | - | - |
| Whitman | For-profit | 18 | 63 | 26 | 5 | - | - |
| Whitman | Non Profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Yakima | Total | 206 | 607 | 320 | 408 | - | - |
| Yakima | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Non Profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix C: Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons Results

Tables 15, 16, 17, and 18 are the results of the annual homeless Point-in-Time Count conducted statewide on January 28, 2016.

Table 15: 2016 Point-In-Time Count of Homeless Persons Statewide Totals

| Household T | ype | Emergency | Transitional | Safe Haven | Total Sheltered | Unsheltered | TOTAL |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|
| Households with | Households | 659 | 1,222 | 0 | 1,881 | 308 | 2,189 |
| adults and children | Persons | 2,093 | 3,888 | 0 | 5,981 | 963 | 6,944 |
| Households with only | Households | 53 | 29 | 0 | 82 | 15 | 97 |
| children* | Persons | 54 | 35 | 0 | 89 | 15 | 104 |
| Households without | Households | 4,565 | 1,616 | 42 | 6,223 | 7,145 | 13,368 |
| children | Persons | 4,605 | 1,653 | 42 | 6,300 | 7,496 | 13,796 |
| | Households | 5,277 | 2,867 | 42 | 8,186 | 7,468 | 15,654 |
| | Persons | 6,752 | 5,576 | 42 | 12,370 | 8,474 | 20,844 |
| Totals | Persons under 18 | 1,280 | 2,237 | 0 | 3,517 | 456 | 3,973 |
| | Persons 18- 24 | 423 | 716 | 0 | 1,139 | 671 | 1,810 |
| | Persons over 24 | 4,882 | 2,568 | 42 | 7,492 | 7,213 | 14,705 |
| | Chronically Ho | meless Individu | ıals | | 834 | 1,563 | 2,397 |
| | Chronically Ho | meless Familie | S | | 57 | 50 | 107 |
| | Chronically Ho | meless Person | s in Families | | 156 | 134 | 290 |
| Cubnonulations | Veterans | | | | 999 | 485 | 1,484 |
| Subpopulations | Female Vetera | ans | | | 18 | 3 | 21 |
| | Severely Men | tally III Adults | | | 1,804 | 1,457 | 3,261 |
| | Chronic Subst | ance Abuse Ad | ults | | 1,076 | 996 | 2,072 |
| | Persons with I | HIV/AIDS Adults | 3 | | 46 | 27 | 73 |

^{*} Households with only children are households composed of one or more unaccompanied homeless children or youth, and do not include any adults.

Table 16: 2016 Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons by County

| | Sheltered Households | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | With Only Minors | Total | | | | |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons | | | | |
| Adams | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Asotin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Benton-Franklin | 153 | 65 | 0 | 218 | | | | |
| Chelan-Douglas | 144 | 141 | 0 | 285 | | | | |
| Clallam | 60 | 128 | 0 | 188 | | | | |
| Clark | 200 | 255 | 8 | 463 | | | | |
| Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Cowlitz | 109 | 113 | 0 | 222 | | | | |
| Ferry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Garfield | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | | | | |
| Grant | 6 | 30 | 0 | 36 | | | | |
| Grays Harbor | 77 | 24 | 0 | 101 | | | | |
| Island | 17 | 34 | 0 | 51 | | | | |
| Jefferson | 29 | 30 | 0 | 59 | | | | |
| King | 3,270 | 2,926 | 29 | 6,225 | | | | |
| Kitsap | 120 | 154 | 0 | 274 | | | | |
| Kittitas | 9 | 11 | 0 | 20 | | | | |
| Klickitat | 2 | 32 | 0 | 34 | | | | |
| Lewis | 21 | 24 | 0 | 45 | | | | |
| Lincoln | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | | | | |
| Mason | 19 | 108 | 0 | 127 | | | | |
| Okanogan | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | | | | |
| Pacific | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | | | | |
| Pend Oreille | 1 | 15 | 0 | 16 | | | | |
| Pierce | 628 | 631 | 9 | 1,268 | | | | |
| San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Skagit | 41 | 97 | 2 | 140 | | | | |
| Skamania | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | | | | |
| Snohomish | 248 | 223 | 18 | 489 | | | | |
| Spokane | 503 | 296 | 10 | 809 | | | | |
| Stevens | 3 | 7 | 0 | 10 | | | | |
| Thurston | 188 | 201 | 8 | 397 | | | | |
| Wahkiakum | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| Walla Walla | 76 | 28 | 0 | 104 | | | | |
| Whatcom | 177 | 198 | 5 | 380 | | | | |
| Whitman | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| Yakima | 153 | 195 | 0 | 348 | | | | |
| Total | 6,300 | 5,981 | 89 | 12,370 | | | | |

| Unsheltered Households | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| Without Minors | With Minors | With Only Minors | Total |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 13 | 11 | 0 | 24 |
| 57 | 2 | 0 | 59 |
| 74 | 31 | 0 | 105 |
| 81 | 24 | 0 | 105 |
| 110 | 114 | 1 | 225 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | 24 | 0 | 134 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 61 | 0 | 137 |
| 99 | 3 | 0 | 102 |
| 103 | 46 | 0 | 149 |
| 85 | 37 | 0 | 122 |
| 4,448 | 56 | 1 | 4,505 |
| 174 | 7 | 0 | 181 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 92 | 13 | 0 | 105 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 | 183 | 0 | 289 |
| 27 | 5 | 0 | 32 |
| 61 | 8 | 0 | 69 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 425 | 69 | 0 | 494 |
| 45 | 10 | 3 | 58 |
| 149 | 78 | 0 | 227 |
| 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 |
| 429 | 35 | 7 | 471 |
| 164 | 8 | 0 | 172 |
| 20 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| 189 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 211 | 126 | 3 | 340 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 58 | 6 | 0 | 64 |
| 7,496 | 963 | 15 | 8,474 |

Table 16 (continued): 2016 Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons by County

| | Total Households | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | (sheltered and unsheltered) | | | |
| County | Without With Only Minors Minors | | Total | |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Asotin | 13 | 11 | 0 | 24 |
| Benton-Franklin | 210 | 67 | 0 | 277 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 218 | 172 | 0 | 390 |
| Clallam | 141 | 152 | 0 | 293 |
| Clark | 310 | 369 | 9 | 688 |
| Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cowlitz | 219 | 137 | 0 | 356 |
| Ferry | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Garfield | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Grant | 82 | 91 | 0 | 173 |
| Grays Harbor | 176 | 27 | 0 | 203 |
| Island | 120 | 80 | 0 | 200 |
| Jefferson | 114 | 67 | 0 | 181 |
| King | 7,718 | 2,982 | 30 | 10,730 |
| Kitsap | 294 | 161 | 0 | 455 |
| Kittitas | 13 | 11 | 0 | 24 |
| Klickitat | 13 | 32 | 0 | 45 |
| Lewis | 113 | 37 | 0 | 150 |
| Lincoln | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Mason | 125 | 291 | 0 | 416 |
| Okanogan | 45 | 5 | 0 | 50 |
| Pacific | 68 | 8 | 0 | 76 |
| Pend Oreille | 1 | 15 | 0 | 16 |
| Pierce | 1,053 | 700 | 9 | 1,762 |
| San Juan | 45 | 10 | 3 | 58 |
| Skagit | 190 | 175 | 2 | 367 |
| Skamania | 10 | 8 | 0 | 18 |
| Snohomish | 677 | 258 | 25 | 960 |
| Spokane | 667 | 304 | 10 | 981 |
| Stevens | 23 | 9 | 0 | 32 |
| Thurston | 377 | 201 | 8 | 586 |
| Wahkiakum | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Walla Walla | 138 | 28 | 0 | 166 |
| Whatcom | 388 | 324 | 8 | 720 |
| Whitman | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Yakima | 211 | 201 | 0 | 412 |
| Total | 13,796 | 6,944 | 104 | 20,844 |

| Chronically Homeless Individuals | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|--|
| Emergency Shelter and Safe Haven | Unsheltered | Total | |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | |
| 7 | 14 | 21 | |
| 3 | 24 | 27 | |
| 28 | 27 | 55 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 13 | 57 | 70 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 16 | 16 | |
| 14 | 48 | 62 | |
| 1 | 35 | 36 | |
| 0 | 31 | 31 | |
| 427 | 358 | 785 | |
| 7 | 76 | 83 | |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 17 | 18 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 10 | 43 | 53 | |
| 0 | 7 | 7 | |
| 1 | 15 | 16 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 127 | 180 | 307 | |
| 0 | 5 | 5 | |
| 3 | 61 | 64 | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 28 | 211 | 239 | |
| 67 | 91 | 158 | |
| 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| 14 | 85 | 99 | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 5 | 35 | 40 | |
| 24 | 93 | 117 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 46 | 24 | 70 | |
| 834 | 1,563 | 2,397 | |

Table 17: Change in Point-in-Time Counts from 2006 to 2016 by County

| | Sheltered Households | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | -32 | -10 | -42 |
| Asotin | -8 | -6 | -14 |
| Benton-Franklin | 8 | -156 | -148 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 18 | -62 | -44 |
| Clallam | -72 | -9 | -81 |
| Clark | -415 | -242 | -657 |
| Columbia | 0 | -4 | -4 |
| Cowlitz | -57 | -45 | -102 |
| Ferry | -4 | -13 | -17 |
| Garfield | 4 | -1 | 3 |
| Grant | -9 | -80 | -89 |
| Grays Harbor | -43 | -18 | -61 |
| Island | 8 | -59 | -51 |
| Jefferson | -50 | 18 | -32 |
| King | 224 | 37 | 261 |
| Kitsap | -34 | -127 | -161 |
| Kittitas | -17 | -11 | -28 |
| Klickitat-Skamania | -1 | 5 | 4 |
| Lewis | -12 | -53 | -65 |
| Lincoln | -11 | -36 | -47 |
| Mason | 14 | 44 | 58 |
| Okanogan | -70 | -54 | -124 |
| Pacific | 3 | -31 | -28 |
| Pend Oreille | -2 | -1 | -3 |
| Pierce | 191 | 19 | 210 |
| San Juan | 0 | -7 | -7 |
| Skagit | -177 | -101 | -278 |
| Snohomish | -587 | -325 | -912 |
| Spokane | -34 | -230 | -264 |
| Stevens | -6 | -12 | -18 |
| Thurston | 13 | 65 | 78 |
| Wahkiakum | -4 | -15 | -19 |
| Walla Walla | -29 | -74 | -103 |
| Whatcom | -161 | -113 | -274 |
| Whitman | -26 | -74 | -100 |
| Yakima | -71 | -40 | -111 |
| Total | -1,449 | -1,821 | -3,270 |

| Unsheltered Households | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|--|
| Without Minors | With Minors | Total | |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | |
| -19 | -23 | -42 | |
| 2 | 11 | 13 | |
| 25 | -16 | 9 | |
| -9 | -44 | -53 | |
| -92 | -6 | -98 | |
| -1 | -45 | -46 | |
| -2 | 0 | -2 | |
| -30 | 30 | 0 | |
| -4 | -2 | -6 | |
| -1 | 0 | -1 | |
| 76 | 61 | 137 | |
| 39 | -9 | 30 | |
| 85 | 22 | 107 | |
| 51 | 37 | 88 | |
| 3,280 | -721 | 2,559 | |
| 98 | -29 | 69 | |
| -19 | -4 | -23 | |
| 14 | 4 | 18 | |
| 56 | -5 | 51 | |
| -3 | 0 | -3 | |
| 95 | 118 | 213 | |
| -4 | 0 | -4 | |
| 36 | -13 | 23 | |
| -4 | -17 | -21 | |
| 127 | 27 | 154 | |
| 27 | -12 | 15 | |
| 6 | 30 | 36 | |
| 64 | 15 | 79 | |
| -272 | -75 | -347 | |
| 14 | 2 | 16 | |
| 74 | -7 | 67 | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 27 | -4 | 23 | |
| 42 | 114 | 156 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| -158 | -46 | -204 | |
| 3,621 | -607 | 3,014 | |

Table 17 (continued): Change in Point-in-Time Counts from 2006 to 2016 by County

| | Total Households | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | (sheltered and unsheltered) | | |
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | -51 | -33 | -84 |
| Asotin | -6 | 5 | -1 |
| Benton-Franklin | 33 | -172 | -139 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 9 | -106 | -97 |
| Clallam | -164 | -15 | -179 |
| Clark | -416 | -287 | -703 |
| Columbia | -2 | -4 | -6 |
| Cowlitz | -87 | -15 | -102 |
| Ferry | -8 | -15 | -23 |
| Garfield | 3 | -1 | 2 |
| Grant | 67 | -19 | 48 |
| Grays Harbor | -4 | -27 | -31 |
| Island | 93 | -37 | 56 |
| Jefferson | 1 | 55 | 56 |
| King | 3,504 | -684 | 2,820 |
| Kitsap | 64 | -156 | -92 |
| Kittitas | -36 | -15 | -51 |
| Klickitat- | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| Lewis | 44 | -58 | -14 |
| Lincoln | -14 | -36 | -50 |
| Mason | 109 | 162 | 271 |
| Okanogan | -74 | -54 | -128 |
| Pacific | 39 | -44 | -5 |
| Pend Oreille | -6 | -18 | -24 |
| Pierce | 318 | 46 | 364 |
| San Juan | 27 | -19 | 8 |
| Skagit | -171 | -71 | -242 |
| Snohomish | -523 | -310 | -833 |
| Spokane | -306 | -305 | -611 |
| Stevens | 8 | -10 | -2 |
| Thurston | 87 | 58 | 145 |
| Wahkiakum | -3 | -15 | -18 |
| Walla Walla | -2 | -78 | -80 |
| Whatcom | -119 | 1 | -118 |
| Whitman | -26 | -74 | -100 |
| Yakima | -229 | -86 | -315 |
| Total | 2,172 | -2,428 | -256 |

| Emergency Shelter and Safe Haven Unsheltered | |
|--|--|
| _ | |
| Persons Persons | |
| -7 -6 | |
| -4 1 | |
| -37 -19 | |
| -11 6 | |
| -25 -8 | |
| -78 -46 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -4 45 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -1 0 | |
| -6 16 | |
| -14 16 | |
| -5 24 | |
| -8 17 | |
| -716 -343 | |
| -80 53 | |
| -5 0 | |
| -9 0 | |
| -7 8 | |
| -1 -1 | |
| 10 43 | |
| -9 4 | |
| 0 6 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -7 47 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -25 30 | |
| 19 207 | |
| -21 54 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -49 45 | |
| 0 0 | |
| -19 27 | |
| -74 15 | |
| 0 -1 | |
| -47 -39 | |
| -1,240 201 | |

Table 18: Rank Order Change in Prevalence of Homelessness by County from 2006 to 2016

| County | Change in Count of Homeless People | Change in Prevalence of Homelessness |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Columbia | -6 | -100% |
| Adams | -84 | -98% |
| Ferry | -23 | -96% |
| Whitman | -100 | -95% |
| Lincoln | -50 | -82% |
| Okanogan | -128 | -73% |
| Wahkiakum | -18 | -73% |
| Kittitas | -51 | -72% |
| Pend Oreille | -24 | -62% |
| Clark | -703 | -57% |
| Snohomish | -833 | -53% |
| Yakima | -315 | -47% |
| Benton-Franklin | -139 | -45% |
| Skagit | -242 | -45% |
| Spokane | -611 | -44% |
| Clallam | -179 | -42% |
| Walla Walla | -80 | -36% |
| Chelan-Douglas | -97 | -28% |
| Cowlitz | -102 | -27% |
| Whatcom | -118 | -23% |
| Kitsap | -92 | -23% |
| Grays Harbor | -31 | -15% |
| Lewis | -14 | -13% |
| Stevens | -2 | -10% |
| Asotin | -1 | -8% |
| Pacific | -5 | -7% |
| San Juan | 8 | 9% |
| Thurston | 145 | 14% |
| Pierce | 364 | 16% |
| King | 2,820 | 19% |
| Grant | 48 | 20% |
| Island | 56 | 29% |
| Jefferson | 56 | 34% |
| Garfield | 2 | 40% |
| Klickitat-Skamania | 22 | 44% |
| Mason | 271 | 159% |