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MR. MICHELINI: Just for the record, Joseph Michelini appearing on behalf of the
South Seaside Park petition signers. And that is my understanding, that the township is moving forward tonight with Mr. Ebenau's testimony. Thank you.

MR. WINWARD: You're welcome.
FRED EBENAU, having been duly sworn, according to law, upon his oath, testified as follows:

MR. EBENAU: As I said, my name is
Fred Ebenau. I'm the chief financial officer and
also the assistant administrator for Berkeley Township.

Some of my background credentials.
I'm also a certified municipal finance officer, and
have been since around 1985, 1986. I am a degreed
accountant, with minors in business administration
and psychology. I started out my career as a cost
accountant, went on to be a financial accountant,

1 before entering government in 1982.
(Off the record.)
MR. EBENAU: I entered employment
4 with the municipal government back in 1982 in the
5 City of Paterson. I eventually achieved the
6 position of director of finance, chief financial
officer and treasurer, and remained with the city
8 for seven years. During my stay in the City of
Paterson, the city was the second municipality in New Jersey to do a refunding bond issue, which is now used as a model for all refunding bond issues in the State of New Jersey, that was back in 1986.

Paterson was also noted as having the best finance department in the State of New Jersey by the Division of Local Government Services also around that same time.

I moved on from the City of Paterson to the Division of Local Government Services, Department of Community Affairs, and worked with the division. And my assignment was the City of Camden. When I went to the City of Paterson, they were on the verge of bankruptcy and ready for state takeover. The mayor at the time, which was mayor and also State Senator Frank X. Graves, who asked the State not to take them over, but he brought a
team in to try to get them out of bankruptcy, and which we did.

When I went to the Division of Local 4 Government Services, I was assigned to the City of 5 Camden, who had tremendous fiscal problems at the time, which I addressed. I stayed with the City of Camden in the Division of Local Government Services until 1992, when the Township of Manchester had a tremendous embezzlement issue. Eleven individuals were indicted in that matter. And I came in as the State fiscal control officer, which was the first to ever have been done, without being a member of the State itself. I was not a member of the Division of Local Government Service at the time. I worked for the Township of Manchester.

At the same time, I also worked for the Township of Fairfield in Cumberland County, who had also had an embezzling issue. Much smaller, there was only about 100,000 . Manchester, the prosecutors found two and a quarter million dollars stolen, which probably closer to ten million dollars after I finished auditing. But that went on for a very long time. The State -- was released from State fiscal control after a year, because we had the finances back intact and everything was good.

Manchester after -- in my role as administrator and also chief financial officer in Manchester in 1995, to go on to my own practice. At that point in time, the Division of Local Government Services had downsized their staff, and were looking for people to go into other municipalities who had troubles, whether it be bankruptcies or embezzlements or whatever have you. And I went to the Village of South Toms River, who had fiscal problems. And they were not taken under State control because I was able to go in there and help clean them up, as I was a -- acting as a professional and eventually as a CFO there.

At the same time, while this did not have any problems, I worked for the Township of Berkeley right here for a number of months, because their assistant treasurer had died at the time. And they were about three, four months and the CFO, which happened to be a friend of mine, was -- we had worked together at the Division of Local Government Services back in the '80s. He became the CFO here in 1992. He was looking for assistance because he got way behind, not having any assistance. So, I helped him out here also as a professional.
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I then left the Township of都
the de-annexation hearing. And I was asked to 3 prepare something to present before the board. And that's what brings me here this evening. What I did is, I'm sure many of you know or don't know, some of the history of the township in regard to where are we as a township as a whole, per capita income, median income, population and annexations.

Berkeley Township is no stranger to annexation. It was annexed away from Dover Township, now Toms River, back in 1875. Also, interestingly enough, Seaside Park in 1898, Seaside Heights in 1913, Beachwood in 1917, Ocean Gate in 1918, Pine Beach in 1925 and South Toms River in 1927 all annexed away. As well as Island Beach in 1933, but they reannexed back onto
Berkeley Township. None of these sections has ever gone through a de-annexation and annexed onto any other municipality, they were all individuals.

I had mentioned about the per capita
income. Per capita income for Berkeley Township is at $\$ 28,168$, according to the 2006/2010 American Community Survey, and the median income is at $\$ 43,942$. Again, that's according to the 2011/2015 American Community Survey. Just a note that the

Berkeley Township's median household income is below 11 of the Abbott districts in the State of New Jersey.

If you're reading along with me in my report, I am on page four. And here we talk a
little bit about assessments, levies and tax rates. and I'll explain what each of them mean as I go along, so we can follow each other a little better. Seaside -- South Seaside Park is 11.27 percent of the entire Township of Berkeley Township. The assessed valuation, what that means, it means if you add up all of the values of all of the homes in the township, that's your total assessed valuation.

MR. GINGRICH: Excuse me. Through the Chair.

MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. GINGRICH: Do you agree with that number?

MR. EBENAU: That number comes from the tax assessor.

MR. GINGRICH: Excuse me?
MR. EBENAU: That number came from
the tax assessor.
MR. GINGRICH: I just want to know if you agree with that number, 11 percent. 11-point
12
questions. I know this stuff, and sometimes I take
it a little bit for granted. So, please, you know,
I welcome any questions or -- and please stop me
along the way.
So, the township's assessed valuation

```
```

what percent?

```
```

what percent?
MR. EBENAU: 27.
MR. EBENAU: 27.
MR. WISER: I'm not in a position to
MR. WISER: I'm not in a position to
agree or disagree right now with that number. I
agree or disagree right now with that number. I
would, however, ask -- you said it's their -- if you
would, however, ask -- you said it's their -- if you
add up all the homes, it's --
add up all the homes, it's --
MR. EBENAU: Land and everything.
MR. EBENAU: Land and everything.
MR. WISER: It's all the properties,
MR. WISER: It's all the properties,
it's not just the homes.
it's not just the homes.
MR. EBENAU: All property, not the
MR. EBENAU: All property, not the
homes, you're right. Thank you.
homes, you're right. Thank you.
MR. WISER: So, it's businesses, it's
MR. WISER: So, it's businesses, it's
everything that --
everything that --
MR. EBENAU: It's everything, vacant
MR. EBENAU: It's everything, vacant
land --
land --
MR. WISER: It's business -- you
MR. WISER: It's business -- you
explain. I don't want to --
explain. I don't want to --
MR. EBENAU: It is all of the values
MR. EBENAU: It is all of the values
of all of the properties in the township. Okay.
of all of the properties in the township. Okay.
Whether it be vacant land, businesses, homes,
Whether it be vacant land, businesses, homes,
commercial, it's --
commercial, it's --
MR. GINGRICH: That's what I needed
MR. GINGRICH: That's what I needed
to know as to what you were saying. It could be one
to know as to what you were saying. It could be one
thing, but it could be another thing.
thing, but it could be another thing.
MR. EBENAU: Please ask me any

```
    MR. EBENAU: Please ask me any
```

MR. EBENAU: 27.
MR. WISER: I'm not in a position to

```
\(\qquad\)
everything that
```

everything that

```
in 2016 is \(\$ 5,107,714,648-\) - \(\$ 5,107,714,748\) ?
    MR. WISER: 748?
    MR. WINWARD: You got 648.
    MR. EBENAU: 648, I'm sorry.
    11.27 percent of that number is
\(\$ 575,639,441\), that would be the South Seaside Park
section of the township. So, that's the ratable.
Also known as assessed valuation.
    The tax levy, current tax levy for
the year 2017, is \(\$ 106,207,411.32\), which is a
combination of the municipal taxes, county taxes,
Berkeley Board of Education taxes, open space taxes,
Central Regional taxes.
    If I use the same ratio of 11.27
percent of that, and say, okay, what is
South Seaside's portion. It would be
\(\$ 11,969,575.26\). So, if everybody paid their taxes
100 percent, that would be the portion that
South Seaside Park would be paid and that's what we
would receive. Again, not all the municipality, but
in 2016 is \(\$ 5,107,714,648--\$ 5,107,714,748\) ?
MR. WISER: 748?
MR. WINWARD: You got 648.
MR. EBENAU: 648, I'm sorry.
11.27 percent of that number is
7
8
9

The tax levy, current tax levy for

1 that's county, school, municipality, open space.
For simplicity's sake, the amount
that we've discussed before, the \(\$ 106\) million of the 4 total, if South Seaside Park did de-annex from Berkeley Township, the tax levy would be \(\$ 94,237,836.06\).

Based upon the information that I had received from the Berkeley Board of Education, there would be no increase or decrease, should
South Seaside Park annex away from the township, as there is such a few number of children that attend the schools, just because of what the population is. So, there's no anticipated savings at all with the board of education.

I'm going to say it now, but then
we're going to go on to a little bit further. If South Seaside Park should annex away from the township, there would also be no savings on the township's side. So, what I'm going to do is, I'm going to say that the levy we just talked about, the \$106 million, with no change to the municipal budget and no change to the Berkeley Board of Education budget, the levy would then be \(\$ 101,173,133.71\), not the \(\$ 94\) million I just spoke about, if you take -taking the 11.27 percent. Trying to break this down

\section*{a little bit to keep it in perspective.}

The tax rate is calculated by the amount to be raised by taxes, divided by the assessed valuation. So, the tax rate for 2017 is \(\$ 2.08\), based upon the current levy and also the current assessed valuation. Should South Seaside Park annex away, and, again, using the 2017 numbers, the tax rate would go up to \(\$ 2.233\), which is a 15.3 cent tax increase. Breaking that down, the municipal portion would be 7.9 cents and the Berkeley Board of Education would be 7.4 cent increases.

There would be no change in the municipal open space tax because that is based upon one percent of whatever the levy is. So, there would be no change there.

There would be no change to the county taxes, because, again, the county bases it upon assessed valuation. So, there would be no increase or decrease. It would be the same.

There would be no change to the Central Regional Board of Education, because, again, Central Regional is based upon assessed valuation. So if we're losing assessed valuation, we're also losing levy, which will wash out. So, there's no
changes in those three categories.
The rate increase, for the most part, is due to the loss of the \(\$ 575,000,000\) of the assessed valuation.

A single-family home in Berkeley Township is averaging \(\$ 199,500\). If I break it down to two other components, South Seaside Park's home, average home, is worth \(\$ 408,000\). In a senior community, it's worth \(\$ 127,000\).

The average tax bill, for single-family home for the township averages \(\$ 4,149.60\). If South Seaside Park annexes, it would be \(\$ 4,454.84\) or a difference of \(\$ 305.24\). So, there would be an increase in taxes to the average homeowner. If it were a senior village, one of the senior communities, there would be a \(\$ 194.31\) average increase in the senior community.

I'm on page seven if you're following. Now I'm going to talk about some of the cost savings there would be to the township if there was a de-annexation. We provide a lot of services, obviously. One of the services that we do provide is first aid services. If -- and we pay, we give a contribution to the Tri-Boro First Aid Squad to take care of any of the ambulatory services in
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South Seaside Park. We give them a donation of \(\$ 12,000\) a year. That donation would still stay the same because we still need coverage in Pelican Island.

We contribute to fire services. We pay \(\$ 30,000\) a year to Seaside Park Volunteer Fire Company for fire coverage in South Seaside Park. If, again, if South Seaside Park annexes away, that expense goes away. So, there's a \(\$ 30,000\) savings there.

For police services. Police services are there \(24 / 7 / 365\). Total police services for the year, on average, would be approximately \(\$ 386,000\) a year dedicated to. Again, on an average. The only real savings that there would be, should South Seaside Park annex away from the township, would be on the special class one and two police officers for cross-walking duties in the summer months. So, there's a savings of \(\$ 81,670\). So, the total police services that would be achieved, should South Seaside Park annex, would be for the specials and also for the fire services or a total \(\$ 111,670\).

Recreation, we've actually had a loss in recreation. I went back to 2013. And there are losses every year there. But I'm taking the

1 position that there would be no gain, no loss on recreation, or at least the beach, because the beach 3 would stay with the township. There are a number of 4 municipalities around the State with property in other towns.

For public works services for solid waste, recycling, bulk and leaf pickup, is an approximate cost of \(\$ 93,000\) a year. The only savings that there would be, particularly, would be in landfill cost of some around \(\$ 39,000\) and for fuel cost about \(\$ 500\). The other labor costs, supervisory costs and insurance costs, there would be no savings there because there would be no loss of labor. The township would absorb and reallocate, just like the police, the public works employees, just to put them back into the -- keep them in the work force, just other areas of town.

There would be a snow removal expense for outside contractors, which our public works director says there's about \(\$ 14,000\) a year. So, we would save, just for outside contractors alone, \(\$ 14,000\). So, as far as public works services are concerned, there would be savings in fuel costs, in landfill costs and in snow removal, or a total of \(\$ 53,479\).

For utilities, we pay approximately \(\$ 14,000 \mathrm{a}\)

1 year for street lighting in South Seaside Park. So, 2 that would be a savings. And we pay for fire hydrants. And the 2016 number was \(\$ 7,064.14\). So, 4 we combine those two, it would be approximate savings in utilities of \(\$ 21,064.14\). That's on the appropriation side. On the revenue side, the township would lose three sources of revenue. One would be for the alcohol beverage licenses. There are three active licenses and one pocket license in South Seaside Park. So the township collects \(\$ 10,000\) a year in revenues for the ABC licenses. Township also collects water and cable franchise fees from Cablevision of \(\$ 12,746\). And we collect trailer fees for Arlington Beach of \(\$ 500\). So, there would be a loss of revenue of \(\$ 23,246\).

Now I go back to the original municipal tax levy of \(\$ 31,566,481.97\), which is the levy that was struck for 2017. And I subtract off those public safety savings, public works savings, utility savings and I add back to the revenue loss. My -the municipal tax levy, the amount to be raised by taxes, drops to \(\$ 31,403,514.83\), or that would be \$162,967.14 savings in tax dollars, municipal tax dollars, should South Seaside Park annex.

Okay. Going back to what were the -- what
would the total levy be. Where we talked about before was \(\$ 106,000,000\), if you drop off the \(\$ 162,000\) of savings for the municipal taxes, you keep the open space with the 11.27 percent decrease, the county tax levy with the 11.27 percent decrease, the no change in the Berkeley Board of Education, and the Central Regional with the 11.27 percent decrease, the total tax levy goes down to 101,000 -101,000 -- \$101,010,166.57.

Comparing that to the tax rate of \(\$ 2.08\), which is the current tax rate, without South Seaside Park, that tax rate goes from the \(\$ 2.08\) to the two dollars -- 2.229 tax rate. The biggest difference here would be the municipal tax rate would go up 7.5 percent. Open space and county would stay, there's no change there. And the Berkeley Board of Education would go up by 7.4 cents. Central Regional, no change on the tax rate. So, it's a 14.9 cent tax increase.

Once again, the tax increase is mostly due to the ratables of the \(\$ 575,000,000\). The impact on the average family home would now go to, for the township on an average tax bill, would be a \(\$ 297.26\) tax increase. And for a senior community, \$189.23
tax increase. Again, that's after the reductions of
the expenses and the loss of the revenues.
Now I want to move on a little bit to the capital and debt service. Any questions yet so far? Okay, good. Have I totally lost you?

Okay. Capital and debt service. I went back and looked to see what direct expenses went right into South Seaside Park. On the surface, it doesn't look like much. There was a reconstructive basketball courts back in 2012 for \(\$ 2,000\). Some dune grass and snow fences, approximately \(\$ 3,000\) annually. There's a quad with an approximate value of \(\$ 15,000\) and a beach rake for approximate cost of \(\$ 40,000\), say \(\$ 60,000\). Doesn't sound like a lot, but the direct expenses, which is shared amongst all of the township, to purchase township vehicles, police department equipment, recreation equipment, golf course equipment, public works vehicles and equipment, volunteer fire and first aid equipment, computer equipment, road resurfacing, gravel roads, dredging, draining projects, bulkheads, used for the township as a whole include South Seaside Park.

The bond ordinance is mainly because of road projects, but other things as well, vehicles, computer equipment. Police have gone from, in 2012, a five million dollar bond ordinance all the way to
last year of \(\$ 8,650,000\) bond ordinance.
Our municipal debt service as of December 31, 2016, including \(\$ 1,224,000\) that we still owe on a special emergency note for Super Storm Sandy, is \(\$ 45,647,744.21\). That's our total debt as of December 31 , '16. Go back to the 11.27 percent, of that, the South Seaside portion of that debt would be \(\$ 5,144,500.77\).

In looking at 2017, as I mentioned, there was an \(\$ 8,650,000\) bond ordinance passed. When I include that, add that to the debt, and also decrease the amount by the retired debt that was paid off in 2017, the total debt will be, at December 31, \(\$ 48,991,315.82\). Good news with that is that the million two for the special emergency note for Hurricane Sandy is on its fifth year and that is fully paid off in 2017. 11.27 percent of the total debt with the South Seaside portion of the current debt at December 31, 2017 would be \(\$ 5,521,321.29\).

The amount of debt service raised in 2016 is, in the township's budget, the municipal budget, is \(\$ 4,986,333.15\). And in '17, it's \(\$ 5,711,998.49\), which includes the million, two paying off the special emergency note stemming from Super Storm Sandy. The debt service approximately is
10.79 percent of the overall budget in 2016 and 12.61 percent for 2017.

Moving along to the Berkeley Township Board of Education. The current taxes on an average tax bill is \(\$ 1,171.07\). If South Seaside Park secedes, that bill would go up to \(\$ 1,318.70\), or \(\$ 147.63\) tax increase. The debt service -- I'm sorry.

MR. WISER: Can you run through that piece again.

MR. EBENAU: Sure. The average tax bill, based upon the assessed valuation for Berkeley Township Board of Education is \(\$ 1,171.07\). Should South Seaside Park secede, that average tax bill goes up to \(\$ 1,318.70\), which is a tax increase of, just for the Berkeley Board of Education, of \(\$ 147.63\).

The debt service as of December 31, 2016, for Berkeley Board of Education, is \(\$ 10,250,000\). 11.27 percent of that would be \(\$ 1,155,175\).

Central Regional. Central Regional, as you all know, is a regional school district, which encompasses Berkeley Township, Island Heights, Ocean Gate, Seaside Heights and Seaside Park.

The way you figure out the levies for
serial bonds for debt service and also for the amount of taxes each one of the municipalities is responsible for is based upon their assessed valuations. In 2000 -- currently, Berkeley Township pays 68.49 percent of Central Regional's tax bill. Just for comparative purposes, I'm not saying that South Seaside Park is going anywhere, to any one of these municipalities, but if we used as an example Seaside Park, they pay 15.52 percent of the serial bonds, or, actually, all the taxes.

If South Seaside Park should shift and annex onto Seaside Park, the township would -the township's portion of the Central Regional bill would decrease by \(\$ 175,922.97\). With all things being equal, Seaside Park's bill would go up by the same amount. I say it with all things being equal, because I don't know what the assessed valuation would be on the same -- on South Seaside Park should they annex to another municipality as opposed to going on their own. These are strictly based upon they are part of Berkeley Township. So, there are other towns that are more affluent where those numbers may go up. There's other towns, should they go to, that may not be as where we are and may go down. So, I don't -- I don't know. Nor if they go
on their own, I don't know where they would be at either, nor would I even take a guess to that.

So, even the amount that we've been
talking about all along, the 11.27 percent, the \$511 million of the assessed valuation, that, again, is with all things being equal. Not knowing what they're going to do or where they're going to, that number will -- can and will change to whatever their assessed evaluations are.

So, in summary, and just to recap a couple of things. And I'm on page 15 for those who are following. Again, most of the information that's contained in here is either out of the 2016 audit or the 2017 budget.

The 2016 net assessed valuation for
properties in Berkeley Township, again, was five million -- five billion one hundred seven
thousand -- I'm sorry. \(\$ 5,107,714,648\). And in 2012, that number was \(\$ 5,120,333,460\). I bring up 2012, because that's the year Super Storm Sandy hit. And I bring that up because after Super Storm Sandy hit, Berkeley Township lost \(\$ 47\) million worth of ratables. And while we are coming back, as you can tell, the five billion, one twenty versus the five billion, 107, we're still not there. But we are

1 coming back and we're coming back strong. So, the number that I'm also using for the 11.27 percent, 3 the 500 -- or the \(\$ 575\) million, with all things being equal once again, that number will go up as well.

Again, the current tax rate is
\$106 million. Seaside -- South Seaside Park's portion would be \(\$ 11,969,000\) and change. With the assumption that there would be no layoffs in the municipality or Berkeley Township Board of Education, and based on no substantial cost savings in the de-annexation for the township, and the board, Berkeley Board of Education, the tax levies would be reduced by \(\$ 162,967.14\).

Again, the Berkeley Board of
Education, there would be no change. Additionally, there would be no additional state aid -- well, it's anticipated there would be no additional state aid formula effect on this, but I am no -- even though I've been in government for 35 years, I've been around, I am no expert on how the state calculates their formula funding, so --

The county tax levy would be reduced by the assessed valuation. And that shift of, our shift, a portion would be the \(\$ 2,465,000\)-- the
shift would be, of the \(\$ 2,465,000\), would go away
from us and go on to wherever South Seaside Park is annexing to, if not on their own. Again, give or take, because I don't know what the assessed valuations are in wherever they may be going, if not on their own.

Based on the analysis for the debt service, should South Seaside Park de-annex from the Township of Berkeley, they would be responsible for the portion of the debt service in the amount of 5,521,000 -- I'm sorry. Yes, \$5,521,321.29, if that were to happen as of December 31, 2017, which we know is not, but --

Based upon the Central Regional school debt computations, Berkeley Township would be relieved of \(\$ 175,922.97\) of one-year debt from Central Regional. And when you combine that with Berkeley, Berkeley's Board of Education, it would be \(\$ 5,697,244.96\). So, bottom line is, what South Seaside Park might be looking at, is, the township portion of debt service, which they would carry forward, if I'm understanding the law correctly, but that's why we have attorneys, would be \(\$ 5,521,321.29\). The Berkeley Board of Education portion of debt service would be \(\$ 1,155,175\). The
portion of Ocean County taxes, again, based upon whatever the assessed valuation may be, would be \(\$ 2,465,880.55\). And, lastly, the Central Regional portion of taxes, their debt service stays intact with their -- as long as they stay within the Central Regional district, that portion would be \(\$ 2,510,834.06\). I hope I was clear. As clear as mud, but somewhat clear.

MR. WINWARD: I got a question.
MR. EBENAU: Please.
MR. WINWARD: I got a couple
questions. The five plus million debt service. So, what happens if they do de-annex? Do they write a check to the township? Does it increase taxes?

MR. EBENAU: I yield to the attorney,
but that's my understanding. They would cut a check to the township for the five million plus.

MR. WINWARD: Then on page 16,
there's a total, number eight. It's \(11,653,000\) and change. Then on page -- I circled it.

MR. EBENAU: They're not related.
MR. WINWARD: On page four, where it
says 2017 total tax levy, was a figure of \(\$ 11,969,575.26\).

MR. EBENAU: Yeah, they're -- you
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know what, when I was putting this together, I
thought that might cause some confusion.
MR. WINWARD: My question is --
MR. EBENAU: They're two different
numbers.
MR. WINWARD: -- is that a net loss to the township after debt service of --

MR. EBENAU: No.
MR. WINWARD: -- 300,000?
MR. EBENAU: The number that's on page four is 11.27 percent of the debt service itself. The number that's on page 16 is the debt service that would be due to the township, the debt service that would be due for the board of education, Berkeley Board of Education, the shifting of the county taxes and the shifting of Central Regional's taxes. They just happen to be similar numbers.

MR. WINWARD: I guess what I was trying to figure out, is there anywhere in here that says what the net loss would be to the township?

MR. EBENAU: The net loss to the township would be -- well, it would be the \(\$ 575\) million of ratables would be the biggest loss.

As far as dollars and cents affecting
```

the budget, it would only be the \$163,000 that we
would actually benefit from. But it would be the
loss of the ratables, which would drive up the tax
rates.
MR. WINWARD: Thank you.
MR. BACCHIONE: Through the Chair, I
have a question.
Mr. Ebenau, on page 13, you mentioned
when you were speaking about the Sandy debt service.
Did you say it was going to expire in 2017?
MR. EBENAU: Our last payment, we
went -- the township went out for a \$6 million
special emergency note and are paying back
\$1.2 million per year. The last payment is 2017.
As a matter of fact, it's this month.
MR. BACCHIONE: Okay. So, the
numbers at the top of page 13, are they -- do they
exclude the Sandy money of }1.2\mathrm{ million?
MR. EBENAU: NO, it includes.
MR. BACCHIONE: It includes?
MR. EBENAU: It includes.
MR. BACCHIONE: But that goes away?
MR. EBENAU: That goes away.
MR. BACCHIONE: So, would that number
be reduced, then?

```

MR. EBENAU: That would be reduced by
\(\$ 1.2\) million in 2018.
    MR. BACCHIONE: Okay. All right.
Thank you.
    MR. BUCKLEY: You said 1.2 million?
    MR. EBENAU: That's correct. For the
special emergency note.
                            MR. MACKRES: Mr. Ebenau, I have some
questions.

MR. EBENAU: Sure.
MR. MACKRES: So, staying on that, so
how much of that special emergency note has been paid off?

MR. EBENAU: \$4.8 million. And then
the other 1.2 is this year.
MR. MACKRES: And how much of that
percentage is related to the South Seaside Park portion?

MR. EBENAU: 11.27. Because the note is paid by all of the taxpayers.

MR. MACKRES: Understood. But of the Super Storm Sandy cost, how much of that was activity based cost to South Seaside Park?

MR. EBENAU: Of the \$6 million? That I don't have, you know, with me right now. I don't
have the -- it has cost the township approximately
\(\$ 6\) million for the Super Storm Sandy. And we have
filed for FEMA for reimbursement on that amount.
How much of it is just -- I have not calculated how
much is just South Seaside Park versus the rest of
the township.
MR. MACKRES: Would you say it is
11 percent and change or --
MR. EBENAU: No, it's greater. It's
greater.
MR. MACKRES: Substantially more?
MR. EBENAU: It's substantially more.
The 11.27 percent is only the assessed valuation portion of the \(\$ 6\) million that we paid off.

MR. MACKRES: So, if I'm hearing this
correctly -- and this is me thinking out loud
here -- we have a great burden of the cost of
Super Storm Sandy, cleanup cost --
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. MACKRES: -- for, in direct
relation to South Seaside Park?
MR. EBENAU: That's correct.
MR. MACKRES: Valuations were lost,
or should I say reduced, depending on perspective.
We're paying off these bills, predominantly almost
completely paid off?
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. MACKRES: And if we approve the de-annexation, that direct cost will not be passed on?

MR. EBENAU: Not the special
emergency note. That would have been already been paid off.

MR. MACKRES: So, the residents of
South Seaside Park have taken more from the commons than they should have --

MR. EBENAU: As far as the --
MR. MACKRES: -- if they de-annex?
MR. EBENAU: -- Super Storm Sandy
debt, yes.
MR. MACKRES: In tune of up to
six million?
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
MR. MACKRES: Are there any clawback
provisions? What I mean by that is, there are significant costs attributed to it. And if this goes through, it wasn't like it was 100 years of debt that was equally distributed and paid for by all. We have what we call in accounting terms and budgeting and finance terms, activity based costing,

1 where you try to attribute the true costs of certain items and expenses and operations. Is there any way we can figure that out?

MR. EBENAU: Yes. I don't have them with me to night, but I can get back to you on that, yes.

MR. MACKRES: I think, Mr. Chairman, that would be a significant number for us to, an important number, for us to look at. And if there is a recommendation, and whatever the recommendation is to the council that we look at as a board, should we recommend something based on that number, either a clawback provision or something of that nature to recoup those funds.

MR. EBENAU: I'll get back to you on
that one.

MR. MACKRES: Thank you. I do have more questions. I'll open up to the rest of the board.

MR. WINWARD: Go ahead, then.
MR. MACKRES: Okay. Let me write my
notes down, please.
MR. WINWARD: Touching on what Nick
said. There was coastal damage on the mainland,
too, some of that funds spent and loss of
```

valuation --
MR. EBENAU: Absolutely. The
\$6 million. Yeah, absolutely. And the \$47 million
of loss of ratables. I included everything, the
entire town.
MR. WINWARD: Is there a way to
separate with Seaside -- South Seaside Park and then
like what coastal inland Berkeley was spent --
MR. EBENAU: I think I can --
MR. WINWARD: -- for that special
fund.
MR. EBENAU: -- make a pretty good

``` estimated guess at the amounts. You know, I'm not going to say it's definitive, but it will be in the ballpark.

MR. MACKRES: And I'm sure there's a lot of gray areas in between.

MR. EBENAU: Absolutely.
MR. MACKRES: But you can get at
least some type of a figure that could be debated.
But I suspect it's a large figure. And important to all the residents, especially at this time. If it was \(20,30,40\) years from now, those costs would have been spread loaded across every one. But since it's so recent, I think it's a major factor.

\section*{2 tax rates without Seaside Park -- without}

South Seaside Park on page six, and I'm comparing it to the current 2017 tax rate. I'd like to put on the record that the -- my math is showing this would be a 7.35 percent increase as a whole. I just took the total tax rate and divided amount between the two. We talk about dollars and cents. To most people, that's confusing. So, I'd like to talk percentages, because that's more in tune with the masses to understand.

So, we're looking at a 7.35 percent increase as a whole across the board, which has been watered down because of the cost, some of the costs aren't changing. I look at the Berkeley Board of Education, took their numbers. And I'm showing a 12.6 percent increase. So, the taxpayer's going to see that increase, even though it's the same amount. Let's assume a school district is going to take, and it could be the township as well, their two percent increase for next year for inflation and costs and typical things and health care, which the State published a, over a 13 percent increase, just on health care alone a few months ago, a couple months ago. That would be almost 15 percent increase --

All right. I'm looking at the 2017
South Seaside Park on page six, and I'm comparing it
to the current 2017 tax rate. I'd like to put on
be a 7.35 percent increase as a whole. I just took
the total tax rate and divided amount between the
two. We talk about dollars and cents. To most
percentages, because that's more in tune with the
masses to understand.
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MR. EBENAU: That sounds about right.
MR. MACKRES: -- for next year. I'm
showing 14.9. I'd like to talk about second and third order effects and to look for the future. If I did the same things on the municipal side, I have a feeling it would be almost the same, because we're talking about the same percentages.

What kind of -- I just foresee pressure being put on from the public to start cutting, even at the detriment and behest of services. I do foresee boards of educations also fighting for the education of their students and being put pressure upon them as well. That is my opinion, but I think it's -- but it's absolutely plausible that will happen.

How the department of education is going to react is a different matter. I do not know where South Seaside Park, when they de-annex, if they're going to be joining another town or forming their own. Do we have anything of record on that, Mr. Chairman?

MR. WINWARD: No.
MR. MACKRES: No record.
MR. WINWARD: No, just assumed that
they're going to go to the neighbor.

MR. MACKRES: That's an assumption but, okay. So, if they chance by do go to the neighbor, I do know that their neighbors, seaside Park, has been trying to break away from Central Regional probably for over a decade now. And have been suing at a cost of back and forth about \(\$ 100,000\), both the board and town, which we assume is about 100,000 for the town, to save on student costs. We're talking in tunes of millions by these numbers, if that does happen.

What will happen, Central Regional, I'm -- same thing is my assumption. They're going to have to cut and figure out an alternative. They've been fighting to block that, the Department of Education has been blocking that as well. There have been appeals. But it only has to happen once. It is out of our jurisdiction. But the board of educations do come to the planning board, so it is in our purview to discuss such matters. So, I cannot help to think of second and third order effects.

I'd like to move on to the debt and the bonds. You had stated that you believe a check will be cut to pay for the -- their portion of the bond and debt service. Do you have any idea of what

1 that vehicle will be? Will they bond themselves or how will that happen?

MR. EBENAU: Again, I don't know what they're doing. If they -- if they go on their own or if they affix themselves to another municipality, then it would be the other municipality's obligation.

MR. MACKRES: Okay. Will there --
are there any ways or provisions -- and this is for the lawyers -- to put on a penalty if fees are not paid and debt had not been paid on time, plus interest?

MR. BUCKLEY: That's not something I've looked into. But my initial instinct is that, you know, in a situation like that, maybe Mr. Michelini would have some sort of input on what the plans are moving forward, but my initial instinct is that, yes, there could be some sort of penalty put into place in order to incentivize them to pay that debt service off in a timely manner.

MR. MACKRES: Thank you. Whatever the recommendation is from the board, I'd like to add that to it. The reason I say that is because if it's not paid, we are the original owners of it, we are obligated as a town to pay that debt. Are there
any pre-payment penalties and can we pay early?
MR. EBENAU: No, you can't. It's
already structured debt. There's no pre-payment
penalty, per se, but these are all general
obligation bonds. The only way of paying early is
paying it off or refund -- doing a refunding issue.
MR. MACKRES: How will this affect
our bond rating if -- we've had this testimony
before -- if the, I'll just call it the richest part of town, is no longer part of the equation with the highest earners in town?

MR. EBENAU: As you may or may not
know, the way Standard \& Poor or Moody's -- as you
may be aware, Moody's and Standard \& Poor's, which are two bond rating companies, they took a look at the town themselves. While we are fiscally solvent, they would look at losing that much in ratables as a negative. So, there is a possibility there could be a downgrading. We're an A minus bond rated municipality.

MR. MACKRES: I have -- since more than ten percent of the town rating will go -valuation will go down while the debt stays there, I have no doubt in my mind that our bond rating will go down. Which means, when we have to bond in the
future, there will be higher fees. There'll be worse rates for us, and the remaining portion of the township will have to pay an even greater burden --

MR. EBENAU: That's correct.
MR. MACKRES: -- on that.
Are there clawback provisions for something like that to pass on the bill because of this loss for, say, ten years or 15 years?

MR. EBENAU: I would yield to the attorney on that.

MR. MACKRES: Can we put that down as well, to the attorney. One moment, please.

The same would actually go for school districts, specifically Berkeley. I sincerely doubt that they can pay down the debt faster and refinance depending on their rates. Rates have been going up. And it does cost money to refinance with all the bond attorneys and the financial items you have to do.

At the present moment, I have no further questions. Thank you.

MR. GINGRICH: I have a question, if nobody else does.

You brought up -- excuse me -- you
brought up the board of education several times.
```

Let me just throw a scenario out there. Supposing
nobody -- supposing they seceded, nobody took them,
isn't it quite possible that all the students could
end up back in our school system? There's no way
for anybody to pay that bill?
MR. EBENAU: They would have to pay
the bill.
MR. GINGRICH: Who would?
MR. EBENAU: Either themselves if
they --
MR. GINGRICH: The residents?
MR. EBENAU: The residents of
South Seaside Park, whether --
MR. GINGRICH: Take on the whole
bill?
MR. EBENAU: No, they --
MR. GINGRICH: Because it wouldn't be
now just a, whatever the taxes were, now it would
be --
MR. EBENAU: No, they would have to
pay tuition --
MR. GINGRICH: -- their students
times whatever it cost --
MR. EBENAU: No, they would pay
tuition.

```

MR. GINGRICH: -- to educate them?
MR. EBENAU: They would pay tuition
to --

MR. GINGRICH: They'd pay tuition.
MR. EBENAU: -- whatever municipality
that they would be sending their students to.
MR. GINGRICH: Now, how would this be
8 collected and how would this group operate? You
have not -- you don't have a governing body. You don't have anything. Is all of a sudden somebody going to say, I'm in charge, I'll collect?

MR. EBENAU: Well, they would have to either form their own municipality or annex onto another municipality.

MR. GINGRICH: But if nobody annexed onto -- but if nobody took them, there'd be nobody to annex onto?

MR. EBENAU: Then they'd have to create their own municipality.

MR. WISER: If I may. First of all, I think it's been pretty clear in the record that the petitioners wish to de-annex from Berkeley and annex to Seaside Park. I think that's pretty established in the record. I will stand corrected if Mr. Michelini wishes to --

We're not talking about any kind of a process
whereby there would be de-annexation and not
annexation to another municipality. It's a two-step process. We're in the first stage of that process. We need permission from Berkeley Township in order to become annexed to Seaside Park. If we don't get the permission here, then we can't annex to
Seaside Park. If we get the permission here, then we have to go to Seaside Park. But the process is not complete unless there is a yes from both municipalities or a court says on review that there was, you know, that the board acted or -- not the board -- the governing body acted in an arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable manner.

So, it's an impossibility that there
would be a de-annexation and the municipality
floating out there, nor are they looking to establish their own municipality. They're looking to de-annex and become part of Seaside Park. And that has been clear, I think, from the beginning. So, I think this is kind of a wasted discussion.

MR. GINGRICH: I don't think it is. Because you just said you have to get a yes here and --
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3 yes there.
Absolutely, that is correct. But the idea that we
would be floating out there if we got a yes here,
the process doesn't -- is not complete until we get
a yes from Seaside Park or a court rules that,
indeed, we should become part of Seaside Park, if
they were to deny us.
MR. WISER: If they -- if
Berkeley Township permits them to seek annexation to
Seaside Park and Seaside Park denies them, they
remain part of Berkeley. They do not float out
there. They do not create their own municipality.
The children who, to the extent there are any, who
go to Berkeley schools, would remain in Berkeley
schools. There would be no change from where we are
sitting tonight.
MR. MICHELINI: Unless -- and the
only time there would be a change is if there was a
challenge to that decision by Seaside Park and a
court reversed it, then that would effectuate a
change. But absent that, until the process is
complete, we're still part of Berkeley Township.

```
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way to go even after we get through these
proceedings. There is no limbo state, in other words. And Mr. Wiser is absolutely correct. And, therefore, the students and the school district are not going to suffer in that way because they'll still be part of Berkeley Township. Thank you.

MR. WINWARD: Any other questions from anybody on the board? Yes.

MR. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'd just probably comment on the distribution of Sandy funds, that South Seaside Park didn't get that much money. It wasn't that much damage. As far as I know, there's only three dwellings that even now are waiting to be demolished, only three.

Now, I'm certain I heard a lot of numbers that were substantially higher. The damages along the west side of the bay, down here, so --

MR. WISER: Mr. Ebenau, you said you
would be able to calculate the figures that were
South Seaside Park figures?
MR. EBENAU: Yes. Yes.
MR. WISER: So at some point we'll
know.
MR. CALLAHAN: Well, I'm just telling
you what I know.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. I assume you
have some questions, Mr. Wiser?
MR. WISER: I do, Mr. Chairman. If
you'll give me a second as I try to put my questions
into some logical order.
I'm looking at the bottom of
page four. And I'm not a financial guy, so I'm
going to ask you questions. And many of them are
just going to be, can you clarify that my thinking
is correct.
MR. EBENAU: Sure.
MR. WISER: Down at the last section
there, you have the 2017 tax levy, less
South Seaside Park portion, and then the total tax
levy. Is it correct to say that out of the total
tax levy, some portion will be ported along with,
assuming de-annexation goes through, would be ported
along with South Seaside Park to Seaside Park? And
some -- so, there would be no change in the -- no
impact, no change and no impact to the remaining
residents of Berkeley Township, but some would have
to be made up by the remaining -- some amount would
have to be made up by the remaining residents of
Berkeley; is that correct? Am I clear?
MR. EBENAU: I'm not quite following
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you 100 percent.
MR. WISER: Didn't you say that the
county tax goes along with the property, so --
MR. EBENAU: That's correct.
MR. WISER: -- there would be no
change, if de-annexation were to occur, there would be no change to the remaining residents in terms of what they pay for the county tax?

MR. EBENAU: That's correct. Because
the levy itself would drop equivalent -- equal to
the assessed valuation drop. So, the amount that
Berkeley Township would pay the county would be
less, but we'd also lose the assessed valuation.
So, the tax rate on the county portion would stay the same.

MR. WISER: Would there be any
juggling of the numbers due to different
equalizations in Seaside Park versus
Berkeley Township?
MR. EBENAU: Oh, absolutely. Again, I think Seaside Park is a more affluent community. So, there's a good chance that the assessed valuations in South Seaside Park would go higher than what the average is that we have them here in Berkeley Township.
3 Berkeley, in terms of an equalization ratio, would
4 that result in a change to the tax rate, county tax
rate or the amount that gets paid out of the
remaining Berkeley Township?

MR. EBENAU: I don't think so.
Because South Seaside Park's assessed at about
100 percent of assessed valuation currently,
currently. So, I don't think there would be too
much of a difference, other than affixing themselves
to Seaside Park, which is a more affluent community,
which may raise the equalized. Our 100 percent here
is not 100 percent of what it is in Seaside Park.
MR. WISER: So, we're 100 percent?
MR. EBENAU: We're 100 percent as far
as the South Seaside Park section, where the
township overall is about 96 percent.
MR. WISER: Okay. Thank you.
MR. EBENAU: If that makes sense.
Does that answer your questions?
MR. WISER: It does.
mr. ebenau: Okay.
MR. WISER: I think. In terms of --
I'm now on the top of page five. And you have the
various tax levies. And the first one is the 31 million. I'm not even going to try to go through --

MR. EBENAU: 31 million, yes.
MR. WISER: -- those various numbers.
The top line, the 31 million. So that is the number
that it takes to run the township?
MR. EBENAU: No.
MR. WISER: No. Okay.
MR. EBENAU: That is strictly the
amount to be raised by taxation. The township's budget is about 46 million.

MR. WISER: And the other money, the
other funds to make up that gap are grants and --
MR. EBENAU: Grants, aid.
MR. WISER: -- aid. Okay.
MR. EBENAU: Yes. And surplus.
MR. WISER: And, I'm sorry?
MR. EBENAU: And surplus as well.
MR. WISER: Surplus.
So, the second full paragraph, you
say, this along with no anticipated savings on the municipal budget side, based on the tax levy with all things being equal, no increase, decrease, the levy would be as follows. And it's the same number.

MR. EBENAU: That's because you have
to understand what the reserve for uncollected taxes is. Reserve for uncollected taxes is a portion of the taxes that you do not believe you will collect during the year. I.e., if we have to collect
100 percent -- we have to collect 100 percent of the taxes to sustain ourselves, to provide all the services and everything else. If we are only collecting, say, 97 percent of the taxes, that means we know we have a deficit of three percent. We have to build in that deficit of three percent, which is your reserve for uncollected taxes.

MR. WISER: Okay.
MR. EBENAU: So, you're actually
levying 103 percent of what the levy needs to be, because you know you're not going to collect three percent, so you don't have a deficit in your budget.

MR. WISER: Is it likely that any of the other items that make up the difference between the levy and what it takes to run the township, would change based on the loss of South Seaside Park?

MR. EBENAU: I would think the reserve for uncollected taxes would go up. Because the collection rate in South Seaside Park is very
good. It exceeds the percentage of collection for the municipality as a whole. So, if we take a further hit, and now let's say if it's four percent, we have to rate 104 percent of the levy, which means the tax increase would be even greater.

MR. WISER: And is there any way to
accurately project what that would be?
MR. EBENAU: Unfortunately, no.
DR. HUDAK: If I can, on the same
page here.
MR. WISER: Sure.
DR. HUDAK: On page five, we're talking about the levy without Seaside Park. And you have the open space at 11.27 percent. Now, wouldn't, if they de-annexed, there's not much open space there, wouldn't our open space --

Mr. ebenau: The open space is based upon -- the open space tax is based upon one percent of the assessed valuation of the township.

DR. HUDAK: It has nothing to do with actual open space?

MR. EBENAU: It has nothing to do with physically where the open space is.

DR. HUDAK: Okay. It's just
confusing.

MR. WISER: Page seven, at the top, you talk about first aid services and the \(\$ 12,000\) donation annually, annually the township makes --

MR. EBENAU: Yes.
MR. WISER: -- to Tri-Boro. Is
that -- it would strike me that that donation would, or an argument could be made that that donation could be decreased, because Tri-Boro's not asked to cover as much ground as they had prior to de-annexation.

MR. EBENAU: That's true. Tri-Boro's been asking for increases in the donation given to them. They're saying it's not enough now, so. And, you know, 12,000 over a tax point of over \(\$ 500,000\) is sort of immaterial.

MR. WISER: Okay. Page eight, down at the bottom. If South Seaside Park were to de-annex, the only direct savings would be the fuel cost of \(\$ 503\) and the landfill cost of the 38,000 . And I get that.

Then you say, the balance and the approximate cost of street sweeping would not be a savings, or would not be a savings as the expense
would not be eliminated.
MR. EBENAU: No. The street sweepers
would be -- they'd still be out there as much as
they are right now, but more so on the mainland.
MR. WISER: Reassigned?
MR. EBENAU: Right. So, they'd be
reassigned and we'd probably still pick up about the
same amount of debris for street sweepings.
MR. WISER: And there would be no
decrease in labor or supervisory for the same
reason?
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. WISER: How about insurance?
MR. EBENAU: We'd still have the same
trucks. We'd still have the same manpower. Still have the same workers' comp. No, I don't see any change in insurance.

MR. WISER: So, your insurance is in no way adjusted by the amount of land within the township or --

MR. EBENAU: No, for workers' comp.
is based upon your payroll. And for general
liability, it's based upon the equipment.
MR. WISER: And is there any other insurance that would be --
workers' comp., auto insurance, but they'd all stay
pretty much intact.

MR. WISER: Okay.
On page nine, you speak about the
trailer fees to Arlington Beach. To my
recollection, that's the first time Arlington Beach
has been referenced in these proceedings. Can you
tell me what that is? I don't know what
Arlington Beach is.
MR. EBENAU: It's in South Seaside
Park. It's a bunch of trailer units. They pay us \(\$ 500\) a year.

MR. WISER: Why do they pay -- is
that like in lieu of taxes?
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
MR. WISER: Okay. Bear with me,
please.
On page 11, at the very top, 2017 tax
rates without South Seaside Park. And then the
municipal, you've got .693 cents?
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. WISER: I go back to page six, and at the very top, you have . 097.

MR. EBENAU: 079?
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
,

MR. WISER: I'm sorry .697, excuse
me. What is the difference between those two
numbers?
MR. EBENAU: The difference is, on page six, is strictly based upon no changes in the municipal amount to be raised by taxation. On page 11 is after the reduction of the \(\$ 163,000\) savings for services that need not be provided.

MR. WISER: Okay.
MR. EBENAU: So, the levy is reduced.
I tried to show comparisons. It's not just a
straight 11.27 percent. I wanted to show what it is currently, what it would be without the 11.27 .

MR. WISER: Sure.
MR. EBENAU: And then showing what
the cost savings there would be or revenue losses there would be.

MR. WISER: Going to page 15, item four. And this really does flow through a lot of the report. You talk about, with the assumption that there would be no layoffs in the municipal or board of education budget.

I'm trying to find out how you've
come to make that assumption. Have there been
discussions among policymakers that if de-annexation
```

occurs, there would be no layoffs? Where does that
assumption come from?
MR. EBENAU: My, my dialogue with the
various different department heads and with the
Berkeley Township Board of Education business
administrator. So, each department, whether it be
sanitation, recycling, public works, police, they
would just realign what they're doing. Just, you
know, absorb it in other areas.
MR. WISER: Has there been similar
conversation with the governing body who really
sets --
MR. EBENAU: NO.
MR. WISER: Okay.
Page 16, item seven, you talk about
the debt service and the }5.5\mathrm{ billion. Did I get
that right, the 5.5 billion?
MR. EBENAU: Million.
MR. WISER: Million, with an M?
MR. EBENAU: (Witness nods.)
MR. WISER: Okay. Yes. And your
assumption is that South Seaside Park would be
responsible for paying the township that?
MR. EBENAU: That's correct.
MR. WISER: My question is: Does

```
    1 that -- is that 5.5 million included in the amount
    to be raised by taxes figure, the 31, whatever it
    is?
    MR. EBENAU: A portion of it is,
okay. The five million comes off of, let me go back
to the sheet, the total amount of debt as of
December 31, 2017, which is \(\$ 48\) million, forty-eight
and change. So, 11.27 percent of that is that five
million. So, that would -- that amount has been
bonded, items have been received and is being paid
off.

So, if South Seaside Park annexes a
way, their responsibility doesn't annex away,
because they were part of Berkeley Township at the
time of all these different purchases. It's just
like if you sell your house, you know, the mortgage
has to be paid off. You don't walk away from it
because you sold your house.
    MR. WISER: SO, I'm trying to find --
I'm struggling. I'm just trying to find the number.
Wherever the number is --
    MR. EBENAU: Page 13.
    MR. WISER: -- the amount to be --
the amount to be raised by taxes?
    MR. EBENAU: A portion of the amount
to be raised by taxes includes debt service. MR. WISER: Okay. So, does that
portion net out the 5.5 million?
MR. EBENAU: No. It's only a
portion. Each year is a portion of the overall debt
service. In this case, 48 million or \(\$ 49\) million, a
portion of that is -- gets raised as part of your
budget and has to be paid down. Just like on your
home mortgage, you pay portion of principal, portion
of interest and each year your mortgage goes down.
The same thing here. But at the end of 2017, we
still have almost \(\$ 49\) million worth of debt that has
to be paid off. And 11.27 of that overall debt
would be South Seaside's portion of it.
MR. WISER: Which is the 5.5?
MR. EBENAU: Which is the 5.5.
MR. WISER: Okay. So, and I'm -- I'm trying to grasp this. You've calculated that the portion of the township's debt attributed to South Seaside Park is 5.5 million?

MR. EBENAU: Remaining portion, as of December 31, 2017.

MR. WISER: Yes.
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
MR. WISER: And that 5.5 million,
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under your number seven, is to be paid by Seaside Park to the township?

MR. EBENAU: That's correct.
MR. WISER: Why is it still included
in the amount to be raised by taxes?
MR. EBENAU: It's not. A portion of the 48 , we'll say \(\$ 49\) million, a portion of that, okay, is included in, annually, in the annual budget. Okay. That's the debt service. That's the 10 to 12 percent of the overall budget that I had referred to earlier. That piece of it is. Okay. That's your debt service. That's the amount you pay down on your debt every single year.

The amount that's remaining in debt service, okay, over all these bonds that have gone out for, the amount that remains is, say, \(\$ 49\) million as of the end of this year. So, for simplicity's sake, when we go into 2018, let's say we have to raise ten percent. \(\$ 4.9\) million will go into the 2018 budget and will reduce this \(\$ 49\) million by that \(\$ 4.9\) million.

MR. WISER: Okay. So, I'm
approaching an understanding. Let me see if I have it right. The 31 million figure that you're using, is a figure that includes the 5.5 million --
then you have the average for the seniors. Okay.
My question is, let's start with the average for the
single family homes. Does that include the
South Seaside Park homes in that number?
MR. EBENAU: Yes, it does.
MR. WISER: So, then, the average for
the single -- the true average for the remaining
single family homes would be something less, because
it's artificially -- it's inflated by the 400,000
number?
MR. EBENAU: Yes, that's correct.
MR. WISER: Okay. Okay.
MR. EBENAU: Because of the loss of those ratables and the value, because the ratables on an average in South Seaside Park are \(\$ 408,000\). So, that number of the 199,5 would go down.

MR. WISER: Okay. And then, similarly, is the 127 figure for the senior communities included in the 199 figure for the single-family homes?

MR. EBENAU: Yes, they are.
MR. WISER: So, both are?
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
MR. WISER: Okay. So that 199 really
isn't a true number of the single-family homes in --

MR. EBENAU: It's a true number based
upon all of the --

MR. WISER: On all.
MR. EBENAU: -- assessed valuation in
the township.
MR. WISER: Okay.
MR. EBENAU: But, as you said, the
valuation of the other numbers, the average would go down because we're losing a chunk of the ratables.

MR. WISER: And so, the 127 is part
of the 199 . Is the 127 part of the \(\$ 400,000\) number for Seaside Park?

Mr. EBENAU: No, it's not. Those are separate. The 127 would be part of the 199,5, the average overall. That's the senior community standing on its own and -- the way at least I'm depicting it here -- and the South Seaside Park would be alone.

MR. WISER: So, that's --
MR. EBENAU: They were all lumped
into the 199,5.
MR. WISER: Okay. Seaside Park is a
discrete number? The senior communities are a
discrete number?
MR. EBENAU: Yes.
is a blended number?
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. WISER: Okay. The last question, and I think Mr. Mackres asked the question. I'm
going to just ask it a little differently. What is
the township's current bonding capacity, do you know?
\(\$ 100,000,000\). That remaining debt -- it's
3.5 percent of the assessed valuation of the town, minus the, say, \(\$ 48\) million. So, it's in excess of \$100 million.

MR. WISER: Okay. Will --
MR. EBENAU: We are -- actually,
we're assessed -- let's put it this way, I said 3.5
is the maximum. We're about 84 percent, or
. 84 percent. So, we're less than one percent.
MR. WISER: And the question that was
asked was about the bond rating. And, really, there's no way to know at this point?

MR. EBENAU: Not for certain. But I do know the way bond rating agencies work. And they don't only look at the finances, although it's weighed heavily. They also look at the community,
development of the community. And, in this case,
the loss of assessed valuation for a portion of the township. And it's not like it's one block. It's over ten percent. So, I would think, and I can never tell what a rating agency will ever do, but I would think that the -- there could be, especially, we're still coming out of the recession, there could be a downward effect or negative effect on the bond rating.

MR. WISER: Was the bond rating
reduced after Sandy?
MR. EBENAU: No, it wasn't, actually.
It sustained because of what we had done and the way we've been handling our finances and getting us through Sandy. It was sustained. They did not decrease us.

MR. WISER: Okay. And, lastly, what would -- I guess it's a straight mathematical figure what the loss of South Seaside Park's ratables would be or what the loss of South Seaside Park would do to the bond capacity.

MR. EBENAU: Yes, it would.
MR. WISER: So, it's a straight
mathematical --
MR. EBENAU: It's a straight
mathematical, assessed valuation times 3.5 percent.
MR. WISER: Mr. Chairman, I believe
that's all I have.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. Thank you, Stu.
Mr. Dickerson, do you have any
questions? We've still got another 25 minutes.
MR. DICKERSON: I'll try not to ask
25 minutes' worth of questions. Maybe just one.
The one thing I'm wondering is, if
there is a loss, would the township become eligible
for additional, like, sorts of -- would they qualify
for certain grants or aid that they would not
normally have received?
MR. EBENAU: There would be
something, discretionary aid or they call it
transitional aid, but I don't think we're that hard
pressed that we would qualify for that. So, I would say no.

MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Thank you. (Off the record.)
MR. DICKERSON: That was it.
MR. WINWARD: That's it?
MR. MACKRES: I have some more
questions.
School districts. Have you taken
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into account the loss of state aid due to the
reduction of the student population?
MR. EBENAU: I spoke to the business
administrator at the Berkeley Township -- at the
Berkeley Board of Education, and she doesn't think
that there would be any loss of state aid because of
the small number of students that go to the
Berkeley, that's the elementary school. I don't
know what effect it would have on Central Regional, because there would be a shifting. In other words, if students would still be there, they'd still be the same, just that --

MR. MACKRES: The burden would shift?
Understood.
MR. EBENAU: -- the burden would
shift.
MR. MACKRES: So, once, again, the business administrator of the Berkeley Township --

MR. EBENAU: Board of Education.
MR. MACKRES: -- Board of Education
said there would not be a change?
MR. EBENAU: She does not feel there would be a change in state aid.

MR. MACKRES: I'm going to say there
will be a change. Because those numbers are based

1 on student population, could be special ed., what
percentages and so forth. So, maybe it was -- I'll
3 say that maybe it was misheard or maybe it's a
little change. So --
MR. WINWARD: A slight.
MR. MACKRES: A slight change. But I believe there will be a change.

I'm going to go back to, we talked about Hurricane Sandy or Super Storm Sandy. We went by the position initially on behalf of the remaining part of Berkeley Township, if South Seaside Park de-annexes. But another portion of the board's responsibility is to look at, on behalf of the residents of South Seaside Park, is it beneficial to them or not. So, it's both sides. So, as a body and a board, we have to view it that way.

I recall, as a board member for the Central Regional School District, that after Hurricane Sandy, the, I'll call them the beach towns, were hit pretty hard, quite obviously, that some had to take emergency loans from FEMA. I forget the exact acronym.

MR. EBENAU: Community development
loan.
MR. MACKRES: Yes, that's it. I was

1 going to say something else with a C. And there was
discussion of what impacts it would be to the school
district in the future, to the taxpayers, to the residents of those beach towns. Berkeley did not -that wasn't an issue on the Berkeley side because of --

MR. EBENAU: The Berkeley Board of Education side, not the township.

MR. MACKRES: The Central Regional.
MR. EBENAU: Central Regional did take the loan, and Berkeley Board of Education took a loan, as well as the township. All three did.

MR. MACKRES: And that percentages of those loans on percentage of the taxable rate was quite different based on the size or the equalized value of those tax districts.

MR. EBENAU: Yes. It had a lot to do with the ratables in the beginning. And that's what qualified you for -- or the loss of the ratables, and that's what qualified you for the CDL.

MR. MACKRES: So, where I'm going
with this is, because of the size of
Berkeley Township as a whole, in proportion to the smaller towns, was the burden lessened to those
residents of South Seaside Park if they were with

Berkeley Township or with South Seaside Park?
MR. EBENAU: Hard to answer because I
don't know if South -- if Seaside Park received a
CDL loan or not.
MR. MACKRES: If they did -- where
I'm going by this is, you got a big loan.
MR. EBENAU: Right.
MR. MACKRES: And --
MR. EBENAU: \$5 million dollars.
MR. MACKRES: -- it's spread over ten times the amount of people in valuations, as opposed to one to one ratio. I mean, it's just -- I'm trying to --

MR. EBENAU: If I can figure out where you're coming from. Just to throw some numbers out. I know Berkeley Township qualified for more than the maximum amount of \(\$ 5\) million. Central Regional, I think they were awarded five million. I don't think they drew it all down. And Berkeley substantially less. But all three did receive. And they have to be -- depending on if there's a full forgiveness or a partial forgiveness, that money will have to be paid back.

MR. MACKRES: I don't want to -- I'm
not trying to put words in your mouth, because
you're our expert to testify. And I'm trying to confirm or deny my thoughts and reasonings.

We have a small size that was affected, and it was spread loaded over many residents, bigger, ten times the amount. They also had access to a bunch more resources. We've heard testimony on that. We've also had challenges on that from Mr. Michelini as well, as to the response rates during Hurricane Sandy from our resources. But being a part of something much smaller would give a person with reasonable judgment and intelligence that you're spreading the cost much less and your resources are going down.

Is there a benefit to the residents of South Seaside Park in joining Seaside Park with resources spreading finances to your portion to the debts, to the bonds? I'm trying to see -- we're talking about -- you're presenting testimony about what would the cost be and the revenues and expenses. But is there anything tangible to them that will be more beneficial?

MR. EBENAU: More beneficial?
MR. MACKRES: Yeah.
MR. EBENAU: No, I don't think so.
Just the debt service alone that would be out there.
```

You bring up the CDL loan, which I didn't even
address in here, because that's still an unknown.
We may have to pay a portion of that \$5 million
back, which would increase some more of the debt
service. Just the debt service alone from the
Berkeley Board of Education, the Township of
Berkeley, would -- it's almost a \$7 million hit in
itself.
The shifting of the county, the
shifting of the Central Regional would pretty much
wash out. But it would be a pretty big hit. I
don't know if it's been brought up, I also did not
bring it up here, but I do know that the
Berkeley Township Sewer Authority owns all of the
lines that are out in South Seaside Park. What
happens to that, I don't know. Because if they
de-annex away from Berkeley Township, that's no
longer in the franchise. So, there could be
possibly some issues with that. But I don't know.
I just throw it out there. But I'm not an expert in
that area.
MR. MACKRES: Okay. I'd like to go to revenues, since we're talking about the sewer lines. Since they are not a resident, would you be able to -- part of the district -- would you charge
You bring up the CDL loan, which I didn't even address in here, because that's still an unknown. We may have to pay a portion of that $\$ 5$ million back, which would increase some more of the debt service. Just the debt service alone from the Berkeley, would -- it's almost a \$7 million hit in itself. shifting of the Central Regional would pretty much wash out. But it would be a pretty big hit. I don't know if it's been brought up, I also did not bring it up here, but I do know that the Berkeley Township Sewer Authority owns all of the lines that are out in South Seaside Park. What happens to that, I don't know. Because if they de-annex away from Berkeley Township, that's no longer in the franchise. So, there could be I just throw it out there. But I'm not an expert in that area.

```

MR. EBENAU: We don't have a utility strictly operated by the Berkeley Township Utility Authority. I don't know. And I have to yield to the attorneys on this to see whether or not they can

MR. MACKRES: Okay. Is there
potential to create more revenues since there will be less restrictions because of this? For example, since they, South Seaside Park residents, if they do de-annex and the board proceeds and the township proceeds, they are no longer our residents, so their voice -- they will not have a voice in this township to tell the township what to do or impact the township what to do with the beach and other areas. So, for example, if the township wanted to build a hotel on that water or lease out windmills right off their shore, which they're doing off of Atlantic City for the tune of hundreds of thousands, have you thought of anything like that to increase the revenues to come up with the millions of dollars in

MR. EBENAU: Those revenues would be Berkeley Township revenues. No, I haven't. I have
them more money? even provide them service. losses? not gone that far yet.
1 them more money? marge rates. I don't know. nonresidents. wages?

MR. MACKRES: Okay. All right.

MR. WINWARD: I had one question. I think Mr. Wiser has another question, too. And I don't know how significant it is. But I notice under recreation, you just address the beach. But we also have a municipal golf course. Is there any calculation as to how much revenue would be lost because South Seaside Park residents would no longer get residential rates, like senior rates, at our course and they may play elsewhere? Or we make more money because now they're going to pay out of town

MR. EBENAU: Probably make a little bit more money because they're considered

MR. WINWARD: Mr. Wiser?
MR. WISER: Just one more question. In terms of savings, you talked about recreation. Would there be a savings in lifeguard salaries and

MR. EBENAU: I don't think so, because anybody can use our beaches. So, I don't see the population going up or down on the beaches themselves. We still have to man the beaches with
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lifeguards, badge checkers. Our beaches are open to anybody at any time. It's not a resident beach only.

MR. WISER: And that's under the
assumption that the township retains the beaches?
MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. WISER: And then the next
question, and maybe the same answer, is the concert, the beach party, block party, beach concert, beach day, is the assumption that that would not change?

MR. EBENAU: Correct.
MR. WISER: Okay. That's all I have.
This time for sure.
MR. WINWARD: Does anybody else on
the board have any more questions?
Okay. Mr. Michelini, I assume you
might have a -- do you have an estimate of how many hours you would need, so we can bring Mr. Ebenau back next month?

MR. MICHELINI: Let me just say, I
spoke to Mr . McGuckin before I came tonight. And we essentially agreed that my cross would continue at
the next meeting because we assumed that Mr. Ebenau would take the better part of two hours. And,
further, because I received this report at 5:22 on
```

Monday by way of an e-mail. And under a prior
agreement with the board, I would have 30 days, just
as the board has had 30 days to review any reports
before they cross-examine my experts, I would have
30 days to review any report before I cross-examine.
So, given the fact that we're almost
at the two-hour limit, I will hold my
cross-examination until the next meeting.
MR. WINWARD: Okay.
MR. MICHELINI: The only thing I
would say is, to make just a clarification of a
point, we have always been asking that the beach be
included as part of the de-annexation. But I've
said, you know, it's up to the board. You know, the
board can decide to allow de-annexation without the
beach or with the beach. But our request is that
the beach go with it. And I just want to clarify
that and make that clear.
MR. WINWARD: Actually, I think the
township makes the final decision on that.
MR. MICHELINI: Well, the township,
not the board.
MR. WINWARD: We could recommend --
MR. MICHELINI: The township. The
township. You only make a report to the township.

```

MR. WINWARD: I do know we're not
giving up the golf course.
    MR. MICHELINI: We're not asking for
the golf course.
    So, with that, I say Happy Holidays
and Merry Christmas.
    MR. WINWARD: All right. Thank you
so much.

MR. WISER: Mr. Michelini, before we
close, Kelly brings up a good point. We still have
conversation with Mr. Slachetka. And --

MR. MICHELINI: I think you were the only one that wanted conversation with Mr. Slachetka.

MR. WISER: Well, that may be.
MR. MICHELINI: Mr. Wiser, for the
record, you wanted to ask him additional questions.
MR. WISER: Questions at all. I did
not get a chance to ask him any questions. Point
well taken. So, when we -- just having read the
transcript, when we left last month, Stan said he would be back in January. I'm just trying to coordinate with Mr. Ebenau as to who's going to be here when. And then the next question is, looking back, because January has been the reorganization
meeting, we did not have -- we did not have a hearing, at least in the last January. I'm not sure about the one before.

MR. MICHELINI: That's correct.
MR. WISER: SO, I just raise that
question as to what the board's pleasure is in terms of all of the scheduling.

MR. MICHELINI: Might I suggest that
you talk to Mr. McGuckin, get back to --
MR. WINWARD: I was going to suggest that, because the reorganization part might only take 20 minutes, half hour tops. There'd still be plenty of time for questions. All depends if you ask Stan yes or no questions or --
(Off the record.)
MR. MICHELINI: I would make a suggestion that we continue with Stan and finish him at the next meeting and then bring Mr. Ebenau back in February. That would be my suggestion. But, again, subject to Mr. McGuckin's input and the board's input.

MR. WINWARD: Kelly, if you could coordinate that with Mr. McGuckin.

MR. MICHELINI: So, that way, we finish a witness that, you know, needs to be done.
```

Thank you. And Merry Christmas.
MR. WINWARD: Same to you.
We need a motion and a second.
MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
DR. HUDAK: Second.
(Meeting adjourned.)

```
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\hline 400,000 [1] 63/9 & address [2] 73/2 75/6 & 58/24 \(588 / 25\) 60/5 60/12 \(60 / 14\) \\
\hline 46 [2] 1/24 50/11 & 80/6 & 60/16 71 \\
\hline 48 [1] 60/7 & adjusted [1] 54/19 & \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { amounts } \\ \text { analysis [1] } & 26 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline 48 milition [1] 59/6 & administration [1] 4/23 &  \\
\hline 5 & administrator [8] 4/18 7/2 & 14/7 16/16 \(16 / 2118 / 2413 / 12\) \\
\hline 5,521,000 [1] 26/11 & 8/18 8/19 57/6 68/4 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 23 / 19 & 26 / 8 & 27 / 13 & 32 / 13 & 36 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline 5,5 [13] 57/16 57/17 58/1 & & \(\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 13 & 42 / 17 & 42 / 22 & 42 / 23 & 43 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline 5.5/3 \(59 / 15 \quad 59 / 16 \quad 59 / 20 \quad 60 / 25\) & adviser's [1] 62/3 & 43/20 \(53 / 20\) 58/13 73/17 \\
\hline 61/6 61/9 61/10 61/11 62/7 & affect [1] 39/7 & annexation [19] \(1 / 54 / 3\) 9/2 \\
\hline 5.5 mi 11 ion [1] 59/25 & affected [1] 72/4 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 10 & 9 / 18 & 15 / 21 & 25 / 12 & 32 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline 500 [1] 25/3 & affecting [1] 28/25 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 3 & 43 / 4 & 43 / 17 & 44 / 12 & 45 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline 5:22[1] 76/25 & affix [1] 38/5 &  \\
\hline 6 & affixing [1] 49/11 & 77/15 \\
\hline 620 [1] 2/4 & affluent [3] 23/22 48/21 & annexations [1] \\
\hline 648 [2] 12/8 12/9 & \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { after } & {[10]} & 6 / 22 \quad 6 / 24\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { annexed } & \text { [6] } & 9 / 10 & 9 / 15 & 9 /
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 68.49 [1] 23/5 & \(\begin{array}{llllllll}19 / 25 & 24 / 21 & 28 / 7 & 46 / 1 & 56 / 7\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { annexes [4] } & 15 / 12 & 16 / 8 & 58 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline 6:10 [1] 1/9 & 66/11 69/18 & 69/12 \\
\hline 7 & again [22] 9/24 12/25 & annexing [1] 26/3 \\
\hline 7.35 [1] 35/6 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}14 / 185 & 14 / 22 & 16 / 8 & 16 / 14 & 19 / 120\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline 7.35 percent [1] 35/12 & \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} \\ 25 / 4 & 25 / 6 & 25 / 15 & 26 / 3 & 27 / 1\end{array}\) & 60/8 \\
\hline 7.4 [2] 14/11 19/17 & 38/3 48/20 68/17 79/20 & another [9] 11/24 23/19 \\
\hline 7.5 [1] 19/14 & agencies [1] 65/23 & 36/19 \(38 / 5\) 42/14 43/4 67/6 \\
\hline 7.9 [1] 14/10 & agency [1] 66/5 & 69/12 75/4 \\
\hline 732 [1] 1/25 & agent [1] 8/15 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { answer [3] } & 49 / 21 & 71 / 2 & 76 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline 748 [1] 12/7 & ago [5] 35/24 35/25 45/11 & anticipated [3] 13/13 25/18 \\
\hline 8 & 4, & /2 \\
\hline 833-0001 [1] 1/25 & agreed [1] 76/22 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 25 & 12 / 3 & 15 / 25 & 20 / 3 & 23 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline 84 [1] 65/17 & agreement [1] 77/2 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}32 / 19 & 33 / 2 & 37 / 25 & 38 / 9 & 39 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline 9 & ahead [1] 33/20 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 2 & 44 / 16 & 46 / 7 & 48 / 16 & 51 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline & aid [14] 15/23 15/24 20/18 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}52 / 6 & 54 / 16 & 54 / 24 & 67 / 5 & 68 / 6\end{array}\) \\
\hline 96 percent [1] 49/18 & 25/17 \(25 / 18\) 50/14 \(50 / 15\) 53/4 & 75/7 76/2 76/15 77/3 77/5 \\
\hline 97 percent [1] 51/8 & 67/12 67/15 67/16 68/1 68/6 & 78/19 \\
\hline A & 68/23 & anybody [5] 41/5 46/8 75/23 \\
\hline Abbott [1] 10/2 & a11 [50] 5/11 9/15 9/19 & anything [4] 36/20 42/10 \\
\hline ABC [1] 18/11 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 10 / 12 & 10 / 12 & 11 / 6 & 11 / 8 \\ 11 / 10\end{array}\) & 72/20 74/20 \\
\hline able [3] 7/12 \(46 / 19\) 73/25 & \(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 18 & 11 / 19 & 12 / 25 & 13 / 13\end{array}\) & anywhere [2] \(23 / 7\) 28/20 \\
\hline about [37] 6/19 7/19 9/20 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 14 & 20 / 25 & 22 / 22 & 23 / 10\end{array}\) & appeal [2] 45/19 45/23 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 6 & 13 / 20 & 13 / 24 & 15 / 19 & 17 / 10\end{array}\) &  & appealed [2] 45/10 45/19 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 20 & 19 / 1 & 24 / 4 & 29 / 9 & 35 / 8\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 3 & 30 / 20 & 32 / 24 & 34 / 22 & 35 / 1\end{array}\) & appeals [1] 37/16 \\
\hline  & 39/4 40/17 41/3 42/10 42/20 & APPEARANCES [1] \(2 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{A}
appearing [1] 4/9 application [1] 4/1 approaching [1] 60/23 appropriation [1] \(18 / 6\) approve [1] 32/3 approximate [5] 17/7 18/4 20/11 20/12 53/24
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { approximately } & \text { [5] } \\ 17 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}17 / 25 & 20 / 10 & 21 / 25 & 31 / 1\end{array}\) arbitrary [3] 43/14 45/14 45/21
are [53] 9/6 16/11 16/24 \(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 3 & 17 / 22 & 18 / 9 & 23 / 20 & 23 / 21\end{array}\) 23/21 \(23 / 22 \quad 23 / 24 \quad 24 / 9 \quad 24 / 12\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 23 & 24 / 25 & 26 / 5 & 29 / 13 & 29 / 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 19 & 32 / 20 & 38 / 9 & 38 / 10 & 38 / 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 24 & 38 / 25 & 38 / 25 & 39 / 4 & 39 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 16 & 40 / 6 & 43 / 18 & 44 / 16 & 44 / 18\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 4 & 46 / 13 & 47 / 8 & 50 / 13 & 51 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}54 / 4 & 61 / 25 & 62 / 1 & 63 / 15 & 63 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}63 / 22 & 64 / 13 & 64 / 23 & 65 / 15\end{array}\)
68/25 \(72 / 13 \quad 73 / 15 \quad 73 / 24\)
74/12 76/1
area [1] 73/21
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { areas }[4] & 17 / 17 & 34 / 17 & 57 / 9\end{array}\) 74/15
aren't [1] 35/15
argument [1] 53/9
\(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Arlington } \\ 55 / 7 & 55 / 10 & 18 / 14 \\ 55 / 6\end{array}\)
Arlington Beach [2] 55/7 55/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { around [5] 4/22 } & 5 / 16 & 17 / 4\end{array}\) 17/10 25/21
artificially [1] 63/9
as [90]
ask [8] \(11 / 5 \quad 11 / 25 \quad 47 / 8 \quad 65 / 6\) 67/7 78/17 78/19 79/14
asked [6] 5/24 8/17 9/2 53/10 65/5 65/20
asking [4] 49/2 53/14 77/12 78/3
assessed [32] 10/11 10/13 \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 5 & 12 / 13 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 6 & 14 / 19\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 23 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 3 & 22 / 11 & 23 / 3\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 & 23 / 17 & 24 / 5 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 15 & 25 / 24\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllll}26 / 4 & 27 / 2 & 31 / 13 & 48 / 11 & 48 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 22 & 49 / 8 & 49 / 9 & 52 / 19 & 62 / 25\end{array}\) 64/4 65/11 65/16 66/2 67/1
assessments [1] 10/6
assessor [2] 10/20 10/23
assigned [1] 6/4
\(\begin{array}{llll} & & \\ \text { assignment [1] } & 5 / 20 & \\ \text { assistance [2] } & 7 / 23 & 7 / 24\end{array}\)
assistant [2] 4/18 7/18
ASSOCIATES [1] 1/23
ASSOCIATION [2] \(1 / 5 \quad 4 / 3\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { assume [4] } & 35 / 19 & 37 / 7 & 47 / 1\end{array}\) 76/16
assumed [2] 36/24 76/23
assuming [1] 47/17
assumption [9] 25/9 37/1 \(\begin{array}{llll}37 / 12 \quad 56 / 20 & 56 / 24 & 57 / 2 \quad 57 / 22\end{array}\) 76/5 76/10
Atlantic [1] 74/18
attend [1] 13/11
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { attorney [4] } & 4 / 4 & 27 / 15 & 40 / 10\end{array}\) 40/12
attorneys [5] 2/5 2/8 26/23 40/18 74/5
attribute [1] 33/1
attributed [2] 32/21 59/19
audit [1] 24/14
auditing [1] 6/22
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Authority } & {[2] \quad 73 / 14} & 74 / 4\end{array}\)
auto [1] 55/2
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { average [22] } & 15 / 7 & 15 / 10\end{array}\) 15/14 \(15 / 16\) 16/13 \(16 / 14\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 22 & 19 / 23 & 22 / 4 & 22 / 10 & 22 / 13\end{array}\) 48/24 \(62 / 21 \quad 62 / 22 \quad 62 / 24 \quad 63 / 1\) 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/15 64/8 64/15
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
away [16] 8/21 9/10 9/15
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 10 & 13 / 17 & 14 / 7 & 16 / 8 & 16 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 16 & 26 / 1 & 29 / 22 & 29 / 23 & 37 / 4\end{array}\) 58/13 58/17 73/17

\section*{B}

Bacchione [1] 1/12
back [35] 5/4 5/12 6/25 7/22 \(\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 11 & 9 / 16 & 16 / 24 & 17 / 16 & 18 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 20 & 18 / 25 & 20 / 5 & 20 / 9 & 21 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 23 & 25 / 1 & 25 / 1 & 29 / 13 & 33 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 15 & 37 / 6 & 41 / 4 & 45 / 17 & 55 / 23\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 5 & 61 / 12 & 62 / 20 & 69 / 8 & 71 / 23\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 4 & 76 / 19 & 78 / 22 & 78 / 25 & 79 / 9\end{array}\) 79/18
background [2] 4/20 8/25
badge [1] 76/1
balance [1] 53/23
ballpark [1] 34/15
bankrupt [2] 8/10 8/22
bankruptcies [1] 7/8
bankruptcy [2] 5/22 6/1
based [27] 13/7 14/5 14/14
\(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 23 & 22 / 11 & 23 / 3 & 23 / 20 & 25 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 7 & 26 / 14 & 27 / 1 & 30 / 23 & 32 / 25\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 12 & 49 / 2 & 50 / 22 & 51 / 21 & 52 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 18 & 54 / 22 & 54 / 23 & 56 / 5 & 62 / 11\end{array}\)
62/14 64/1 \(68 / 25 \quad 70 / 15\)
bases [1] 14/18
basketba11 [1] 20/9
bay [1] 46/17
Bayvilie [1] 1/8
be [201]
beach [21] 9/14 9/15 17/2
\(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 2 & 18 / 14 & 20 / 12 & 55 / 6 & 55 / 7\end{array}\)
55/10 69/19 70/4 74/15 75/6
76/2 \(76 / 9\) 76/9 76/9 77/12
77/16 77/16 77/17
beaches [5] 75/23 75/24
75/25 76/1 76/5
Beachwood [1] 9/13
Bear [1] 55/17
Beaverson [1] 2/7
became [2] 7/22 8/5
because [60] 6/24 7/11 7/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}7 / 23 & 13 / 12 & 14 / 14 & 14 / 18 \\ 14 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 3 & 17 / 2 & 17 / 13 & 20 / 22 & 23 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 20 & 24 / 21 & 26 / 4 & 30 / 19 & 35 / 10\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 14 & 36 / 6 & 38 / 23 & 40 / 7 & 41 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 24 & 45 / 12 & 46 / 5 & 48 / 9 & 49 / 8\end{array}\)
50/25 \(51 / 15 \quad 51 / 24 \quad 53 / 10\)
58/14 \(\quad 58 / 18 \quad 61 / 8 \quad 61 / 14 \quad 61 / 25\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}63 / 8 & 63 / 13 & 63 / 14 & 64 / 9 & 66 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 6 & 68 / 10 & 68 / 25 & 70 / 5 & 70 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 2 & 71 / 25 & 73 / 2 & 73 / 16 & 74 / 9\end{array}\)
75/9 75/12 75/15 75/23 76/23
76/25 78/25 79/11
become [5] 43/7 43/20 44/9 45/23 67/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { been [32] } & 4 / 14 & 4 / 22 & 6 / 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}8 / 10 & 8 / 23 & 24 / 3 & 25 / 20 & 25 / 20\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 12 & 32 / 7 & 32 / 7 & 34 / 24 & 35 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}37 / 4 & 37 / 6 & 37 / 14 & 37 / 15 & 37 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}38 / 11 & 40 / 16 & 42 / 21 & 43 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}53 / 14 & 55 / 8 & 56 / 24 & 57 / 10 & 58 / 9\end{array}\)
58/10 66/14 73/12 77/12
78/25
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { before [11] } & 5 / 1 & 9 / 3 & 13 / 3\end{array}\)
19/2 39/9 76/21 77/4 77/5
78/9 79/3 81/8
beginning [2] 43/21 70/18
behalf [3] \(4 / 9\) 69/10 69/13
behest [1] \(36 / 10\)
behind [1] 7/24
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { being } & {[10]} & 6 / 12 & 23 / 15 & 23 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 6 & 25 / 4 & 36 / 9 & 36 / 13 & 50 / 23\end{array}\)
58/10 72/10
believe [5] 4/5 37/23 51/3 67/2 69/7
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Be11 [1] } & 1 / 15 \\ \text { below } & \text { [1] } \\ 10 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll} & & \\ \text { below [1] } & 10 / 1 & & \\ \text { beneficia1 } & {[3]} & 69 / 14 & 72 / 21\end{array}\) b2/22
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { benefit [2] } & 29 / 2 & 72 / 14 & \\ \text { BERKELEY } & {[77]} & 1 / 1 & 4 / 19\end{array} \quad 7 / 17\)
\(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { BERKELEY } & {[77]} & 1 / 1 & 4 / 19 \\ 8 / 20 & 9 / 9 & 9 / 17 & 9 / 21 \\ 10 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 10 & 12 / 17 & 13 / 5 & 13 / 8 & 13 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 11 & 15 / 5 & 19 / 6 & 19 / 16 & 22 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 12 & 22 / 15 & 22 / 18 & 22 / 23 & 23 / 4\end{array}\)
23/21 24/16 \(24 / 22 \quad 25 / 10\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 13 & 25 / 15 & 26 / 9 & 26 / 15 & 26 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 24 & 28 / 15 & 34 / 8 & 35 / 15 & 40 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 22 & 43 / 6 & 44 / 12 & 44 / 14 & 44 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 17 & 44 / 25 & 45 / 9 & 45 / 12 & 46 / 6\end{array}\)
47/21 47/24 48/12 48/19
\(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 25 & 49 / 3 & 49 / 6 & 57 / 5 & 58 / 14\end{array}\)
61/16 \(62 / 22 \quad 68 / 4 \quad 68 / 5 \quad 68 / 8\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 18 & 69 / 11 & 70 / 4 & 70 / 5 & 70 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}70 / 11 & 70 / 23 & 71 / 1 & 71 / 16 & 71 / 19\end{array}\) 73/6 73/7 73/14 73/17 74/3
74/24
Berkeley Township [39] 4/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}1 / 9 & 9 / 17 & 9 / 21 & 10 / 10 \\ 13 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}15 / 5 & 22 / 3 & 22 / 12 & 22 / 23 \\ 23 / 4\end{array}\)
23/21 24/16 24/22 25/10
\(\begin{array}{llllll} & 26 / 15 & 43 / 6 & 44 / 12 & 44 / 25 & 45 / 9\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}46 / 6 & 47 / 21 & 48 / 12 & 48 / 19 & 48 / 25\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 6 & 57 / 5 & 58 / 14 & 61 / 16 & 62 / 22\end{array}\)
68/4 68/18 69/11 70/23 71/1
71/16 73/14 74/3 74/24
Berkeley Township's [1] 10/1
Berkeley's [1] 26/18
best [1] 5/14
better [2] 10/8 76/24
between [4] 34/17 35/7 51/19
56/2
beverage [1] 18/8
big [2] 71/6 73/11
bigger [1] 72/5
biggest [2] 19/13 28/24
bilf [13] 15/10 19/23 \(22 / 5\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 6 & 22 / 11 & 22 / 14 & 23 / 5 & 23 / 13\end{array}\)
23/15 40/7 41/5 41/7 41/15
bilition [5] 24/17 24/24
24/25 57/16 57/17
bil1s [1] 31/25
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { bit [6] } & 10 / 6 & 12 / 2 & 13 / 16 & 14 / 1\end{array}\)
20/2 75/15
blended [1] 65/2
block [3] 37/14 66/3 76/9
blocking [1] 37/15
B1vd [1] 2/7
board \(\left[\begin{array}{lllll}52] & 1 / 1 & 2 / 5 & 9 / 3 & 12 / 17\end{array}\right.\) 13/8 \(13 / 14 \quad 13 / 22 \quad 14 / 11 \quad 14 / 22\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 6 & 19 / 16 & 22 / 3 & 22 / 12 & 22 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}22 / 18 & 25 / 10 & 25 / 13 & 25 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 15 & 26 / 18 & 26 / 24 & 28 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 15 & 33 / 11 & 33 / 19 & 35 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllll}35 / 15 & 37 / 7 & 37 / 17 & 37 / 18 & 38 / 22\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 25 & 43 / 13 & 43 / 14 & 46 / 8 & 56 / 22\end{array}\) 57/5 68/5 68/19 68/20 69/16 \(\begin{array}{lllll}69 / 17 & 70 / 7 & 70 / 11 & 73 / 6 & 74 / 11\end{array}\) 76/15 77/2 \(77 / 3 \quad 77 / 14 \quad 77 / 15\) 77/22
board's [3] 69/12 79/6 79/21
boards [1] 36/11
body [5] 42/9 43/14 45/13 57/11 69/15
bond [21] 5/10 5/11 20/22
20/25 \(21 / 1 \quad 21 / 10 \quad 37 / 25 \quad 38 / 1\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline B & \[
27 / 6 \quad 28 / 1637
\] & complete [3] 43/11 44/7 \\
\hline bo & 69/18 70/9 70/10 71/17 73/10 & 44/25 \\
\hline bondi9 \(39 / 24\) 39/25 40/18 & \[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { cents } & {[5]} \\
35 / 8 & 55 / 21
\end{array} 14 / 1019 / 17 \quad 28 / 25
\] & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
complete1y & {\([1]\)} & \(32 / 1\) \\
components & [1] & \(15 / 7\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}61 / 23 & 62 / 3 & 65 / 20 & 65 / 23 ~ 66 / 8\end{array}\) &  & computations [1] 26 \\
\hline bonded [1] & 67/12 & computer [2] 20/19 20/24 \\
\hline bonding [1] 65/7 & certified [3] 1/23 4/21 81/5 & concerned [1] 17 \\
\hline bonds [6] 23/1 23/10 37/23 & certify [1] 81/6 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { concert } \\ \text { confirm [2] } & 76 / 8 & 76 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline 39/5 60/15 72/17 & Chair [2] 10/15 29/6 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { confirm } \\ \text { confusing [2] } & 35 / 9 & 52 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline Boro [2] 15/24 53/7 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Chairman } & {[6]} & 1 / 12 & 33 / 7 & 36 / 21\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{llll} 
confusing & & [1] & \(28 / 2\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Boro's [2] 53/10 53/13 & 46/9 47/3 67/2 & CONNORS [1] \(2 / 3\) \\
\hline Borough [1] 8/2 & challenge [1] 44/22 & considered [1] 75/15 \\
\hline both [4] 37/7 43/11 63/22 & challenges [1] 72/7 & contained [1] 24/13 \\
\hline bottle [1] 8/16 & chance [3] 37/2 48/22 78/19 & continue [5] 4/2 61/17 62/15 \\
\hline bottom \([3]\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Change } & \text { 14/13 } & 14 / 16 & 14 / 17 & 14 / 21 \\ 19 / 6\end{array}\) & \[
76 / 22 \text { 79/17 }
\] \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 16 & 19 / 18 & 24 / 8 & 25 / 8 & 25 / 16\end{array}\) & contribute [1] 16/5 \\
\hline Breaking [1] 14/9 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}19 & 100 & 31 / 8 & 44 / 18 & 44 / 21 & 44 / 24\end{array}\) & contribution [1] 15/24 \\
\hline Brian [1] 1/14 &  & controt [6] 6/11 6/24 7/11 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Brick & {\([1]\)} & \(2 / 7\) & & \\
bring & {\([6]\)} & \(24 / 19\) & \(24 / 21\) & \(73 / 1\)
\end{tabular} & 51/21 \(54 / 17\) 58/8 68/21 68/23 & 8/5 8/8 8/9 \\
\hline \(3 / 13\) 76/18 79/18 & 68/25 69/4 69/6 69/7 76/10 & conversation [3] 57/11 78/11 \\
\hline brings [2] 9/4 78/10 & ged [1] 45/18 & \\
\hline brought [4] 5/25 40/24 40/25 & Changes [2] 15/1 & cook \\
\hline 73/12 & Changing [2] 35/15 49/2 & coordinate [2] 78/23 79/ \\
\hline BUCKLEY [1] 2/5 &  & correct [25] \\
\hline budget [15] 13/21 13/23 & \[
\underset{61 / 21}{\text { check }}[4] \quad 27 / 14 \quad 27 / 16 \quad 37 / 23
\] & \(\begin{array}{llll} \\ 44 / 5 & 46 / 3 & 47 / 10 & 47 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline 21/21 21/21 \(22 / 1 \quad 24 / 14\) 29/1 & & \begin{tabular}{llll} 
\\
\(48 / 4\) & \(48 / 9\) & \(54 / 12\) & \(55 / 22\) \\
\hline \(1 / 24\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 50/11 } & 50 / 22 & 51 / 17 & 56 / 22 & 59 / 8\end{array}\) & checkers [1] 76/1 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}48 / 4 & 48 / 9 & 54 / 12 & 55 / 22 & 57 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline 60/9 60/10 60/20 &  & \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
60 / 3 & 61 / 7 & 62 / 16 & 63 / 11 & 65 / 3 \\
76 / 6 & 76 / 11 & 79 / 4
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline budgeting [1] 32/ & & orrected [1] 4 \\
\hline bui1d [2] 51/10 74/16 & children [2] 13/11 44/16 & correctly [2] \(26 / 23\) 31/16 \\
\hline lil \(17 / 7\) & Christmas [2] \(78 / 6\) 80/1 & cost [24] 4/24 15/19 17/8 \\
\hline , 20/20 & chunk [1] 64/9 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 9 & 17 / 10 & 20 / 12 & 25 / 11 & 30 / 22\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & circled [1] 27/20 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 23 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 17 & 31 / 18 & 32 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & city [10] 5/5 5/7 5/8 5/9 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 14 & 37 / 6 & 40 / 17 & 41 / 23 & 53 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline business [6] 4/23 & 5/17 5/20 5/21 6/4 6/6 74/19 & 53/21 53/24 56/16 62/2 72/12 \\
\hline 57/5 68/3 68/18 & clarification [1] 77/11 & 72/19 \\
\hline businesses [2] 11/12 11/20 & clarify [3] 45/2 47/9 77/1 & costing [1] 32/25 \\
\hline C & clawback [3] 32/19 33/13 & 17/23 \(17 / 24 \begin{array}{lllll} & 32 / 21 & 33 / 1 & 34 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline able [1] & 40/6 & 35/14 \(35 / 21\) 37/9 61/25 \\
\hline ablevision [1] 18/13 & clean [2] 7/12 & could [22] 11/23 11/24 34/20 \\
\hline calculate [1] \(46 / 19\) & cleaned [1] 8/8 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}35 / 20 & 38 / 18 & 39 / 18 & 41 / 3 & 45 / 5\end{array}\) \\
\hline calculated [3] 14/2 \(31 / 4\) & cleanup [1] 31/18 & 45/8 \(45 / 1045 / 1145 / 20 \quad 53 / 9\) \\
\hline 59/18 & 21 \(43 / 2147 / 2477\) & 53/10 61/22 \\
\hline calculates [1] 25/21 & close [2] 62/19 78/10 & council [1] 33/11 \\
\hline calculation [1] 75/8 & closer [1] 6/21 & Councilman [1] 1/12 \\
\hline calculations [1] 62/20 & \[
\text { coasta1 }[2] \quad 33 / 24 \quad 34 / 8
\] & counse1 [1] 62/3 \\
\hline cal [5] 8/1 32/24 39/9 & collect [6] & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { county } & {[16]} & 6 / 17 & 12 / 16 & 13 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline 67/15 69/19 1/13 & 51/4 51/5 51/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 18 & 14 / 18 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 15 & 25 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline Callahan [1] 1/13 & collected [1] 42/8 &  \\
\hline Camden [3] 5/20 6/5 6/7 & collecting [1] 51/8 & 48/14 49/4 73/9 \\
\hline came [3] 6/10 10/22 76/21 & collection [2] 51/25 52/1 & couple [3] 24/11 27/11 35/24 \\
\hline can [21] 10/8 22/8 24/8 & collects [2] 18/10 18/12 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { course [5] } & \text { 20/17 } & 75 / 7 & 75 / 11\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 23 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 5 & 34 / 9 & 34 / 19\end{array}\) & combination [1] 12/16 & 78/2 78/4 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 1 & 40 / 11 & 40 / 15 & 47 / 9 & 52 / 9\end{array}\) & combine [2] 18/4 \(26 / 17\) & court [13] \(1 / 23\) 43/12 \(44 / 8\) \\
\hline 55/8 61/23 66/4 71/14 74/5 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 44 / 23 & 45 / 4 & 45 / 5 & 45 / 10 & 45 / 11\end{array}\) \\
\hline 75/23 76/18 77/15 & 57/2 74/21 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 11 & 45 / 19 & 45 / 20 & 45 / 20 \quad 81 / 5\end{array}\) \\
\hline can't [2] 39/2 43/8 & comes [2] 10/19 58/5 & courts [1] 20/9 \\
\hline cancer [1] 8/21 &  & cover [1] 53/11 \\
\hline cannot [1] 37/20 & 62/6 62/19 66/7 71/15 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { coverage } & {[2]} & 16 / 3 & 16 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline capacity [2] 65/7 66/21 & comment [1] 46/10 & CPA [1] 2/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { capita [3] } & \text { 9/7 } & \text { 9/20 } & 9 / 21\end{array}\) & commercial [1] 11/21 & create [3] 42/19 44/15 74/8 \\
\hline capital [2] 20/3 20/5 \(43 / 1545 / 14\) & Commission [1] 81/14 & credentials [1] 4/20 \\
\hline ```
capricious [3] 43/15 45/14
``` & commons [1] 32/10 & cross [5] 16/18 76/22 77/4 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}45 / 21 & \\ \text { are [ } & {[3]} & 15 / 25 & 35 / 22\end{array}\) & communities [3] 15/16 63/19 & 77/5 77/8 \\
\hline care [3]
career [1]
15/25
\(4 / 24\) & 64/23 & cross-examination [1] 77 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lr}\text { career [1] } & 4 / 24 \\ \text { carry [1] } & 26 / 22\end{array}\) & community [12] 5/19 9/23 & cross-examine [2] 77/4 77/5 \\
\hline case [3] 45/8 & 9/25 15/8 15/17 19/24 48/21 & cross-walking [1] 16/18 \\
\hline tegories [1] & 49/12 64/15 65/25 66/1 69/23 & Cumberland [1] 6/17 \\
\hline categories [1] & comp [3] 54/16 54/21 55/2 &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cause }{ }^{[1]} \text { CDL } 70 / 20 / 2 \\
& 71 / 4 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & companies [1] 39/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}19 / 11 & 21 / 18 & 22 / 4 & 25 / 6 & 35 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline cent [3] \(314 / 9\) 14/11 \(19 / 19\) & Company [1] 16/7 & 65/7 \\
\hline Central [22] 12/18 14/22 & comparative [1] 23/6 & currently [4] 23/4 49/9 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 23 & 19 / 7 & 19 / 17 & 22 / 21 & 22 / 21\end{array}\) & comparing [2] 19/10 35/3 & [3] \\
\hline 23/5 23/13 26/14 26/17 \(27 / 3\) & comparisons [1] 56/11 & cut [3] 27/16 \(37 / 13\) 37/24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline E & 24/24 24/24 27/12 27/17 & \[
36 / 17 \quad 36 / 19 \quad 36 / 25 \quad 37 / 12
\] \\
\hline even... [5] 50/2 52/5 61/18 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}49 / 25 & 52 / 12 & 58 / 5 & 58 / 8 & 61 / 2\end{array}\) & 40/16 \(42 / 11\) 46/5 \(47 / 8 \quad 47 / 9\) \\
\hline 73/1 74/6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 71 / 18 \\
& \text { float [1] } 44 / 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
68 / 24 \quad 69 / 8 \quad 70 / 1 \quad 70 / 21 \quad 71 / 6
\] \\
\hline evening [1] 9/4 & floating [2] 43/18 44/6 & \[
72 / 13 \quad 75 / 12 \quad 75 / 24 \quad 78 / 23
\] \\
\hline eventual7 & flow [1] 56/19 & 79/10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
eventualy & {\([3]\)} & \(5 / 5\) & \(7 / 13\) & \(8 / 13\)
\end{tabular} & follow [1] 10/8 & golf [4] 20/16 75/7 78/2 \\
\hline every [3] 16/25 \(34 / 24\) 60/13 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll}78 / 4 \\ \text { gone } & {[5]} & 9 / 18 & 20 / 24 & 60 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline everybody [1] 12/22 & follows [2] 4/15 50/24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gone } 61 / 19 \text { bi/25 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline everything [6] 6/25 11/7 & force [1] 17/16 & good [9] 6/25 8/23 20/4 \\
\hline 11/13 11/14 34/4 51/7 & foregoing [1] 81/6 & 21/14 \(34 / 12\) 48/22 52/1 53/2 \\
\hline examination [1] 77/8 & foresee [2] 36/8 36/11 & 78/1 \\
\hline examine [2] 77/4 77/5 & forget [1] 69/22 & got [11] \\
\hline example [3] \(33 / 8\) 74/9 74/16 & forgiveness [2] Forked [1] \(2 / 4\) & 12/8 27/9 27/11 44/6 55/21
\[
67 / 6 \quad 71 / 6
\] \\
\hline exceeds [1] 52/12 & form [1] 42/13 & governing [4] 42/9 43/14 \\
\hline excess [1] \({ }^{\text {exclude }}\) [1] 29 & forming [1] 36/19 & 45/13 57/11 \\
\hline excluse [5] 10 & formula [2] 25/19 25/22 & government \\
\hline \[
56 / 161 / 3
\] & forth [4] 37/6 62/4 69/2 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}5 / 18 & 6 / 4 & 6 / 7 & 6 / 14 & 7 / 5 & 7 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline expense [3] 16/9 17/18 53/25 & & \\
\hline expenses [6] 20/1 20/6 20/14 & forty-eight [1] 58/7 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { grants [3] } & \text { 50/13 } & 50 / 14 & 67 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline 33/2 62/13 72/20 & forward [3] &  \\
\hline experience [1] 8/9 & found [2] 6/20 45/12 & grass [1] 20/10 \\
\hline expert [3] 25/21 72/1 73/20 & four [7] 7/19 10/5 27/22 & grave1 [1] 20/19 \\
\hline experts [1] 77/4 &  & Graves [1] 5/24 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { expire [1] } & \text { 29/10 }\end{array}\) & four percent [1] 52/3 & gray [1] 34/17 \\
\hline expires [1] 81/14 & franchise [2] 18/13 73/18 & great [1] 31/17 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lrr} 
explain [2] & \(10 / 7\) & \(11 / 17\) \\
extent [1] & \(44 / 16\) &
\end{tabular} & Frank [1] 5/24 &  \\
\hline F & ick [1] & ground [1] \\
\hline & iend & group \\
\hline act [2] 29/15 77 & fuel [3] 17/10 17/23 &  \\
\hline ctor [1] 34/25 & ful1 [3] 8/13 50/20 71/21 & 49/1 66/18 \\
\hline  & full-time [1] 8/13 & guy [1] 47/7 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 22 & 63 / 3 & 63 / 8 & 63 / 20 & 63 / 25\end{array}\) & fully [1] 21/17 & H \\
\hline 65/1 &  & \\
\hline far [7] 17/22 20/3 28/25 & \[
\text { funds [4] } 33 / 14 \quad 33 / 25 \quad 46 / 10
\] &  \\
\hline 32/12 \(46 / 12\) 49/16 74/25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { runds } \\
& 50 / 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{llllll}
8 / 2 & 8 / 21 & 9 / 20 & 13 / 7 & 16 / 23
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline faster [1] 40/15 & further [4] 13/16 40/21 52/3 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 37 / 23 & 38 / 11 & 39 / 8 & 45 / 13 & 45 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline February [1] 79/19
fee] [1] 68/22 & 76/25 [4] & \(\begin{array}{llll}45 / 19 & 53 / 11 & 60 / 10 & 66 / 13\end{array}\) \\
\hline ]ing [1] 36 & future [3] 36/4 40/1 70/3 & 69/21 70/17 72/6 72/7 75/3 \\
\hline fees [5] 18/13 18/14 \(38 / 10\) & G & \\
\hline 40/1 55/6 & & half [1] 79/12 \\
\hline felt [1] \(45 / 8\) & \[
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { gapn } \\
\text { gap }[1]
\end{array}\right] 50 / 13
\] & handiing [1] 66/14 \\
\hline FEMA [2] 31/3 69/21 fences [1] 20/10 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Gate }[4] & 8 / 2 & 8 / 12 & 9 / 13 & 22 / 24\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline fences [1] \(20 / 10\)
few [2] 13/11 35 &  & 37/10 37/11 37/16 38/2 \\
\hline  & 62/7 & happened [1] 7/20 \\
\hline fight [1] 45/15 & get [16] 6/1 33/5 33/15 & happens [2] 27/13 73/16 \\
\hline fighting [2] 36/12 37/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 19 & 43 / 7 & 43 / 9 & 43 / 24 & 44 / 2\end{array}\) & Happy [1] 78/5 \\
\hline figure [14] 22/25 27/23 & 44/7 46/1 46/11 53/22 57/16 & hard [3] 67/16 69/20 71/2 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 28 / 20 & 33 / 3 & 34 / 20 & 34 / 21\end{array}\) & 75/10 78/19 79/9 & has [20] 8/9 9/17 30/12 31/1 \\
\hline 58/2 60/24 60/25 63/18 63/19 & gets [3] 49/5 59/7 61 & 35/13 \(37 / 4 \begin{array}{lllll} \\ 52 / 20 & 57 / 15 & 37 / 16 & 43 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline 66/18 71/14 & getting [1] 66/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllllllll}52 / 20 & 52 / 22 & 55 / 8 & 57 / 10 & 58 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline figures [2] 46/19 46/20 & Gingrich [1] 1/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}58 / 17 & 59 / 8 & 59 / 12 & 75 / 4 & 77 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline filed [1] 31/3 & give [5] 15/23 16/1 & 78/25 \\
\hline final [1] 77/20 & given [2] & have [107 \\
\hline finance [4] 4/21 5/6 5/14 & given [2] 53/14 \(77 / 6\) &  \\
\hline 32/25 & \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { giving } \\
\text { go }[45] & {[1]} & 78 / 2 & 7 / 12 & 7 / 12
\end{array}
\] & having [4] 4/14 5/13 \\
\hline finances [5] 6/25 8/7 65/24 & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
90 & 45 \\
10 / 7 & 13 / 16 & 14 / 8 & 18 / 16
\end{array}
\] & he [6] 4/7 5/25 7/22 7/23 \\
\hline \(66 / 14\)
financial
[10] & \(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 17 & 19 / 22 & 21 / 6 & 22 / 6 & 23 / 15\end{array}\) & 7/23 78/21 \\
\hline  & 23/23 23/24 \(23 / 24 \quad 23 / 25 \quad 25 / 4\) & heads [1] 57/4 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll}4 / 25 & 5 / 6 & 7 / 3 & 8 / 6 & 40 / 18 & 47 / 7\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 1 & 26 / 2 & 33 / 20 & 36 / 25 & 37 / 2\end{array}\) & health [2] 35/22 35/24 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ind } & 37 & 56 / 23 & 58 / 19 & 58 / 20\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 4 & 39 / 22 & 39 / 23 & 39 / 25 & 40 / 13\end{array}\) & hear [1] 4/2 \\
\hline nish [2] \(79 / 17 \quad 79 / 25\) & 43/10 \(44 / 17\) 46/1 \(48 / 23\) 50/2 & heard [2] 46/15 72/6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { finish [2] } \\
& \text { finished [1] } \\
& \text { fing } \\
& 6 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] & 51/24 \(55 / 23\) 58/5 60/18 \(60 / 19\) & hearing [5] 1/5 4/4 9/2 \\
\hline fire [6] 16/5 16/6 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}63 / 16 & 64 / 8 & 68 / 7 & 69 / 8 & 73 / 22\end{array}\) & 31/15 79/2 \\
\hline 16/22 18/2 20/18 & 77/17 & heavily [1] 65/25 \\
\hline first [9] 6/11 15/23 15/24 & goes [10] 16/9 19/8 19/12 & Heights [3] 9/13 22/23 22/24 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 20 / 18 & 42 / 20 & 43 / 5 & 50 / 1 & 53 / 4\end{array}\) & 22/14 \(29 / 22\) 29/23 \(32 / 22\) & help [3] 7/12 8/3 37/20 \\
\hline 55/7 & 47/17 48/3 59/10 & helped [1] 7/25 \\
\hline fiscal [7] 6/5 6/11 6/24 & going [41] 4/2 4/6 13/15 & here [24] 7/17 7/22 7/25 \\
\hline 7/10 8/4 8/6 8/8 & 13/16 \(13 / 19\) 13/20 15/19 &  \\
\hline fiscally [1] 39/16 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 25 & 23 / 7 & 23 / 20 & 24 / 7 & 24 / 7\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}24 / 13 & 28 / 20 & 31 / 17 & 43 / 8 & 43 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline five [13] 20/25 24/16 24/17 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 26 / 5 & 29 / 10 & 34 / 14 & 35 / 17 & 35 / 19\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 43 / 24 & 44 / 6 & 46 / 17 & 48 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline H & income [6] \(9 / 7\) 9/8 \(9 / 21\) 9/21 & \[
9 \quad 52 / 24 \quad 56 / 11 \quad 57 / 8 \quad 57 / 8
\] \\
\hline here... [6] 52/10 59/11 & 9/23 10 & 58/15 58/20 59/8 62/15 65/6 \\
\hline 64/17 \(73 / 2\) 73/13 78/24 & increase [24] 13/9 14/9 &  \\
\hline hereby [1] 81/6 & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
14 / 20 & 15 / 2 & 15 / 14 & 15 / 17 \\
19 / 20 & 19 / 24 & 19 / 25 & 22 / 7
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{llllll}
73 / 5 & 73 / 20 & 75 / 6 & 75 / 18 & 76 / 20 \\
77 / 2 & 77 / 11 & 77 / 17 & 78 / 20 & 78 / 22
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline hereinbefore [1] 81/9 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 14 & 35 / 6 & 35 / 13 & 35 / 17 & 35 / 18\end{array}\) & \[
79 / 5
\] \\
\hline higher [3] 40/1 46/16 48/23 & \[
35 / 21 \quad 35 / 23 \quad 35 / 25 \quad 50 / 23 \quad 52 / 5
\] & \\
\hline highest [1] 39/11 & \[
73 / 4 \quad 74 / 20
\] & K \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { HILL [2] } & 1 / 23 & 81 / 4 & \\ \text { him }\end{array}\) & increases [2] 14/12 53/14 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { keep [3] } & 14 / 1 & 17 / 16 & 19 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
\operatorname{him}_{79 / 17}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
4
\end{array}\right] \quad 7 / 25 \quad 78 / 17 \quad 78 / 19
\] & indeed [1] 44/9 &  \\
\hline \[
\text { his [2] } 4 / 15 \quad 8 / 22
\] & indicted [1] 6/10 & Keswick [1] 1/7 \\
\hline history [1] 9/6 & individuals [2] 6/9 9/19 & kind [3] 36/8 43/2 43/22 \\
\hline hit [6] 24/20 24/22 52/3 & inflated [1] 63/9 & know [48] 9/5 9/5 10/24 \\
\hline 69/20 73/7 73/11 & inflation [1] 35/21 & \(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 23 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 2 & 22 / 22 \\ 23 / 17\end{array}\) \\
\hline hold [1] 77/7 & information [2] 13/7 24/12 &  \\
\hline Holidays [1] 78/5 & initial [2] 38/14 38/17 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 25 & 34 / 13 & 36 / 17 & 37 / 3 & 38 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline home [7] 15/5 15/7 15/7 & initially [1] 69/10 & \(\begin{array}{llll}38 / 15 & 39 / 13 & 43 / 13 & 45 / 25 \\ 46 / 12 & 46 / 23 & 46 / 25 & 51 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline 15/10 19/22 59/9 62/22 & inland [1] 34/8 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}46 / 12 & 46 / 23 & 46 / 25 ~ 51 / 9 & 51 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline homeowner [1] 15/14 & input [3] 38/16 79/20 79/21 & 53/16 55/9 \(57 / 9\) 58/16 65/8 \\
\hline HOMEOWNERS [2] 1/4 4/3 & instinct [2] 38/14 38/18 & 65/21 65/23 68/9 \(71 / 3\) 71/16 \\
\hline homes [11] 10/12 \(11 / 6 \quad 11 / 9\) & insurance [7] 8/15 17/12 & \(\begin{array}{llllllll}73 / 12 & 73 / 13 & 73 / 16 & 73 / 19 & 74 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & 11 / 11 & 11 / 20 & 63 / 3 & 63 / 4\end{array}\right) 63 / 8\) & 54/13 54/17 \(54 / 18\) 54/25 55/2 & 75/5 75/13 77/14 77/14 78/1 \\
\hline 63/20 63/25 65/1 & & \\
\hline hope [1] 27/7 & 1 & knowing [1] 24/6 \\
\hline hote1 [1] 74/17 & 1 & known [1] 12/13 \\
\hline hour [2] 77/7 79/12 & interestingly [1] 9/12 & L \\
\hline hours [2] \(76 / 18\) 76/24 & involved [1] 62/1 & 7abor [3] 17/11 17/13 54/10 \\
\hline house [2] 58/16 58/18 household [1] 10/1 & is [197] & Lacey [1] 2/4 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { howseno } \\ \text { how } & 16 & 25 / 21 & 30 / 12 & 30 / 16\end{array}\) & Island [3] 9/15 16/4 22/23 & LAKEVIEW \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 30 / 22 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 4 & 36 / 16 & 38 / 2\end{array}\) & Is 1and Heights [1] 22/23 & 1 land [4] 11/7 11/15 11/20 \\
\hline  & 1sn't [2] 41/3 63/25 & 54/19 \\
\hline 75/5 75/8 76/17 & issuance [1] 62/2 & 1andfi11 [3] 17/9 17/23 \\
\hline however [1] 11/5 & issue [5] 5/10 6/9 6/18 39/6 & 53/21 \\
\hline Hudak [1] 1/14 & & large [1] 34/21 \\
\hline Hugg [1] 2/13 & issues [3] 5/11 61/23 73/19 & 1ast [7] 21/1 29/11 29/14 \\
\hline hundred [1] 24/17 & it's & 47/12 65/4 78/21 79/2 \\
\hline hundreds [1] 74/19 & \(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 9 & 11 / 12 & 11 / 12 & 11 / 14 \\ 11 / 16\end{array}\) & lastly [2] 27/3 66/17 \\
\hline Hurricane [4] 21/16 69/9 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 21 & 15 / 8 & 19 / 18 & 21 / 22 & 25 / 17\end{array}\) & 1aw [2] 4/15 26/22 \\
\hline 69/19 72/9 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 21 & 15 / 8 & \\ 27 / 19 & 29 / 15 & 31 / 9 & 31 / 9 & 31 / 12\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline Hurricane Sandy [4] 21/16 & \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { 24/14 } & 34 / 21 & 34 / 25 \\ 34 / 25\end{array}\) & 1eaf [1] 17/7 \\
\hline hydrants [1] 18/3 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 18 & 36 / 14 & 36 / 14 & 38 / 24 & 39 / 2\end{array}\) & 1ease [1] 74/17 \\
\hline hydrants [1] 18/3 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 21 & 43 / 4 & 43 / 16 & 45 / 16 & 45 / 20\end{array}\) & 1east [4] 17/2 34/20 64/16 \\
\hline I & 50/24 52/3 52/24 53/1 53/15 & 79/2 \\
\hline I'd [7] \(35 / 4\) 35/9 \(36 / 3\) 37/22 & 54/23 55/11 55/12 56/11 & 1eft [2 \\
\hline 38/22 46/9 73/22 & 58/15 59/4 \(60 / 6 \quad 62 / 562 / 6\) & 1ess [7] 47/13 48/13 63/8 \\
\hline  & 62/21 63/9 63/9 64/1 64/13 65/9 65/10 65/12 65/24 66/3 & 65/18 71/20 72/13 74/9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{llll} 
& \(39 / 9\) & \(42 / 11\) & \(67 / 7\) \\
\hline \(109 / 2\) & \(69 / 19\)
\end{tabular} & 65/9 \(65 / 10\) 65/12 \(65 / 24\) 66/3 & lessened [1] 70/24 \\
\hline I'm [61] \(4 / 17\) 4/21 9/5 11/3 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}66 / 3 & 66 / 3 & 66 / 18 & 66 / 23 & 66 / 25\end{array}\) \(69 / 3\) 69/15 71/10 71/12 73/7 & \(1 \mathrm{let}[5]\) 33/21 41/1 58/5 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 9 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 19 & 13 / 19 & 15 / 18\end{array}\) & 73/12 76/2 77/14 & 60/23 76/20 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 25 & 22 / 7 & 23 / 6 & 24 / 11\end{array}\) &  & 1et's [6] 35/19 45/8 52/3 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 24 / 18 & 25 / 2 & 26 / 11 & 26 / 22 & 31 / 15\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { item [2] } & 56 / 18 & 57 / 15 & \\ \text { items } & 3\end{array}\) & 60/18 \(63 / 2\) 65/16 \\
\hline  & items [4] 33/2 40/18 51/19 & levies [4] 10/6 22/25 25/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}36 / 2 & 37 / 12 & 42 / 11 & 46 / 15 & 46 / 24\end{array}\) & & \\
\hline 47/6 47/7 47/7 47/25 49/1 & itself [4] 6/13 28/12 48 &  \\
\hline 49/25 \(50 / 2\) 50/17 \(56 / 156 / 23\) & 73/8 &  \\
\hline 58/19 58/20 58/20 59/17 & 73/8 &  \\
\hline 59/17 \(60 / 22\) 62/6 62/19 64/16 & J & \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 8 & 25 / 23 & 27 / 23 & 47 / 13 & 47 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline 65/5 67/9 68/24 69/8 70/21 & & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 51 / 14 & 51 / 20 & 52 / 4 & 52 / 13 & 56 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline 71/6 71/12 71/24 72/1 72/17 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { JACKSON } \\ \text { January } & {[1]} & 1 / 24 & 78 / 22 & 78 / 25 & 79 / 2\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 14 & 51 / 20 & 52 / 4 & 52 / 13 & 56 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline 73/20 78/22 79/2 & January [4] \(78 / 22 \quad 78 / 25 \quad 79 / 2\) & levying [1] 51/14 \\
\hline I've [6] 8/23 25/20 25/20 & Jersey & liability [2] 54/23 55/1 license [1] 18/9 \\
\hline 38/14 62/21 77/13 &  & 1icenses [3] \(18 / 8\) 18/8 \(18 / 9\) 18/11 \\
\hline  & 81/13 & 1ieu [1] 55/15 \\
\hline immateria] [1] 53/17 & John [2] 1/12 1/14 & 1 ifeguard [1] 75/20 \\
\hline impact [4] 19/21 47/20 47/20 & joining [2] 36/19 72/15 & 1 ifeguards [1] 76/1 \\
\hline 74/14 \(49 / 2147 / 20\) 47/20 & JOSEPH [3] 2/8 4/5 4/9 & 1ighting [1] 18/1 \\
\hline impacts [1] & Joseph Michelini [1] 4/5 & 1ike [20] 17/14 20/8 20/13 \\
\hline important [2] 33/9 34/21 & judgment [1] 72/11 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}32 / 22 & 34 / 8 & 35 / 4 & 35 / 9 & 36 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline impossibility [1] 43/16 & juggling [1] 48/17 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 37 / 22 & 38 / 15 & 38 / 22 ~ 40 / 7 & 55 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline incentivize [1] 38/19 & jurisdiction [1] 37/17 & 58/16 59/8 66/3 67/11 73/22 \\
\hline include [3] 20/21 \(21 / 10\) 63/3 & Just & 74/20 75/10 \\
\hline included [7] 34/4 58/1 60/4 &  & likely [1] 51/18 \\
\hline 60/8 61/13 63/19 77/13 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 15 & 17 / 16 & 17 / 21 & 22 / 15 & 23 / 6\end{array}\) & 1imbo [1] 46/2 \\
\hline includes [6] 21/23 29/19 &  & limit [1] 77/7 \\
\hline 29/20 29/21 59/1 60/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 23 & 36 / 8 & 36 / 24 & 39 / 9 & 41 / 1 \\ 41 / 18 & 43 / 24 & 45 / 1 & 46 / 9 & 46 / 24\end{array}\) & LINDA [2] \(1 / 23\) 81/4 \\
\hline including [1] 21/3 & 41/18 \(43 / 24 \quad 45 / 146 / 946 / 24\) & 1ine [2] 26/19 50/5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 21 11/25 12/3 30/25 31/16 & , \\
\hline 1ines [2] 73/15 73/24 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 5 & 33 / 21 & 40 / 24 & 41 / 1 & 47 / 4\end{array}\) & Mr. McGuckin [1] 79/9 \\
\hline 1ittle [11] 8/24 8/24 10/6 & & \\
\hline 10/8 12/2 \(13 / 16\) 14/1 20/2 & mean [3] 10/7 32/20 71/12 & \[
42 / 25 \quad 72 / 8 \quad 76 / 16
\] \\
\hline 65/6 69/4 75/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { means [5] } & 10 / 11 & 10 / 11 & 39 / 25\end{array}\) & \\
\hline 1oaded [2] 34/24 72/4 & \[
\begin{array}{rl}
\text { means } & \text { bj } \\
51 / 8 & 52 / 4
\end{array}
\] & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { much [21] } & 6 / 18 & 20 / 8 \quad 30 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline 1oan [6] 69/24 70/11 70/12 & \begin{tabular}{llll} 
median & {\([3]\)} & \(9 / 8\) & \(9 / 23\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 30 / 16 & 30 / 22 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 5 & 39 / 17\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { 71/4 } & 71 / 6 & 73 \\ \text { oans } & {[2]} & 69\end{array}\) & meeting [5] 76/23 77/8 79/1 & 46/11 46/12 49/11 52/15 \\
\hline cal [7] \(510 / 15\) 5/18 \(6 / 3\) & 79/18 80/6 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}53 / 11 & 54 / 3 & 55 / 3 & 72 / 10 & 72 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline 14 \(14 / 5\) 7/21 & member [9] \(1 / 13\) 1/13 1/14 & 73/10 75/2 75/8 78/8 \\
\hline logical [1] 47/5 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}1 / 14 & 1 / 15 & 1 / 15 & 6 / 1\end{array}\) & mud [1] 27/8 \\
\hline 1ong [4] 6/23 \(27 / 5\) 45/15 & 9/17 & municipal [19] 4/21 5/4 \\
\hline 45/25 &  & \(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 16 & 13 / 21 & 14 / 10 & 14 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline longer [5] 39/10 61/13 73/18 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}18 / 16 & 18 / 21 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 3 & 19 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
74 / 12 \quad 75 / 9
\] \\
look [12] 20/8 33/9 33/11
\end{tabular} & 4/9
78 & 56/6 56/21 75/7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{llll}
100 k & {[12]} & 20 / 8 & 33 / 9
\end{array} 33 / 11
\] & 78/9 & municipalities [6] 7/7 8/10 \\
\hline 62/2 \(65 / 24 \quad 65 / 25 \quad 69 / 13\) & might [5] 26/20 28/2 76/17 & 17/4 23/2 23/8 43/12 \\
\hline looked [2] 20/6 38/14 & ion & municipality [18] 5/9 8/4 \\
\hline looking [10] \([1 / 6 \quad 7 / 23\) 21/9 & 21 13/24 20/25 21/15 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 20 & 35 / 1 & 35 / 12 & 43 / 18 & 43 / 19\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 23 & 24 / 5 & 24 / 17 & 24 / 22 & 25 / 3\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 42 / 19 & 43 / 4 & 43 / 17 & 43 / 19 & 44 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline 47/6 78/24 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 25 / 7 & 27 / 12 & 27 / 17 & 28 / 24 & 29 / 12\end{array}\) & 52/2 \\
\hline Lorelli [1] \(1 / 15\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 29 / 14 & 29 / 18 & 30 / 2 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 14\end{array}\) & municipality's [1] 38/6 \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 24 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 14 & 32 / 17 & 34 / 3\end{array}\) & MURPHY [1] \(2 / 3\) \\
\hline osing [4] 14/24 14/25 \(39 / 17\) & \(34 / 3 \quad 50 / 2 \quad 50 / 3 \quad 50 / 5 \quad 50 / 11\) & my [34] 4/10 4/16 4/20 4/24 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { 64/9 } \\ \text { oss [24] } & 15 / 3 & 16 / 23\end{array}\) & 57/18 57/19 58/1 \(58 / 5\) 58/7 & \(5 / 8 \quad 5 / 20 \quad 7 / 2 \quad 7 / 4 \begin{array}{llll} & 8 / 9 & 8 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline 17/13 18/15 & 58/9 59/3 59/6 \(59 / 6\) 59/12 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 4 & 18 / 20 & 27 / 16 & 28 / 3 & 33 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}17 / 13 & 18 / 15 & 18 / 24 & 29 / 3\end{array}\) & 59/20 \(59 / 25\) 60/7 60/17 60/19 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}35 / 5 & 36 / 13 & 37 / 12 & 38 / 14 & 38 / 17\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(34 / 4 \quad 40 / 8 \quad 51 / 21 \quad 63 / 13 \quad 66 / 2\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}60 / 21 & 60 / 21 & 60 / 24 & 60 / 25 & 61 / 6\end{array}\) & 39/24 47/4 \(47 / 9\) 55/6 57/3 \\
\hline 66/19 66/20 67/10 68/1 68/6 & 61/13 \(61 / 1761 / 20 \quad 61 / 21.62 / 7\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}57 / 3 & 57 / 25 & 63 / 2 & 72 / 2 & 76 / 22\end{array}\) \\
\hline 70/19 66/20 67/10 68/1 68/6 & 65/12 \(65 / 13\) 71/9 71/17 \(71 / 18\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}77 / 4 & 77 / 7 & 79 / 19 & 81 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline losses [3] 16/25 56/16 74/22 & m & N \\
\hline \[
\text { lost [5] 20/4 24/22 } 31 / 23
\] & mind [1] 39/24 & name [2] 3/2 4/16 \\
\hline  & mine [2] 7/20 8/ & nature [1] 33/13 \\
\hline 46/15 56/19 70/17 & minors [1] 4/23 & need [5] 16/3 43/6 56/8 \\
\hline loud [1] 31/16 & minus [2] 39/19 & 18 80/3 \\
\hline 1 umped [1] 64/20 & inutes [2] 67/6 79/12 & needed [1] \\
\hline M & heard [1] 69 & negative [2] 39/18 66/8 \\
\hline & mode1 [1] 5/11 & neịghbor [2] 36/25 37/3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mackres } \\
& \text { made [3] } \\
& \text { mad } \\
& 45 / 24
\end{aligned}
\] & moment [2] 40/12 40/20 & neighbors [1] 37 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { made } \\
& \hline 1 / 9
\end{aligned}
\] & Monday [1] 77/1 & net [5] 24/15 28/6 28/21 \\
\hline mail [1] & money [8] 29/18 40/17 46/11 & 28/22 59/3 \(66 / 5\) \\
\hline mainland [2] 33/24 54/4 & &  \\
\hline mainly [1] 20/22 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { months [5] } & 7 / 17 & 7 / 19 & 16 / 18\end{array}\) & 5/10 \(\quad 5 / 12 \quad 5 / 14 \quad 10 / 3 \quad 81 / 6\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { major } & {[1]} & 34 / 25 & & \\ \text { make } & {[10]} & 34 / 12 & 50 / 13 & 51 / 19\end{array}\) & 35/24 35/24 & \[
81 / 13
\] \\
\hline make \([10] \quad 34 / 12 \quad 50 / 13 ~ 51 / 19\)
\(56 / 24\)
\(75 / 11\)
\(75 / 14\)
\(77 / 11\) & Moody's [2] 39/13 39/14 & New Jersey [2] 5/10 10/3 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}56 / 24 & 75 / 11 & 75 / 14 & 77 / 11 \\ 77 / 18 & 77 / 25 & 79 / 16 & \end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { more [22] } & 23 / 22 \quad 31 / 11 & 31 / 12\end{array}\) & news [1] 21/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{cccc}77 / 18 & 77 / 25 & 79 / 16 \\ \text { makes [3] } & 49 / 20 & 53 / 5 \quad 77 / 20\end{array}\) & \[
32 / 10 \quad 33 / 18 \quad 35 / 10 \quad 39 / 21
\] & next [9] \(4 / 1 \quad 35 / 21 \quad 36 / 2 \quad 76 / 7\) \\
\hline  & \[
48 / 21 \quad 49 / 12 \quad 54 / 4 \quad 67 / 2371 / 17
\] & \[
76 / 1976 / 23 \quad 77 / 8 \quad 78 / 24 \quad 79 / 18
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { man [2] } & 8 / 15 & 75 / 25 & & \\ \text { Manchester [5] } & 6 / 8 & 6 / 15 & 6 / 19\end{array}\) &  & Nick [3] 1/13 \(2 / 13\) 33/23 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Manchester [5] } & 6 / 8 & 6 / 15 & 6 / 19\end{array}\) & 74/8 75/11 75/15 75/18 76/15 & night [1] 33/5 \\
\hline manner [3] & mortgage [3] 58/16 59/9 & nine [1] 55/5 \\
\hline manpower [1] 54/15 & 59/10 & no [78] 9/9 13/9 13/13 13/18 \\
\hline many [4] 9/5 47/8 \(72 / 4 \quad 76 / 17\) & most [4] 8/9 15/2 24/12 35/8 & \(\begin{array}{llll}13 / 21 & 13 / 22 & 14 / 13 & 14 / 16\end{array}\) \\
\hline MARTIN [1] 2/5 & mostly [1] 19 &  \\
\hline masses [1] 35/11 & &  \\
\hline math [1] 35/5 & move [2] 20/2 37/22 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 18 & 25 / 19 & 25 / 21 & 28 / 8 & 29 / 19\end{array}\) \\
\hline mathematical [3] 66/18 66/24 & moved [2] 5/17 80/4 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 31 / 9 & 36 / 22 & 36 / 23 & 36 / 24 & 39 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline 67/1 [4] 1/3 6/10 29/15 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { moving [ } & 3] & 4 / 11 & 22 / 3 \\ 38 / 17\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 3 & 39 / 10 & 39 / 24 & 40 / 20 & 41 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline matter [4] 1/3 6/10 29/15 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 41 / 16 & 41 / 20 & 41 / 24 & 44 / 18 & 45 / 5\end{array}\) \\
\hline 36/17 [1] 37/19 & 47/2 75/4 75/17 76/21 78/9 & 46/2 47/19 47/19 47/20 47/20 \\
\hline matters [1] 37/19 & 78/11 78/16 79/23 & \(\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 48 / 5 & 48 / 7 & 50 / 7 & 50 / 8 & 50 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline maximum [2] 65/17 71/17 & Mr. [21] 4 [/12 \(33 / 7 \quad 36 / 21\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}50 / 23 & 52 / 8 & 54 / 2 & 54 / 9 & 54 / 16\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
\text { may [14] } 23 / 23 \quad 23 / 24 \quad 23 / 24
\] & 38/16 \(42 / 25\) 46/9 46/18 47/3 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}54 / 19 & 54 / 21 & 56 / 5 & 56 / 21 & 57 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
26 / 5 \quad 27 / 2 \quad 39 / 12 \quad 39 / 12 \quad 39 / 14
\] & 61/22 \(65 / 5 \quad 67 / 2 \quad 67 / 5 \quad 72 / 8\) & 57/13 59/4 \(61 / 1 \quad 61 / 12 \quad 61 / 14\) \\
\hline 42/20 49/13 61/19 73/3 75/11 & 76/16 \(76 / 18\) 76/23 78/14 & 64/13 65/21 66/12 67/18 \\
\hline \(78 / 15\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}78 / 23 & 79 / 9 & 79 / 18 & 79 / 20\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}72 / 24 & 73 / 17 & 74 / 12 & 74 / 24 & 75 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{cllll} 
maybe & {\([6]\)} & \(38 / 15\) & \(67 / 8\) & \(69 / 2\) \\
\(69 / 3\) & \(69 / 3\) & \(76 / 8\)
\end{tabular} & \(\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Mr. Chairman [5] } & 33 / 7 & 36 / 21\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{|ccccc}
\(79 / 14\) & & \\
70body
\end{tabular} \\
\hline mayor [2] 5/23 5/23 & Mr. Dickerson [1] 67/5 & nobody [6] 40/23 41 \\
\hline MCGUCKIN [4] 2/3 76/21 79/9 & Mr. Ebenau [5] 46/18 76/18 & \\
\hline 79/23 & \[
76 / 23 \quad 78 / 23 \quad 79 / 18
\] &  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
McGuckin's & {\([1]\)} & \(79 / 20\) & \\
me \([23]\) & \(8 / 14\) & \(9 / 4\) & \(10 / 4\) & \(10 / 14\)
\end{tabular} & Mr. Ebenau's [1] 4/12 & Nonresidents [1] 75/16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
norma11y [1] 67/13
not [83]
Notary [2] 81/4 81/13
note [9] 9/25 21/4 21/15
\(\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 24 & 29 / 13 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 12 & 30 / 19\end{array}\) 32/7
noted [1] 5/13
notes [1] 33/22
nothing [2] 52/20 52/22
notice [1] 75/5
now [24] 5/11 9/11 11/4
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 15 & 15 / 18 & 18 / 16 & 19 / 22 & 20 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 25 & 34 / 23 & 37 / 5 & 41 / 18 & 41 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 7 & 46 / 13 & 46 / 15 & 49 / 25 & 52 / 3\end{array}\)
52/14 53/15 54/4 61/9 61/16
75/12
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { number [36] } & 7 / 17 & 10 / 18 & 10 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}10 / 22 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 4 & 12 / 10 \\ 13 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 3 & 18 / 3 & 24 / 8 & 24 / 19 & 25 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 4 & 27 / 19 & 28 / 10 & 28 / 12 & 29 / 24\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 8 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 12 & 50 / 5 & 50 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 20 & 58 / 21 & 60 / 1 & 63 / 4 & 63 / 10\end{array}\)
63/16 63/25 \(64 / 1 \quad 64 / 11 \quad 64 / 23\) 64/24 65/2 68/7
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { numbers } & {[14]} & 14 / 7 & 23 / 23 & 28 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 18 & 29 / 17 & 35 / 16 & 37 / 10\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 16 & 48 / 17 & 50 / 4 & 56 / 3 & 64 / 8\end{array}\) 68/25 71/16

\section*{0}

O'MALLEY [1] 2/6
oath [1] 4/15
obligated [1] 38/25
obligation [2] \(38 / 7 \quad 39 / 5\)
obvious7y [3] 9/1 15/22 69/20
occur [1] 48/6
occurs [1] 57/1
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ocean & {\([5]\)} & \(8 / 2\) & \(8 / 12\) \\
\hline \(5 / 13\)
\end{tabular}
October [1] 8/1
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { off }[24] & 5 / 2 & 8 / 8 & 18 / 18 & 19 / 2\end{array}\) 21/12 \(21 / 17 \quad 21 / 23 \quad 30 / 13\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 14 & 31 / 25 & 32 / 1 & 32 / 8 & 38 / 20\end{array}\) 39/6 \(58 / 5 \quad 58 / 11 \quad 58 / 17 \quad 59 / 13\)
\(61 / 15 \quad 61 / 18 \quad 67 / 20 \quad 74 / 17\)
74/18 79/15
offered [1] 8/13
officer [9] \(4 / 6 \quad 4 / 17 \quad 4 / 21\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 7 & 6 / 11 & 7 / 3 & 8 / 6 & 8 / 6 & 8 / 15\end{array}\)
officers [1] 16/17
official [1] 62/3
offset [1] 62/10
Oh [1] 48/20
okay \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}56] & 11 / 19 & 12 / 20\end{array} 18 / 25\right.\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 4 & 20 / 5 & 29 / 16 & 30 / 3 & 33 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 2 & 38 / 8 & 47 / 1 & 49 / 19 & 49 / 23\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 8 & 50 / 15 & 51 / 12 & 52 / 24 & 53 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}50 / 4 & 55 / 17 & 56 / 9 & 57 / 14 & 57 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 5 & 59 / 2 & 59 / 17 & 60 / 8 & 60 / 9\end{array}\)
60/11 \(60 / 15 \quad 60 / 22 \quad 61 / 8 \quad 61 / 18\)
61/21 61/22 61/23 61/24
\(\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 17 & 63 / 1 & 63 / 12 & 63 / 12 & 63 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}63 / 24 & 64 / 6 & 64 / 22 & 65 / 4 & 65 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}66 / 17 & 67 / 4 & 67 / 19 & 73 / 22 & 74 / 7\end{array}\)
75/1 76/12 76/16 77/9
once [5] \(19 / 20 \quad 25 / 4 \quad 37 / 16\) 61/11 68/17
one [27] 11/23 \(14 / 15\) 15/15
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 22 & 16 / 17 & 18 / 7 & 18 / 9 & 23 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 7 & 24 / 17 & 24 / 24 & 26 / 16 & 33 / 16\end{array}\) \(34 / 24 \quad 40 / 12 \quad 50 / 1 \quad 52 / 18 \quad 65 / 18\)
66/3 67/8 \(67 / 9\) 71/12 \(71 / 12\)
75/3 75/18 78/13 79/3
one percent [1] 52/18
one-year [1] 26/16
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { on7y } & {[19]} & 6 / 19 & 16 / 14 & 17 / 8\end{array}\)
29/1 31/13 37/16 39/5 44/21
\(\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 13 & 46 / 14 & 51 / 7 & 53 / 20 & 59 / 4\end{array}\) 65/24 76/3 77/10 77/25 78/13 79/11
open [14] 12/17 13/1 \(14 / 14\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 4 & 19 / 15 & 33 / 18 & 52 / 14 & 52 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}19 / 16 & 52 / 17 & 52 / 18 & 52 / 21\end{array}\)
52/23 76/1
operate [1] 42/8
operated [1] 74/3
operations [1] 33/2
opinion [1] \(36 / 14\)
opposed [2] 23/19 71/11
order [6] 36/4 37/20 38/19
43/6 45/6 47/5
ordinance [4] 20/22 20/25
21/1 21/10
original [2] 18/16 38/24
other [25] 7/7 9/19 10/8
\(\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 6 & 17 / 5 & 17 / 11 & 17 / 17 & 20 / 23\end{array}\)
23/22 \(23 / 23 \quad 30 / 15 \quad 38 / 6 \quad 46 / 2\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 7 & 49 / 11 & 50 / 12 & 50 / 13 & 51 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}54 / 24 & 57 / 9 & 62 / 10 & 62 / 12 & 64 / 8\end{array}\)
68/10 74/15
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { our }[22] & 4 / 1 & 4 / 6 & 17 / 19 & 21 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 5 & 25 / 24 & 29 / 11 & 37 / 17 & 37 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 8 & 39 / 24 & 41 / 4 & 49 / 13 & 52 / 16\end{array}\)
66/14 72/1 72/9 74/12 75/10
75/23 76/1 77/16
ourselves [1] 51/6
out [33] 4/24 6/1 \(7 / 25 \quad 8 / 8\)
14/25 \(22 / 25 \quad 24 / 13 \quad 28 / 20\)
29/12 \(31 / 16 \quad 33 / 3 \quad 37 / 13 \quad 37 / 17\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 1 & 43 / 18 & 44 / 6 & 44 / 14 & 47 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 5 & 54 / 3 & 56 / 23 & 59 / 3 & 60 / 16\end{array}\)
\(61 / 25 \quad 66 / 7 \quad 71 / 14 \quad 71 / 16 \quad 72 / 25\)
73/11 73/15 73/20 74/17
75/12
outside [2] 17/19 17/21
over [12] 5/25 8/18 \(35 / 23\)
\(37 / 5 \quad 53 / 16 \quad 53 / 16 \quad 60 / 15 \quad 62 / 13\)
65/9 66/4 71/10 72/4
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { overal1 } & {[7]} & 22 / 1 & 49 / 18 & 59 / 5\end{array}\)
59/13 60/10 61/4 64/15
owe [1] 21/3
owes [1] 61/16
own [12] \(7 / 4 \quad 23 / 20 \quad 24 / 1 \quad 26 / 3\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 6 & 36 / 20 & 38 / 4 & 42 / 13 & 42 / 19\end{array}\)
43/19 44/15 64/16
owners [1] 38/24
owns [1] 73/14
P
p.m [1] 1/9
page [28] 3/2 10/5 15/18
24/11 27/18 27/20 27/22
\(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 11 & 28 / 12 & 29 / 8 & 29 / 17 & 35 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}47 / 7 & 49 / 25 & 52 / 10 & 52 / 12 & 53 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 18 & 55 / 5 & 55 / 19 & 55 / 23 & 56 / 5\end{array}\)
56/6 56/18 57/15 58/22 62/21
62/23
page four [1] 47/7
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { paid }[21] ~ 12 / 22 ~ 12 / 24 ~ & 21 / 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}21 / 17 & 30 / 13 & 30 / 20 & 31 / 14\end{array} \quad 32 / 1\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 8 & 32 / 23 & 38 / 11 & 38 / 11 & 38 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 49 / 5 & 58 / 10 & 58 / 17 & 59 / 8 & 59 / 13\end{array}\)
60/1 61/11 71/23
pancreatic [1] 8/21
paragraph [1] 50/20
PARK [101]
Park's [5]
15/7 23/15 25/7
49/8 66/19
part [23] 8/12 15/2 23/21
39/9 \(39 / 10 \quad 43 / 20 \quad 44 / 9 \quad 44 / 14\)
44/25 \(45 / 23 \quad 46 / 6 \quad 58 / 14 \quad 59 / 7\)
\(61 / 9 \quad 64 / 10 \quad 64 / 11 \quad 64 / 14 \quad 69 / 11\)
72/10 73/25 76/24 77/13
79/11
part-timer [1] 8/12
partial [1] 71/22
particularly [1] 17/9
party [2] 76/9 76/9
pass [1] 40/7
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { passed [3] } & 8 / 21 & 21 / 10 & 32 / 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Paterson }[5] & 5 / 5 & 5 / 9 & 5 / 13\end{array}\) 5/17 5/21
pay [29] \(15 / 23\) 16/5 17/25 \(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 2 & 23 / 9 & 37 / 24 & 38 / 20 & 38 / 25\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}139 / 1 & 40 / 3 & 40 / 15 & 41 / 5 & 41 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 21 & 41 / 24 & 42 / 2 & 42 / 4 & 48 / 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 12 & 55 / 12 & 55 / 14 & 59 / 9 & 60 / 12\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}61 / 15 & 61 / 18 & 61 / 24 & 62 / 15 & 73 / 3\end{array}\) 75/12
pay tuition [1] 41/21
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { paying [6] } & 21 / 23 & 29 / 13 & 31 / 25\end{array}\) 39/5 39/6 57/23
payment [4] 29/11 29/14 39/1 39/3
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { payrol1 } & {[2]} & 8 / 12 \\ 54 / 22\end{array}\)
pays [1] 23/5
Pelican [1] 16/3
penalties [1] 39/1
penalty [3] \(38 / 10 \quad 38 / 19 \quad 39 / 4\)
people [3] \(7 / 6\) 35/9 71/11
per [5] 9/7 9/20 9/21 29/14
39/4
percent [59] 10/9 10/25 11/1
12/10 \(12 / 20 \quad 12 / 23 \quad 13 / 25\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 15 & 19 / 4 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 7 & 19 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 6 & 21 / 17 & 22 / 1 & 22 / 2 & 22 / 19\end{array}\)
23/5 23/9 24/4 25/2 28/11
\(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 8 & 31 / 13 & 35 / 6 & 35 / 12 & 35 / 17\end{array}\)
\(35 / 20 \quad 35 / 23 \quad 35 / 25 \quad 39 / 22 \quad 48 / 1\)
\(49 / 9\) 49/13 \(49 / 14 \quad 49 / 15 \quad 49 / 16\)
49/18 \(51 / 5 \quad 51 / 5 \quad 51 / 8 \quad 51 / 9\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 10 & 51 / 14 & 51 / 16 & 52 / 3 & 52 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 14 & 52 / 18 & 56 / 12 & 58 / 8 & 60 / 10\end{array}\) 60/19 65/11 65/17 65/18 65/18 66/4 67/1
percentage [3] 30/17 52/1 70/14
percentages [4] 35/10 36/7 69/2 70/13
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { period [1] } \\ \text { permission } & 62 / 13 \\ {[3]} & 43 / 6 & 43 / 8\end{array}\)
43/9
permits [1] 44/12
person [1] 72/11
perspective [2] \(14 / 1\) 31/24
petition [3] \(1 / 5\) 4/4 \(4 / 10\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { petitioners } \\ \text { physically }[2] & 2 / 8 & \text { [1] } & \text { 52/2 }\end{array}\)
pick [1] 54/7
pịckup [1] 17/7
piece [2] 22/9 60/11
Pine [1] 9/14
Pinewald [1] 1/7
place [2] 38/19 81/9
planning [2] \(1 / 1 \quad 37 / 18\)
plans [1] 38/17
plausible [1] 36/15
play [1] 75/11
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { please [7] } & 11 / 25 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 3\end{array}\)
27/10 33/22 40/12 55/18
pleasure [1] 79/6
plenty [1] 79/13
p1us [3] 27/12 27/17 38/11
pocket [1] 18/9
point [9] 7/4 10/25 45/10
\(46 / 22\) 53/16 65/21 77/12 78/10 78/19
police [9] \(16 / 11 \quad 16 / 11 \quad 16 / 12\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}16 / 17 & 16 / 19 & 17 / 15 & 20 / 15\end{array}\) 20/24 57/7
policymakers [1] 56/25
politics [1] 45/17
Poor [1] 39/13
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline P & \[
40 / 2253 / 2 \quad 57 / 25
\] & regard [1] 9/6 \\
\hline Poor's [1] 39/14 & \[
65 / 5 \quad 65 / 19 \quad 75 / 3 \quad 75 / 4 \quad 75 / 18
\] & regional [21] 12/1 \\
\hline population [5] 9/8 13/12 & 76/8 78/24 79/6 & \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14 / 23 & 19 / 7 & 19 / 18 & 22 / 21 & 22 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline 68/2 69/1 75/24 & questions \({ }_{\text {q }}\) &  \\
\hline ported [2] 47/16 47/17 & \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
46 / 7 & 47 / 2 & 47 / 4 & 47 / 8 & 49 / 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
70 / 9 \quad 70 / 10 \quad 71 / 18 \quad 73 / 10
\] \\
\hline portion [41] 12/21 12/23 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 67 / 6 & 67 / 8 & 67 / 24 & 76 / 15 & 78 / 17\end{array}\) & Regional's [2] 23/5 28/17 \\
\hline 14/10 \(21 / 7 \begin{array}{llllll} & 21 / 18 & 23 / 13 & 25 / 8\end{array}\) & 78/18 78/19 79/13 79/14 & reimbursement [1] 31/3 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}25 / 25 & 26 / 10 & 26 / 21 & 26 / 25 \\ 27 / 4 & 27 / 1\end{array}\) & quite [4] [41/3 \(47 / 25 \quad 69 / 20\) & related [2] 27/21 30/17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
27 / 4 & 27 / 6 & 30 / 18 & 31 / 14 & 37 / 24 \\
40 / 2 & 47 / 14 & 47 / 16 & 48 / 14 & 51 / 2
\end{array}
\] & 70/15 & relation [1] 31/21 \\
\hline 58/4 \(58 / 25\) 59/3 \(59 / 5\) 59/5 & R & eased [1] 6/23 \\
\hline 59/7 59/9 59/9 59/14 59/19 & & eved [1] 26/16 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 21 & 60 / 6 & 60 / 7 & 61 / 2 & 61 / 12\end{array}\) & raise [3] 49/13 60/19 79/5 & remain [2] 44/14 44/17 \\
\hline 66/2 69/12 72/16 73/3 & raised [10] 14/3 18/21 21/20 & remainder [1] 61/15 \\
\hline position [6] 5/6 8/14 8/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50 / 10 \quad 56 / 6 \\
& 59 / 760 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & remaining [11] 40/2 47/20 \\
\hline 11/3 17/1 69/10 \(30 / 18\) & rake [1] 20/12 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 47 / 22 & 47 / 23 & 48 / 7 & 49 / 6 \\ 59 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { possibility } & \text { [1] } & \\ \text { possible [4] } & \\ 41 / 3 & 45 / 20 & 62 / 5\end{array}\) & ratable [1] 12/12 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { 60/14 } & 63 / 7 & 65 / 10 & 69 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { possibie }[4] \quad 41 / 3 \quad 45 / 20 \quad 62 / 5 \\
& 62 / 6
\end{aligned}
\] & ratables [12] 19/21 24/23 & remains [1] 60/16 \\
\hline 62/6 & 28/24 \(29 / 3\) 34/4 \(39 / 17\) 63/14 & removal [2] 17/18 17/24 \\
\hline potential [1] 74/8 & 63/14 64/9 66/19 70/18 70/19 & reorganization [2] 78/25 \\
\hline practice [1] 7/4 & rate [19] 14/2 14/4 14/8 & 79/ \\
\hline pre [2] 39/1 39/3 & 15/2 \(19 / 1019 / 11\) & report [6] 4/7 10/5 56/20 \\
\hline pre-payment [2] 39/1 39/3 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}19 / 14 & 19 / 18 & 25 / 6 & 35 / 4 & 35 / 7\end{array}\) & 76/25 77/5 \\
\hline predominantly [1] 31/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 48 / 14 & 49 / 4 & 49 / 5 & 51 / 25 & 52 / 4\end{array}\) & Reporter [1] 81/5 \\
\hline prepare [1] 9/3 & 70/14 & REPORTERS [1] 1/23 \\
\hline present [3] 2/12 9/3 40/20 & rated [1] 39/19 & reports [1] 77/3 \\
\hline presenting [1] 72/18 & rates [12] 10/6 29/4 35/2 & request [1] 77/16 \\
\hline sided [1] 4/4 & 40/2 \(40 / 16\) 40/16 \(55 / 20\) 62/1 & reserve [4] 51/1 51/2 51/11 \\
\hline ressed [1] 67/17 & 72/9 75/10 75/10 & \\
\hline pressure [2] 36/9 36/13 & rating [9] 39/8 39/15 39/22 & residential \\
\hline pretty [7] 34/12 42/21 42/23 & 66/10 65/20 65/23 66/5 66/9 &  \\
\hline 55/3 69/20 73/10 73/11 & ratio [3] 12/19 49/3 \(71 / 12\) & 41/11 \(41 / 12 \quad 47 / 2147 / 23 \quad 48 / 7\) \\
\hline principal [1] 59/9 & \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { react [1] } & 36 / 17\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 69 / 14 & 70 / 4 & 70 / 25 & 72 / 5 & 72 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline prior [2] 53/11 77/1 & react [1] 78/20 & \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { 74/10 } & 74 / 12 & 75 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline probably [5]
\(54 / 7\)
\(75 / 14\) & reading [1] 10/4 & resources [4] 72/6 72/9 \\
\hline roblems [3] 6/5 7/10 7/16 & ready [1] 5/22 & 72/13 72/16 \\
\hline \[
\text { roceedings [3] } 46 / 2 \quad 55 / 8
\] & real [1] 16/14 & response [1] 72/8 \\
\hline \[
81 / 7
\] & realign [1] 57/8 & responsibility [2] 58/13 \\
\hline proceeds [2] 74/11 74/12 & reallocate [1] 17/14 & \\
\hline process [6] 43/2 43/5 43/5 & \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { real1y } \\ 61 / 25 & 63 / 2465 / 20\end{array}\) & responsible [4] 23/3 26/9 \\
\hline 43/10 44/7 44/24 & nnexed [1] 9/16 & \\
\hline professional [2] 7/13 7/25 & reannexed \({ }^{\text {reas }}\), 9/16 &  \\
\hline project [1] 52/7 & reason [2] 38/23 54/11 & restrictions \\
\hline projects [2] 20/20 20/23 & asonable [1] 72/ & resurfacing [1] 20/19 \\
\hline properties [3] 11/8 11/19 & reasonings [1] 72/2 & resurfacing [1] \(20 / 19\) \\
\hline 24/16 & reassigned 2\(]\) 54/5 54/7 & retired [11 21/12 \\
\hline property [3] 11/10 17/4 48/3 &  & revenue [7] \({ }^{7}\) 18/6 \(18 / 718 / 15\) \\
\hline proportion [1] 70/23 & receive [3] 12/25 61/20 & 18/20 56/16 62/7 75/8 \\
\hline prosecutors [1] 6/20 & 71/20 [3] 12/25 61/20 & revenues [8] 18/11 20/1 \\
\hline provide [4] 15/21 15/22 51/6 & received [5] 13/8 58/10 & 72/19 \(73 / 23\) 74/8 \(74 / 21\) 74/23 \\
\hline 74/6 6 ded [1] 56 & 67/13 71/3 76/25 & 74/24 \\
\hline rovided [1] 56/8 & recent [1] 34/25 & reversed \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { provision [1] } & 33 / 13 \\ \text { provisions } & \text { [3] } \\ 32 / 20\end{array}\) & recession [1] 66/7 & review [3] 43/12 77/3 77/5 \\
\hline provisions [3] 32/20 38/9 & recollection [1] 55/7 & Richard [1] 1/13 \\
\hline psychology [1] 4/24 & recommend [2] 33/12 77/23 & richest [1] 39/9 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { pubic [11] } & 17 / 6 & 17 / 15 & 17 / 19\end{array}\) & recommendation [3] 33/10 & right [17] 7/17 11/4 11/11 \\
\hline  & 33/10 38/22 & 20/6 \(30 / 3\) 30/25 35 \\
\hline 57/7 81/5 81/13 & reconstructive [1] 20/8 & 54/4 \(54 / 6\) 57/17 \(60 / 24 \quad 61 / 16\) \\
\hline published [1] 35/23 & record [11] 4/8 5/2 35/5 & 71/7 74/17 75/1 78/7 \\
\hline purchase [1] 20/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 20 & 36 / 23 & 42 / 21 & 42 / 24\end{array}\) & River [5] 2/4 7/10 8/17 9/11 \\
\hline rchases [1] 58/15 & 45/17 67/20 \(78 / 17\) 79/15 & 9/14 \\
\hline chasing [1] 8/14 & recoup [1] 33/14 & road [4] 1/7 \(2 / 4\) 20/19 \(20 / 22\) \\
\hline purposes [1] 23/6 & recreation [6] & roa \\
\hline purview [1] 37/19 & 17/2 20/16 75/6 75/19 & Robert [1] 1/12 \\
\hline put [12] \(17 / 15\) 35/4 36/9 & recycling [2] 17/7 57/7 & dodney [1] 2/14 \\
\hline 36/13 38/10 38/19 40/11 & reduce [1] 60/20 & role [1] 7/2 \\
\hline 62/7 62/9 65/16 71/25 & reduced [7] 25/14 25/23 & \\
\hline putting [1] 28/1 & 29/25 30/1 31/24 56/10 66/11 & run [3] \(22 / 8\) 50/6 51/20 \\
\hline Q & reductions [1] 19/25 & S \\
\hline uad [1] 20 & ferenced [1] 55/8 & safety [1] 18/19 \\
\hline qualified [3] 70/19 70/20 & ferred [1] 60/11 & said [12] 4/16 11/ \\
\hline \[
71 / 16
\] & refinance [2] 40/15 40/17 & 33/24 \(43 / 24\) 45/20 46/18 64/7 \\
\hline qualify [2] & fund [1] 39/6 & 65/16 68/21 77/14 78/21 \\
\hline  & refunded [1] 61/23 & sake [2] 13/2 60/18 \\
\hline question [16] \({ }_{\text {l }}\) q/ \(27 / 9\) 28/3 \(29 / 7\) & refunding [3] 5/10 5/11 39/6 & salaries [1] 75/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
same [28] 5/16 6/16 7/15 8/7 8/19 \(12 / 19 \quad 14 / 20 \quad 16 / 3 \quad 23 / 16\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 18 & 35 / 18 & 36 / 5 & 36 / 6 & 36 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 12 & 40 / 13 & 48 / 15 & 50 / 24 & 52 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 54 / 8 & 54 / 10 & 54 / 14 & 54 / 15 & 54 / 16\end{array}\) 59/11 68/12 76/8 80/2
Sandy [18] 21/4 \(21 / 16\) 21/25 \(\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 20 & 24 / 21 & 29 / 9 & 29 / 18 & 30 / 22\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 2 & 31 / 18 & 32 / 14 & 46 / 10 & 66 / 11\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}66 / 15 & 69 / 9 & 69 / 9 & 69 / 19 & 72 / 9\end{array}\)
sanitation [1] 57/7
save [2] 17/21 37/8
savings [25] 13/13 13/18 \(15 / 19 \quad 16 / 9 \quad 16 / 15 \quad 16 / 19 \quad 17 / 8\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 12 & 17 / 23 & 18 / 2 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 19\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 19 & 18 / 20 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 3 & 25 / 11\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}50 / 21 & 53 / 20 & 53 / 25 & 53 / 25 \\ 56 / 7\end{array}\) 56/16 75/19 75/20
say [32] \(12 / 20\) 13/15 \(13 / 20\) 20/13 \(23 / 16 \quad 29 / 10 \quad 31 / 7 \quad 31 / 24\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 14 & 38 / 23 & 40 / 8 & 42 / 11 & 45 / 1\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 45 / 9 & 47 / 15 & 48 / 2 & 50 / 21 & 51 / 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 3 & 53 / 23 & 60 / 7 & 60 / 16 & 60 / 18\end{array}\) 61/21 \(65 / 12 \quad 67 / 18 \quad 68 / 24 \quad 69 / 3\) 70/1 76/20 77/11 78/5
saying [4] 11/23 23/6 53/15 61/22
says [5] 17/20 27/23 28/21 \(43 / 1245 / 5\)
scenario [1] 41/1
scheduling [1] 79/7
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { school [11] } & 13 / 1 & 22 / 22 & 26 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 19 & 40 / 13 & 41 / 4 & 46 / 4 & 67 / 25\end{array}\) 68/8 69/18 \(70 / 2\)
schools [3] 13/12 44/17 44/18

sections [1] 9/17
 \(60 / 23\) 72/17 74/5 75/24
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
seek \\
se11 & {\([1]\)} & \(44 / 12\) \\
\hline 1\(]\) & \(58 / 16\)
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Senator } & \text { [1] } & 5 / 24 \\ \text { sending }[1] & 42 / 6\end{array}\)
senior \([9]\) 15/8 15/15 15/15 \(\begin{array}{llll}15 / 17 & 19 / 24 & 63 / 18 & 64 / 15\end{array}\) 64/23 75/10
seniors [1] 63/1
sense [1] 49/20
separate [2] 34/7 64/14
serial [2] 23/1 23/9
service [39] \(6 / 14\) 20/3 20/5 \(\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 2 & 21 / 20 & 21 / 25 & 22 / 7 & 22 / 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 1 & 26 / 8 & 26 / 10 & 26 / 21 & 26 / 25\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}27 / 4 & 27 / 12 & 28 / 7 & 28 / 11 & 28 / 13\end{array}\) 28/14 \(29 / 9 \quad 37 / 25 \quad 38 / 20 \quad 57 / 16\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 1 & 59 / 6 & 60 / 9 & 60 / 12 & 60 / 15\end{array}\) \(61 / 3 \quad 61 / 3 \quad 61 / 5 \quad 61 / 12 \quad 61 / 17\) 61/18 62/15 72/25 73/5 73/5 74/6
services [22] 5/15 5/18 6/4
\(\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 7 & 7 / 5 & 7 / 22 & 15 / 21 & 15 / 22\end{array}\)
\(15 / 23\) 15/25 \(16 / 5 \quad 16 / 11 \quad 16 / 11\) \(16 / 12 \quad 16 / 20 \quad 16 / 22 \quad 17 / 6 \quad 17 / 22\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}36 / 11 & 51 / 7 & 53 / 4 & 56 / 8\end{array}\)
set [1] 81/9
sets [1] 57/12

53/3 57/15 60/1
several [1] 40/25
sewer [2] 73/14 73/23
shared [1] 20/14
she [2] 68/5 68/22
sheet [1] 58/6
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { shift [6] } & 23 / 11 & 25 / 24 & 25 / 25\end{array}\)
26/1 68/13 68/16
shifting [5] 28/15 28/16
68/10 73/9 73/10
shore [1] 74/18
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { should } & {[16]} & 13 / 9 & 13 / 17 & 14 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}16 / 15 & 16 / 20 & 18 / 24 & 22 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 11 & 23 / 18 & 23 / 23 & 26 / 8 & 31 / 24\end{array}\)
32/11 33/11 44/9 61/20
show [2] 56/11 56/12
showing [4] 35/5 35/16 36/3
56/15
side [8] \(13 / 19 \quad 18 / 6 \quad 18 / 6\)
36/5 46/17 50/22 70/5 70/8
sịdes [1] 69/15
signers [1] 4/10
significant [3] 32/21 33/8
similar [2] 28/17 57/10
similarly [1] 63/18
simplicity's [2] \(13 / 2 \quad 60 / 18\)
since [8] \(4 / 22\) 8/24 \(34 / 24\)
39/21 73/23 73/24 74/8 74/10
sincerely [1] 40/14
sing1e [10] 15/5 15/10 60/13
62/22 \(63 / 3 \quad 63 / 7 \quad 63 / 8 \quad 63 / 20\)
63/25 65/1
single-family [5] 15/5 15/10
63/20 63/25 65/1
sitting [1] 44/19
situation [1] 38/15
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { six [5] } & 32 / 17 & 35 / 3 & 55 / 23\end{array}\)
56/5 62/23
six million [1] 32/17
size [3] 70/15 70/22 \(72 / 3\)
Slachetka [2] 78/11 78/14
slight [2] 69/5 69/6
sma11 [2] 68/7 72/3
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { sma11er }[3] \quad 6 / 18 & 70 / 24 \quad 72 / 10\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { snow [3] } & 17 / 18 & 17 / 24 & 20 / 10\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { So [121] } & \\ \text { sold [1] } & 58 / 18\end{array}\)
solid [1] 17/6
solvent [1] 39/16
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { some [26] } & 4 / 20 & 9 / 5 & 15 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}17 / 10 & 20 / 9 & 28 / 2 & 30 / 8 & 33 / 25\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 20 & 35 / 14 & 38 / 16 & 38 / 18\end{array}\)
\(46 / 22\) 47/2 \(47 / 5\) 47/16 47/19
47/21 47/22 62/10 62/12
67/23 69/21 \(71 / 15\) 73/4 73/19
somebody [1] 42/10
something [9] \(9 / 3 \quad 33 / 12\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 13 & 38 / 13 & 40 / 7 & 63 / 8 & 67 / 15\end{array}\)
70/1 72/10
sometimes [1] 12/1
somewhat [1] 27/8
sorry [6] 12/9 22/7 24/18 26/11 50/17 56/1

\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { sorts [1] } & 67 / 11 \\ \text { sound [1] } & 20 / 13\end{array}\)
sound [1] 20/13
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { sounds } & {[1]} \\ \text { sources } & \text { [1] } \\ & 18 / 7\end{array}\)
SOUTH [80] 1/4 \(1 / 24\) 4/2 4/10 \(\begin{array}{llllll}7 / 10 & 9 / 14 & 10 / 9 & 12 / 11 & 12 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 24 & 13 / 4 & 13 / 10 & 13 / 17 & 14 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 7 & 15 / 12 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 7 & 16 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 15 & 16 / 21 & 18 / 1 & 18 / 10 & 18 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 11 & 20 / 7 & 20 / 21 & 21 / 7 & 21 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 15 & 22 / 13 & 23 / 7 & 23 / 11 & 23 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 7 & 26 / 2 & 26 / 8 & 26 / 20 & 30 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 23 & 31 / 5 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 10 & 34 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 3 & 36 / 18 & 41 / 13 & 45 / 6 & 46 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 20 & 47 / 14 & 47 / 18 & 48 / 23 & 49 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}49 / 17 & 51 / 21 & 51 / 25 & 53 / 19\end{array}\)
55/11 \(55 / 20 \quad 57 / 22 \quad 58 / 12\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 14 & 59 / 20 & 61 / 19 & 62 / 25 & 63 / 4\end{array}\)
63/15 64/17 \(66 / 19 \quad 66 / 20\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}69 / 11 & 69 / 14 & 70 / 25 & 71 / 1 & 71 / 3\end{array}\)
72/15 73/15 74/10 75/9
South Seaside [72] 4/2 4/10 \(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 9 & 12 / 11 & 12 / 24 & 13 / 4 & 13 / 10\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 17 & 14 / 6 & 15 / 7 & 15 / 12 & 16 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 7 & 16 / 8 & 16 / 15 & 16 / 21 & 18 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 10 & 18 / 24 & 19 / 11 & 20 / 7 & 20 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 7 & 21 / 18 & 22 / 5 & 22 / 13 & 23 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 11 & 23 / 18 & 25 / 7 & 26 / 2 & 26 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 20 & 30 / 17 & 30 / 23 & 31 / 5 & 31 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 10 & 34 / 7 & 35 / 3 & 36 / 18 & 41 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 6 & 46 / 11 & 46 / 20 & 47 / 14 & 47 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}48 / 23 & 49 / 8 & 49 / 17 & 51 / 21 & 51 / 25\end{array}\)
53/19 \(55 / 11 \quad 55 / 20 \quad 57 / 22\)
58/12 \(59 / 20 \quad 61 / 19 \quad 62 / 25 \quad 63 / 4\) 63/15 \(66 / 19 \quad 66 / 20 \quad 69 / 11\)
69/14 70/25 71/1 \(72 / 15 \quad 73 / 15\) 74/10 75/9
South Seaside's [2] 12/21 59/14
space [12] \(12 / 17 \quad 13 / 1 \quad 14 / 14\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 4 & 19 / 15 & 52 / 14 & 52 / 16 & 52 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}19 / 17 & 52 / 18 & 52 / 21 & 52 / 23\end{array}\)
speak [1] 55/5
speaking [1] 29/9
specia7 [10] 16/17 21/4
\(\begin{array}{llllll} & 21 / 15 & 21 / 24 & 29 / 13 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 12\end{array}\)
32/6 34/10 69/1
specials [1] 16/21
specificaliy [1] 40/14
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { spent }[2] & 33 / 25 & 34 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { spoke }[3] & 13 / 24 & 68 / 3 & 76 / 21\end{array}\)
spread [3] 34/24 71/10 72/4
spreading [2] 72/12 72/16
squad [1] 15/24
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { staff } & {[1]} \\ \text { stage } & 7 / 6 \\ {[1]} & 43 / 5\end{array}\)
Stan [3] \(78 / 21\) 79/14 \(79 / 17\)
stand [1] 42/24
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Standard } & {[2]} & 39 / 13 \\ \text { standing } & \text { 39/14 }\end{array}\)
standing [1] 64/16
started [1] 4/24
state [26] 5/12 5/14 5/22
\(\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 24 & 5 / 25 & 6 / 11 & 6 / 13 & 6 / 23\end{array}\)
\(6 / 24 \quad 7 / 118 / 48 / 5 \quad 8 / 8 \quad 8 / 8\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 2 & 17 / 4 & 25 / 17 & 25 / 18 & 25 / 21\end{array}\)
\(35 / 22\) 46/2 68/1 68/6 68/23
81/5 81/13
stated [1] 37/23
statements [1] 62/3
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { stay [7] } & 5 / 8 & 16 / 2 & 17 / 3 & 19 / 15\end{array}\)
27/5 48/14 55/2
stayed [3] \(6 / 68 / 118 / 16\)
staying [1] 30/11
stays [2] 27/4 39/23
stemming [1] 21/24
stenographically [1] 81/8
step [1] 43/4
stil1 [23] \(16 / 2 \quad 16 / 3 \quad 21 / 3\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 25 & 44 / 25 & 46 / 6 & 54 / 3 & 54 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}54 / 14 & 54 / 15 & 54 / 15 & 59 / 12 & 60 / 4\end{array}\)
61/15 61/19 \(66 / 7 \quad 67 / 6 \quad 68 / 11\)
68/11 73/2 75/25 78/10 79/12
stolen [1] \(6 / 21\)
stop [1] \(12 / 3\)
\(\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { Storm } & {[9]} & 21 / 4 & 21 / 24 & 24 / 20\end{array}\)

Storm... [6] 24/21 30/22 31/2 31/18 32/14 69/9
straight [4] 56/12 66/18 66/23 66/25
stranger [1] 9/9
street [4] 18/1 53/24 54/2 54/8
strictly [4] \(23 / 20\) 50/9 56/5 74/3

\section*{\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { strike } & {[1]} & 53 / 8 \\ \text { strong } & \text { [1] } & 25 / 1 \\ \text { struck } & \text { [1] } & 18 / 18\end{array}\) \\ \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { structured [1] } & 39 / 3\end{array}\) \\ \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { struggling } & \text { [1] } & 58 / 20\end{array}\) \\ Stu [1] 67/4
Stuart [1] \(2 / 14\) \\ \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { student }[3] & 37 / 8 & 68 / 2 & 69 / 1\end{array}\) \\ students [7] 36/12 41/3}

41/22 42/6 46/4 68/7 68/11 stuff [1] 12/1
subject [1] 79/20
substantịa] [1] 25/11
substantial1y [4] 31/11 31/12 46/16 71/20
subtract [1] 18/18
such [2] 13/11 37/19
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { sudden [1] } & 42 / 10 \\ \text { suffer [1] } & 46 / 5\end{array}\)
suggest [2] 79/8 79/10
suggestion [2] 79/17 79/19
suing [1] 37/6
SULLIVAN [2] \(1 / 23\) 81/4
\(\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{llll}\text { SULLIVAN-HILL } \\ \text { summary [1] } & {[24 / 10} & 1 / 23 & 81 / 4\end{array} & \end{array}\)
summer [1] 16/18
Super [9] \(21 / 4 \quad 21 / 24 \quad 24 / 20\) \(\begin{array}{llll}24 / 21 & 30 / 22 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 18\end{array} 32 / 14\) 69/9
\(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Super Storm [4] } \\ 32 / 14 & 69 / 9 & 31 / 2 & 318\end{array}\)
 supposing [2] \(41 / 141 / 2\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 1645 / 3 & 47 / 11 & 52 / 11 & 56 / 14\end{array}\) 76/13 79/2


\section*{T}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline take [16] & \(5 / 25\) & \(12 / 1\) & \(13 / 24\)
\end{tabular} \(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 24 & 24 / 2 & 26 / 4 & 35 / 19 & 41 / 14\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 6 & 45 / 12 & 52 / 2 & 62 / 7 & 69 / 21\end{array}\) 70/11 76/24 79/12
taken [5] 7/11 32/10 67/25 78/20 81/8
takeover [1] 5/23
takes [2] 50/6 51/20
taking [2] 13/25 16/25
talk [9] 10/5 15/19 35/8 \(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 9 & 36 / 3 & 53 / 4 & 56 / 20 & 57 / 15\end{array}\) 79/9
talked [4] 13/20 19/1 69/8 talking [7] \(24 / 4 \quad 36 / 7 \quad 37 / 9\) 43/2 52/13 72/18 73/23
tangible [1] 72/20
\(\begin{array}{llll}\operatorname{tax}[58] & 10 / 6 & 10 / 20 & 10 / 23\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 14 & 12 / 14 & 13 / 5 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 8 & 14 / 9 & 14 / 14 & 15 / 10 & 18 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 21 & 18 / 23 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}19 / 10 & 19 / 11 & 19 / 12 & 19 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}19 / 14 & 19 / 18 & 19 / 19 & 19 / 20\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 23 & 19 / 24 & 19 / 25 & 22 / 4 & 22 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 10 & 22 / 13 & 22 / 14 & 23 / 5 & 25 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 13 & 25 / 23 & 27 / 23 & 29 / 3 & 35 / 2\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 4 & 35 / 7 & 47 / 13 & 47 / 14 & 47 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 3 & 48 / 8 & 48 / 14 & 49 / 4 & 49 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 1 & 50 / 22 & 52 / 5 & 52 / 18 & 53 / 16\end{array}\) 55/19 70/16
taxable [1] 70/14
taxation [2] 50/10 56/6
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { taxes [32] } & 12 / 16 & 12 / 16 & 12 / 17\end{array}\)
\(12 / 17 \quad 12 / 18 \quad 12 / 22 \quad 14 / 3 \quad 14 / 18\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 14 & 18 / 22 & 19 / 3 & 22 / 4 & 23 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 10 & 27 / 1 & 27 / 4 & 27 / 14 & 28 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllllll}28 / 17 & 41 / 18 & 51 / 1 & 51 / 2 & 51 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 6 & 51 / 8 & 51 / 11 & 51 / 24 & 55 / 15\end{array}\)
58/2 58/24 59/1 60/5
taxpayer's [1] 35/17
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { taxpayers } & {[2]} & 30 / 20 & 70 / 3\end{array}\)
team [1] 6/1
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { te11 } & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}5]\end{array} \quad 24 / 24\right.} & 45 / 6 & 55 / 9\end{array}\) 66/5 74/14
te11ing [1] 46/24
ten [7] 6/21 39/22 40/8
60/19 66/4 71/10 72/5
ten percent [3] 39/22 60/19 66/4
terms [7] 32/24 32/25 48/7
49/3 49/24 75/19 79/6
testified [1] 4/15
testify [2] \(4 / 7\) 72/1
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { testimony }[4] & 4 / 12 & 39 / 8 & 72 / 7\end{array}\) 72/18
than [6] 32/11 39/22 48/24 49/11 65/18 71/17
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Thank [14] } & 4 / 12 & 11 / 11 & 29 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 30 / 4 & 33 / 17 & 38 / 21 & 40 / 21\end{array} 46 / 6\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 19 & 67 / 4 & 67 / 19 & 75 / 2 & 78 / 7\end{array}\) 80/1
that [289]
that's [50] \(\quad 9 / 4\) 9/24 \(10 / 13\) \(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 22 & 12 / 12 & 12 / 24 & 13 / 1 \\ 18 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 25 & 21 / 5 & 24 / 13 & 24 / 20 & 26 / 23\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 16 & 28 / 10 & 28 / 12 & 30 / 6 & 31 / 22\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 9 & 35 / 10 & 37 / 1 & 38 / 13 & 40 / 4\end{array}\) 42/23 \(48 / 4 \quad 48 / 9 \quad 50 / 25 \quad 53 / 13\)
55/7 \(57 / 24 \quad 60 / 3 \quad 60 / 9 \quad 60 / 9\)
60/12 60/12 60/14 63/11
64/15 64/19 67/3 67/22 \(\quad 68 / 8\)
69/25 70/18 70/20 73/2 73/17
76/4 76/12 \(\quad 79 / 4\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
their & {\([31]\)} & \(7 / 6\) & \(7 / 18\) & \(8 / 7\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 22 & 23 / 3 & 23 / 20 & 24 / 1 & 24 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}125 / 22 & 26 / 3 & 26 / 6 & 27 / 4 & 27 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 16 & 35 / 20 & 36 / 12 & 36 / 20 & 37 / 3\end{array}\)
37/24 38/4 40/16 41/22 \(42 / 6\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}42 / 13 & 42 / 19 & 43 / 19 & 44 / 15\end{array}\)
58/13 74/12 74/18
them [26] 5/25 6/1 7/12 8/8
\(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 7 & 16 / 1 & 17 / 15 & 17 / 16 & 33 / 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 13 & 38 / 19 & 41 / 2 & 42 / 1 & 42 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 12 & 44 / 13 & 45 / 6 & 45 / 18 & 47 / 8\end{array}\)
48/24 \(\quad 53 / 15 \quad 69 / 15 \quad 69 / 19\)
72/20 74/1 74/6
themselves [6] 38/1 \(38 / 5\)
39/16 41/9 49/11 75/25
then [32] 7/1 8/13 8/21
\(\begin{array}{llll}13 / 15 & 13 / 23 & 27 / 18 & 27 / 20\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 15 & 30 / 14 & 33 / 20 & 34 / 7 & 38 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 18 & 43 / 8 & 43 / 9 & 44 / 23 & 45 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}45 / 16 & 45 / 17 & 47 / 14 & 53 / 23\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}55 / 20 & 56 / 15 & 62 / 14 & 62 / 18\end{array}\)
62/24 63/1 \(63 / 6 \quad 63 / 17 \quad 76 / 7\)
78/24 79/18
there [115]
there'd [2] 42/16 79/12
There' \(11^{[1]}\) 40/1
there's [18] 13/13 14/25 \(\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 9 & 16 / 19 & 17 / 20 & 19 / 15 & 20 / 11\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 23 & 27 / 19 & 34 / 16 & 39 / 3 & 41 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 25 & 46 / 13 & 48 / 22 & 52 / 15\end{array}\)
65/21 71/21
therefore [1] 46/4
these [10] \(9 / 17\) 23/8 \(23 / 20\) \(31 / 25 \quad 37 / 9 \quad 39 / 4 \quad 46 / 1 \quad 55 / 8\) 58/15 60/15
they [89]
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { they'd [6] } & 42 / 4 & 42 / 18 & 54 / 3\end{array}\) 54/6 55/2 68/11
they'11 [1] 46/5
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { they're [15] } & 24 / 7 & 24 / 7 & 27 / 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}27 / 25 & 28 / 4 & 36 / 19 & 36 / 25 & 37 / 12\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 4 & 43 / 19 & 53 / 15 & 57 / 8 & 74 / 18\end{array}\) 75/12 75/15
They've [1] 37/14
thing [6] 11/24 11/24 37/12 59/11 67/9 77/10
things [9] 20/23 \(23 / 14 \quad 23 / 16\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 6 & 24 / 11 & 25 / 3 & 35 / 22 & 36 / 5\end{array}\) 50/23
think [28] \(33 / 7\) 34/9 \(34 / 25\) 36/14 37/20 \(42 / 21\) 42/23 \(\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 21 & 43 / 22 & 43 / 23 & 48 / 21 & 49 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 10 & 49 / 24 & 51 / 23 & 62 / 6 & 65 / 5\end{array}\) 66/4 66/6 67/16 68/5 71/18 71/19 72/24 75/4 75/22 77/19 78/12
thinking [2] 31/16 47/9 \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { third [2] } & 36 / 4 & 37 / 20 \\ \text { this [38] } & 7 / 15 & 9 / 4 & 12 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 25 & 25 / 19 & 28 / 1 & 29 / 15 & 30 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 15 & 31 / 16 & 32 / 21 & 34 / 22 & 35 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 9 & 39 / 7 & 39 / 8 & 40 / 8 & 42 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 8 & 43 / 22 & 45 / 15 & 50 / 21 & 56 / 19\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 6 & 59 / 18 & 60 / 17 & 60 / 20 & 61 / 21\end{array}\) 65/16 \(65 / 21 \quad 66 / 1 \quad 70 / 22 \quad 71 / 6\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}74 / 5 & 74 / 9 & 74 / 13 & 76 / 13 & 76 / 25\end{array}\)
those [19] \(15 / 1 \quad 18 / 4 \quad 18 / 18\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 22 & 24 / 11 & 33 / 14 & 34 / 23 & 50 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 2 & 62 / 10 & 62 / 12 & 63 / 14 & 64 / 13\end{array}\) 68/25 70/4 70/14 70/16 70/24 74/23
though [4] 25/19 35/18 53/2 61/18
thought [2] 28/2 74/20
thoughts [1] 72/2
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { thousand } & \text { [1] } \\ \text { thousands } & \text { 24/18 } \\ \text { [1] } & 74 / 19\end{array}\)
three [11] 7/19 15/1 18/7 \(\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 9 & 46 / 13 & 46 / 14 & 51 / 9 & 51 / 10\end{array}\) 51/16 70/12 71/20
three percent [2] 51/9 51/16
through [11] \(8 / 3\) 9/18 10/14 22/8 29/6 32/22 \(46 / 1\) 47/17 50/2 56/19 66/15
throw [3] 41/1 71/15 73/20
Thursday [1] 1/8
time [24] \(5 / 16 \quad 5 / 23 \quad 6 / 6 \quad 6 / 14\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 16 & 6 / 23 & 7 / 4 & 7 / 15 & 7 / 18 \\ 8 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 7 & 8 / 13 & 34 / 22 & 38 / 11 & 44 / 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 45 / 15 & 45 / 24 & 55 / 7 & 58 / 15\end{array} \quad 62 / 13\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}76 / 2 & 76 / 13 & 79 / 13 & 81 / 8\end{array}\)
timely [1] 38/20
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { timer }[1] & 8 / 12 \\ \text { times }[5] & 40 / 25\end{array}\)
times [5] 40/25 41/23 67/1 71/11 72/5
together [2] 7/21 28/1
Toms [4] \(7 / 108 / 17 \quad 9 / 11 \quad 9 / 14\)
Toms River [1] 8/17
tonight [3] \(4 / 12\) 44/19 \(76 / 21\)
too [3] 33/25 49/10 75/4
took [7] 8/22 \(35 / 6 \quad 35 / 16\) \(39 / 15 \quad 41 / 2 \quad 42 / 16 \quad 70 / 11\)
top [6] 29/17 49/25 50/5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & upon [18] 4/ & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline 54 / 754 / 1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline top... [3] \(53 / 3\) 55/19 55/24 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 14 & 14 / 19 & 14 / 23 & 22 / 11 & 23 / 3\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 52 / 18 & 54 / 22 & 54 / 23 & 56 / 5 & 64 / 2\end{array}\) & we're [29] \(4 / 2\) 13/16 \\
\hline  & us [8] \(26 / 2\) 33/8 \(33 / 940 / 2\) & 14/24 24/25 \(25 / 1 \quad 31 / 25 \quad 35 / 12\) \\
\hline 11/5 \(21 / 13\) 21/17 \(27 / 19\) 27/23 & 44/10 \(55 / 12\) 66/14 66/16 & \(36 / 6\) 37/9 39/19 43/2 43/5 \\
\hline 35/7 47/14 47/15 58/6 & use [3] 12/19 62/8 75/23 & \\
\hline totally [1] 20/4 & used [3] 5/11 \(20 / 20 \quad 23 / 8\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}61 / 19 & 64 / 9 & 65 / 16 & 65 / 17 & 65 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline Touching [1] 33/23 & using [3] 14/7 25/2 60/24 &  \\
\hline town \(\left[\begin{array}{lllll}12] & 17 / 17 & 34 / 5 & 36 / 19\end{array}\right.\) & utility [3] 18/19 74/2 74/3 & we've [8] \(13 / 3\) 16/23 24/3 \\
\hline 37/8/22 & & 66/14 67/6 72/6 72/7 \\
\hline cowns [6] 17/5 23/22 23 & V & [1] \\
\hline 69/20 70/4 70/24 & vacant [2] 11/14 11/20 & weighed \\
\hline township [111] & va & \\
\hline township's [8] 10/1 12/5 & 12/5 \(12 / 1314 / 414 / 6\) 14/19 & [21] 8/5 9/15 20/23 \\
\hline 13/19 21/21 \(23 / 13\) 50/10 &  & \(\begin{array}{llll} \\ 37 / 15 & 40 / 12 & 42 / 12 & 44 / 1 \\ 46 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline 59/19 65/7 7 18/14 55/6 55/12 &  & 49/1 \(50 / 18 \quad 65 / 970 / 12 \quad 72 / 8\) \\
\hline railer [3] 18/14 55/6 55/12 &  & 77/21 78/15 78/2 \\
\hline  & 67/1 & went [15] 4/25 5/21 6 \\
\hline & valuations [5] 23/4 26/5 & 6/24 \\
\hline  & 31/23 48/23 71/11 & 29/12 29/12 45/15 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { tremendous } \\ \text { Tri } & {[4]} & 15 / 24 & 53 / 7 & 53 / 10\end{array}\) & value [4] 20/11 62/25 63/14 & were [25] 5/21 6/10 7/6 7/11 \\
\hline 53/13 & 70/16 & 7/19 8/4 9/19 11/23 \\
\hline Tri-boro & values [2] 10/12 11/18 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 25 & 26 / 12 & 29 / 9 & 31 / 23 & 41 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline Tri-Boro's [2] 53/10 53/13 & various & \(\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 10 & 46 / 16 & 46 / 19 & 48 / 6 \\ 58 / 14 & 64 / 20 & 69 / 20 & 70 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline tried [1] 56/11 & vehicle [1] 38/ &  \\
\hline des [1] & \[
\left.\right|_{\text {ventcic }}
\] & west [1] 46/17 \\
\hline  & & hat [67] 9/1 \\
\hline true [6] \(33 / 1\) 53/13 63/7 & versus [3] 24/24 31/ & 10/11 11/1 \(11 / 22 \quad 11 / 2312 / 20\) \\
\hline & very [6] 6/23 51/25 55/19 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 24 & 13 / 12 & 13 / 19 & 18 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{try} \\
67 / 7 \\
{[5]} & 6 / 1
\end{array}
\] & 75/ & \(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 25 & 20 / 6 & 23 / 17 & 24 / 6 & 26 / 4\end{array}\) \\
\hline trying [12] 13/25 28/20 37/4 & View [1] 69/16 &  \\
\hline 56/23 \(588 / 19\) 58/20 59/18 & Village [2] 7/9 15/15 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 20 & 32 / 24 & 33 / 23 & 34 / 8 & 36 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}71 / 13 & 71 / 25 & 72 / 1 & 72 / 17 & 78 / 22\end{array}\) & volunteer [2] 16/6 \(20 / 18\) & 48/8 \(48 / 24 \quad 49 / 149 / 14 \quad 51 / 1\) \\
\hline tuition [4] 41/21 41/25 42/2 & VOTERS [2] \({ }^{\text {l/5 }}\) (1/3 &  \\
\hline tune [3] 32/16 & & \(\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 2 & 56 / 12 & 56 / 13 & 56 / 15 & 57 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline - & W & 61/22 61/22 62/21 65/6 66/5 \\
\hline twenty & wages [1] & \(13 \quad 66 / 17 \quad 66 / 19\) \\
\hline two [15] 6/20 15/6 16/17 & waiting [1] 46 & 69/1 70/2 70/18 70/20 72/19 \\
\hline 18/4 19/12 \(21 / 15\) 21/23 28/4 & walk [1] 58/1 &  \\
\hline  &  & 27/2 \(33 / 10 \quad 38 / 2141 / 1841 / 23\) \\
\hline 76/24 77/7 [1] 35/20 & \[
45 / 271 / 24 \quad 77 / 17
\] &  \\
\hline two percent
two-hour & want to [1] 71/2 & when [16] \(5 / 21\) 6/3 6/8 8/17 \\
\hline  & wanted [4] 56/12 74/16 78/13 & 8/19 21/10 26/17 28/1 29/9 \\
\hline type [1] \(34 / 20\) & 78/17 & 36/18 39/25 45/14 60/18 \\
\hline typical [1] 35/22 & was [61] & where \\
\hline U & /25 7/11 7/12 & 23/24 \(24 / 1124 / 7\) \\
\hline ULAKY [1] & \(8 / 118 / 11{ }^{8 / 17} 8 / 18\) 8/20 & 36/18 \(44 / 18\) 49/17 \(52 / 23\) 57/1 \\
\hline uncollected [4] & \(8 / 23\) 9/2 9/10 15/20 18/3 & \\
\hline 51/11 51/24 & 18/17 19/2 20/8 21/9 21/12 & whereby [1] 43/3 \\
\hline under [6] 7/11 8/4 60/1 75/6 & \(\begin{array}{llll}24 / 16 & 24 / 19 & 27 / 7 & 27 / 23 \\ 28 / 1\end{array}\) & wherever [3] 26/2 26/5 58/21 \\
\hline 76/4 77/1 &  &  \\
\hline understand [3] 35/11 51/1 & 44/21 45/23 \(61 / 22 \quad 65 / 19\) & which [36] 5/10 5/23 6/2 6/6 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{llll} 
\\
\(65 / 20\) & \(66 / 10\) & \(66 / 15\) & \(67 / 21\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline understanding [4] 4/11 26/22 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 25 & 17 / 19 & 18 / 17 & 19 / 11\end{array}\) \\
\hline 27/16 60/23 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 20 / 14 & 21 / 23 & 22 / 14 & 22 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline Understood [2] 30/21 68/14 & wash [2] 14/25 \(73 / 11\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 26 / 12 & 26 / 21 & 29 / 3 & 35 / 13 & 35 / 22\end{array}\) \\
\hline Unfortunately [1] \(52 / 8\) & washer [1] 8/16 & 37/7 \(39 / 14 \quad 39 / 25 \quad 45 / 22 \quad 49 / 12\) \\
\hline units \({ }^{\text {unknown [1] }}\) [ 7 & wasn't [4] 32/22 46/12 66/12 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { unknown } \\ \text { unless [2] } & 43 / 11 & 44 / 20\end{array}\) & 70/5 & 59/16 73/1 73/4 74/18 \\
\hline  & waste [ & while [4] 7/15 24/23 39/16 \\
\hline & wasted [1] 43/22 & who [11] 5/24 6/5 6/17 7/7 \\
\hline until [6] \(6 / 8\) 8/16 8/19 44/7 &  &  \\
\hline 44/24 \(77 / 8\) & way [23] \(7 / 24 \quad 8 / 2412 / 4\) & 44/16 57/11 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{up}_{11 / 6} 14 / 819 / 14{ }^{36}\) & 20/25 \(22 / 25\) 33/2 34/6 39/5 & who's [1] 78/ \\
\hline 22/14 \(23 / 15\) 23/23 \(24 / 19\) & 39/13 \(41 / 446 / 146 / 5\) 52/6 & whole [7] 9/7 20/21 35/6 \\
\hline 24/21 25/4 \(29 / 3\) 32/16 33/18 & 66/13 69/16 77/1 & 35/13 \(41 / 14\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 16 & 40 / 24 & 40 / 25 & 41 / 4 & 47 / 22\end{array}\) & \[
79 / 24
\] &  \\
\hline 47/23 \(50 / 13 \quad 51 / 19\) 51/24 \(54 / 7\) & ways [1] 38/9 & 24/8 \(25 / 4\) 32/4 \(34 / 14\) 36/15 \\
\hline \[
77 / 14 \quad 78 / 2 \quad 78 / 10
\] & we [103] & 37/11 37/24 38/1 38/1 38/2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

