

Public Notice and Affidavit of for Truth , Damages, remedy and fact Without Prejudice including further attempt on my life liberty and security freedom and happiness by Elected and public and associates employees aka Servants

Injured living man Without Prejudice: Given Name edward-malone II: johnston. Non-corporation, Lawful American Bloodline, Crime Victim, Corpus Delicti ( ~18 U.S.C. 3771) thereof: Domicile: Inhabitant Private Land Owner – Non - State Register Cooperation  
C\O Non-cooperation ~1540 North Nye Street, Oregon Territory Near Toledo  
DMM 602@1.3(e)2 Zone Improvement Plan (ZIP CODE) not required

TO:

Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543  
Telephone:202-479-3000  
TTY:202-479-3472  
(Available M-F 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. eastern)

Supreme Court Clerk's Office  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543  
Telephone:Clerk's Office: 202-479-3011  
TTY:202-479-3472  
(Available M-F 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. eastern)

Whistleblower Rights and Protection  
Office of the Inspector General  
Michael E. Horowitz  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 4706  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001  
(202) 514-3435  
Contact Us [oi hotline@state.gov](mailto:oi hotline@state.gov)  
[OIGContractingOfficer@usdoj.gov](mailto:OIGContractingOfficer@usdoj.gov)  
[OIGWPEAOmbuds@state.gov](mailto:OIGWPEAOmbuds@state.gov)

Social Security Administration | USAGov  
Office of Public Inquiries  
6401 Security Boulevard  
Baltimore, MD 21235

Page 1 Affidavit of Truth

Social Security Advisory Board  
400 Virginia Avenue SW  
Suite 625  
Washington, D.C. 20024  
[ssab@ssab.gov](mailto:ssab@ssab.gov), [admin@ssab.gov](mailto:admin@ssab.gov)  
Henry J. Aaron, Chair (09/14 - 09/20)  
Lanhee J. Chen (09/14 - 09/18)  
Alan L. Cohen (09/14 - 09/16)  
Barbara B. Kennelly (01/06 - 09/17)  
Dorcas R. Hardy (04/02 - 09/16)  
Jagadeesh Gokhale (11/09 - 09/21)  
Bernadette Franks-Ongoy (01/13 - 09/18)

United States Senate Special Committee on Aging,  
G31 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510-6050, 202-224-5364  
[scheduling@collins.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@collins.senate.gov)

Republican/Majority Office  
G31 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510  
Phone: 202-224-5364

Fax: 202-224-8660  
sunset@sunset.texas.gov

Washington, DC Office  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515  
nicole@earlblumenauer.com  
ross@earlblumenauer.com

Thomas McDonald  
Social Security Office for Albany, OR 97321  
Albany Social Security Office Address :  
SUITE 110 1390 WAVERLY DRIVE SE  
ALBANY, OREGON STATE near 97322  
Oregon State Senators - Republicans  
900 Court St. NE, Salem OREGON STATE near 97301  
Sen.TedFerrioli@state.or.us  
Sen.BrianBoquist@state.or.us  
Sen.JeffKruse@state.or.us  
Sen.KimThatcher@state.or.us  
Sen.JackieWinters@state.or.us  
Sen.DougWhitsett@state.or.us  
Rep.DallasHeard@state.or.us  
Rep.WayneKrieger@state.or.us

Page 2 Affidavit of Truth

Rep.MikeMcLane@state.or.us

Rep.BillPost@state.or.us  
Rep.GregSmith@state.or.us  
Rep.JimWeidner@state.or.us  
Rep.GailWhitsett@state.or.us

Headquarters for: Member Services,  
Health Research Group, Litigation  
Group and Communications Office  
1600 20th Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20009  
(202) 588-1000  
pcmail@citizen .org

Injured living man Edward has exhausted his foreign agents Administration rules.  
title five 3331-3333 is the lawful contract to obey for employment for the United States of forty eight states now as  
administration remedy man Edward-Malone II:Johnston. I come in peace to resolve this problem.

Whereas: Edward by Administration rules, statutes, codes as to my knowledge bills are copy righted by state agents  
aka laws made by foreign agents the by Administration law has to be registered.  
That allowed remedy and compensation for physical stress, loss of the Great Spirit rights to travel, subsistence  
born right that are filed and published damage to Edward's Body injuries on file.  
.If a public entity denies an otherwise "qualified individual" "meaningful access" to its "services, programs, or  
activities" "solely by reason of" his or her disability, that individual may have an ADA claim against the public  
entity. Id. (citing Alexander v. Choate, 469 U.S. 287, 301-02, 105 S.Ct. 712, 83 L.Ed.2d 661 (1985) (internal  
citation omitted)). LEE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES • 250 F.3d 668, 690 (9th Cir. 2001)

Payment of Damages award and Claim(s) thereof.

On July 19, 2016 I was mailed Information form Thomas McDonald threatening my life further who appears has  
conspired with CITY, COUNTY and STATE, R.I.C.O. Yes the British Federal Government information below in  
violation of their employment contractors explain in this affidavit of Damages, fact(s). The letter I received stated it  
reduced my Social Disability monthly payment to \$226.00 from August to November 2016. To-date since  
August Edward has never received any of the treasury notes provided by his Birth Certificate provided by Edward is  
continuing being threatened of life, Edward to-date, has been denied his Great Spirit rights to travel, denied life  
sustaining subsistence hunting fishing food gathering rights needed life of water and food including pain  
medication that I am able to provide, threatening Edward's life when an emergency arises no land line phone for  
contacting needed medical advises. Edward does not get aid from the OREGON STATE INCORPORATION,

food stamps , transportation or any other services edward's, fraud by trickery "income" barely covers his basics expense , water, food , electrical, basic prescription to assistance of life to-date still denied pain medication from 2006 Social Security case Whereas this by statutes is Medical Malpractice Failure to Treat Pain and Other Treatable Symptoms Can Be Medical Malpractice chronic pain patient as filed in all medical records, Gibbons v Ogden 1824 Supreme Court "Persons are not the subjects of commerce..." "There is a distinction between a debt discharged , FEDERAL RESERVE "NOTE" =

Page 3 Affidavit of Truth

debt instrument, and one paid = 12 U.S.C. 411 (48 Stat.337). When discharged, the debt still exists, though divested of its character as a legal obligation during the operation of the discharge." Stanek v. White (1927), 172 Minn. 390, 215 N.W. 781. including August 3, 2016 my refrigerator went out of service. No resources to prove another on for life substance , Smartmeters .org Can Smart Meters Really Monitor Your Appliances ...blog.electricitybid.com/.../07/19/smart-meters-and-Can Smart Meters Really Monitor Your Appliances and Be Used to ... Could electric utility smart meters be used to control your energy usage at home and without your knowledge/consent... 'Smart' Electric Utility Meters, Intended to...www.nytimes.com/2009/12/14/us/14meters.html Dec 12, 2009 · ... appliance by appliance. But not ... Someday utilities hope to use the meter to control consumption by major appliances like air ... And with smart ...

As stated in the letter from Thomas McDonald this public agency Social Security states this is not edward's fault that the letter is allegedly claiming edward was over paid \$3,281.00 from Workers Compensation from Surgery on the surgery neck covering medical and travel paid for by edward on the employment injuries , Claiming as income, fraud by trickery whereas the Lawful definition of "income" is not lawfully defined, as the tax code clearly state Interest, Dividends,www.irs.gov/help-resources/tools-faqs/faqs-for... Please explain to We the People funding has been provided to protect the disabled and elderly, ever one I call claiming they protect woman men and children like my self they can not assist , apparently like yourself, yes It took me time to responses to this attack on edward, being denied pain medication, with my sever chronic pain, I'm aware of statistic an how they intentionally plan to harm men, woman and children like myself for further corporation spending on other foreigners demands who have no rights to usa funding it is not the usa responsibility to proved for non Americans proven = misuse of public funds.

Top Frequently Asked Questions for Interest, Dividends, Other Types of Income = wage for labor is an exchange and no "income" generated = ruling of the U.S.S.C. Thereof. How do I report this , entrapment , Form 1099-DIV I received from my mutual fund? Note added: Stratton Indep. v. Howbert ~231 U.S. 399 for all Tax Legislation ... "income" the gain arrived from Capital, from labor or both combined, provided it include the profit gained from the sale of a capital asset. U.S. v. LOPEZ ~247 U.S. 165 (1918), U.S. V. LLOYD R LONG FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, Case No: 1-93-91 Eastern District of TN Chattanooga, Verdict October 15th 1993 Not guilty all counts = 16th Amendment=Income Tax Amendment = never lawfully/legally ratified, to the 1776, ratified 1778, Constitution for the United States of America thereof. File your 1040X and get all your money back and corporations can file all the way back to 1912 thereof.

Present threat on the life on this lawful Bloodline American with sever medical , Heart condition , lung and breathing difficulties as edward stops breathing 40 times an hour when sleeping. medical proven sleep study. Broken neck in 1989 head and back of neck hit with some kind of pipe in the reports on file working at a night club protect patrons form harmful activates , work several years before first surgery in 1998 , second surgery 2002

edward has been Denied Due Process of Law by constitution law Jury , Failure of [PDF]Your Right to an Administrative Law Judge Hearing...www.ssa.gov/appeals/odar\_pubs/70-10281.pdf File format: Adobe PDF , Before the lost on life saving income that was not Edward's fault. edward was injured for protect patrons at a night club.Whereas: The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a natural individual entitled to relief is entitled to free access to its judicial tribunals and public offices in every State in the Union (2 Black 620, see also Crandell v. Nevada, 6 Wall 35. Plaintiff should not be charged fees, or costs for the lawful and constitutional right to petition this court in this matter in whiche is entitled to Page 4 Affidavit of Truth

relief, as it appears that the filing fee rule was originally implemented for fictions and subjects of the State and should not be applied to the Plaintiff who is a natural individual and entitled to relief. Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43] Supreme Court of the United States 1795, "Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them." S.C.R. 1795, (3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54),

Whereas : Judge Rules that Government Debt is Covered by FDCPA, Forcing Collection Agency to Defend <http://www.insidearm.com/news/00005574-judge-rules-that-government-debt-is-cover/> House resolution 192 WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to the...

[understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg](http://understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg)

Whereas Helvering v. Davis - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helvering\\_v.\\_Davis](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helvering_v._Davis)  
Helvering v. Davis, 301 U.S. 619 (1937), was a decision by the United States Supreme Court, which held that Social Security was constitutionally permissible..... Lawful bloodline Americans is a contract  
Please watch 3.16min If I Were the Devil - Paul Harvey (Good Audio)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QGrWvrGDOXg&feature=share> Further harassment from City of Toledo Chief of Police David Enyeart <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTXTZOI8Oqg>

Whereas: To continue unlawful and conspiracy acts are direct violations of employment contract:

- (1) 42 U.S. CODE § 1985 – Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights
- (2) 18 U.S. CODE § - Damage to religious property: obstruction of persons in the free exercise of religious rights
- (3) 15 U.S. CODE § 1692d – Harassment or abuse
- (4) 18 U.S. CODE § 242 – Deprivation of rights under color of law
- (5) 18 U.S.C § - Misprision of felony

A must read

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10205231686111044&set=p.10205231686111044&type=3>

Whereas: Evidence of criminal extortion, identification thief by using ed's copy right name as filed, including in this instrument, assaulted threading life limb and soul, kidnap and held for ransom by City county, state, State privilege servants, aka Judge Banford who kidnap ed with no grand jury indictment provided including the videos request by ed publicly filed and published from the aka jail built in 1992 court case of the attempts on his life as well from the public vehicle use for transportation of the kidnap victim held for ransom and stamp and unlawful warrant, their where Judge terrorist as filed and published.

City of Toledo incorporation members Continue harassment 1st and 5th Amendment Violated at Toledo Oregon Council Meeting [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fbu0vRwpf\\_k](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fbu0vRwpf_k)

Whereas (1) :Harassment on our way to City of Toledo Oregon Council Meeting Part 1

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v3Tqsn6FQ8E>

(2) Ed's unlawful kidnapping by Sargent's Ruark's camera part 1 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UrR7PTqIpc>  
Page 5 Affidavit of Truth

(3) Ed's unlawful kidnapping Harpster's conspiring recording Part 2

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JomrQe3p5vk>

(4) Eds Unlawful Kidnapping 09222014 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kc0G0BRjsTI>

(5) Subservient Court in Lincoln County Oregon under the STATE OF OREGON legislators.

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tjxg\\_h9v4mc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tjxg_h9v4mc)

(6) Kidnap again First a ransom of \$2500.00 then AKA Private CORPS bail when the deputies sheriff let out with out autographing their unlawful contract Eds unlawful hearing and kidnap again held for ransom of \$75.000 10132014 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PCQbP6OHEfc>

Force public pretender stating murder is not murder when police do this crime it is call homicide

Bruce L McCrum and Ed <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vTJy1JdRrsI>

Lincoln County Oregon Sheriff, I don't need an order or a warrant... ?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4zBZd-NS-Cs>

Chris walker kidnap, held for ransom for recording Edwards kidnapping court case protecting his wife

From unlawful kidnapping aka arrest her rights Chris Trial 17 November 6th 2014

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vTJy1JdRrsI>

Attempt of murder by Toledo police and the Sheriff department in jail including poised for protecting my neighbors to date denied the jail tapes for the heat attacks Edward was having in jail kidnap held for ransom denied medical June 5th thru 7th for taking Nitroglycerin tablets Jailer finally took Edward to the hospital around 4 AM June 7th.

Other evidence Thomas Branford in Lincoln County Circuit Court October 16 2014 AD

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGU8yX5FFX8> Oaths - Lawless in Lincoln County Oregon May 30, 2014

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bFNjVX3iAFc>

2008 I stained A Police beating for a ballet title for Lawful bloodline Americans Constitution rights for subsistence hunt fisheries and food gathering on public lands on file that Friday I was on the Lars Larson state and national talk show specking of the facts of lawful bloodline Americans proven rights.

2006 Social Security false filing on given name Edward-Malone II: Johnston lawful bloodline American  
On and in my file by Toledo Oregon Mayor Sharon Rose Branstiter including former Toledo Police Chief Don  
Denison case - Oregon Trackers [www.oregontrackers.com/Don\\_Denison\\_case\\_.html](http://www.oregontrackers.com/Don_Denison_case_.html)  
SYNOPSIS: Donald A. Denison was the Chief of Police for the City of Toledo. .... In May 2005, when the...  
retaliation, Jean Cowan | Democratic Party of Oregon [dpo.org/people/jean-cowan](http://dpo.org/people/jean-cowan)  
Jean Cowan served in the Oregon House of Representatives from 2006 ... for 12 years and as Elgin Mayor...her  
son Tavis Cowan, M.D that I fired for his involvement. Including neighbors and family members that work for  
state, county and city as filed. For false complainants and file-lings to put ed in almost forced me to lose my  
security of land. As to-date conspiring with Elected Servants City county and state because as stated I refused to  
comply with the devils religions or sighn my life over to the devils contract of city county state or Federal CORPS  
elected and public servants the 2006 Criminals to make me homeless so I can be murdered by servants for being  
homeless, foreign agents who have Page 6 Affidavit of Truth

refused to failed by law with the 1938 FARA terrorist police do to-date.  
Given Name Edward-Malone II: Johnston. Non-corporation, Lawful American Bloodline, thereof:  
Domicile: Inhabitant Land Owner - Non- State Register Cooperation  
C\ONON -Cooperation ~1540 North Nye Street Oregon Territory Near Toledo  
DMM 602@1.3(e)2 Zone Improvement Plan (ZIP CODE) not required.  
February 16 2015 DOCUMENT NO: 37 - 04022016  
<https://www.facebook.com/kpicnews/posts/1092901147439076>

Whereas: United States \Constitution Document again filed 1993 with the Vatican and the Queen of England are  
found <http://www.specialcollections.uws.ac.uk/documents/1.pdf>

Whereas: <http://www.uscourts.gov/.../education.../supreme-court-landmarks> Mapp v. Ohio (1961)  
Holding: Illegally obtained material cannot be used in a criminal trial. While searching Dollree Mapp's house,  
police officers discovered obscene materials and arrested her. Because the police officers never produced a search  
warrant, she argued that the materials should be suppressed as the fruits of an illegal search and seizure. The  
Supreme Court agreed and applied to the states the exclusionary rule from Weeks v. United States (1914).

Whereas: Note Added: The unlawful actions of any public servant are punishable under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 thus  
CORPORATE POLICY does not apply to the children, women and the men of these union States whereas the  
crimes committed were during time of engagement as an employee or Corporate Officer thereof, past and present,  
and all corporate policy is null and void, ab initio, to all union states and their lawful residents and totally fail to  
comply with the Supreme Law of the Land thereof. All paperwork must be in compliance to this Supreme Law of  
the Land or it is null and void on face ab initio less it stand in Treason against the Constitution thereof. Corporations  
must obey the Constitution as the supreme law of the land, all codes and statutes, codifications and Treaties thereof.  
Any violation stands as High Treason Against the 1776, ratified 1778, Constitution for the United States of  
America thereof. Corporations are nothing more than dead entities, fictions by name only, black ink on white  
paper thereof. They can sue and be sued = They have no lawful/legal jurisdiction over mankind = Hale v. Henkle  
201 U.S. 43 at 89 (1906)

United States Supreme Court = Decision thereof:

“The “individual” may stand upon “his Constitutional Rights” as a Citizen. He is entitled to carry on his business  
in his own way. “His power to contract is unlimited.” He owes no duty to the State or to his neighbor to divulge his  
business, or to open his doors to an investigation, so far as it may tend to incriminate him. He owes no duty to the  
State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his live and property. “His rights” are such as  
“existed” by the law of the Land (Common Law) “long antecedent” to the Organization of the State”, and can only  
be taken from him by “due process of law” and “in accordance with the Constitution. “He owes nothing” to the  
public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.”

Whereas: Christianity Mind Control and its Terrifying Power Over Believers  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RJz\\_CEkXR60](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RJz_CEkXR60) Applies to all religious religions  
They are no deferred, this is the law of the USA filed again in 1993 with the Vatican and the Queen of England the  
United States of forty eight servants by contract filed <http://www.specialcollections.uws.ac.uk/documents/1.pdf> (c)  
crown copyright Catalogue

Page 7 Affidavit of Truth

Reference: EXT/9/93 page 252 1993

Arrest is presumed to be false if ...

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1. Arrest is presumed to be false; officer has the burden of proof

"Any arrest made without a warrant, if challenged by the defendant, is presumptively invalid...the burden is upon the state" to justify it as authorized by statute, and as not violative of constitutional provisions. *State v. Mastrian*, 171 N.W.2d 695 (1969); *Butler v. State*, 212 So.2d 577 (Miss 1968).

"As in the case of illegal arrests, the officer ... must keep within the law at his peril." *Thiede v. Scandia*, 217 Minn. 231, 14 N.W.2d 400 (1944).

"The burden is upon the defendant to show that the arrest was by authority of law." *McAleer v. Good*, 65 Atl. 934, 935 (1907); *Mackie v. Ambassador*, 11 P.2d 6 (1932).

The only thing the plaintiff needs to plead and to prove if alleging false arrest, is either (1) that the defendant made an arrest or imprisonment, or (2) that the defendant affirmatively instigated, encouraged, incited, or caused the arrest or imprisonment. *Burlington v. Josephson*, 153 Fed.2d 372,276 (1946).

"When the plaintiff has shown that he was arrested, imprisoned or restrained of his liberty by the defendant, "the law presumes it to be unlawful." *People v. McGrew*, 20 Pac. 92 (1888); *Knight v. Baker*, 133 P. 544(1926).

2. Must show warrant upon request

"A special deputy is bound to show his warrant if requested to do so, and if he omit, the party against whom the warrant issues may resist an arrest, and the warrant under such circumstances is no protection against an action for an assault, battery and false imprisonment." *Frost v. Thomas*, 24 Wendell's Rep. (N.Y.) 418, 419 (1840).

"An accused person, if he demands it, is entitled to have the warrant for his arrest shown to him at the time of arrest." 42 L.R.A. 682, 51 L.R.A. 211, *Crosswhite v. Barnes*, 124 S.E. 242, 245 (1924).

"He must show it to the accused, if requested to do so." *Smith v. State*, 208 S.2d 747 (Miss., 1968).

"If demanded, he must produce the warrant and read it to the accused, that he may know by what authority and for what cause he is deprived of his liberty." *State v. Shaw*, 89 S.E. 322 (1916).

"It is doubtless the duty of an officer who executes a warrant of arrest to state the nature and substance of the process which gives him the authority he professes to exercise, and, if it is demanded, to exhibit his warrant, that the party arrested may have no excuse for resistance." *Shovlon v. Com.*, 106 Pa. 369, 5 Am. Crim. Rep. 41 (1884).

"It was the duty of an officer who attempts to make an arrest to exhibit the warrant if he has one." *Jones v. State*, 114 Ga. 79, 39 S.E. 861 (1901).

#### Page 8 Affidavit of Truth

3. Warrant must be valid

A constable justifying an imprisonment under a warrant must show that the warrant on its face is legal, and that the magistrate had jurisdiction of the subject-matter. 51 L.R.A. 197, *Poulk v. Slocum*, 3 Blackfords (Ind). 421. (Meaning, you should also demand a copy of the affidavit giving the judge probable cause to issue the warrant. All warrants must issue upon submission of an affidavit of probable cause.)

"A warrant is regarded as insufficient and thus void if, on its face, it fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a crime." *Wharton's Crim. Proc.*, 12th Ed., vol. 1, p. 152 (1974).

4. No rubber-stamp "signature"

"The United States Supreme Court ... stressed the need for 'individualized review' to avoid the issuance of 'rubber stamp' warrants." *State v. Paulick*, 277 Minn. 140, 151 N.W.2d 596 (1967).

5. False arrest is assault and battery

"An arrest without warrant is a trespass, an unlawful assault upon the person ... where one is about to be unlawfully deprived of his liberty he may resist the aggressions of the offender, whether of a private citizen or a public officer, to the extent of taking the life of the assailant, if that be necessary to preserve his own life, or prevent infliction upon him of some great bodily harm." *State v. Gum*, 69 S.E. 464 (1910).

"An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right, and only the same right, to use force in defending himself as he would have in repelling any other assault and

battery.” State v. Robinson, 72 Atl.2d 262 (1950).

“Every person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest ... and, in preventing such illegal restraint of his liberty, he may use such force as may be necessary.” Columbus v. Holmes, 152 N.E.2d 306 (1958).

6. No handcuffs (sorry, OSHA)

“But a constable cannot justify handcuffing a prisoner unless he has attempted to escape, or unless it be necessary in order to prevent his doing so.” 51 L.R.A. 216.

“The handcuffing was utterly unlawful.” Osborn v. Veitch 1 Foster & Fin Eng Rep 317.

7. Go immediately to a magistrate (no photographs, no fingerprinting).

“Any undue delay is unlawful and wrongful, and renders the officer himself and all persons aiding and abetting therein wrongdoers from the beginning.” Ulvestad v. Dolphin, 278 Pac. 684 (1929).

“Compulsory fingerprinting before conviction is an unlawful encroachment...[and] involves prohibited compulsory self-incrimination.” People v. Helvern, 215 N.Y. Supp. 417 (1926).

“The one arresting has “a duty to immediately seek a magistrate,” and failure to do so “makes a case of false imprisonment.” Heath v. Boyd, 175 S.W.2d. 217 (1943); Brock v. Stimson, 108 Mass. 520 (1871).

“The power to arrest does not confer upon the arresting officer the power to detain a prisoner for other purposes.” Geldon v. Finnegan, 252 N.W. 372 (1934).

Page 9 Affidavit of Truth

“The taking of the plaintiff’s picture before conviction was an illegal act.” Hawkins v. Kuhne, 137 NY Supp 1090, 153 App Div 216 (1912).

“To detain the person arrested in custody for any purpose other than that of taking him before a magistrate is illegal.” Kominsky v. Durand, 12 Atl.2d. 654 (1940).

Summary

A warrant must be issued and be signed (no rubber stamp) by a judge who has jurisdiction;

must state the facts showing jurisdiction;

must be based upon probable cause;

must name the offense committed;

must contain an affidavit (under oath) by the accuser, stating FIRST HAND facts constituting a crime;

must name the party to be arrested, or describe him sufficiently to identify him;

must offer the warrant and the affidavit for inspection upon request;

No handcuffs;

must take me immediately before a magistrate, and hold me for no other purpose (no photographs, no fingerprinting);

You are responsible for everything that happens to me even if you relinquish custody to an assign;

Unlawful arrest is assault, battery & trespass;

There is no immunity in a false arrest case;

Good faith is not a defense to sustain false arrest.

Lastly . . . If the warrant states as cause to issue, a mere civil/statutory infraction not rising to the level of a capital crime . . . the officer must produce title to your biological property/body, before said officer can make the arrest and take possession of the biological property. You do not by accommodation, accept the offer of arrest for any statutory infraction unless the statute defines a capital crime and probable cause exists.

NOTE:

The kidnapper and held for ransom without injured party aka arresting officer that this information has been presented to, has both a civil and legal duty to become informed with the material incorporated herein before an arrest is determined to have cause to be made.

whereas :DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW SUMMARY:

Section 242 of Title 18 makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

For the purpose of Section 242, acts under "color of law" include acts not only done by federal, state, or local officials within their lawful authority, but also acts done beyond the bounds of that official's lawful authority, if the acts are done while the official is purporting to or pretending to act in the performance of his/her official duties. Persons acting under color of law within the meaning of this statute include police officers, prisons guards and other law enforcement officials, as well as judges, care providers in public health facilities, and others who are acting as public officials. It is not necessary that the crime be motivated by animus toward the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status or national origin of the victim.

The offense is punishable by a range of imprisonment up to a life term, or the death penalty, depending upon the circumstances of the crime, and the resulting injury, if any.

Whereas: Judge Rules Administrative Court System Illegal After 81 years Page 10 Affidavit of Truth

The *Miranda v Arizona* 384 U.S. 436 (1966) decision of the Supreme Court came only after decades of abuse by American police against citizens, not unlike what we are watching today. The *Miranda* decision is hated by police, prosecutors, right-wing judges, politicians, and citizens. The decision is based upon the history of the right not to be coerced that began with the famous trial of John Lilburn before the English court of the Star Chamber in 1637 where he stood tall and objected to the King's torture. Lilburn's crime was handing out pamphlets against the king. John Lilburne (1615–1657) was a leader in the Leveller Movement of the 1640s and was a prolific pamphleteer who defended religious and individual liberty of the people. He was imprisoned many times for his views and was active in the army of the New Parliament rising to the rank of Lieutenant Colonel. In October 1649, he was arrested and tried for High Treason for printing and circulating books and pamphlets critical of the government but was acquitted of all charges by a jury of his peers people around thee.

TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242:

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnaping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death. Also see 1938 Foreign Agents Registration Act (22 U.S.C.A @ 611 et seq.... restitution and identity theft passport laws. Every state has a law regarding identity theft or ... Identity theft of credit, money, goods, services, .

Whereas: LAW OF THE LAND: Finally, the Supreme Court says, "He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their Rights." The Sovereign individual does not have to pay taxes.

If you should discuss *Hale v. Henkel* with a run-of-the-mill attorney, he or she will tell you that the case is "old" and that it has been "overturned." If you ask that attorney for a citation of the case or cases that overturned *Hale v. Henkel*, there will not be a meaningful response. We have researched *Hale v. Henkel* and here is what we found : "We know that *Hale v. Henkel* was decided in 1905 in the U. S. Supreme Court. Since it was the Supreme Court, the case is binding on all courts of the land, until another Supreme Court case says it isn't. Has another Supreme Court case overturned *Hale v. Henkel*? The answer is NO. As a matter of fact, since 1905, the Supreme Court has cited *Hale v. Henkel* a total of 144 times. A fact more astounding is that since 1905, *Hale v. Henkel* has been cited by all of the federal and STATE appellate court systems a total of over 1600 times. None of the various issues of this case has ever been overruled. So if the STATE through the office of the judge continues to threaten or does imprison you, they are trying to force you into the STATE created office of "person." As long as you continue to claim your Rightful office of Sovereign, the STATE lacks all jurisdictions over you. The STATE needs someone filling the office of "person" in order to continue prosecuting a case in their Courts. A few weeks in jail puts intense pressure upon most "persons." Jail means the loss of job opportunities, separation from loved ones, and the piling up of debts. Judges will apply this pressure when they attempt to arraign you. When brought in chains before a crowded courtroom the issue of counsel will quickly come up and you can tell the court you are *In Propria Persona* or simply "PRO PER", as yourself and you need no other. Do not sign their papers or cooperate with them because most things Page 11 Affidavit of Truth

about your life are private and are not the STATE's business to evaluate. Here is the Sovereign People's command in the constitution that the STATE respect their privacy: Right of privacy -- Every man or woman has the Right to be let alone and free from governmental intrusion into their private life except as otherwise provided herein. This section shall not be construed to limit the public's Right of access to public records and meetings as provided by law. See U.S. Constitution, Ninth Amendment If the judge is stupid enough to actually follow through with his threats and send you to jail, you will soon be released without even being arraigned and all charges will be dropped. You will then have documented prima facie grounds for false arrest and false imprisonment charges against him personally. Now that you know the hidden evil in the word "person", try to stop using it in everyday conversation. Simply use the correct term, MAN or WOMAN. Train yourself, your family and your friends to never use the derogatory word "person" ever again. This can be your first step in the journey to get yourself free from all STATE COUNTY and CITY Elected and public Servant's control.

Furthermore all C.U.S.I.P., Bonds, Trust(s), Commodities, et al, shall be made hole, from the beginning, due and payable immediately, in lawful money 12 U.S.C. 411: 48 Statute 337. Please produce these Financial Documents as well: Birth Certificate Bond, CESTA QUE VIE TRUST, aka, ONE PEOPLES PUBLIC TRUST Accounts and all Commodities against this name traded and sold on Wall Street and D&B, owned by the American Bar Association, under C.U.S.I.P. Numbers and those produced by Pseudo Corporate Court/Banks by Case Number and C.U.S.I.P. Numbers thereof. Whereas all Government debt is paid and covered by FDCPA why this fraud scheme other than



to steal and pilferage the trust accounts and bonds herein fraudulently created; I would strongly suggest a Grand Jury investigation into these matters. Whether of not the man [EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II], et al, is dead I, the living man, have the lawful right to make claim as all these are the Creation by Fraud in the Inducement and without my knowledge and consent thereof. See 1933 House Resolution 192 Lawful Bloodline American non debt.

The Corporate Fraud Scheme Begins:

Whereas: 8 U.S. Code § 1401 - Nationals and citizens of United States at birth

1978—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 95–432, § 3, struck out “(a)” before “The following” and redesignated pars. (1) to (7) as (a) to (g), respectively.

U.S. citizens were declared enemies of the U.S. by F.D.R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified by Congress on March 9, 1933 = The President has no legislative authority = fraud in the inducement.

FDR changed the meaning of The Trading with the Enemy Act of December 6, 1917 by changing the word "without" to citizens "within" the United States

To cover the debt in 1933 and future debt, this now de facto corporate government determined and established the value of the future labor of each incorporated individual in its jurisdiction to be \$630,000.00 A bond bank note of \$630,000 is set on each Certificate of Live Birth. The certificates are bundled together into sets and then placed as securities on the open market. These certificates are then purchased by the Federal Reserve and/or foreign bankers. The purchaser is the "holder" of "Title." This process made each and every person in this jurisdiction a bond servant. You are now a slave !

U. S. citizens and nationals were declared enemies of the U.S. By FDR by Executive Order 2040 and ratified. Where in the Constitution did the people grant to the President an Executive Order priviledge?

Page 12 Affidavit of Truth

WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to the...<http://understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg>

Whereas: Merely being native born within the territorial boundaries of the United States of America does not make such an inhabitant a Citizen of the United States, unless an American Indian original to this land, subject to the jurisdiction of the Fourteenth Amendment “...Elk v. Wilkins, Neb (1884) 5 s.ct.41,112 U.S. 99,28 L.Ed. 643.

Whereas: “The fact is, property is a tree,; income is the fruit; labour is a tree; income the fruit; capital, the tree; income the 'fruit.' The fruit if not consumed (served) as fast as it ripens, will germinate from the seed... and will produce other trees and grow into more property; but so long as it is fruit merely, and plucked and (served) to eat... it is not tree, and will produce itself no fruit.” Waring v. City of Savannah. 60 Ga. 93, 100 (1878).

NOTICE: The Treaty of 1213 does not apply to this claim thereof WHEREAS this treaty died with the death of the Pope, 1215, having no Heirs nor Successors thereof. Attn: UNKNOWN – John and Jane Doe, aka, [JOHN AND JANE DOE], et al. Notice to Principles is notice to Agent; notice to Agent is notice to Principles thereof. Magna Carta sealed - Jun 15, 1215 - HISTORY.com [www.history.com/this-day-in-history/magna-carta-sealed](http://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/magna-carta-sealed) Following a revolt by the English nobility against his rule, King John puts his royal seal on the Magna from England a foriegn country invaded the USA lawful Bloodline American v Legal citizen The pope owns all the world , page 1 - Above Top...[www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread659352/pg1](http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread659352/pg1) Those common lawful bloodline people and immigration who fought in the American Revolution were unaware that the 1213 treaty still ruled... foriegn country's not the USA as filed 1778 ratified constitution excepted by the pope and Vatican as the records state the united states of America is free from the Vatican published by the newstimes paper in 2014 Christianity Mind Control and its Terrifying Power Over Believers [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RJz\\_CEkXR60](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RJz_CEkXR60)

Whereas: Miller vs US 230FED 486,489

“The claim and exercise of a Constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime”

Murdock vs Penn. 319 US 105, ( 1943)

No State shall convert a Liberty into a privilege, license it, and attach a fee to it”

Sherer vs Cullen 481 F 946 " There can be no sanctions or penalty imposed upon one because of his exercise of a Constitutional right”

Situttreesworth vs city of Birmingham Alabama 373 US 262

" If the state converts a right ( Liberty) into a privilege, the citizen can ignore the license and fee and engage in the right ( Liberty) with impunity”

Whereas : AN ACT RESPECTING INTRUSION ON INDIAN LANDS , ' passed March 31, 1821.

This ACT made it Un-lawful for any persons other than Indians to settle and reside upon lands belonging to or occupied by any tribe of Indians, and declared NULL AND VOID all contracts made by any Indians, whereby any other than Indians should be permitted to reside on such lands; and if any persons should settle or reside on any such lands contrary to the act, it was made the duty of any judge of any county court where such lands were situated, on complaint made to him, and due proof of such residence or settlement, to issue his warrant, directed to the sheriff, commanding him to REMOVE Page 13 Affidavit of Truth

such persons

Where is the Corpus Delicti, (~18 U.S.C. § 3771) It would appear the above are Crime Victims, Corpus Delicti themselves in all matters related thereof. This supposed tax would therefore have to comply to the Lawful Definition of "income" for all tax legislation as defined by the UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT: Straton's Indep. V Howbert 231 U.S. 339 (1913) "the gain derived from Capital, from labor or from both combined, provided it include the sale or conversion of a capital asset"; the result of corporate activity. Exactly what corporate activity are these dead entities engaged in that they would be required to file a Corporate return? Show me the Corpus Delicti thereof. The Birth Record fraud scheme deliberately established by the legal community, members of Congress, Federal Reserve, the PRESIDENT AND CEO of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, dba, A FEDERAL CORPORATION, JUDGES, LAWYERS and ATTORNEYS, Courts, Clerks of the Court, present and past, to steal these RULE 4-5.5 UNLICENSED PRACTICE OF LAW; MULTIJURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE OF LAW. Conflict of interest as Judges, Lawyers, Attorney's are barristers = tax collectors for the crown – see "Inn of Court" BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 8th Ed. PAGE 805. Are you now/ever been a 501(C)(3), et al? = Fraud by Trickery British Law = Non-profit – Tied to City of London -Water and Sewage Department?

Whereas :Whereas:42 U.S. Code § 12203 - Prohibition against...www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12203  
No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter or because such The people's rights are not derived from the government, but the government's authority comes from the people. "City of Dallas v. Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944, 945-46 (Tex.Civ.App.-Dallas 1922):

"The rights of the individual are not derived from de facto government, it's agencies, either municipal, state or federal, = "corporation's or even from the Constitution.  
They exist inherently in every man, by endowment of the Creator, and are merely reaffirmed in the Constitution, and restricted only to the extent that they have been voluntarily surrendered by the citizenship to the agencies of government. See 16th American Jurisprudence, 2nd Ed., Section 177.

The rights of the living men/women, aka (people), are not derived from the government, but the government's authority comes from the people. The Constitution but states again these rights already existing, and when legislative encroachment by the nation, state, or municipality invade these original and permanent rights, it is the duty of the courts to so declare, and to afford the necessary relief. Dejure means lawful government derived from the right and just authority of We the People in a organic continental constitutional. The people, bloodline, a sovereign creation of "God" are flesh and blood. The Corporate Fiction = [JOHN ALLEN DOE]= DEAD ENTITY thereof. The Constitution, administrative rules, created Legislative, Executive and Judicial "Branches" of our government = dead departments and can only operate by the elections, appointments and or hiring of employees = people to make them work. These public servants do not have any authority/jurisdiction over a bloodline American, Sovereign = Article II [5] thereof. The word of a sovereign is as law.Read your oath of office. Republic v. Democracy? Lawful v. British Legal System = High Treason against the Preamble and 1776, ratified 1778, Constitution for the United States of America. Congress, by legislation, cannot altar the Constitution from which it derives it's power to legislate.

Whereas: Always with respect PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY

Notice: We are not Attorney or lawyers if you would like legal = British law = Advice contact a lawyer

Page 14 Affidavit of Truth

or Attorney = barristers, even tho their is no such thing Attorney's License? Ain't No Such Thing! - Freedom...www.freedom-school.com/law/attorney-license--no-such... The one supreme Court has ruled that you cannot license law whereas the law is for everyone = free exercise to all thereof.

Whereas: It is the duty of every lawful American Citizen to oppose all enemies of this Nation, foreign and DOMESTIC. (Note added: Every Lawful and recognized American Citizen including all Elected, Appointed, hired public servant(s), Children's Protection Services, Police, Sheriff's, Martials, CIA, FBI, Capital Police, Secret Service, City Council, County Commissioners, Board of Commissioners,et al, Religious Organizations, Associations, Schools, Colleges, Universities, Schools of Law, Corporations, LLC's, Doctors, Nurses, Health Care Providers, Unions, et al, to preform they of Oath of Office, in compliance to the 1776 Constitution for the United States of America, to all matters herein related thereof.) Please help pass this information to other professionals in

your area – and honor thy 1776 Constitutional oath of office in your area of expertise it is after all as Lawful Americans' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness that 'GOD' promised mine and your bloodline of this United States of America for all mankind thereof. Please read read title 18 all of it" The Original Thirteenth Article of Amendment to the Constitution For these united, union, States

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them." [Journal of the Senate]. The exception being "indians" = being self governing = example: A title granted to Sir David-Lee: Buess. Non-Corporation, Bloodline American, Sovereign; by High King Chief Scott Phillip Hayes – Canada for pro bono work as a Private Attorney General (P.A.G.) to aid Clan Mothers, Chiefs and tribal members thereof.

Whereas:

What are the implications of this 2000, U.S. Supreme Court's Ruling?

with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Did I ever agree to be arrested and tried under any of their corporate statutes? For that matter, did I ever agree to contract with them by agreeing to be sued for violating their corporate regulations? = violations of uberrime fides and uberrima fides = ultimate truth in contract law.

1] The delegates to the first Federal Convention prohibited the use of corporations by all governments representing the American Republic. Therefore, all of these corporate governments and their corporate laws are a usurpation of the organic Constitution of the United States of America. All States Governments are now sub-corporations of the Federal Government, making all Courts and all law enforcement personnel, corporate federal agencies or employees. [See: James Madison Journal of the Federal Convention, Vol. 2, P. 722] and [Pull up your State Code on your PC and search the Code for the words "District of Columbia" and "Federal Government." You will receive about 1000 references linking your state to the federal government.

2] The state and federal government is a corporation and therefore the Congress, State Legislatures, City Councils, Municipalities and all State and Federal Courts are corporate entities posing as Constitutional branches of government.

Whereas: 1868: The Corporate Congress writes itself a new Corporate Constitution, called "the

Page 15 Affidavit of Truth

Constitution of the United States of America" and palms off this look-alike, sound-alike private corporate document "as if" it were the actual Constitution for the united States of forty eight states. This is fraud on many levels. The Constitution of the United States of America purposefully sought to confuse and delude people into thinking it was the actual Equity Contract obligating the States to receive services and subrogate their foreigner international jurisdiction to the British foreign agent federal government.

Whereas: The Supreme Court ruled that Municipalities cannot exert any acts of ownership and control over property that is not OWNED by them, see *Palazzolo v. Rhode Island* 533 US 606, 150 L.Ed. 2d 592, 121 S.Ct. \_\_ (2001) (no expiration date on the taking clause for City's illegal enforcement of its Codes on the man's private property and restricting the man's business), affirming both *Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council*, 505 US 1003, 120 L.Ed. 2d 798 (1992). (butterfly activists and Code Enforcement cannot restrict development of the man's private swampland unless they lawfully acquire the land FIRST, surveying with binoculars constitutes a "takings"), and *Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes*, 526 US 687 (1999), 143 L.Ed. 2d 882 S.Ct. \_\_ (1998).

In the Monterey case, the California private property owner was awarded \$8 million for Code Enforcement's illegal trespass and restriction of his business, and another \$1.45 million for the aggravation of a forced sale. Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891-896, quoting Section 891 "An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property." "No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce.

3] Corporations are privately owned businesses, meaning that the Corporate United States belongs to one or more private individuals, which is always governed by a Board of Directors. The Corporate United States is privately owned by a group of European Royal and Elite individuals tied to the Federal Reserve System for and the letters of incorporation are recorded in the Vatican. The President of the United States is actually the CEO of the United States and the Congress and all others are corporate employees. Everything they do is in the interest of the corporate owners! I can't access those documents because of National Security. Puerto Rico is also the HQ for the Internal Revenue Service.

4] In order to promulgate and enforce Criminal Laws to govern the SOVEREIGN public, government must be SOVEREIGN too, which is an accepted RULE of LAW derived from the, Ancient Law of Kings. Corporations are not and can never be SOVEREIGN. They are not real; they are a fiction and only exist on paper. Chapter 1303:

COMMERCIAL PAPER - 1303.01 definitions under UCC 3-103.

5] Therefore, all laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes, and ordinances to conceal their true nature. Do the Judges and lawyers know about this? It seems they do!

6] Since these government bodies are not SOVEREIGN, they cannot promulgate or enforce CRIMINAL LAWS; they can only create and enforce CIVIL LAWS, which are duty bound to comply

[Citations and Complaints are contracts but they lack transparency because I never was told what might happen to me if I agree to contract, and that I had a right to refuse the accommodation!]

7] Do any of Americas Courts have Jurisdiction over a SOVEREIGN? Yes ... but only by your consent to be judged by the Court. Can they compel [Summon or Subpoena] you to appear or participate in Page 16 Affidavit of Truth

their process? No ... they can't compel me and, yes ... they can ask but you can reject the accommodation in writing and nothing can be done about it because I have refused to give the court jurisdiction over me!

8] Enforcement of these corporate statutes by local, state and federal law enforcement officers are unlawful actions being committed against the SOVEREIGN public and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions, [Bank v. U.S., 529 US 334-2000]

9] There being no Constitution Criminal Laws or Transparency in the American Justice System, everyone arrested, convicted and sentence to person under these CIVIL LAWS are in person by CONSENT and therein, all American Jails are actually DEBTORS PRISONS!

10] Most of the Country and State Prisons and all of the Federal Prisons are privately owned corporate businesses for profit, which kick to the sentencing Judges. The Bureau of Prisons Privatization Management Branch provides general oversight, for these institutions. So if I'm convicted in this Court, for not doing a crime, just to save those from committing a crime upon this Court against me, knowing, this is a fraudulent act upon me, for defending my Liberty for America, the Republic for the United States of America, and my legal rights to the Constitution, than many have a big surprise coming, for not standing with me for our Republic.

11] Can the State Government and Courts take Custody of children, without our consent? Because I know without our consent, the agents and the officers can be held personally liable for their action! Why, so many children being taken away from their homes against their will. Just a thought, as I like to know why? I know, Orphans are a different matter and can become wards of the Court until emancipated.

12] I assume their "courts" still recognize it. American Mutual Liability Ins. Co., vs. Chaput, 60 A.2d 118, 120; 95 NH 200 , International Motor Transit Co. vs. Seattle, 251 P. 120 City of Dayton vs. DeBrosse, 23 NE.2d 647, 650; 62 Ohio App. 232

13] cruel and Inhuman punishment and treatment  
tebrate species, including but not limited to man and other. Now you are practicing cannibalism Jack ASS?

14] I believe I have now figured out how the Courts are committing intentional fraud against all;  
7 USCA § 136 (Page 3) 7 § 136 (d) Animal The term "animal" means all vertebrate and invertebrate enter thereof. It is Bond, Commodities and C.U.S.I.P. Fraud. The Court becomes a Bank when they make Commodities without licensing. Can we now say we have kangaroo Courts ran by Jackasses?

Corporate governments are a usurpation of the organic American Constitution and this corporatist onslaught in America has since its creation, been an ANTI-SOREREIGN and TERROIST REGIME and are in fact the real TERRORIST and TRAITORS to the American Republic. Since the founding of our country, the "elite" (and their Robber Baron partners) have fabricated our history, taken control of our economy, and altered our Republican form of government and installed the legal system Democracy, Socialist Communist; as I have taken notice of it too. My hope is, you stand with our Republic form of Government, Constitutionally established = Article IV Section 4. guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government and shall protect them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive.... and who will now stand with all the men, women Page 17 Affidavit of Truth

and children, sovereigns, in this fight against them. That is my Wish!

A "public official" has no rights in relation to their employer, the state or federal government:

"The restrictions that the Constitution places upon the government in its capacity as lawmaker, i.e., as the regulator of private conduct, are not the same as the restrictions that it places upon the government in its capacity as

employer. We have recognized this in many contexts, with respect to many different constitutional guarantees. Private citizens perhaps cannot be prevented from wearing long hair, but policemen can. *Kelley v. Johnson*, 425 U.S. 238, 247 (1976). Private citizens cannot have their property searched without probable cause, but in many circumstances government employees can. *O'Connor v. Ortega*, 480 U.S. 709, 723 (1987) (plurality opinion); *id.*, at 732 (SCALIA, J., concurring in judgment). Private citizens cannot be punished for refusing to provide the government information that may incriminate them, but government employees can be dismissed when the incriminating information that they refuse to provide relates to the performance of their job. *Gardner v. Broderick*, [497 U.S. 62, 95] 392 U.S. 273, 277-278 (1968). With regard to freedom of speech in particular: Private citizens cannot be punished for speech of merely private concern, but government employees can be fired for that reason. *Connick v. Myers*, 461 U.S. 138, 147 (1983). Private citizens cannot be punished for partisan political activity, but federal and state employees can be dismissed and otherwise punished for that reason. *Public Workers v. Mitchell*, 330 U.S. 75, 101 (1947); *Civil Service Comm'n v. Letter Carriers*, 413 U.S. 548, 556 (1973); *Broadrick v. Oklahoma*, 413 U.S. 601, 616-617 (1973)."  
[*Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois*, 497 U.S. 62 (1990)]

"Fraud On The Court By An Officer Of The Court"  
And "Disqualification Of Judges, State and Federal"

1. Who is an "officer of the court"?
2. What is "fraud on the court"?
3. What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding?
4. What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

1. Who is an "officer of the court"?

A judge is an officer of the court, as well as are all attorneys. A state judge is a state judicial officer, paid by the State to act impartially and lawfully. A federal judge is a federal judicial officer, paid by the federal government to act impartially and lawfully. State and federal attorneys fall into the same general category and must meet the same requirements. A judge is not the court. *People v. Zajic*, 88 Ill.App.3d 477, 410 N.E.2d 626 (1980).

2. What is "fraud on the court"?

Whenever any officer of the court commits fraud during a proceeding in the court, he/she is engaged in "fraud upon the court". In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." *Kenner v. C.I.R.*, 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23. The 7th Circuit further stated "a Page 18 Affidavit of Truth

decision produced by fraud upon the court is not in essence a decision at all, and never becomes final."

3. What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding?

"Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

It is also clear and well-settled Illinois law that any attempt to commit "fraud upon the court" vitiates the entire proceeding. *The People of the State of Illinois v. Fred E. Sterling*, 357 Ill. 354; 192 N.E. 229 (1934) ("The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters applies to judgments as well as to contracts and other transactions."); *Allen F. Moore v. Stanley F. Sievers*, 336 Ill. 316; 168 N.E. 259 (1929) ("The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters ..."); *In re Village of Willowbrook*, 37 Ill.App.2d 393 (1962) ("It is axiomatic that fraud vitiates everything."); *Dunham v. Dunham*, 57 Ill.App. 475 (1894), affirmed 162 Ill. 589 (1896); *Skelly Oil Co. v. Universal Oil Products Co.*, 338 Ill.App. 79, 86 N.E.2d 875, 883-4 (1949); *Thomas Stasel v. The American Home Security Corporation*, 362 Ill. 350; 199 N.E. 798 (1935). Under Illinois and Federal law, when any officer of the court has committed "fraud upon the court", the orders and judgment of that court are void, of no legal force or effect.

4. What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486

U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistreri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow Page 19 Affidavit of Truth

the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

"If money is wanted by rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, they may retain it until their grievances are redressed, and thus peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility." *Journals of the Continental Congress*. 26 October, 1774©1789. Journals 1: 105©13."Government immunity violates the common law maxim that everyone shall have a remedy for an injury done to his person or property." (Civil Rights) (*Firemens Ins Co of Newark, N.J. vs Washington County*. 2 Wisc 2d 214; 85 N.W.2d 840 1957.) CORPS and Engineers AKA Corporation and company's LLC , City county states Federal 501 C-3-9s are Black ink On White Paper the term AKA Black ans White, Mostly the have no Blood or bloodline Soul or heart beat. Thereof. Only CORPS And Including corporation Can Be liable of Suit under Color of Law Fraud Scam.

Whereas: <https://www.congress.gov/.../114th-congr.../house-bill/3332/text> H. R. 3332

To amend title 18, United States Code, to provide a criminal penalty for torture committed by law enforcement officers and others acting under color of law. IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES July 29, 2015 Page 20 Affidavit of Truth

Mr. Danny K. Davis of Illinois (for himself and Mr. Rush) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary A BILL

To amend title 18, United States Code, to provide a criminal penalty for torture committed by law enforcement officers and others acting under color of law.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

whereas: notified on their web pages that the "income tax" is a fraud scheme being the 16th Amendment was never lawfully/legally ratified. See Key case rulings Federal District Court TN Case No CR-1-93-91 U.S. V. LLOYD R. LONG. File your 1040X forms and get all your income tax money back (1 per taxable year) individuals and corporations can go back as far as 1912.. IRS any more whereas the INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE was ruled Un-constitutional by the U.S.Supreme Court in U.S. v. Constantine (1935) 296 U.S. 287. The IRS is tide to the IMF and not a federal agency = un-registered foreign agents. Registered with the Delaware State of Corporations as a Corporation. I believe they can be considered as Homegrown Terrorists whereas they are operating out of Porto Rico under French Law.

SECTION 1. Short title.

This Act may be cited as the "Law Enforcement Torture Prevention Act of 2015".

SEC. 2. Torture committed by law enforcement officers and others acting under color of law.

(a) In general.—Chapter 13 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 250. Torture committed by law enforcement officers and others acting under color of law

“(a) Whoever, acting under color of local, State, or Federal law, commits or attempts or conspires to commit torture shall be imprisoned for not more than 10 years, but if death results to any person from the offense, the offender shall be imprisoned for any term of years or for life.

“(b) A person may be prosecuted, tried, or punished for an offense under this section at any time without limitation.

“(c) In this section—

“(1) the term ‘torture’ means intentionally inflicting severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, on a person for such purposes as obtaining from that person or another information or a confession, punishing that person, or intimidating or coercing that person or another, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, but does not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in, or incidental to, lawful sanctions; and

“(2) the term ‘inflicting severe pain or suffering’ means—

“(A) causing or threatening to inflict severe pain or suffering;

“(B) the administration or application, or threatened administration or application, of mind-altering Page 21 Affidavit of Truth

substances or other procedures calculated to disrupt profoundly the senses or the personality;

“(C) the threat of imminent death;

“(D) the threat that another person will imminently be subjected to death, severe physical pain or suffering, or the administration or application of mind-altering substances or other procedures calculated to disrupt profoundly the senses or personality; or

“(E) sexual assault or the threat of sexual assault, including by third party; the denial of necessary medical services.”.

(b) Clerical amendment.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 13 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“250. Torture committed by law enforcement officers and others acting under color of law.”

Whereas: Justice Department warns local courts about illegal enforcement of fees and fines  
[http://www.abajournal.com/.../justice\\_department\\_warns\\_local\\_...](http://www.abajournal.com/.../justice_department_warns_local_...)

Where is the Corpus Delicti? It would appear the above are Crime Victims, Corpus Delicti themselves in all matters related thereof. This supposed tax would therefore have to comply to the Lawful Definition of "income" for all tax legislation as defined by the UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT: Straton's Indep. V Howbert 231 U.S. 339 (1913) "the gain derived from Capital, from labor or from both combined, provided it include the sale or conversion of a capital asset"; the result of corporate activity. Exactly what corporate activity are these dead entities engaged in that they would be required to file a Corporate return? Show me the Corpus Delicti thereof. The Birth Record fraud scheme deliberately established by the legal community, members of Congress, Federal Reserve, the PRESIDENT AND CEO of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, dba, A FEDERAL CORPORATION, JUDGES, LAWYERS and ATTORNEYS, Courts, Clerks of the Court, present and past, to steal these RULE 4-5.5 UNLICENSED PRACTICE OF LAW; MULTI JURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE OF LAW "An attorney for the plaintiff cannot admit evidence into the court. He is either an attorney or a witness". (Trinsey v. Pagliaro D.C.Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647).

EYEWITNESS RULE is that, in absence of eye-witness, or of any obtainable direct evidence as to what deceased did or failed to do by way of pre-caution, at and immediately before injury, pre-sumption is that he, prompted by natural instinct, was in exercise of care for his own safety, obtains. Edwards v. Perley, 223 Iowa 1119, 274 N.W. 910, 915.

Oaths of Office for Federal Judges: Statutes Defining Legal Custodian

5 U.S.C. 3331:<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/5/3331.html>

§ 3331. Oath of office Release date: 2004-01-16

An individual, except the President, elected or appointed to an office of honor or profit in the civil service or uniformed services, shall take the following oath: "I, AB, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God." This section does not affect other oaths Page 22 Affidavit of Truth

required by law.

5 U.S.C. 2906:<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/5/2906.html>

§ 2906. Oath; custody Release date: 2004-01-16

The oath of office taken by an individual under section 3331 of this title shall be delivered by him to, and preserved by, the House of Congress, agency, or court to which the office pertains.

28 U.S.C. 453: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/28/453.html>

Whereas : Sample Oath of Office as required by 28 U.S.C. 453:  
Sample Appointment Affidavit (OPM Form 61) required by 5 U.S.C. 3331-3332

§ 453. Oaths of justices and judges , Release date: 2003-05-15

Each justice or judge of the United States shall take the following oath or affirmation before performing the duties of his office: "I, XXX XXX, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as XXX under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God."

Whereas: 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, PART I - CRIMES, CHAPTER 43 - FALSE PERSONATION; HEAD: Sec. 912. Officer or employee of the United States. STATUTE: Whoever falsely assumes or pretends to be an officer or employee acting under the authority of the United States or any department, agency or officer thereof, and acts as such, or in such pretended character demands or obtains any money, paper, document, or thing of value, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both. See this and this for more information.

A court has no jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction, for a basic issue in any case before a tribunal is its power to act, and a court must have the authority to decide that question the first instance." Rescue Army v. Municipal Court of Los Angeles, 171 P2d 8: 331 US 549, 91 K, ed, 1666m 67 S, Ct, 1409

"A departure by a court from those recognized and established requirements of law however close apparent adherence to mere form in methods of procedure which has the effect of depriving one of a constitutional right, is an excess of jurisdiction." Wuest v. Wuest, 127 P2d 934, 937.\

Whereas : Bankers, et al. The FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE a debt instrument merely discharges the debt, Breach



of Public Trust and Misprision by the Congress of the United States, AKA federal employees, Fiduciary Trustees, in dealing with the Bankruptcy Act(s) of 1933 and acts of TREASON by Franklin D. Roosevelt, Congress and the Receivers of the Bankruptcies. 1779 without amendment the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, dba, NOTICE: The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IS A CROWN/VATICAN/SWISS BANK Property the result of land theft by the Pope from the Great Marzocco. ( A fraud scheme the result of the 1933 Bankruptcy Act & G5. Trustees Are: The Pope, British Monarch, U.S. Postmaster See 28 U.S.C. @ 3002 Definitions 15) United States means A) a Federal Corporation. AKA French.

8 U.S. Code § 1401 - Nationals and citizens of United States at birth Page 23 Affidavit of Truth  
1978—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 95–432, § 3, struck out “(a)” before “The following” and redesignated pars. (1) to (7) as (a) to (g), respectively.

U.S. citizens were declared enemies of the U.S. by F.D.R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified by Congress on March 9, 1933

FDR changed the meaning of The Trading with the Enemy Act of December 6, 1917 by changing the word "without" to citizens "within" the United States

To cover the debt in 1933 and future debt, the corporate government determined and established the value of the future labor of each incorporated individual in its jurisdiction to be \$630,000. A bond of \$630,000 is set on each Certificate of Live Birth. The certificates are bundled together into sets and then placed as securities on the open market. These certificates are then purchased by the Federal Reserve and/or foreign bankers. The purchaser is the "holder" of "Title." This process made each and every person in this jurisdiction a bond servant.

U.S. citizens were declared enemies of the U.S. by F.D.R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified

WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to  
the...[http://understandcontractlawandyowin.com/hjr-192-discharg...](http://understandcontractlawandyowin.com/hjr-192-discharg.../)/ Jun 7, 2014 ... House Joint Resolution 192 was then passed by Congress on June 5, 1933. This law was passed to do away with the gold clause For lawful Bloodline American ...  
House Joint Resolution 192, 1933 - \*\*\*\*Redemption - [tribe.net](http://tribe.net)  
[tribes.tribe.net/redemption101/thread/07f05122-0090-408b...](http://tribes.tribe.net/redemption101/thread/07f05122-0090-408b...)

US Code - Chapter 31: EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT [codes.lp.findlaw.com](http://codes.lp.findlaw.com) › US Code › Title 18 › Part I

FindLaw provides US Code - Chapter 31: EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT for ... programs receiving Federal funds; Section 667 Theft of ... Law Firm Marketing Services /

18 U.S. Code Chapter 31 - EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT |...

[www.law.cornell.edu](http://www.law.cornell.edu) › U.S. Code › Title 18 › Part I

Federal law; World law; Lawyer directory; Legal encyclopedia. ... § 666 - Theft or bribery concerning programs receiving Federal funds § 667 - Theft of livestock

#### NOTICE:

It is a crime for any government office or any official to auction or otherwise sell in any way, private or business property of any individual WITHOUT FIRST HAVING DUE PROCESS OF LAW, to determine the cause of action and the recourse in law. The sale of any property outside this means is illegal, and all those involved with such a sale, including those purchasing said property, are personally liable for damages, and subject to criminal charges under Racketeering (RICO ACT).

..O) laws, and for violation of civil and Due Process rights. All government officials have the "Greater Duty" to know the law and comply with it, and if you are involved with such an auction without Due Process for the owner, you are in breach of your fiduciary duty and you can be held personally liable by those harmed by this fraud. Any challenge to property taxation or property sale made by any citizen  
Page 24 Affidavit of Truth

requires you to respond, point by point, and to "prove up" your position in law. which it enters applies to judgments as well as to contracts and other transactions."); Allen F. Moore v. Stanley F. Sievers, 336 Ill. 316; 168 N.E. 259 (1929) ("The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters ..."); In re Village of Willowbrook, 37 Ill.App.2d 393 (1962) ("It is axiomatic that fraud vitiates everything."); Dunham v. Dunham, 57 Ill.App. 475 (1894), affirmed 162 Ill. 589 (1896); Skelly Oil Co. v. Universal Oil Products Co., 338 Ill.App. 79, 86 N.E.2d 875, 883-4 (1949); Thomas Stasel v. The Federal law, when any officer of the court has committed "fraud upon the court", the orders and judgment of that court are void, of no legal force or effect. AMY In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. Liteky v. U.S., 114 S.Ct.

1147, 1162 (1994). That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In Pfizer Inc. v. Lord, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice." "Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect. Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause."). Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law. If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself. However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances. JUDGE YOU HAVE BEEN AUTOMATICALLY DISQUALIFIED BY LAW. The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce. Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts. This is the right of all lawful bloodline American's. It is the right of the men, women of this nation to altar and abolish any form of government and these amendment are to preserve and protect us from high crimes and misdemeanors currently run a muck by the Congress and the President under 28 U.S.C. @ 3002 Definition 15) United States means A) A FEDERAL CORPORATION also see B) and C) fraud by trickery – intentional fraud thereof.

this is why it has to be done in Admiralty law: In Title 28 U.S.C. Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, in the chapter and section that defines "court," "debt," "judgment," and "United States" (Chapter 176 Federal Debt Collection Procedure, Section 3002), "The United States is a "Federal Corporation": 28 Page 25 Affidavit of Truth

U.S.C. § 3002(15) .

All government officials and agencies, including all State legislatures, are bound by the Constitution and must NOT create any defacto laws which counter the Constitution: The U.S. Supreme Court, in 1895, ruled unconstitutional a federal law containing income taxes, Bills, statutes and codes with arguments concerning class warfare and the definition of a direct tax. "Herein...Ohio's Doctrine of Governmental Immunity was held unconstitutional and others to numerous to mention." (Civil Rights) (Krause vs Ohio, app 2d 1 L.N.W. 2d 321 1971.) Reich vs State Highway Dept. 336, Mich 617: 194 N.W. 2d 700 197"Employees of a city or state are not immune from suit under statute relating civil rights for deprivations of rights on ground that officials were acting within the scope of their ground that officials were acting within the Scope of their responsibilities of performing a discretionary act." (Bunch vs Barnett 376 F.Supp. 23.)"Title 28 Section 1391, this section makes it possible to bring actions against government officials and agencies in district court outside D.C." (Civil Rights) (Norton vs Meshane 14 L.Ed. 2d 274.)A suit in detinue or replevin in personam should lie to gain possession of property seized by the state. (Civil Rights) Stephen, Pleading (3rd Am ed) p. 47, 52, 69, 74; Ames Lectures on legal history, p. 64, 71; Wilkins v. Despard, 5 Term Rep- 112; Roberts v. Withered, % Mod. 193, 12 Mod. 92.

Whereas the IMF/IRS are unregistered foreign agents as is the 28 U.S.C. @ 3002 definition 15) United States means A) FEDERAL CORPORATION This corporation is de facto without standing in law as it was and remains to this day Treason against the 1776, ratified 1778, Constitution for the United States of America, violation of Oath of Office, Misprision's, Collusion, Hones Service Fraud, Extortion, R.I.C.O., land theft, Identity Theft, Personage whereas No Constitutional Amendment authorized our elected, appointed and hired employees to create this Corporation

I revoke all and any consent actual or implied to act as or be considered a voluntary surety, trustee, volunteer, a corporate officer of any kind, a Un-Constitution tax payer, commercial driver, corporate franchise operator, warrant officer, licensee, beneficiary of the public charitable trust or any other individual or employee subject to the British Crown or Vatican Pope the British King in any capacity whatsoever.

"Both before and after the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal Constitution, it has not been necessary for a person to be a citizen of the United States in order to be a citizen of his state." Crosse v. Board of Supervisors of Elections (1966) 221 A.2d 431 p.4

"The Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, ratified[1] in 1868, CREATES or at least recognizes for THE FIRST TIME a [federal] citizenship of the United States, AS DISTINCT FROM THAT OF THE STATES..."

Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition

[1] This is a BOLD LIE, it was never ratified per Article V of the U.S. Constitution (Congressional Record House, June 13, 1967, pg 15641-15646 and Dyett v Turner (1968) are VERY CLEAR about this)

Who's corporation are running the nations prisons? Profiteering from public office?

RICO and personage 47 U.S.C. § 151 (2012).5= prisoners are being extorted and false claims/billings.

See PRISON PHONE CONTRACT DATA / KICKBACKS / DAYTIME COLLECT CALL RATES, NATION INSIDE (2012) available at [http://nationinside.org/images/pdf/RATE\\_CHART\\_10\\_30\\_12.pdf](http://nationinside.org/images/pdf/RATE_CHART_10_30_12.pdf) (data based on prison phone Page 26 Affidavit of Truth

contracts obtained via public records requests from all 50 states, revised as of December 31, 2012). A local 30-minute phone call can range anywhere from free-of-charge in Alaska, to over \$9.00 in Colorado, Maine, or Montana; an intrastate 30-minute phone call can be as little as \$1.40-2.40 in Florida, Nebraska, New York, and Rhode Island, but as much as \$14.00 in Kansas, over \$16.00 in South Dakota, and almost \$25.00 in Oregon; an interstate 30-minute phone call will cost the recipient anywhere from under \$5.00 in states such as Nebraska, New York, and Florida, to over \$30.00 if placed from a prisoner in Alabama, Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Minnesota, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, or Washington. [nationinside.org](http://nationinside.org), OMB Control Number: 1545-0074 - The White House [https://www.whitehouse.gov/.../2005\\_form83i\\_submission\\_for\\_15...](https://www.whitehouse.gov/.../2005_form83i_submission_for_15...) Sep 13, 2005 ... SCHEDULES-R-SE. ACTION : Approved without change. OMB NO.: 1545-0074. EXPIRATION DATE: 12/31/2006. BURDEN: RESPONSES.

UCC 2-302

Unconscionable contract or Clause.

(1) If the court as a matter of law finds the contractor any clause of the contract to have been unconscionable at the time it was made the court may refuse to enforce the contract, or it may enforce the remainder of the contract without the unconscionable clause, or it may so limit the application of any unconscionable clause as to avoid any unconscionable result.

(2) When it is claimed or appears to the court that the contractor any clause thereof may be unconscionable the parties shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to present evidence as to its commercial setting, purpose and effect to aid the court in making the determination.

Again It is the duty of every lawful American Citizen to oppose all enemies of this Nation, foreign and DOMESTIC. (Note added: Every Lawful and recognized American Citizen including all Elected, Appointed, hired public servant(s), Children's Protection Services, Police, Sheriff's, Martials, CIA, FBI, Capital Police, Secret Service, City Council, County Commissioners, Board of Commissioners, et al, Religious Organizations, Associations, Schools, Colleges, Universities, Schools of Law, Corporations, LLC's, Doctors, Nurses, Health Care Providers, Unions, et al, to preform they of Oath of Office, in compliance to the 1776 Constitution for the United States of America, to all matters herein related thereof.) Please help pass this information to other professionals in your area – and honor thy 1776 Constitutional oath of office in your area of expertise it is after all as Lawful Americans' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness that 'GOD' promised mine and your bloodline of this United States of America for all mankind thereof. Please read read title 18 all of it" The Original Thirteenth Article of Amendment To The Constitution For The United States

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them." [Journal of the Senate] What are the implications of this 2000, U.S. Supreme Court's Ruling?

Identical to Nazi Germany Emergency Alert System | FEMA.gov [www.fema.gov/emergency-alert-system](http://www.fema.gov/emergency-alert-system)

This section contains information on the Emergency Alert System ... and the National EAS Test that

Page 27 Affidavit of Truth

was designed to assess the ... in the test. FEMA originated ...FEMA's First National Emergency Activation Test...[newyork.cbslocal.com/2011/11/09/fema-conductin...](http://newyork.cbslocal.com/2011/11/09/fema-conductin...)

Nov 08, 2011 · Glitches Reported During FEMA's First-Ever National Emergency Alert System Test ... 2011 CBS Broadcasting Inc ... the test. Anyone ever see FEMA get ...FEMA to launch first national test of emergency...[republicanherald.com/news/fema-to-launch-first-nat...](http://republicanherald.com/news/fema-to-launch-first-nat...)

FEMA to launch first national test of emergency broadcast system. ... System," will have its first national ... test of

the system," according to the FEMA ...

"If money is wanted by rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, they may retain it until their grievances are redressed, and thus peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility." Journals of the Continental Congress. 26 October, 1774-1789. Journals 1: 105-113.

Always with respect PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY

Notice: All Rights Reserved. Permission to distribute for non-commercial purposes is hereby granted, in whole or part, provided attribution and a link to this article is included. Commercial distribution without the written permission of the author is prohibited. This Public email message, including any attachment(s) is limited to the sole use of the intended recipient and may contain Privileged and/or Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate Government(s), Municipality(ies), International Organizations, Corporation(s), agent(s), investigator(s), or informant(s), et. al., and/or Third Party(ies) working in collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email(s), and any other means of spying and collecting these Communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred from Any and All Unauthorized Review, Use, Disclosure or Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My Rights, Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights or Reserved Rights Notice. \*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. Anything stated in this email may be limited in the content and is not to be taken out of context. \*\*Wireless Copyright Notice\*\*. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights or Reserved Rights U.C.C.1-308. NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS. NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO AGENTS

Autograph - Without Prejudice: Given Name Edward-Malone:Johnston II. Non-corporation, Lawful American Bloodline, thereof: Domicile: Inhabitant Private Land Owner - Non- State Register Cooperation C\ONON -Cooperation ~1540 North Nye Street Oregon Territory Near Toledo DMM 602@1.3(e)2 Zone Improvement Plan (ZIP CODE) not requir Page 28 Affidavit of Truth

Autograph lawful bloodline American \_\_\_\_\_ Seal \_\_\_\_\_

Page 29 Affidavit of Truth