MCCPTA Committee Restructure proposal

Current committee structure:

 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Curriculum Gifted Child ESOL Health and Safety Membership and Engagement Bylaws Finance Nominating Student Involvement Training Legislative 	mmittees
 Multicultural Awareness Operating Budget Outreach Special Education Communications Advocacy events Awards Cultural Arts Presidents and Principal Reflections 	pals event

Proposed changes:

<u>Change</u>	<u>Rationale</u>
Rename Legislative Committee - change to Advocacy committee	Old name is archaic, relies on old mimicry of MDPTA committee nomenclature — the work of MCCPTA's committee is markedly different from MDPTA Legislative committee — MCCPTA's truly is an advocacy committee. We have already changed officer titles — from VP Legislation to VP Advocacy
Redesignate Leg/Adv committee from Administrative to Standing	 MCCPTA is primarily an advocacy organization. The committee that coordinates our advocacy work should be critical to the work of our BOD
Eliminate Advocacy Events committee	 No strong reason to have Advocacy Events separate from Advocacy/Leg committee, operationally our advocacy events have been a subpart of our advocacy/leg. Committee work. As such, MCCPTA hasn't had an Advocacy Events committee in many years

- Eliminate Outreach Committee
- Rename Multicultural Awareness to Multicultural Engagement, and make subcommittee under Membership and Engagement
- Outreach events are core to the work of most MCCPTA committees. There is no clear purpose for having a separate Outreach Committee and this committee has been fallow for many years
- Committee has been inactive for several years, in part because of an inability to articulate a clear vision for the committee's work, while all agree MCCPTA needs to focus more directly on supporting our local PTAs in engaging ALL members of their school communities.

MCCPTA has had recent struggles in the BOD to meet quorum, which inhibits our ability to do the work of the organization. In part, this has led to a re-evaluation of our committee structure, and questioning of why we have the committees we have.

Review of historical records shows that the number, and name, of MCCPTA committees has varied dramatically over time — with committees being established for a particular purpose, working for a period of time, then going dormant — and finally being eliminated. Given that, it seems that MCCPTA could perhaps make more use of ad hoc committees, and ensure that a particular area of work or purpose will be sustained before formalizing a specific committee?