Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64081), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Winter Qtr 2017

Responses: 10/25 (40%)

ATTENDANCE

- 1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - **0** Once
 - **0** 2 3 times
 - **0** 4 5 times
 - **2** 6 7 times
 - **2** 8 times
 - 6 More than 8 times
- 2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 4 Never
 - 4 Once
 - **2** 2 3 times
 - **0** 4 5 times
 - **0** 6 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - **0** More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

- 3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - $\mathbf{0}$ 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)
- 4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - **0** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 5
 - 3 6
 - 7 (Among Best)
- 5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - **0** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

04/02/2017 Page 1 of 3

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64081), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Winter Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 1 (Among Worst) 0 0 0 3 0 4 (OK or Average) 0 5 $\mathbf{2}$ 6 7 (Among Best) 7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 1 (Among Worst) 0 2 0 0 4 (OK or Average) 0 5 $\mathbf{2}$ 6 8 7 (Among Best) 8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 0 1 (Among Worst) 0 0 0 4 (OK or Average) 0 5 7 (Among Best) 9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 0 1 (Among Worst) 0 0 3 0 4 (OK or Average) 0 $\mathbf{2}$ 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

- 10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
 - 0 Poor
 - 0 Inadequate
 - **0** Less than adequate
 - **0** Adequate
 - **0** More than adequate
 - 3 Very good
 - 7 Excellent

COMMENTS

- 11. <No question text>
 - 1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

04/02/2017 Page 2 of 3

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64081), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Winter Qtr 2017

- He's awesome. There's nothing more to say I just wish he would've continued to be himself and be unfiltered.
- He clarified many gaps in lecture and helped us relate concepts to IR theories. I was able to see a different perspective in discussion and think more broadly. The discussions were the highlight of this course for me.
- He is intelligent, very passionate about the material, and he is interactive with the students.
- He made his presentations interesting and entertaining. Very funny guy
- He outlined the book which was super helpful. I have a hard time outlining books, so his notes helped me a lot. This guy should have taught the class/ I think I learned more from him than the professor. Also he's super passionate about the course which makes it more enjoyable!
- He was very good at teaching the subject.
- The PowerPoints were very helpful. Clear explanations
- VERY SMART. I LOVE HIS IDEAS
- 2 blank answer(s).
- 2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
 - Be yourself, curse if you have to it really makes points stick in our head!
 - For the powerpoints, maybe put less words on each slides. They were good and informative, but they had so much information that it was kind of overwhelming.
 - GET RID OF THE STUDENT PRESENTATIONS, THEY ARE KILLING TIME
 - Keep up the good work, you are the best TA I have ever had.
 - Nope
 - 5 blank answer(s).

04/02/2017 Page 3 of 3