Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

Medical Supply Acquisition in Italy and the United States in the Era of Covid-19:

The Case for Strategic Procurement and Public-Private Partnerships

Veronica Vecchi\*, Niccolò Cusumano\*, Eric Boyer\*\*

\*Bocconi University school of management, Italy; \*\* University of Texas in El Paso, US

Veronica Vecchi is associate professor of practice in Business Government Relations at Bocconi University School of Management, where she serves as Director of the executive program in Health Contracting and Purchasing Management and Director of Executive MBA at SDA Bocconi Asia Center, Mumbai. She is also adjunct professor of Long-Term Investment & PPP at Bocconi University. Veronica is author of several publications on PPP and procurement.

Niccolò Cusumano is associate professor of practice of Government, Health and Not for Profit at Bocconi University School of Management. From 2017 he coordinates the executive program in Health Contracting and Purchasing Management. As adjunct professor of Bocconi University he teaches in graduate and undergraduate courses. He authored several publications on PPP and procurement.

Eric Boyer is an assistant professor of public administration at the University of Texas in El Paso, where he teaches graduate courses on public and nonprofit management, and government contracting. He has authored several publications on PPPs.

# **Abstract**

The paper analyzes contracting challenges faced by Italian healthcare authorities and U.S. procurement officials in the immediate aftermath of the Covid-19 crisis, and it provides practitioner-derived lessons for improving procurement in times of disaster. The lessons we have learned so far emphasize (1) the need to recognize the strategic role of procurement, (2) empowering procurement officials, (3) formalized coordinative mechanisms cannot ensure effectiveness without trust among different governance levels, (4) the ability to identify reliable and proactive suppliers of personal protective equipment, (5) the importance of stimulating the economic market to diversify the production of needed materials and to ensure a more risk-resilient supply chain, and (6) the critical role of public-private collaborations to ensure responsiveness and resilience of healthcare systems.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

## Introduction

The crisis surrounding the 2019 novel coronavirus (Covid-19) highlights the case for strategic procurement of medical supplies, such as ventilators and personal protective equipment (PPE), whose global supply chains were initially disrupted by the lockdown in China and subsequently, by a surge in global demand. Responding to a global emergency like Covid-19 requires the development of systems that can improve disaster resilience (Manyena, 2006, Holling, 1973), and efforts to expand and sustain the procurement of medical supplies. The challenge is that public procurement transfers higher levels of contract risk onto public agencies in times of disaster (Buor, 2019, Gabler, Richey Jr., and Stewart, 2017, McKnight and Linnenluecke, 2016, Walker et al., 2013). Procuring authorities require goods and services at the same time, setting off bidding wars that escalate the costs of supplies. Because of the lack of suppliers, procurement officials often rely on vendors from gray markets (Antia et al., 2006, Huang, Lee, and Ho, 2004), including those who may have little or no experience working for the government or even in supplying the products they have been contracted to provide. Procurement officials also rely on thin markets (Theobald and Price, 1984, Beck and Maher, 1986), whereby the number of available vendors is limited and the urgent need for medical supplies requires sole-sourced, no-bid contracts.

This paper sheds the light on the main challenges faced by two of the countries most affected by Covid-19, Italy and the United States, which are characterized by opposite healthcare systems. Private providers and private insurance companies characterize the U.S. system; in Italy, there is a national healthcare service with universal coverage, financed by general taxation. In both countries, there are procuring agencies that serve a network of hospitals. The procurement of medical supplies in Italy and the U.S. have traditionally prioritized contractor competition, to avoid service cuts in the former and to increase profitability in the latter (Meehan, Ludbrook, and Mason, 2016). For example, U.S. hospitals and their purchasing agents have come to rely less on their own stockpiles of supplies of PPEs (Volland et al., 2017) and have instead turned to just-in-time inventories to bring down costs (Swanson, 2020). In both the U.S. and Italy, hospital purchasing depends on foreign manufacturing,

Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

and international supply chains that provide critical input products for the domestic medical suppliers that remain. As a case in point, the U.S. imported more than \$8.3 trillion in medical and pharmaceutical supplies from Chinese firms in 2019 alone, which is estimated to produce more than 50% of the world's surgical facemasks (UNICEF, 2020).

Another issue for Italian officials is that public procurement in healthcare has come to prioritize cost and compliance over innovation (Patrucco, Luzzini, and Ronchi, 2016). There has been a severe lack of identifying governance solutions that could transform procurement into a more strategic process – specifically, to stimulate innovation, coordination, and evidence-based decision-making. The perception that efforts to prevent corruption in contracting have swung so far that bureaucratic cultures and the overlapping and fragmented nature of legal controls stifle any attempt at contracting innovation (Meehan, Ludbrook, and Mason, 2016). The result is a formal and informal public procurement institution that does not give adequate attention to issues of long-term risk in supply chain disruptions, business continuity concerns, or product integration – which could better buffer the public sector to surges in demand.

The commentary analyzes contracting challenges faced by Italian healthcare authorities and U.S. procurement officials in the immediate aftermath of the Covid-19 crisis, and it provides practitioner-derived lessons for improving procurement in times of disaster. While the implications of the crisis are still evolving, we draw from thirty in-depth interviews with members of the leading medical supply companies and procurement officials in Italy (consulted in two waves in the first 45 days of the pandemic in Italy, see annex 1), a focus group with representatives of regional centralized public purchasing bodies and one representative of Italian national purchasing agency, Consip (see annex 2), U.S. federal contracting data, and archival records to derive our conclusions. The empirical findings, while drawing from preliminary observations in the crisis, offer evidence-based solutions and promising practices to provide guidance to procurement officials from a variety of public sector backgrounds (Jennings and Hall, 2012). The central findings suggest the potential for a strategic approach to public procurement, and more collaborative, partnership-based approaches for mitigating supply-related risks between business and government.

< Table 1: Conventional Procurement vs. Strategic Procurement >

Procuring During a Health Emergency: Challenges in Italy and the U.S.

The Italian case

Italy was the first western country to be struck by the Covid-19 outbreak. Their early involvement in the crisis provided authorities with an advantage in procuring supplies from the international marketplace, but they were disadvantaged in developing their crisis response plans without many other examples to follow. Public procurement is administered at three governmental levels in Italy: Consip, the national purchasing agency, procures at the national level; regional purchasing agencies procure goods at the regional level; and local health authorities acquire goods not covered by purchasing agencies and manage supply contracts.

The regional authorities are the primary procurement bodies responsible for acquiring medical supplies (Raudla et al., 2015). Regional authorities worked to centralize decision-making and critical functions at the regional level to trim costs. This trend was reinforced by national government policies aimed at reducing expenditures and fighting corruption.

On January 31, 2020, the Italian Government declared the state of emergency and named as special commissioner the head of Civil Protection Department, which at this point started to procure and deliver critical goods. A month later, the Civil Protection adopted "operational civil protection measures for the management of the epidemiological emergency from Covid-19" activating a national "Civil Protection Operations Committee" and "Regional Crisis Units," which were designed to facilitate coordination between institutional levels involved in purchasing critical medical supplies. In the immediate aftermath of the Covid-19 crisis, agencies conflicted with one another in the acquisition of medical supplies, demonstrating a severe lack of coordination and a great deal of competition that both hindered the success of acquisition and drove up the prices for scarce goods. Due to a lack of expertise in dealing with public health emergencies and the conflicting roles between the national and regional authorities, the latter increasingly acted independently and took initiative in purchasing critical

goods and services within existing governance frameworks established before the outbreak or developing new approaches.

For example, the Tuscany and Veneto regions further shifted the responsibility for procuring and delivering critical medical supplies from their local health authorities to their regional purchasing agencies. In Tuscany, the procuring agency was already in charge of stock management and delivery. In Veneto, a new centralized logistic system was put in place in only a few weeks time to ensure efficiency in the distribution of critical supplies. The Emilia-Romagna region, by contrast, allocated the responsibility to buy critical supplies to a small group of leading hospital authorities, thus relegating the role of the regional purchasing body to provide coordination and support. The Lombardy and Piedmont regions strengthened the role of their central purchasing agencies by letting them directly purchase materials on behalf of local health authorities. In every region, local health authorities and hospitals continued to fill gaps left in regional supplies.

Overall, the response capacity of procuring system was imperiled where relationships and trust between hospitals and the regional procuring authority were not consolidated.

Despite the diversity of regional models adopted, and national level efforts to consolidate interjurisdictional efforts, severe coordination problems emerged between national and regional authorities. Specific examples included the identification of supply needs and the organization of deliveries. Without national coordination, regional procurement authorities activated an informal network to launch joint tenders, share market information and exchange good practices. Only after the first two months of the crisis had passed did the Healthcare Minister appoint a special Commissioner to ensure better coordination between the two institutional levels.

An international rush for supplies produced in global manufacturing hubs, a lack of national production after years of spending cuts, and decades of pressure on procurement authorities to seek cheaper products precipitated the sever medical supply shortage. When Italian manufacturers of luxury brands and other domestic manufacturers in the textile industry started to convert their production lines to accommodate for needed health care supplies, the regulatory and authorization processes were exceedingly lengthy. In some cases, procurement officials decided to proceed anyway

and circumvent the regulatory requirements. In other cases, officials waited them out. Some of the most innovative regional procuring authorities played a more of a strategic role in contracting. They activated a local network of companies and research centers to commence and to intensify the production of critical medical supplies. Their knowledge of the local industry and their relationships with domestic companies made this possible.

Due to the pressures to acquire products within a limited contractor market and with accelerated timeframe, differences also emerged in the use and interpretations of emergency public procurement regulation and procedures. In some regions, procurement officials continued to launch open competitive tenders, albeit with accelerated terms, and in others, they entered in direct negotiations with potential suppliers. The national procurement regulator was notably absent and did not provide any guidance to regional authorities in navigating the legal uncertainty, such as for advanced payments.

# The U.S. Case

By the time that Covid-19 became a national crisis in the U.S., the virus had been declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO), and other Western European countries like Italy were enacting drastic social distancing policies to limit its spread. President Trump declared the Covid-19 a National Emergency on March 13, 2020. Since that time, more than 3,407 contracts have been issued by 75 federal agencies for products and services related to the Covid-19 response – with a total contract value of more than \$8 billion (FPDS, 2020). State and local governments also established their own procurement efforts, with many of them creating web portals to facilitate purchases (Wolf, 2020), and many others establishing purchases with vendors directly. Similar to the procurement efforts in Italy, government agencies have been acquiring higher quantities of medical supplies to supplant the efforts of hospital purchasing networks.

Also similar to the Italian case, the U.S. experience has been marked by fragmentation among purchasing agents. U.S. hospitals and their purchasing agencies, state and local governments, and federal authorities all compete with one another to purchase medical supplies (Cook and Diamond, 2020). A team working for the Governor of Kentucky negotiated a substantial purchase of PPE from a

Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

Chinese vendor, only to be informed at the last minute that the contract had been purchased out from under them – by the U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) (Watkins and Mencarini, 2020). Similar federal buy-outs of medical supply contracts also occurred for the City of Phoenix. Because of the decentralized nature of contracting efforts, procurement officials are often informed of competing offers by the vendors themselves, which escalate the costs for high priced goods. Due to its size and its deep pockets, the U.S. federal government often outbids other purchasers for supplies. Federal purchasing, rather than assisting local authorities, has driven up prices. Federal authorities have also drawn from government resources to charter international flights to transport supplies to the U.S. and to employ military officials to assist with domestic supply manufacturing. An unanswered question is whether utilizing the Defense Protection Act to secure more domestic orders and to effectively drive the price down would improve the costs and delivery for taxpayers.

Procurement officials at all levels of the U.S. government have also increasing relied on gray markets, issuing contracts to vendors with little or no experience providing medical supplies. At least 41% of the federal contracts issued for the Covid-19 response were sole-sourced without competition (FPDS, 2020). One example is a no-bid contract awarded to a small Virginia firm to supply FEMA with 10 million N95 masks for \$55 million. Their last contract for the federal government was for \$50,000, and their current contract relies primarily on supply from an international vendor. The deadlines for delivering supplies related to Covid-19 stretch into 2021, but the risk for missed deadlines or failed deliveries is borne by the public sector. This is a particular risk in areas where first-time contractors are sourcing products from abroad. Some U.S. federal agencies are reporting proof-of-life videos to verify that first-time suppliers have the inventory advertised. Vendors also often require payment upfront, and hospital purchasing agents are forced to consider medical suppliers who they have never worked with before, including retailers that do not have recognized manufacturer standards of quality (Watkins and Mencarini, 2020). One hospital purchased what they thought were surgical facemasks, only to find that they had been received products typically used in nail salons (Harwell, 2020).

U.S. efforts to increase the supply of medical products have faced similar challenges as those highlighted by their Italian counterparts, in relying on domestic production. U.S. authorities have relied

extensively on international vendors, often via U.S.-based contractors. Even with domestic manufacturers of N95 masks reporting that they have doubled their rates of production, and with new domestic vendors entering the market (Hufford, 2020), they are not able to produce the number of supplies required for the crisis. Working at full capacity, domestic suppliers are expecting to produce about 50 million N95 masks per month. Public health officials at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services estimate that they need upwards of 300 million of the masks per month (Hufford, 2020). Domestic mask producers like 3M have typically developed their masks for the industrial sector, to protect against dust and metal shavings in factory work. To accelerate domestic production, U.S. officials have faced similar challenges as their Italian counterparts in waiving regulations to remove barriers to entry for new firms to produce these products and for other suppliers to make the transition. One of the largest obstacles for 3M, the largest N95 mask producer in the U.S., has been transitioning their mask designs from industrial purposes to medical purposes. Their work was held back by their request for a liability waiver from the U.S. Congress to protect them from lawsuits in the medical sector - the in case a respirator did not prevent an infection. The issue was finally resolved through legislation passed on March 18, 2020 where the U.S. government agreed to assume the risk, but prior agreements with industrial leaders could have made the transition more seamless. Suppliers entering the market for providing N95 masks also often require a three-month approval process to begin their operations. Those requirements have been waived to speed up domestic production.

< Table 2: Common Challenges in Crisis Procurement: Observations from Italy and the U.S. >

# Lessons Learned from Medical Supply Practitioners in Italy: Paving the Way for More Strategic Procurement

In Italy intergovernmental tension was driven by weak institutional trust, where formalized coordination mechanisms were difficult to establish within levels of governance. The issue was particularly acute in those contexts where the role of the procurement function, embodied by centralized purchasing agencies, was not recognized as strategic, but simply as an administrative

process. Shortages in critical materials were also less severe where regional purchasing agencies started to actively manage demand by keeping under control local panic-buying and hoarding.

The need to make urgent and vital decisions pushed public managers to make bold decisions, to speed-up procedures, and to establish dialogue with the market in ways hardly conceivable before the crisis. In the words of a director of one regional purchasing agency: "We have been caught by a de-bureaucratization hormone and we destroyed the myth that public tenders need fifteen months to be carried out" (Ms Monica Piovi, Director General, ESTAR).

During the outbreak, procuring authorities understood the relevance of identifying reliable and proactive suppliers. This could pave the way in future for establishing vendor rating solutions, which were never previously adopted because of the predominant formalistic approach and the fear of litigation. A vendor rating system would allow procuring authorities to select providers on the basis of a broader set of parameters, such as their previous experiences; their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) policies; and their risk management capabilities. Such a system would also help to build trust between buyers and suppliers.

This emergency shed light on the critical role of business-government cooperation to improve the resilience of the healthcare system. As reported by the CEOs of two medical supply companies in this sample, holistic solutions (e.g. devices with the necessary consumables or information technology (IT) platforms that integrate territorial and acute care) and public-private collaborations in the fields of telemedicine and logistics, can improve the rapidity in clinical response, real time data collection, and flexibility. When a partnership is in place, public authorities can leverage private capacity to quickly update and expand services, as discussed by the CEO of the company who helped Italian hospitals expand intensive care unit (ICU) capacity.

Many of the interviewees from both the private and public sectors argued that the search for price minimization, even for less important supplies such as masks and sanitizers, has harmed the national market. During the outbreak some procuring authorities worked with clinicians, local companies, and universities not only to increase the production of PPEs but also to conceptualize and manufacture incremental innovations. This is an example of co-innovation that enforces the

opportunity to shift public procurement towards a more strategic role (with procuring authorities working as a sophisticated public buyer, able to stimulate the market to conceptualize and offer solutions and not just replicate existing products). This also illustrates the importance of small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) having access to public procurement markets. Despite widespread supranational recommendations (OECD, 2019), the involvement of SMEs in public procurement is still limited.

# **Discussion**

The extraordinary Covid-19 outbreak faced by governments around the world sheds light on a number of important strategies for improving public procurement in times of disaster. It also reveals the need for more innovative thinking about government acquisition, including an enhanced focus on a strategic role for procurement and the value of public-private partnerships – twin goals that can be prioritized when public procuring bodies act as sophisticated buyers. The comparison of experiences and contracting practices across Italy and the U.S. reveals common challenges involved in contracting for medical supplies during a global crisis.

More often than not procurement has been conceived as a clerical function within the public sector, with a main focus on transparency and accountability (Patrucco, Luzzini, and Ronchi, 2016), without any attempt to shift towards a more strategic approach (Klasa, Greer, and van Ginneken, 2018). Procurement as a strategic, partnership-based approach has been challenged by an operating culture of the public sector, which has hindered the development of inter-organizational relationships and trust (Erridge and McIlroy, 2002). For the procurement process to be strategic, it requires a change of status of the purchasing function that shall be equipped with the right skills and have access to adequate resources (Carr and Smeltzer, 1997) in order to better assess health organizations needs and market offering.

The public sector has relied on public-private collaborations to overcome the limits of public procurement (Brunjes, 2019). Partnerships as contracts to achieve common goals can prove critical in crises like Covid-19, because they improve the flexibility of the public sector and its capacity to

provide immediate answers to communities (Busch and Givens, 2013). Disaster situations call for the immediate creation of reactive short-term collaborative relationships or also called hastily-generated partnerships (Busch and Givens, 2013) between public and private entities (Gabler, Richey Jr., and Stewart, 2017) that are not only fundamental to ensure resilience but also pave the way to the creation of trust on a larger scale. Indeed, the development of relationships and trust enables collaborative procurement arrangements to deal more effectively with complex policy areas (Walker, Schotanus, Bakker, and Harland, 2013), by providing an opportunity for interested stakeholders to work closer together to address community problems (Erridge and McIlroy, 2002).

In the aftermath of the Covid-19 crisis, policy makers should reflect on areas of procurement that would have been less affected during the outbreak if previous partnerships existed with market suppliers, by comparing the flexibility, rapidity, and business continuity offered by contractual agreements based on different level of collaboration. Furthermore, it provides the opportunity to design resilience-oriented procurement strategy, based on the selection of reliable providers; the creation of a portfolio of providers to be activated in case of emergency; and the use of contracts to allow flexibility and outcome-assurance (Bovaird and Quirk, 2016). In order to do this, co-designed solutions will be needed, which will be possible only in case the public sector will be open and ready to negotiate with the private sector entities, thus moving procurement from a compliance-based perspective into a risk-management and collaborative perspective.

A new strategic approach to procurement would also allow to achieve business resilience, innovation, and encourage the creation of a local production base without being protectionist; in doing this public procurement could be used to implement other strategic public policies, as an economic stimulus for market organizations, for employment and ultimately as a driver of domestic growth.

The post Covid-19 will certainly leave more room for the adoption of new practices in public procurement and public private partnerships. The excitement towards innovation should be accompanied by a great investment in managerial competence in the public sector, whose weakness has been considered one of the main reasons beyond the unmet promises of previous public private partnership season (Bloomfield, 2006).

Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

#### References

- Antia, K. D., M. E. Bergen, S. Dutta, and R. J. Fisher. 2006. "How does enforcement deter gray market incidence?" *Journal of Marketing* 70 (1):92-106. doi: 10.1509/jmkg.2006.70.1.92.
- Beck, P. J., and M. W. Maher. 1986. "A Comparison of Bribery and Bidding in Thin Markets." *Economics Letters* 20 (1):1-5. doi: 10.1016/0165-1765(86)90068-6.
- Bloomfield, Pamela. 2006. "The Challenging Business of Long-Term Public-Private Partnerships: Reflections on Local Experience." *Public Administration Review* 66 (3):400-411.
- Bovaird, Tony, and Barry Quirk. 2016. "Moving from risk avoidance to assuring public policy outcomes." In *The Routledge Handbook of Global Public Policy and Administration*, edited by Thomas R. Klassen, Denita Cepiku and T. J. Lah, 258-270.
- Brunjes, Benjamin M. 2019. "Competition and Federal Contractor Performance." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 30 (2):202-219. doi: 10.1093/jopart/muz027.
- Buor, J. K. 2019. "Appraising the interactions between public-sector procurement policy and disaster preparedness." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 36:11. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101120.
- Busch, Nathan, and Austen Givens. 2013. "Achieving Resilience in Disaster Management: The Role of Public-Private Partnerships." *Journal of Strategic Security* 6:1-19. doi: 10.5038/1944-0472.6.2.1.
- Carr, Amelia S., and Larry R. Smeltzer. 1997. "An empirically based operational definition of strategic purchasing." *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 3 (4):199-207. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(97)00014-2.
- Cook, Nancy, and Dan Diamond. 2020. "'A Darwinian approach to federalism': States confront new reality under Trump." *Politico*.
- Erridge, Andrew, and John McIlroy. 2002. "Public Procurement and Supply Management Strategies." *Public Policy and Administration* 17:52-71. doi: 10.1177/095207670201700105.
- FPDS. 2020. "Federal Procurement Data System Next Generation." accessed April 16. https://www.fpds.gov/fpdsng\_cms/index.php/en/.
- Gabler, Colin B., Robert Glenn Richey Jr., and Geoffrey T. Stewart. 2017. "Disaster Resilience Through Public-Private Short-Term Collaboration." *Journal of Business Logistics* 38 (2):130-144
- Harwell, Drew. 2020. "Gouged prices, middlemen and medical supply chaos." *The Washington Post*, March 29.
- Holling, C S. 1973. "Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 4 (1):1-23. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245.
- Huang, J. H., B. C. Y. Lee, and S. H. Ho. 2004. "Consumer attitude toward gray market goods." International Marketing Review 21 (6):598-614. doi: 10.1108/02651330410568033.
- Hufford, Austen. 2020. "3M CEO on N95 Masks: 'Demand Exceeds our Production Capacity'." *The Wall Street Journal*. <a href="https://www.wsj.com/articles/3m-ceo-on-n95-masks-demand-exceeds-our-production-capacity-11585842928">https://www.wsj.com/articles/3m-ceo-on-n95-masks-demand-exceeds-our-production-capacity-11585842928</a>.
- Jennings, Edward T., Jr., and Jeremy L. Hall. 2011. "Evidence-Based Practice and the Use of Information in State Agency Decision Making." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 22 (2):245-266. doi: 10.1093/jopart/mur040.
- Klasa, Katarzyna, Scott L. Greer, and Ewout van Ginneken. 2018. "Strategic Purchasing in Practice: Comparing Ten European Countries." *Health Policy* 122 (5):457-472. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2018.01.014.
- Manyena, S. B. 2006. "The concept of resilience revisited." Disasters 30 (4):433-450.
- McKnight, Brent, and Martina K. Linnenluecke. 2016. "How Firm Responses to Natural Disasters Strengthen Community Resilience: A Stakeholder-Based Perspective." *Organization & Environment* 29 (3):290-307. doi: 10.1177/1086026616629794.
- Meehan, Joanne, Michael N. Ludbrook, and Christopher J. Mason. 2016. "Collaborative public procurement: Institutional explanations of legitimised resistance." *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 22 (3):160-170. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2016.03.002.

- Preprint Published in the American Review of Public Administration DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.
- Patrucco, Andrea Stefano, Davide Luzzini, and Stefano Ronchi. 2016. "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Public Procurement Performance Management Systems in Local Governments." *Local Government Studies* 42 (5):739-761. doi: 10.1080/03003930.2016.1181059.
- Raudla, Ringa, James W. Douglas, Tiina Randma-Liiv, and Riin Savi. 2015. "The Impact of Fiscal Crisis on Decision-Making Processes in European Governments: Dynamics of a Centralization Cascade." *Public Administration Review* 75 (6):842-852. doi: 10.1111/puar.12381.
- Swanson, Ana. 2020. "Coronavirus Spurs U.S. Efforts to End China's Chokehold on Drugs." *The New York Times*, March 11. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/11/business/economy/coronavirus-china-trump-drugs.html.
- Theobald, M., and V. Price. 1984. "Seasonality Estimation in Thin Markets." *Journal of Finance* 39 (2):377-392. doi: 10.2307/2327866.
- UNICEF. 2020. COVID-19 impact assessment and outlook on personal protective equipment.
- Volland, J., A. Filgener, J. Schoenfelder, and J. O. Brunner. 2017. "Material logistics in hospitals: A literature review." *Omega-International Journal of Management Science* 69:82-101. doi: 10.1016/j.omega.2016.08.004.
- Walker, Helen, Fredo Schotanus, Elmer Bakker, and Christine Harland. 2013. "Collaborative Procurement: A Relational View of Buyer–Buyer Relationships." *Public Administration Review* 73 (4):588-598. doi: 10.1111/puar.12048.
- Walker, Helen, Fredo Schotanus, Elmer Bakker, and Christine Harland. 2013. "Collaborative Procurement: A Relational View of Buyer–Buyer Relationships." *Public Administration Review* 73 (4):588-598. doi: 10.1111/puar.12048.
- Watkins, Morgan, and Matt Mencarini. 2020. "It's state vs. state in a frantic search for medical gear to battle coronavirus' spread." *USA Today*, March 27. https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2020/03/27/coronavirus-states-battle-each-other-critical-medical-gear/2931578001/.
- Wolf, Governor Tom. 2020. "Wolf Administration Launches Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Critical Medical Supplies Procurement Portal." accessed March 31. https://www.governor.pa.gov/newsroom/wolf-administration-launches-commonwealth-of-pennsylvania-critical-medical-supplies-procurement-portal/.

Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

Table 1: Conventional Procurement vs. Strategic Procurement

#### **Conventional Procurement**

# Strategic Procurement

- Low-bid selection criteria
- Prioritizing cost savings
- Transactional contractor relationships
- Fragmented acquisition for narrowly-defined products
- Minimal attention to contractor business practices
- Acquiring products for short-term needs

- Best value selection criteria
- Prioritizing innovation
- Partnership-based contractor relationship
- Coordinated acquisition for integrated solutions
- Strong understanding of contractor business processes (supply chain, risk management, ESG policies)
- Acquiring products for long-term, riskmanaged needs

## Table 2: Common Challenges in Crisis Procurement: Observations from Italy and the U.S.

- Tension between national and subnational authorities complicate the distribution of supplies to the hospitals and regions with the greatest needs.
- Competition between national and subnational authorities drives up contract prices, and often results in federal/national authorities outbidding subnational entities.
- Decades of cost-cutting left little slack in the medical supply system, with hospitals operating with lower-levels of inventory and more reliance on international supply chains.
- Decades of cost-cutting prioritized lower costs in contracting products, with less attention to more integrated, innovative, and resilient approaches to procurement.
- Transitioning domestic industry to the production of medical supplies required reductions in regulatory controls and often government assumption of risk.

Appendix 1: List of Italian Procurement Experts (Interviewees)

		Respondent
<b>Companies</b>	Sector	<b>Professional Position</b>
BD Life Sciences	Private (Medical devices)	CEO
Boston Scientific	Private (Medical devices)	Public affairs
3M	Private (Medical devices)	Public affairs
Edwards Lifesciences	Private (Medical devices)	CEO
Fater Group	Private (Medical devices)	Public affairs
General Electric Healthcare	Private (Medical devices)	Public affairs + Portfolio manager worldwide
Rekeep	Private (Facility Management)	Public affairs
Siram Veolia	Private (Facility Management)	CEO
WL Gore	Private (Medical devices)	Public affairs
Philips	Private (Medical devices)	CEO
Beckton dickinson	Private (Medical devices)	CEO
Dedalus	Private (IT)	Head of commercial relations
Confidustria Dispositivi Medici (Italian Manufacturers' Association of Medical Devices)	Private (Business Association)	MD
FARE (Italian Asociation of Public Buyers in Healthcare)	Private (Business Association)	President
Federsanità ANCI Piemonte	Private (Business Association)	President
Croce Rossa Italiana (Italian Red Cross)	Nonprofit	Deputy director of operations
Aria SpA	Public (Lombardy Region)	Chairman
Azienda Zero	Public (Veneto Region)	Director General
Intercent-ER	Public (Emilia Romagna Region)	Director General
Soresa SpA	Public (Campania Region)	Chairman + MD
Asst Sette Laghi	Public (Lombardy Locality)	Director General
AULSS 2	Public (Veneto Locality)	Managing Director
AULSS 6	Public (Veneto Locality)	Managing Director
AUSL Bologna	Public (Emilia Romagna Region)	Managing Director
Crisis Units		
Piedmont		Medical Head of Crisis Unit
Veneto Region		Head of purchasing

Preprint – Published in the American Review of Public Administration DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942061.

Appendix 2: Participants to the focus group with Italian purchasing authorities

Purchasing Body	Region	Respondent Professional Position
ARCS FVG	Friuli-Venezia-Giulia	Manager
Azienda Zero	Veneto Region	Manager
Consip SpA	National	Manager
CRC RAS	Sardinia	Managing Director
ESTAR	Tuscany	Managing Director, Head of Procurement
InnovaPuglia SpA	Puglia	Manager
Intercent-ER	Emilia-Romagna	Manager
In.Va. SpA	Aosta Valley	Managing Director
SCR Piemonte SpA	Piedmont	Managing Director