I.

19

20

21

1

RECEIVER SHOULD HAVE LEGAL COUNSEL WITH EXPERTISE IN DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS

special counsel to the Receiver because the Estate of Chittick incorrectly contends that the

The Estate of Chittick opposes the Receiver's engagement of Marvin "Bucky" Swift as

GUTTILLA MURPHY ANDERSON

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DenSco Investment Corporation Defined Benefit Plan (the "Plan") is not an asset of the Receivership and therefore Mr. Swift's legal services are unnecessary.

As detailed in the Receiver's Response to the Estate of Chittick's Petition No. 11, the Plan is an asset of DenSco and the Receiver of DenSco has certain responsibilities and obligations as the administrator of the Plan. The Receiver, to carry out these duties, requires legal counsel with expertise in issues relating to the management and operation of defined benefit plans. Furthermore, the Receiver intends to explore issues related to the formation and historical operation of the Plan to determine if the Plan was not tax-qualified as of the date of Mr. Chittick's death and if the Plan's assets are be subject to the claims of all of DenSco's creditors (including the beneficiaries under the Plan). Despite the Estate of Chittick's contention that the Plan is not an asset of the DenSco Receivership, DenSco owns the Plan¹. Given the obligations of the Receiver of DenSco to operate, manage and investigate the Plan, the Receiver is entitled to retain a lawyer qualified to provide him advice and counsel on the issues related to the Plan.

Moreover, the Estate of Chittick opposes the Receiver's engagement of Mr. Swift as Special Counsel, because it claims that the assets of the Plan are not subject to creditor claims and therefore, the Receiver's retention of Special Counsel is "squandering" DenSco funds on a "fanciful hope" of potential recovery. Apparently, the Estate of Chittick has not reviewed the case law which demonstrates that courts are empowered to review the tax-qualified status

¹ The Plan's assets may be held for the benefit of the participant's beneficiaries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of retirement plans and creditors may reach assets in a retirement plan, which would otherwise be exempt, after a finding that the retirement plan is disqualified.

Ironically, the Estate of Chittick has specifically advised the Receiver that it has consulted with and intends to engage lawyer Michael Pietzch to represent the Plan if its client were to gain control of Plan. Apparently, it is appropriate for the Estate of Chittick to engage a lawyer to assist it with the Plan, but the Receiver should not.

There can be no reasonable dispute that the interest of the Receiver and the DenSco Receivership and its creditors are best served if the Receiver has skilled and experienced legal counsel to address the myriad of issues with respect to the Plan and it has not disputed that Mr. Swift is more than qualified to provide this specialized knowledge to the Receiver.

CONSOLIDATION OF PETITION NO. 11 AND PETITION NO. 13 IS NOT NECESSARY

The Receiver believes that it is not necessary to consolidate Petition No. 11 and Petition No. 13 as the issues raised in each Petition are discrete and distinct. In Petition No 11, the Estate of Chittick seeks an Order that the Plan is not a Receivership Asset. Petition No. 13 deals with the Receiver's request for the employment of legal counsel for the Receiver to address issues with the Plan. While the Estate of Chittick may believe there is a tactical advantage to delay the engagement of Mr. Swift as Special Counsel, There is no reason to consolidate these two distinct Petitions.

III. CONCLUSION

The objections by the Estate of Chittick to the engagement of Marvin "Bucky" Swift as Special Counsel to the Receiver are without merit. The Receiver, as the Receiver of

MASTER SERVICE LIST

Arizona Corporation Commission v. DenSco Investment Corporation IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA CV2016-014142

(Revised January 10, 2017)

The Honorable Lori Bustamante Maricopa County Superior Court East Court Building 101 West Jefferson, Room 811 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Wendy L. Coy Arizona Corporation Commission 1300 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007-2929 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Peter S. Davis, Receiver Densco Receivership Simon Consulting, LLC The Great American Tower 3200 North Central, Suite 2460 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

James F. Polese Christopher L. Hering Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C. Two North Central Avenue, 15th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for the Estate of Denny Chittick and Densco Investment Corporation

Ryan W. Anderson Guttilla Murphy Anderson, P.C. 5415 East High St., Ste. 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85054 Attorney for the Receiver Steven D. Nemecek Steve Brown & Associates 1414 East Indian School Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85014 Attorney for Chapter 7 Trustee Jill H. Ford

Elizabeth S. Fella Quarles & Brady, LLP One S. Church Avenue, Suite 1700 Tucson, Arizona 85701 Attorney for Claimants

Carlos M. Arboleda Arboleda Brechner 4545 East Shea Boulveard, Suite 120 Phoenix, Arizona 85028 Attorney for PAJ Fund, I, LLC

Cody J. Jess
Tyler J. Grim
Schian Walker, P.L.C.
1850 North Central Avenue
Suite 900
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4531
Attorneys for Yomtov "Scott" Menaged