

Shariah Law Rebuttals

Shariah apologists' arguments seem to be never-ending. It is imperative that we have a consistent rebuttal approach to answer these arguments in a way that redefines the narrative. Here are a few for starters.

Excerpts by Bill Warner, Director, Center for the Study of Political Islam

Argument 1:

Shariah is not static; it changes and evolves.

This promises the hope of reformation over time if Islam becomes more moderate. Islam is the doctrine found in the Koran and the Sunna of Mohammed. Mohammed is the perfect Muslim and his every act and word is to be imitated by all Muslims. The Sunna of Mohammed is found in the Hadith (his traditions) and the Sira (his actions). The complete Islamic doctrine is Allah and Mohammed, or Koran, Sira and Hadith.

Shariah is the interpretation of the doctrine of Islam. Of course, the interpretations change, but they keep being drawn from the same 1400 year old texts. The Shariah circles the sun of Allah and Mohammed, so the evolution of Shariah turns out to be an orbit. The Shariah becomes looser and tighter over the course of history, but it is still the same Shariah and still obeys all of the fundamentals found in the texts of Koran, Sira and Hadith.

One of the biggest fundamental principles of Islam is the Kafir, the non-Muslim. No matter how the Shariah changes, Kafirs are still the same Allah-cursed creature that Mohammed annihilated whenever possible. No amount of adaptation can change the cruel place that the Kafir has in Islam and the Shariah.

Argument 2:

• There is no one thing called Shariah. There is no official document called the Shariah.

See the above. Since Shariah is the practical application of interpretation of the doctrine of Islam found in the Koran, Sira and Hadith, there will be different schools of thought on issues. But the principles never change. All of the versions of the Shariah subjugate and demean the Kafir.

Argument 3:

- **Shariah is overwhelmingly about religion and is not in conflict with national laws.**

It is true that a standard table-top book of Shariah such as *The Reliance of the Traveller* starts off with a large section devoted to religious material, such as prayer and the charity tax. But after the religious beginning, the Shariah winds up with definition of crimes, punishment, business law, family law and the usual legal matters.

There is no problem with the so-called religious Shariah, but a there is a problem with the political Shariah that deals with the Kafir. The political Shariah is evil, since it oppresses the Kafir. Jihad is part of the Shariah and jihad against the Kafir is evil.

The Kafir cares that “only” 1% of a Shariah text is devoted to jihad; that is not acceptable. When it comes to oppression and cruel treatment of Kafirs, any amount of evil is intolerable.

The number of pages in a Shariah text devoted to jihad may be small, but it cannot be removed. Shariah is the interpretation of the perfect Koran and the eternal Sunna and since jihad is a major doctrine it cannot be removed from the Shariah. Shariah can not be reformed.

The Shariah not only has disgusting doctrines such as Kafir and jihad, but also polygamy and wife-beating. It does not matter that Mohammed and Allah are good with polygamy and beating the wife; it has no place in our civilization.

There is no amount of Shariah that is defensible if it makes any political impact on our citizens and nation. Keep praying those Muslim prayers and washing those Muslim feet, but keep every single aspect of political Shariah out of our civilization.

Now, Go Get ‘Em!

Andy
ActNow@tx.rr.com