


Critical Design Review (CDR)

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle with Experimental Magnus Force Lift



Mailing Address:

15 Wyoming
Irvine, CA 92606

AIAA OC Section

1/12/18

Albert (team leader)

Allison; Annabel; Brenda; Kushagra; Sahil

Table of Contents

1 Summary of CDR Report	6
1.1 Team Summary	6
1.1.1 Team Name and Mailing Address	6
1.1.2 Mentor Information	7
1.1.2.1 Robert Koepke (Electrical Engineer, Programmer, Level 2 NAR)	7
1.1.2.2 Jann Koepke (Artist, Mom, Level 2 NAR)	7
1.2 Launch Vehicle Summary	7
1.2.1 Size and mass	7
1.2.2 Final Motor Choice	7
1.2.3 Recovery System	7
1.2.4 Rail size	8
1.2.5 Milestone Review Flysheet	8
1.3 Payload Summary	8
1.3.1 Payload Title	8
1.3.2 Summary	8
2 Changes Made Since PDR	8
2.1 Changes Made to Vehicle Criteria	8
2.2 Changes Made to Payload Criteria	8
2.3 Changes Made to Project Plan	9
3 Vehicle Criteria	9
3.1 Design and Verification of Launch Vehicle	9
3.1.1 Mission Statement	9
3.1.2 Mission Success Criteria	9
3.1.3 Final Design	9
3.1.3.1 GPS Subsystem	9
3.1.3.1.1 Alternatives	10
3.1.3.1.2 Leading Design	10
3.1.3.2 Airbrakes Subsystem	10
3.1.3.2.1 Algorithm Development	14
3.1.3.2.2 Algorithm Flowchart	14
3.1.3.2.3 Components of Air Brakes	15
3.1.3.2.4 Air Brakes Schematic	19
3.1.3.3 Motor	19
3.1.3.3.1 Motor Selected	19

3.1.3.3.2 Motor Alternatives	20
3.1.3.3.3 Motor Retention	21
3.1.4 Illustration of Final Launch Vehicle, Subsystems, and Components	21
3.1.5 Demonstration of Design Completion and Readiness for Manufacturing	21
3.1.6 Design Integrity	21
3.1.6.1 Suitability of Shape and Fin Style for Mission	21
3.1.6.2 Proper Use of Materials in Fins, Bulkheads, and Structural Elements	21
3.1.6.3 Sufficient Motor Mounting and Retention	22
3.1.6.4 Estimated Final Mass of Launch Vehicle and Subsystems	23
3.1.7 Justification for Material Selection, Dimensioning, Component Placement, and Other Unique Design Aspects.	23
3.2 Subscale Flight Results	23
3.2.1 Flight Data	24
3.2.2 Scaling Factors	24
3.2.2.1 Constant Variables	24
3.2.2.2 Inconstant Variables	24
3.2.3 Launch Day Conditions	24
3.2.3.1 Simulation of Launch Day Conditions	24
3.2.4 Analysis of Subscale Flight	24
3.2.4.1 Comparison of Actual Flight Data to Predicted Flight Model	24
3.2.4.2 Errors between Actual and Predicted Flight Data	24
3.2.4.3 Estimation of Drag Coefficient of Full Scale Rocket with Subscale Data	24
3.2.5 Impact of the Subscale Flight Data on the Full Scale Launch Vehicle	24
3.3 Recovery Subsystem	24
3.3.1 Final Design	24
3.3.1.1 Avionics Subsystem	24
3.3.1.2 Subsystems and Components	26
3.3.1.2.1 Analysis of Parachute	26
3.3.1.3 Alternatives	27
3.3.4 Proof of Redundancy	29
3.3.5 Diagrams	29
3.3.6 Operating Frequency(s) of Locating Tracker(s).	29
3.3.7 Black Powder Calculations	29
3.4 Mission Performance Predictions	30
3.4.1 Simulations	30
3.4.1.1 Flight Profile Simulations	30
3.4.1.2 Altitude Predictions with Simulated Vehicle Data	31

3.4.1.3 Component Weights	32
3.4.1.4 Simulated Motor Thrust Curve	35
3.4.1.5 Verification of Robust Design	35
3.4.2 Stability Margin	35
3.4.3 Simulated Center of Pressure and Center of Gravity Relationship and Locations	37
3.4.4 Calculations	37
3.4.4.1 Kinetic Energy	37
3.4.4.2 Drift	38
3.4.4.2.1 0 MPH Wind	38
3.4.4.2.1.1 Alternative Calculations	38
3.4.4.2.2 5 MPH Wind	38
3.4.4.2.2.1 Alternative Calculations	38
3.4.4.2.3 10 MPH Wind	38
3.4.4.2.3.1 Alternative Calculations	39
3.4.4.2.4 15 MPH Wind	39
3.4.4.2.4.1 Alternative Calculations	39
3.4.4.2.5 20 MPH Wind	39
3.4.4.2.5.1 Alternative Calculations	39
3.4.5 Simulation of Results	39
4 Safety	39
4.1 Launch concerns and operation procedures	39
4.1.1 Assembly and Launch Procedures	39
4.1.1.1 Recovery Preparation	39
4.1.1.2 Motor Preparation	40
4.1.1.3 Setup on Launcher	40
4.1.1.4 Igniter Installation	41
4.1.1.5 Troubleshooting	41
4.1.1.5.1 GPS Troubleshooting	41
4.1.1.5.2 Main Chute Troubleshooting	42
4.1.1.5.3 Avionics Troubleshooting	42
4.1.1.5.4 Drogue Chute Troubleshooting	43
4.1.1.5.5 Air Brakes Troubleshooting	43
4.1.1.5.6 Motor Troubleshooting	44
4.1.1.6 Post-Flight Inspection	44
4.2 Safety and Environment (Vehicle and Payload)	44
4.2.1 Personnel Hazard, Failure Modes and Effects Analysis, and the Environmental Hazard Analysis	45

4.2.1.1 Personnel Hazard Analysis	46
4.2.1.1.1 Hazardous Materials Safety	46
4.2.1.1.2 Range Safety Officer (RSO) Duties	48
4.2.1.2 Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA)	48
4.2.1.3 Hazard Descriptions, Causes, and Effects	50
4.2.1.4 Mitigations of Hazards and/or Causes	52
4.2.1.4.1 Vehicle Risk Mitigation	53
4.2.1.4.2 Payload Risks and Mitigations	54
4.2.1.4.3 Recovery Risks and Mitigations	54
4.2.1.5 Preliminary List of Verifications for the Identified Mitigations	56
4.2.1.5.1 Test Data	56
4.2.1.5.2 Written Procedures/Checklists	56
4.2.1.5.3 Design Analysis	56
4.2.1.5.4 Personnel Protective Equipment	56
5 Payload Criteria	56
5.1 Design of Payload Equipment	56
5.1.1 Final Design	56
5.1.2 System Design Review	56
5.1.2.1 Specifications of Payload Components and Assembly	56
5.1.2.1.1 UAV GPS	56
5.1.2.1.1.1 UAV GPS Alternatives	56
5.1.2.1.1.2 Leading Design	57
5.1.2.2 Interaction of Payload Components	57
5.1.2.2.1 Interfaces Between Payload and Launch Vehicle	57
5.1.2.2.1.1 Slingshot System	58
5.1.2.2.1.2 Linear Actuator	58
5.1.2.3 Integration of Payload into Launch Vehicle	59
5.1.3 Demonstration of Design Completion	59
5.1.4 Payload Electronics	59
5.1.4.1 System Diagrams and Schematics	59
5.1.4.2 Batteries/Power	59
5.1.4.3 Switch and Indicator Wattage and Location	59
5.1.5 Justification of Unique Aspects of Payload	59
6 Project Plan	59
6.1 Testing	59
6.1.1 Test Result Effects on Launch Vehicle and Payload	59

6.1.2 Test Plan Description	59
6.1.2.1 Vehicle Test Plan	59
6.1.2.1.1 Proof of Integrity	60
6.1.2.1.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	60
6.1.2.1.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	60
6.1.2.1.4 Results	60
6.1.2.2 Recovery Test Plan	60
6.1.2.2.1 Proof of Integrity	61
6.1.2.2.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	61
6.1.2.2.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	61
6.1.2.2.4 Results	61
6.1.2.3 Energetics Test Plan	61
6.1.2.3.1 Proof of Integrity	62
6.1.2.3.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	62
6.1.2.3.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	62
6.1.2.3.4 Results	62
6.1.2.4 Air Brakes Test Plan	62
6.1.2.4.1 Proof of Integrity	62
6.1.2.4.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	62
6.1.2.4.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	62
6.1.2.4.4 Results	62
6.1.2.5 GPS Test Plan	62
6.1.2.5.1 Proof of Integrity	63
6.1.2.5.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	63
6.1.2.5.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	63
6.1.2.5.4 Results	63
6.1.2.6 Payload Test Plan	63
6.1.2.6.1 Ground Testing	63
6.1.2.6.2 UAV Testing	63
6.1.2.6.3 Proof of Integrity	64
6.1.2.6.4 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology	64
6.1.2.6.5 Justification of Test for Validity of Design	64
6.1.2.6.6 Results	64
6.1.3 Changes in Launch Vehicle and/or Payload	64
6.2 Requirements Compliance	64
6.2.1 Verification Plan	64
6.2.1.1 General Verification Plan	64

6.2.1.2 Vehicle Verification Plan	64
6.2.1.3 Recovery System Verification Plan	64
6.2.1.4 Experiment Verification Plan	65
6.2.1.5 Safety Verification Plan	65
6.2.2 Team Derived Requirements	65
6.2.2.1 Vehicle Requirements	65
6.2.2.2 Payload Requirements	66
6.2.2.3 Recovery Requirements	66
6.2.2.4 Safety Requirements	66
6.2.2.5 General Requirements	66
6.2 Budget	67
6.2.1 Funding Plan	70
6.3 Timeline	70
Appendix A: Statement of Works	70
Appendix B: Summary of CDR Report	80
Appendix C: Partners in Industry	80
Appendix D: Determination of the Magnus Effect	81
Appendix E: Flight Diagram	83

1 Summary of CDR Report

1.1 Team Summary

1.1.1 Team Name and Mailing Address

Team Name:

AIAA OC Section

Mailing Address:

15 Wyoming

Irvine, CA 92606

1.1.2 Mentor Information

1.1.2.1 Robert Koepke (Electrical Engineer, Programmer, Level 2 NAR)

Robert has been co-leading TARC teams for eight years and 4H rocketry projects for 13 years. He has a BS degree in Electrical Engineering from USC and has worked as an electronics designer, programmer, and now a director of the software department doing embedded programming for thermal printers. Robert worked on the F-20 Tigershark while at Northrop. Robert launched his first rockets shortly after Sputnik in 1957 and has continued in rocketry with his own children and grandchildren, Indian Princesses and Indian Guides, and 4H.

Robert can be contacted via rkoepke@socal.rr.com. His phone number is (714)-504-3591.

1.1.2.2 Jann Koepke (Artist, Mom, Level 2 NAR)

Jann has been co-leading TARC teams for eight years and 4-H rocketry projects for 11 years. She has a bachelor's degree in Fine Arts from Cal State University Los Angeles in 1979. She has worked in electronic business as an assembler and in the accounting office. Now she is retired. She has been doing Rocketry for 25 years with her husband children and grandchildren. Jann is the AIAA OC Section Council member in charge of education. She has been in 4-H for 11 years and has been doing rocketry in 4-H for 11 years. She has also led 4-H projects in livestock including lambs, goats, and beef.

Jann can be contacted at jkoepke@socal.rr.com. Her phone number is (714)-504-3591.

1.2 Launch Vehicle Summary

1.2.1 Size and mass

- Diameter: 4"
- Mass: 11501 g
- Length: 139.5"

1.2.2 Final Motor Choice

Cesaroni K2000

1.2.3 Recovery System

The rocket will contain two parachutes. The drogue, the smaller one below the avionics bay, will deploy at apogee (theoretically a mile high) and will be used to slow down the descent of the rocket until 500 feet, when the main parachute is deployed. To ensure redundancy, there will be

2 different flight computers to blast out the parachutes for each height. The stratologger, which will be the primary flight computer, will blast out the drogue at apogee and the main at 500 feet. The RRC3, which is the secondary flight computer, will blast out the drogue at two seconds after apogee and the main at 300 feet.

1.2.4 Rail size

¼ inch rail

1.2.5 Milestone Review Flysheet

The milestone review sheet will be available at <http://www.verticalprojectile.org/documents18.html>

1.3 Payload Summary

1.3.1 Payload Title

Sub-Orbital Satellite with Experimental Magnus Force Lift

1.3.2 Summary

The payload will utilize two cylindrical drums on a single rod and rotate to generate lift. This is an exploitation of the Magnus Effect to generate lift in an aircraft.

The engineering payload is to fly to a specified GPS coordinate on Bragg Farms. The coordinate must be within 0.25 mi of the launchpad.

2 Changes Made Since CDR

2.1 Changes Made to Vehicle Criteria

- Body tube extension
 - To accommodate the new payload length

2.2 Changes Made to Payload Criteria

- Greater refinement of payload telemetry
-

2.3 Changes Made to Project Plan

3 Vehicle Criteria

3.1 Design and Verification of Launch Vehicle

3.1.1 Mission Statement

The rocket must transport its payload safely to a target altitude of exactly 5280 ft, deploy its drogue chute at apogee, descend to 700 ft, deploy its main chute, and then deploy the payload at or below 400 ft, per the RSO's approval. The rocket and payload must land within 2500 ft of the launchpad.

3.1.2 Mission Success Criteria

The vehicle must be reusable after launch and must land within 0.25 miles of the launchpad.

3.1.3 Final Design

3.1.3.1 GPS Subsystem

The main rocket, which is all tethered together, will contain a Whistle GPS dog tracker for the GPS. It will be located in the nose cone of the rocket, and it operates through cellular connection and can transmit the location of the rocket through a smartphone app. The payload, our UAV, will have its own separate GPS via an Arduino Uno.



3.1.3.1.1 Alternatives

GPS system	Pros	Cons
Whistle GPS dog tracker	Easy to use because it requires knowledge of using a smartphone app. It can be recharged easily using the base station and is not dependent on any other external electronics. No additional telemetry required.	Only works where there is cellular coverage. Bigger than the other GPS options. More expensive because of money needed for cellular service.telemeter Sometimes has trouble locating and turning on.
<u>Parallax GPS Receiver</u>	Arduino compatible	

3.1.3.1.2 Leading Design

We will continue using Whistle GPS dog tracker because we can visually find the rocket and have an exact location based on satellite images. These images can be shown through the mobile app.

3.1.3.2 Airbrakes Subsystem

In the full-scale rocket, the air brake module will have an 8.25” long G10 fiberglass body tube, with a 6.5” long G10 fiberglass tube coupler, and. It will have a 4” diameter. It will be independently powered by a Lithium Polymer battery, meaning it will not have any connection with the recovery subsystem or the payload.

Both are constructed to be modular and have an opening for repairing electronics. The wooden bulkhead will not undergo any stress from the explosion of the ejection charge or sustain the rocket via attachment of an eyebolt.

After constructing the air brakes module, we found that the joints that connected the servo to the air brakes required more flexibility. Originally, we used shortened servo horns and snap-on connectors to create the braking mechanism. We purchased ball-bearing swivels at a hobby store to add more flexibility to the rotational points. This swivel provides an additional hinge for the arm to turn on, so the air brake wing will come out.







The above pictures are of the rocket's subscale air brake module, which are from last year's Student Launch project. We will continue using the same design.

We tested the air brakes to run continuously for one minute to account for any problems. We did not find any. The rocket's ascent lasts for less than 20 seconds, depending on weather conditions. If the air brakes can function without fail for longer than that time, then they are safe to fly. The battery is strong enough to last the designated standstill time on the launchpad prior to launch. We also tested the airbrakes with varied servo speeds to see if they would open and close systematically, regardless of torque, and function was normal.

3.1.3.2.1 Algorithm Development

The overall algorithm we will use to automate our airbrakes has four major facets: the initial setup, the launch detect function, the actual airbrake function, and the apogee detect function. The initial setup, or void setup, initializes the Teensy's serial monitor to a baud rate of 9600 Bd, along with blinking a built in LED light to indicate to the user that the system was functional. Next, the launch detect function is perhaps the most vital piece of the code. It is a boolean function which analyzes the readings from the altimeter, and when it sees a value which is greater than 50 feet, it starts the main airbrake program. The airbrake function then checks the altimeter values and uses basic physics formulas (factoring in air resistance, pressure, etc) to determine velocity and projected altitude. If this projected altitude is greater than the actual altitude, the airbrake will expand to a certain angle (where 120 degrees was the maximum) using a fairly linear formula. The airbrake function works simultaneously with the apogee detect formula, which analyzes the altitudes from the Pnut and checks to see whether the rocket reaches apogee. Since this is a boolean function, it has to satisfy 2 conditions in order to be true. First, three consecutive altitudes has to be descending, as this will indicate a descending rocket and thus the arrival of apogee. However, we have to account for errors in the Pnut readings, so the second condition is that the altitude has to be at least 3,500 feet. If the detect apogee function satisfies these two conditions, it will terminate the airbrake function and stop the overall program, retracting the airbrakes to zero position. There is no use of the airbrakes after apogee.

3.1.3.2.2 Algorithm Flowchart

The algorithm also uses this equation, which we derived from our understanding of the Law of Conservation of Energy. U and K denote potential and kinetic energy, respectively.

$$U_0 + K_0 = U_f + K_f$$

$$mgh_0 + \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 = mgh_f + \frac{1}{2}mv_f^2; v_f = 0 \text{ mph at apogee}; v_0, h_0 > 0$$

$$mgh_0 + \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 = mgh_f$$

$$h_f = h_0 + \frac{v_0^2}{2g}$$

If the difference between the predicted altitude and the target altitude is greater than or equal to 20 ft, then the air brakes will use coarse tuning. Coarse tuning involves opening the air brakes at a greater angle for a longer period of time.

If the difference between the predicted altitude and the target altitude is less than 20 ft, then the air brakes will use fine tuning. Fine tuning opens the air brakes at a reduced angle for a shorter period of time.


The above calculations do not account for air friction because air density decreases as the rocket ascends and becomes negligible as the rocket ascends.

3.1.3.2.3 Components of Air Brakes

For the 2017 Team America Rocketry Challenge (TARC), we developed an air brake system. The current model pushes the air brakes through holes that we carved into the body tube. After testing the design ten times, recording the air brakes in flight with an on-board flight camera, and examining post-flight data, we determined that the air brake system was reliable and applicable for our purposes in Student Launch. The air brakes will use similar but stronger materials that we have used in our TARC rocket for the 2017 season.

Due to space, we will use a Teensy 2.0, which is Arduino compatible but smaller than an Arduino Uno. The dimensions of the Teensy are 1.2"x 0.7"x 0.125". We have experience with the Teensy because we used it for air brake control in our TARC rockets.

The Teensy can also carry an SD card, so we will use this to determine how the Teensy predicted the velocity of its ascent, how many times the air brakes opened, and how much our rocket decelerated.





To make the legs on our airbrake system to push and pull the air brakes, we used strong dental wire and dental tools from Albert's father, who is a dentist. Our system required flexibility around the rotational points, like a ball and socket joint on the human shoulder. We cut and bent the wires into hooks and attached them to loops we made ourselves to accomplish this design. We first made a proof of concept of the mechanism on June 27, 2016, which can be found [here](#). Our current design can be found [here](#).

We have not yet decided upon a horn, so the length of the wire used has not yet been finalized. We will use stronger wire or rods to accommodate this new design.

A Teensy will be used to control the mechanism and run the algorithm. Its small size and processing power is capable of controlling the rocket.

To make the air brakes we will evenly cut the tube coupler into sixths and place three of the pieces equally apart in a specified area. The air brakes will extend beyond the air brake module. The air brakes will be used for controlling the altitude of the rocket through drag rather than relying on the variable thrust of the motor as a result of manufacturing.





The above three pictures are of the TARC rocket's air brake module.

The air brakes will use a Hitec HS-7890T circular servo, which have the following statistics:


Dimensions	1.72" x 0.88" x 1.57" (43.8 x 22.4 x 40 mm)
Product Weight	2.76 oz (78.2g)
No-Load Speed (6.0V)	0.21 sec/60°
No-Load Speed (7.4 V)	0.17 sec/60°
Stall Torque (6.0V)	500oz/in (26 kg.cm)
Stall Torque (7.4V)	611oz/in (44kg.cm)
Travel per μ s (out of box)	.080°/ μ sec
Travel per μ s (reprogrammed high res)	.132°/ μ sec

We received our inspiration from a [YouTube video of a mechanical flower](#). A student from the University of Twente used this flower for a bachelor thesis.

We also have [video of us testing the air brakes.](#)

The area for the servo to operate will be in this area:

Servo Operating Cavity




The Lithium Polymer battery will run a 5 Volt current to power the servo. Its dimensions are 2.17"x1.22"x0.79".

The length of body tube where the servo can rotate is 1.25 in, which allows for the air brakes to rotate. The green mass object closest to the center of gravity represents the servo that will operate the air brakes. The volume where the servo and its electronics, including the battery will be placed is a 3.9"d x 2"h, inside a 4" long tube coupler. The diameter is large enough to fit the Teensy and servo in one place. We will cut a 0.115" thick bulkhead to fit the rectangular shape of the servo and position the servo so that it can operate the air brakes, as seen in the TARC air brake module pictures above. The bulkhead will fit snugly in the specified tube coupler.

The estimated mass of this subsystem is 815 g.


3.1.3.2.4 Air Brakes Schematic



3.1.3.3 Motor

3.1.3.3.1 Motor Selected

The K2000 looks like it is the best choice. All of the selected alternatives display a spike in their thrust curves, but we have decided to use the K2000 because it has a higher rail exit velocity, allowing the rocket to have a straighter and more stable flight on its initial ascent.



3.1.3.3.2 Motor Alternatives

The table below contains data taken from both thrustcurve.org and our RockSim simulations.

Cesaroni Engines	Total Impulse (Ns)	Total Mass (g)	Max Altitude (ft), no air brake function	Max Velocity (ft/s)	Max Accel (ft/s ²)	Velocity at Rail Exit (ft/s, altitude ≈ 8 ft)
<u>K1085</u> (75 mm)	2378.7	2430	5413.85	653.11	616.23	61.73
<u>K2000</u> (75 mm)	2331.5	2464.5	5363.22	380.05	734.17	90.328
<u>K661</u> (75 mm)	2436.5	2527.8	5383.20	609.43	616.24	47.315

3.1.3.3.3 Motor Retention

3.1.4 Illustration of Final Launch Vehicle, Subsystems, and Components

3.1.5 Demonstration of Design Completion and Readiness for Manufacturing

3.1.6 Design Integrity

3.1.6.1 Suitability of Shape and Fin Style for Mission

3.1.6.2 Proper Use of Materials in Fins, Bulkheads, and Structural Elements

Bulkheads are either aluminum or fiberglass. Those that are aluminum are 0.25" thick.



The aluminum bulkheads were purchased from [Mad Cow Rocketry](#). A total of four of these bulkheads are used to house the avionics electronics and the payload.

The two holes that are not in the center of the bulkhead are used to hold the rails to the electronics boards. These rails run through 0.25" launch lugs that are glued to the back of the electronics board for easy access when the rocket is not on the launch pad and security during the rocket's flight

Those that are fiberglass tend to be made of two individual fiberglass bulkheads that are stuck together with West Systems epoxy, providing additional reinforcement to withstand the shock of ejection and ejection charge force. Together, the two fiberglass bulkheads are 0.25” thick. These were purchased from [Mad Cow Rocketry](#).

There are four fiberglass bulkheads used in total on this rocket. Two of them are combined, as mentioned in the previous paragraph. One of them has a machine closed eyebolt and tethers the bottom-most independent section to the middle independent section. The other is in front of the motor, where the ejection charge would face if it were not removed. Both provide sufficient protection against small black powder discharges.

G10 fiberglass can withstand the force the rocket will experience in-flight. Body tubes are 0.118” thick and tube couplers are 0.2” thick.

3.1.6.3 Sufficient Motor Mounting and Retention

The motor mount was sanded down so we could dry-fit the retainer. Once we confirmed that the motor retainer fit the mount, we used JB Weld glue to attach the retainer to the rocket. We used AeroPack Fiberglass 54mm and 75mm Motor Tube Retainers, purchased from [Madcow Rocketry](#).

Aero Pack 75mm Retainer (Fiberglass Motor Tubes) (RA75P)



Aero Pack 54mm Retainer (Fiberglass Motor Tubes) (RA54P)



3.1.6.4 Estimated Final Mass of Launch Vehicle and Subsystems

Subsystem Name	Estimated Mass (g)
Gross Liftoff Mass	6532
Air Brakes Module	857
Avionics	930
Payload	

3.1.7 Justification for Material Selection, Dimensioning, Component Placement, and Other Unique Design Aspects.

3.2 Subscale Flight Results

The subscale used a Cesaroni J210

3.2.1 Flight Data

3.2.2 Scaling Factors

The scale factor is 3:4 based on the diameters of the subscale (3") and the full scale (4").

3.2.2.1 Constant Variables

3.2.2.2 Inconstant Variables

- Main chute
-

3.2.3 Launch Day Conditions

Barometric pressure: 30.07 in Hg

3.2.3.1 Simulation of Launch Day Conditions

3.2.4 Analysis of Subscale Flight

3.2.4.1 Comparison of Actual Flight Data to Predicted Flight Model

3.2.4.2 Errors between Actual and Predicted Flight Data

3.2.4.3 Estimation of Drag Coefficient of Full Scale Rocket with Subscale Data


3.2.5 Impact of the Subscale Flight Data on the Full Scale Launch Vehicle



LOCATION OVERVIEW



Tracking SL



93%



SL

In Whistle zone

(null)
Holtville (null)


STOP TRACKING

3.3 Recovery Subsystem


3.3.1 Final Design

3.3.1.1 Avionics Subsystem

The recovery electronics will be in the avionics bay, a 10.2" tube coupler with a 1" band made of fiberglass body (4" diameter) tube to separate the upper and middle body tubes. It is a redundant system that will deploy an 18" drogue parachute at apogee and an 84" main parachute at 900 feet. Removable shear pins (# 2 nylon shear pins that require 35 lbs of force to tear) will be used for the main and drogue compartment such that the pins will hold the rocket together while the rocket is ascending but the ejection charge will rip them off to deploy the parachutes.



The two mass objects represent the recovery electronics, which are 50 g total. The primary flight system, the Stratologger CF, weighs 11 grams while the backup flight computer, the RRC3, weighs 17 grams. They will be mounted on a 4" x 12" wooden board, which weighs 48 g. The green bulkheads are 0.115" thick fiberglass, while the orange bulkheads are 0.115" birch. Both recovery electronics will be powered by commercially available batteries, and there will be a power switch for both flight computers to increase safety. Together, the parachutes and the avionics bay will ensure that the flight is recoverable and reusable. This is a sample configuration of our recovery electronics and parachutes:



On either side on the bulkheads is a 1" machine-pressed eye bolt. The independent sections will use 1" wide tubular nylon to tether them during descent. These cords will be protected by a 1" wide shock cord protector sleeve. The shock cord will be changed every five launches to maintain the design's safety.

3.3.1.2 Subsystems and Components

The vehicle will use redundant dual deployment for recovery. The top section will be connected to the parachutes via a nylon shock cord, and the avionics bay will also be connected via a nylon shock cord. Recovery will occur in three phases – near apogee a small drogue parachute will be deployed that is designed to slow the rocket for initial descent. Much later, at an altitude of 900 feet, the ejection charge will deploy the main, which is designated to drastically slow down ascent for the purpose of safety.

The primary set of recovery electronics will use a Stratologger CF Flight Computer, and the backup set will use an RRC3 Flight computer. In this way, if there is a bug in the design of either flight computer that would affect the recovery during our flight it will not be replicated in the other set of electronics. Each of the two recovery electronics has its own separate commercially available battery capable of powering the electronics for a minimum of 1 hour dwell time plus flight time. That battery is disconnected through an interlock key switch accessible on the outside of the rocket near the nose cone, and this is to ensure that the electronics are not powered on until it is safe to do so on the launch pad. The key can be removed only when the switch is locked ON. The recovery electronics will ignite a measured portion of gunpowder using an electric match. Recovery electronics are totally independent of the payload electronics and power. To assure that the radio frequency signals of other electronics do not interfere with

recovery, use a MG Chemicals SuperShield. One to two mil coating provides 40dB - 50dB shielding across a frequency range of 5 to 1800MHz.

3.3.1.2.1 Analysis of Parachute

Our main chute is 84" in diameter, deployed at an altitude of 500 ft.

<u>84" Iris Ultra Standard Information</u>	
Parachute Material	Rip-stop nylon
Shroud Line Material	400lb Flat tubular Nylon
Mass	539 g
OD	84"
ID	36"
Shroud line length	84"
Shape	Toroidal
Coefficient of drag	2.2
Colors	Red, White

Our drogue chute is 18" in diameter, deployed at apogee.

<u>18" Classical Elliptical Information</u>	
Parachute Material	1.1 oz rip-stop nylon
Shroud Line Material	220 lb tubular nylon
Mass	49 g
OD	18"
ID	6"
Shroud line length	24"
Shape	Elliptical


Coefficient of drag	1.5-1.6
Colors	Red, White

3.3.1.3 Alternatives

Flight Computer	Pros	Cons
G-Wiz HCX	Easily programmable, dual deployment can be set in 100 foot increments. Comes with an SD card to record flights. Can also be used with 2 batteries to optimize safety.	Not available for sale anymore.
<u>Stratologger CF</u>	Easy to program, reliable manufacturer (PerfectFlite). It can record altitudes up to 100,000 feet, and stores 20 flights a second. Main deployment can be set in 1 foot increments for more precision.	Can only launch drogue at certain altitudes. Doesn't allow two batteries for increased safety.
<u>RRC3 Sport</u>	Easy to program and is pre set up at drogue deployment at apogee and main deployment at 500 feet. Reliable manufacturer (Mad Cow Rocketry) which we used in TARC. Allows two batteries.	Bigger than the stratologger and heavier (17g).
<u>TeleMega Altimeter</u>	Has an on board integrated GPS receiver (eliminating need for dog collar). Has accelerometer. Pyro events like dual deploy can be configured to specific heights and times to increase accuracy.	Really expensive (costs \$500). Relatively heavy (25g).
<u>Raven Flight Computer</u>	Really small (saves space). High quality data	Hard to program. No flexibility with main

	(accelerometer, barometric pressure, etc). Main deployment at 700 feet (fits with our deployment plan).	deployment (can't change the altitude). Really expensive (\$155).
--	---	---

3.3.4 Proof of Redundancy



The fact that the wires of the stratologger and RRC3 do not get mixed together proves the redundancy of the dual-deploy system.

3.3.5 Diagrams


3.3.6 Operating Frequency(s) of Locating Tracker(s).

3.3.7 Black Powder Calculations

3.4 Mission Performance Predictions

3.4.1 Simulations

3.4.1.1 Flight Profile Simulations



3.4.1.2 Altitude Predictions with Simulated Vehicle Data

Wind Condition (mph)	Max Altitude (ft, without air brakes assistance)
0	5363.22
5	5368.37
10	5363.58
15	5348.88
20	5324.38
25	5290.39

Increasing wind speeds have no noticeable effect on the rocket until the wind exceeds 10 mph.


3.4.1.3 Component Weights

Component Name	Mass (g)	Length (in)	Thickness, if applicable (in)
Nose Cone	638.4	22	0.2
Whistle GPS	240		
Payload Collar	40	1.375	
Payload Enclosure	309	8	
Aluminum Bulkhead	100	0.25	0.25
Aluminum Bulkhead	100	0.25	0.25
Eye Bolt	30		
Payload Bay	1044	38	
Tube Coupler	195	6.5	
Payload	.5	37.5	

Upper Body Tube	725	26	
84" Main Chute, swivel	540		
Blast Cloth	125		
Shock Cord	250		
Avionics Collar	60	1.5	
U Bolt	50		
Aluminum Bulkhead	100	0.25	0.25
Recovery Electronics (Avionics)	240		
2 Key Slots	160		
Electronics Bay (TC)	410	12.25	
Aluminum Bulkhead	100	0.25	0.25
U Bolt	50		
Middle Body Tube	387	12.75	
Shock Cord	200		
Drogue Chute	60		
Extension	250	8	
Eye Bolt	30		
2 Fiberglass Bulkheads	72	0.25	0.25
Tube Coupler	210	8	
Airbrake Module	235	8.375	
Tube Coupler	200	6.75	

Birch Bulkhead	87	0.25	0.25
Air Brakes	160	5	
Air Brakes Electronics	240		
Servo	285		
Booster	290	21.25	
Tube Coupler	250	7	
2 Fiberglass Bulkheads	50	0.25	0.25
Centering Ring	52.289	0.188	0.188
75 mm Motor Mount	550	19	
Fin Set	326	Semi-span: 4.5	0.3785
Centering Ring	52.289	0.188	0.188
Centering Ring	52.289	0.188	0.188
Motor Retainer	135.237		
Total	11051	139.5	N/A

3.4.1.4 Simulated Motor Thrust Curve



3.4.1.5 Verification of Robust Design


Based on past experience with fiberglass and fiberglass tape, a rocket with the proper resin and under careful supervision can be reusable.

3.4.2 Stability Margin

CG: 74.5034 in from nose cone

CP: 116.4851 in from nose cone

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Static stability margin} &= \frac{CP - CG}{\text{Body Tube Diameter}} \\
 &= \frac{116.4851" - 74.5034"}{3"} \\
 &= 10.43 \text{ calibers}
 \end{aligned}$$



The stability margin at rail exit is 11.8867 calibers. The stability margin on the launch pad is 11.6701.

3.4.3 Simulated Center of Pressure and Center of Gravity Relationship and Locations

3.4.4 Calculations

3.4.4.1 Kinetic Energy

The kinetic energy of the different independent sections can be found in this Google Spreadsheet calculator [here](#).

With Drogue Chute Out:

Section 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2.9375 \text{ lbs})(89\text{ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 361.303 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

Section 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2.9075 \text{ lbs})(89\text{ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 357.613 \end{aligned}$$

Section 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(7.9125 \text{ lbs})(89\text{ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 973.213 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

Section 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(10.8865 \text{ lbs})(89\text{ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 1339 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

With Main Chute out:

Section 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2.9375 \text{ lbs})(16 \text{ ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 11.677 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

Section 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2.9075 \text{ lbs})(16\text{ft/s})^2\left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 11.56$$

Section 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(7.9125 \text{ lbs})(16 \text{ ft/s})^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 31.45 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

Section 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kinetic energy} &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(10.8865 \text{ lbs})(16 \text{ ft/s})^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{ lbf s}^2}{32.2 \text{ lbm ft}}\right) \\ &= 43.28 \text{ lbf} \end{aligned}$$

Each independent section has less than 75 lbf.

3.4.4.2 Drift

3.4.4.2.1 0 MPH Wind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Drift} &= 113 \text{ s} \times 0 \text{ mph} \\ &= 0 \text{ miles} \end{aligned}$$

3.4.4.2.1.1 Alternative Calculations

3.4.4.2.2 5 MPH Wind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Drift} &= 113 \text{ s} \times \left(\frac{5 \text{ miles}}{1 \text{ hour}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3600 \text{ seconds}}\right) \\ &= 0.157 \text{ miles} \\ &= 829 \text{ ft} \end{aligned}$$

3.4.4.2.2.1 Alternative Calculations

3.4.4.2.3 10 MPH Wind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Drift} &= 113 \text{ s} \times \left(\frac{10 \text{ miles}}{1 \text{ hour}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3600 \text{ seconds}}\right) \\ &= 0.314 \text{ miles} \\ &= 1658 \text{ ft} \end{aligned}$$

3.4.4.2.3.1 Alternative Calculations

3.4.4.2.4 15 MPH Wind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Drift} &= 113 \text{ s} \times \left(\frac{15 \text{ miles}}{1 \text{ hour}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3600 \text{ seconds}} \right) \\ &= .471 \text{ miles} \\ &= 2487 \text{ ft} \end{aligned}$$

3.4.4.2.4.1 Alternative Calculations

3.4.4.2.5 20 MPH Wind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Drift} &= 122.2 \text{ s} \times \left(\frac{20 \text{ miles}}{1 \text{ hour}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3600 \text{ seconds}} \right) \\ &= .628 \text{ miles} \\ &= 3316 \text{ ft} \end{aligned}$$

3.4.4.2.5.1 Alternative Calculations

3.4.5 Simulation of Results

4 Safety

4.1 Launch concerns and operation procedures

Launch concerns and their mitigations, as well as operation procedures are listed in the following sections.

4.1.1 Assembly and Launch Procedures

Assembly and launch procedures are listed below.

4.1.1.1 Recovery Preparation

Iris Ultra Standard Parachute Folding Manual

Steps:

1. Spread the parachute out completely on the flat surface.
2. Fold left side of the rocket over to the right side of the rocket (or vice versa).
3. Make sure the shroud lengths are not tangled.
4. Fold once more from either side to side.
5. Repeat step 4 with step 3 immediately following it until parachute is a reasonable size.

6. Section the remaining parachute top to bottom into thirds but don't fold.
7. Fold the top third onto the middle third while folding the shroud lengths up into the bottom half but not exceeding the limit of the bottom third section.
8. Finish folding the thirds into one piece.
9. Roll tightly on the long side.
10. Have another person pull tightly on the shroud lengths while you wrap the parachute up in the shroud lengths.
11. Roll the shroud lengths to one side of the parachute and then roll back towards the other side.
12. Place in blast cloth and cover side of parachute facing towards blast sufficiently.
13. The rolled up parachute should be thinner on one edge than the other so place the yellow connector on the thinner half while the split half should be at the top of the parachute.
14. After covering the top and bottom of the parachute with the blast cloth, pull one side of blast cloth to cover parachute and begin rolling towards the other side of the blast cloth.
15. Fit the parachute and blast cloth into rocket cavity and measure the psi needed to pull out the blast cloth. Do this by hooking the ring onto the split half or by pulling with bare hands.
16. If psi exceeds 3 or is tough to pull out of body tube, repeat steps 10 - 15.

Patience is highly necessary for parachute folding

4.1.1.2 Motor Preparation

The ejection charge for the motor must be removed. So, we will scrape out all ejection charge gunpowder.

After removing the ejection charge, we will insert the motor into its Cesaroni motor casing, secure the motor inside of the casing and screw the motor retainer tightly over the motor casing. The motor should not slide further into the rocket's motor mount.

4.1.1.3 Setup on Launcher

When placing the rocket on the launch rail, we will make sure the igniter is out of the rocket. The avionics must be turned off during the preparation stage. If the battery voltage is around 9.2 V-9.3 V, we will proceed with the launch. If otherwise, we will terminate the launch immediately. The SL team will make sure the igniter is placed in last so that in case of a unexpected launch, the recovery systems are armed and ready to deploy in flight. The following sections will also describe our troubleshooting for each section of the rocket, and our inspection plan after the flight as well.

4.1.1.4 Igniter Installation

Remove the igniter from its antistatic package and twist the leads. Run it all the way up the nozzle until it reaches the end. Mark on the igniter's wires where the match head stops. This mark should indicate how far the igniter should be inside the motor.

Before the igniter is installed, check for continuity. This can be easily checked by tapping the two alligator clips to produce a red light at the main electronics center. To install the igniter correctly so the launch can proceed without a delay or any other mishap, the igniter must be straightened out and stuck up the motor as far as possible. This is done by lowering the launch rail horizontally to the ground and then pushing in the wire, hence the easier access. Once the tip of the igniter cannot be shoved in any further, untwist the leads and wrap them separately around the alligator clips. Once this is completed, set the alligator clips at the side of the launch rail but without touching any metal which could create a short circuit and not launch the rocket.

4.1.1.5 Troubleshooting

4.1.1.5.1 GPS Troubleshooting

Our Whistle GPS uses an iPhone app. To see if the GPS is ready for launch, we will check the Whistle GPS app.

Problem	Mitigation
The battery percentage is not displayed.	The Whistle GPS will be turned on, and we will check the Whistle GPS app to see that its battery is turned on. We should now see a percentage and the location of the GPS.
The GPS is not inside of its "Whistle Zone," the designated 1-mile radius area where the rocket will be launching.	We will reset the Whistle Zone to the current location of the launch or to a different location of our choosing via the Whistle GPS app. Now we will be able to monitor the GPS's movement during the rocket's ascent and descent.
The GPS is out of battery.	We will return the GPS to its base station and have it sufficiently charged. The base station will alert us through the app if the GPS is charging. Charging must be done before the launch to prevent this scenario from being realized.

The GPS is not mounted on its board.	We will use zipties and loop them through the holes we drilled on the board. We will secure the GPS by tightening the zipties until the GPS is unable to move. We will cut the zipties when we need to remove the GPS.
--------------------------------------	--

4.1.1.5.2 Main Chute Troubleshooting

Problem	Mitigation
Parachute is too bulky	Refold the parachute, and wrap the shroud lengths tighter around the parachute to shrink the space needed in the body tube for the parachute.
Blast cloth wrapped insufficiently	Before placing the folded parachute and blast cloth into the body tube, have another person check that the blast cloth is facing towards the blast with the cleaner side on the inside (holding the parachute) and the darker side facing the blast.
Tangled shroud lengths	Refold the parachute by starting completely from the beginning and have another person pull on all of the shroud lengths while you turn the parachute in your hands right or left to untangle.

4.1.1.5.3 Avionics Troubleshooting

Problem	Mitigation
Flight computers are not turning on.	Check to see if the power switch wires and battery wires on the sled are connected. 99% of the time, this will be the problem. Otherwise, don't launch.
Flight computers beep different from what is expected.	Reprogram the flight computer according to the manual. If it is still showing different than what you would expect, then the flight computer is probably broken.

Battery Voltage is not over 9.	Replace the batteries.
The board is not fitting inside the coupler.	Check to see if the wires are all in the right sides. The power switch wires should be on the other side of the board, as well as one of the main wires and one of the drogue wires.

4.1.1.5.4 Drogue Chute Troubleshooting

Problem	Mitigation
Parachute is too bulky	Refold the parachute, and wrap the shroud lengths tighter around the parachute to shrink the space needed in the body tube for the parachute.
Blast cloth wrapped insufficiently	Before placing the folded parachute and blast cloth into the body tube, have another person check that the blast cloth is facing towards the blast with the cleaner side on the inside (holding the parachute) and the darker side facing the blast.
Tangled shroud lengths	Refold the parachute by starting completely from the beginning and have another person pull on all of the shroud lengths while you turn the parachute in your hands right or left to untangle.

4.1.1.5.5 Air Brakes Troubleshooting

Problem	Mitigation
A team member putting together the airbrake electronics does not know which wires connect to which pins.	The pins and wires are color coded, and the pins are labeled. For the battery input, red and black pins are clearly labeled.
The battery is not connected correctly, with reverse polarity.	The battery wire will be attached last and the work done by one person will be closely checked by the other. Labels should also

	prevent this from occurring,
When the battery is connected, the Teensy does not flash red.	The battery will be disconnected and all the connections will be checked.
Airbrakes might not function during the flight of the rocket.	Prior to launch, a vacuum test will be performed. By putting the altimeter in the vacuum, a height will be simulated, and airbrakes will be checked to see if they open.
The airbrake breaks, whether it is the arm, the wing, the hinge, or horn.	The airbrake wings will need to be taken off to reattach the broken part. Construction is required.

4.1.1.5.6 Motor Troubleshooting

Problem	Mitigation
The motor still has its ejection charge.	We will scrape out all of the ejection charge and add a plug to prevent an internal explosion. This is so the rocket does not damage itself after the motor finishes burning.
The motor retainer is very loose.	We will tighten the retainer until it is secure. If the retainer falls during flight, it could pose a lethal hazard to bystanders and could also impede the rocket's flight should the motor fall out.

4.1.1.6 Post-Flight Inspection

After the flight is completed and the rocket is safely on the ground, (do not jump and catch any part of the rocket) carefully pick up the rocket and the payload and bring it back to the setup area. Unscrew the (middle part) to check the results of the altimeter. Once altimeter has been taken note of examine the rocket and the payload for any tears or scrapes that need fixing.

4.2 Safety and Environment (Vehicle and Payload)

To complete this SL project, each member of the team needs to deliver the tasks asked from them. Overall, the rocket design, payload specifications, educational engagement, budget specifications, and safety plans are the basic measures necessary for the success of the project.

Safety is a key consideration in every aspect of this project, as team members must be aware of certain risks and dangers while designing the rocket, physically constructing the rocket, launching the rocket, and recovering the rocket. Risks can be consequential for all components of the project, as it can create setbacks if the risks fail to meet requirements. To avoid this, project planning has been established, along with making sure that safety is not looked over when focusing on efficiency.

4.2.1 Personnel Hazard, Failure Modes and Effects Analysis, and the Environmental Hazard Analysis

Our team has created checklists for launch preparation, which should take less than 3 hours. The checklists guide the team through safe and complete preparations for launch. The checklists for the rocket as a whole and its designated sections are located in Section 4.1 Launch Concerns and Operations Procedures and Section 6 Launch Operation Procedures. Below is the summary for the checklists we have.

- Preparation for launch and assurance that all safety interlock switches are off and batteries uninstalled.
 - The safety interlock switches will be verified as “OFF” and batteries for the recovery electronics will be installed.
- The battery for the GPSs and payload will be installed but will remain off.
 - The Whistle GPS will be placed in a foam cutout and secured to the shock cord. The drogue parachute will be packed in the second main body tube. and the main parachute packed in the main body tube. The payload will be turned on throughout the entire flight, and deployment/activation will be controlled by telemetry.
- Four ejection charges will be prepared and installed (1 for the drogue and 1 for the main for each of the redundant and backup electronics).
 - The two ejection charges for the main will be placed behind the parachutes from Fruity Chutes, and will be in series. The shear pins can be put into place holding the vehicle sections above and below the avionics bay. The rocket can then be placed on the pad (standard launch rail), electronics armed, igniter installed and connected to the electronics launch system. It is necessary only to apply power to the igniter for the launch. The total time for setting up on the pad should take less than 1 hours.
- Removable shear pins shall be used for both the main parachute compartment and the drogue parachute compartment.
 - There will be shear pins (2mm nylon screws) at all separation points. The shear pins will keep all points of separation attached while the rocket is moving upwards, and this is mainly to make sure that the rocket does not separate before

necessary. The primary and backup ejection charges will have enough force to break through the shear pins, ensuring that the main and drogue parachutes deploy.

- Folding and protecting the parachutes
 - The drogue and main parachutes must be placed inside blast protectors so that they are not damaged by the black powder charges and remain functional for a safe descent.
- Listening to the beeps of the avionics electronics
- Checking electronic programming and functionality
- Checking for continuity
- Igniter installed correctly
- Check if range and sky are clear

Launch procedures and waivers will be taken care of by the Rocketry Organization of California in Lucerne Dry Lake, where we plan to have our full-scale model and scale model flights.

4.2.1.1 Personnel Hazard Analysis

The SL team has developed a series of risk mitigation plans to reduce the risk of this project.

4.2.1.1.1 Hazardous Materials Safety

While completing the launch vehicle, team members will frequently come into contact with hazardous materials. These substances will not be dangerous to the team members as long as these rules are followed when handling. Concerning materials include adhesives, paints, and the actual materials used to build the vehicle. The manufacturer of those materials knows best about the posed hazards. Each manufacturer and safety organizations publish MSDS for each product. Handling these materials will require the use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).

An MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) is available to provide an overview explaining how to work safely with and handle specific chemicals or materials. It is compiled by the manufacturer of the particular chemical. Although MSDS do not have a particular format, they are required to have certain information per OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) 29 CFR 1910.1200. A list of the required information can be found here on [this website](#).

Listed are some threats to team members' safety that must be accounted for (see details below the table):

Risk	Mitigation
Impact to the body	Gloves, apron, goggles
Cut or puncture	Gloves and Apron
Chemicals – fumes and/or direct contact	Gloves, respirator, goggles

Heat/cold	Gloves
Harmful Dust and small particles	Mask and Goggles
Loud noises	Earplugs

The team will keep a copy of the MSDS for all materials used in the making of the vehicle when an MSDS exists for a certain material. The following items will be present and available for team member use whenever they are working, constructing the vehicle or payload, or launching.

- Safety goggles
- Rubber gloves
- Protective aprons
- Ear Plugs
- Leather gloves
- Respirators / Dust Masks

Eye protection must be worn whenever there is a danger of:

- Dust, dirt, metal, or wood chips entering the eye. This can happen when sawing, grinding, hammering, or using power tools.
- Strong winds during a launch (common at Lucerne Dry Lake)
- Chemical splashes when using paints, solvents, or adhesives
- Objects thrown (intentionally or inadvertently) or swinging into a team member

These types of gloves must be worn to protect the team member's hands whenever there is danger of contact with a hazardous material:

- Latex or rubber gloves for possible contact with hazardous chemicals such as adhesive, paint, or thinners, or dangerous solid materials.
- Leather gloves to protect against impact, cuts, or abrasions (e.g. in the use of some power tools such as grinders)

Team members will always work in a clean, well-ventilated area. Protection for a team member's lungs (dust mask or respirator) must be used when:

- Working with chemicals emitting fumes (e.g. paints and solvents). In this case, the team member must wear a respirator.
- Working in an environment where there is dust (e.g. sanding and working with power tools). The team member must wear a dust mask.

Body protection, such as an apron must be worn whenever there is danger of:

- Splashes or spills from chemicals
- Possible impact from tools

Ear protection (plugs or ear muffs) must be worn whenever there are loud noises present, which include:

- Using loud power tools or hammers

- Launching larger rocket motors at launches

When creating documents that require work with potentially hazardous materials including chemicals, that section will be marked with the following:

“HAZARDOUS MATERIAL - SEE MSDS”

A sample MSDS is included in Appendix to show what is included. As materials are identified during the research and design phases of this project, suitable MSDS for those materials used will be gathered and made available to all team members in hard copy form at the work area as well as on the web site.

4.2.1.1.2 Range Safety Officer (RSO) Duties

Based on the requirements set by the Statement of Work, Sahil, the RSO, must:

- Monitor team activities with an emphasis on Safety during:
 - Design of vehicle and launcher
 - Construction of vehicle and launcher
 - Assembly of vehicle and launcher
 - Ground testing of vehicle and launcher
 - Sub-scale launch test(s)
 - Full-scale launch test(s)
 - Launch day
 - Recovery activities
 - Educational Engagement Activities
 - Implement procedures developed by the team for construction, assembly, launch, and recovery activities
 - Manage and maintain current revisions of the team’s hazard analyses, failure modes analyses, procedures, and MSDS/chemical inventory data
 - Assist in the writing and development of the team’s hazard analyses, failure modes analyses, and procedures.

4.2.1.2 Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

Potential Issues/ Failure Mode	Potential Failure Effects	Severity (1-10)	Potential Causes	Occurrence (1-10)	Mitigation
Battery for the payload explodes or fail.	The rocket or payload can be damaged, forcing a complete redesign and new	9	Incorrect wiring or the battery cannot withstand certain	1	The team decided to switch to a 9 volt battery to better suit the payload. A checklist will be followed when

	construction process.		malfunctions in the coding.		constructing the rocket so no incorrect actions will occur.
The payload fails to work during the launch after it is deployed..	Experiment cannot be conducted. Sparking could occur within the payload.	5	Wiring is incorrect. Battery was not activated, or no connection in the payload circuitry.	1	A checklist will be followed during construction and when preparing the rocket to launch. The payload will be tested at ground level and simulated at manageable height(from a building).
The rocket does not fly in a stable manner.	Altitude might not be met. Damage to the rocket can occur. The rocket will fly uncontrollably, possible hurting someone.	6	While constructing the rocket, mass change might have occurred. During the design process, stability margin might not have been considered. Weather conditions also influence instability.	3	Stability margin is always looked at when designing the rocket and when making any changes to that design. Weather conditions will be monitored, and the rocket will not be launched in unsafe conditions.
Rocket components and pieces are not constructed properly (Right length is not cut, epoxy is not well applied, screws are not screwed in properly, electronics are not wired correctly, etc.).	When launched, inconsistent flights could take place, rocket electronics will not function properly, and rocket could combust.	7	Team members are not paying attention and giving close detail during the construction process. Team members are unclear of proper process of construction or the putting together of the rocket.	2	Checklists will be made and each team member working on a certain part of the rocket will be checked by another member to ensure safety and proper execution.

4.2.1.3 Hazard Descriptions, Causes, and Effects

Potential Issues/ Failure Mode	Potential Failure Effects	Severity (1-10)	Potential Causes	Occurrence (1-10)	Mitigation
Wind speeds are unsuitable for launching the rocket.	If rocket is launched, rocket will fly in an unstable manner, making it difficult for performing proper tasks.	6	Environmental conditions are not suitable and worsen as the day proceeds at Lucerne Dry Lake.	6	Launch rail can be tilted at an angle that is with the wind in correlation with the speed of the wind. If wind speeds are too strong, the team will wait for conditions to improve.
Rain falls when the rocket is on the launch pad or in preparation.	Drag increases, resulting a possible lower altitude for the rocket. Stability also decreases.	5	Weather conditions are not suitable.	4	Rocket will be launched if rain is light; if rain is too strong, the team will wait for conditions to improve.
A fire can spread to the surrounding environment.	The launch site can catch on fire, resulting in damage to the nature.	9	Rocket can malfunction and once it lands, a fire can begin. Malfunction of the motor, sparks or ignition can set the rocket on fire.	0	If the rocket does catch on fire in any way, no parts of the environment will catch on fire. There is only dirt at Lucerne Dry Lake for miles. No grass is near the launch site.
The rocket will affect trees, power lines, buildings, or people not involved in the launch.	The rocket could hurt people near the launch site who are not aware. It may cause additional damage to the	9	If the rocket is not stable, it may go off in the wrong path. Instability can be caused by the weather or rocket design.	1	There are no power lines, trees, or buildings within miles of the launch site. People nearby will be warned prior to the launching of the rocket. Stability margin of rocket will be made sure to be within safe limits during the design process.

	surrounding environment.				
Rocket components are harmful to the environment in terms of air and land pollution.	The team will be contributing to pollution and its harmful effects on the surrounding nature and the earth's population.	1	During the construction of the rocket, the team may come across disposable material such as electronics, batteries, and other rocket parts. After launching the rocket, the motor cannot be used again and must be disposed.	1	The team will dispose batteries and motors at Higgins Environmental in Huntington Beach to promote environmental awareness.
Ammonium perchlorate composite motors that are not disposed of safely pose a threat to human and environmental safety.	The team will contribute to the pollution of the ground and affect surrounding ecosystems by leaving used up motors in the environment. This can release hydrogen chloride, which, mixed in water, can create hydrochloric acid. The acid is corrosive and can acidify soil and water.*	1	After a motor has been used, the team could leave a motor behind without noticing.	3	The team will promptly remove the motor and place it in a designated bag to take to a nearby disposal center that will properly dispose of the motor. The team will also scout the area they occupied for any trash and dispose of the trash as well.

More epoxy resin than necessary is left out in the environment or disposed of improperly.	The epoxy could result in dermatitis, chemical burns, respiratory irritation, and environmental pollution. #	1	The team overestimated how much epoxy they could use.	1	The team must consistently underestimate the total volume of epoxy resin they will use during the construction of the rocket. To prevent pollution, the team will take excess epoxy resin and the supplies that were used in mixing the resin to a nearby waste disposal center.
---	--	---	---	---	--

*Source: wikipedia.org

#Source: westsystem.com

The nearby waste disposal center in Irvine is the [Irvine Collection Center](#).

4.2.1.4 Mitigations of Hazards and/or Causes

The following tables have been made to address potential catastrophes. All risks in the red columns are considerably dangerous, while risks in the green columns are not necessarily dangerous but warrant steady caution.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Technique
Time	M	H	If we do not have enough time, then there is nothing to do other than to work harder and reduce quality. To prevent this, we will create a coherent work schedule, divide the work evenly, and clearly delineate the formatting of the deliverables for uniformity in advance. Failing to meet deadlines in time may result in the termination of the SL team's participation.
Budget	M	M	If we run out of funds, we can either fundraise or gather money from within the team. The first method would guarantee a minimum \$100 profit. The second would guarantee a minimum \$700.
Functionality	L	H	If functionality within the project decreases, then we can mitigate this risk by providing clear work schedules and creating team activities to relax.
Resources	L	M	If we run out of resources, we can buy more and use our funds.

4.2.1.4.1 Vehicle Risk Mitigation

<p>1. Risk - The engine does not ignite while conducting the launch of the rocket.</p> <p>Mitigation - Prior to launch, multiple team members will check to make sure the igniter is properly inserted in the engine to its full length, ensuring ignition of the motor.</p>	<p>4. Risk - The rocket body caves in, or collapses on itself.</p> <p>Mitigation - The team will use fiberglass for the body tube, a material capable of withstanding outside forces. Inside, flight boards, bulkheads, and centering rings will help to maintain the circular frame of the body tube.</p>	<p>7. Risk - The electronic matches fall out of their designated place.</p> <p>Mitigation - Before placing the shear pins, the matches will be checked to ensure that they have been tightened down to remain in place. This task will be placed on a checklist that members will go through while preparing the rocket for launch.</p>
<p>2. Risk - The engine does not fit (too loose or tight) in the motor casing.</p> <p>Mitigation - The team will make sure the engine is inserted in the proper motor casing, and cannot be shaken or pulled out with ease. The team will also check when the motor casing is inserted into the motor mount.</p>	<p>5. Risk - The quick links are not attached properly.</p> <p>Mitigation - The team will double check all connections to ensure that the rocket is assembled completely before preparing the rocket for launch. These tasks will be written on a checklist, which members who checked the task will sign off to take responsibility.</p>	<p>8. Risk: Motor explodes</p> <p>Mitigation: Detailed instructions will be followed step by step when building the motor. Team members will be required to maintain focus and detail while putting together the motor. We will make sure the igniter is inserted without folding in on itself to prevent it from catching on its way out of the nozzle after ignition.</p>
<p>3. Risk: Airbrakes do not function while in flight.</p> <p>Mitigation: When electronics, are activated at ground level, a test for airbrake function will be performed. The airbrake motors will be checked</p>	<p>6. Risk - The shear pins do not shear due to the ejection charge.</p> <p>Mitigation - When purchasing the pins, the team will note the force required to shear them. The team will perform black powder ground tests to make sure the ejection charges exert more force than the pins can withstand. To</p>	<p>9. Risk - Parachute was not packed correctly and does not deploy</p> <p>Mitigation: The team will check to make sure the parachute is fitted correctly into the body of the rocket prior to launch. However, if the primary ejection charge</p>

prior to assembling the whole rocket.	ensure shearing, the backup charge will have a greater amount of black powder.	does not separate the rocket, backup ejection charges with greater amounts of black powder will allow the parachute to deploy.
---------------------------------------	--	--

4.2.1.4.2 Payload Risks and Mitigations

Payload risks and mitigations will be updated along the process once programming, designing, and testing begin.

<p>1. Risk: The automation of the payload stops working</p> <p>Mitigation: Switch to RC</p>	<p>4. Risk: RC is still not safe in presence of crowd</p> <p>Mitigation: Deploy emergency parachute</p>	<p>7. Risk: Payload fails to have controlled descent.</p> <p>Mitigation: Immediately deploy parachute with autonomous sensor</p>
<p>2. Risk: Batteries are not fully charged</p> <p>Mitigation: Charge the batteries to max before the flight.</p>	<p>5. Risk: Steering rotor fails, resulting in loss of control of payload.</p> <p>Mitigation: Deploy parachute remotely</p>	<p>8. Risk: Payload ejects above 400 ft, which is illegal for RC aircraft</p> <p>Mitigation: Immediately deploy parachute, turn off auto and RC controls.</p>
<p>3. Risk: Payload does not eject</p> <p>Mitigation: Check if the supply wire is securely attached from the 5 volt pin of the teensy to the Sensor.</p>	<p>6. Risk: Batteries fail</p> <p>Mitigation: Use Voltmeter to check if the battery is fully charged before the flight.</p>	<p>9. Risk: Power fails entirely despite charging; power disconnect mid-flight</p> <p>Mitigation: Keep an independent power source and receiver for emergency parachute deployment for redundancy</p>

4.2.1.4.3 Recovery Risks and Mitigations

1. Risk: Backup ejection charges do not ignite.	4. Risk: Drogue chute flies at wrong altitude	7. Risk: Main chute doesn't deploy
--	--	---

<p>Mitigation: Check to make sure the RRC3 is beeping in the specific sequence as denoted in the manual.</p>	<p>Mitigation: Double check that the Stratologger and RRC3 both are beeping in their specific sequences.</p>	<p>Mitigation: Backup Flight Computer and ejection charges should take care of this.</p>
<p>2. Risk: The Batteries of Backup Electronics Fall out</p> <p>Mitigation: Use battery holders and zip ties to ensure that the batteries do not fall out, and double check the sturdiness of these before every launch.</p>	<p>5. Risk: Airbrakes fail to close, interfering with recovery</p> <p>Mitigation: Double check that the LED light is blinking on the Arduino. Also, make sure the most recent code is uploaded in the Arduino.</p>	<p>8. Risk: Stratologger CF Flight Computer is not turned on</p> <p>Mitigation: The team will have three members check the Stratologger to see if it is beeping in its specific sequence, and they will affirm its status by signing their name in the checklist.</p>
<p>3. Risk: The Backup RRC3 Flight Computer is not turned on</p> <p>Mitigation: The team will have three members check the Flight Computer to see if it's beeping and affirm its status by signing their name in the checklist.</p>	<p>6. Risk: Drogue doesn't deploy</p> <p>Mitigation: Double check that the electronics are turned on and beeping, and have three people sign the checklist to affirm. Also, back up ejection charges will take care of this.</p>	<p>9. Risk: Main batteries fail</p> <p>Mitigation: Use fresh batteries and make sure the electronics will power up first in a test second before flight.</p>

4.2.1.5 Preliminary List of Verifications for the Identified Mitigations


Verifications for mitigations will be made through listed procedures and checklists for the multiple components of the rocket identified in Section 4.1.

5 Payload Criteria

5.1 Design of Payload Equipment

5.1.1 Final Design

5.1.2 System Design Review



1
SABOT & PAYLOAD
INSIDE ROCKET

2
DROGUE
MAIN
PAYLOAD

3
D
M
PAYLOAD

NOT TO SCALE
RECEIVES
TRANSMITS

SENSORS
INSIDE
PAYLOAD

HOW DO WE KNOW
IF THE UAV HAS
BEEN RELEASED?
- CONTROLLER
- UAV HAS RADIO
FLIP SWITCH ON
CONTROLLER TO LET
UAV DO AN ACTION

WHISTLE GPS
ARDUINO & LAS
& FLIGHT COMP.

MAIN
PRIMARY = 700 FT
SECONDARY = 500 FT
PAYLOAD = 400 FT
+ HALL EFFECT
SHOWS PAYLOAD
DEPLOYMENT

PAYLOAD
IN UAV
Radio Tx
TMC
GPS
ARDUINO

elastic


GROUND STATION
BROFENIG
MOBILINK
PARS/DROID
COMPATIBLE
PHONE

NOT TO SCALE

NOT TO SCALE

* HOW TO ISSUE
COMMANDS TO
ROCKET

RC RECEIVER
RELEASE PAYLOAD
L/R
SERVOS
UP/DOWN
TRANSMITTER
TMC
NARS
GPS
SPIU
RELEASE VELOCITY
RC-COMD



5.1.2.1 Specifications of Payload Components and Assembly

5.1.2.1.1 UAV GPS

The payload will have various devices installed to send data down to the receiver on the ground allowing information such as the rotation of the payload when being ejected and most

importantly, the location of the payload. This will be located in the center console of the UAV and will be transmitting real time.

5.1.2.1.1.1 UAV GPS Alternatives

Device	Pros	Cons
<u>Mobilinkd</u>	Easily reprogrammable. Works with variety of devices that supports KISS protocol. Accessible without mobile/wifi network. No extra wires or large setups required. $\geq 40g$.	Doesn't work with iPhones/Apple products due to iOS not supporting KISS protocol. 11mm thick. About \$70
<u>Radio Shield 2 for Arduino</u>	\$36 each. Operational with VHF APRS network. Can transmit and receive packets. Programmable. 17g	Will not send telemetry unless it knows its location.
<u>APC220 Manual</u>	\$40 each. Highly sensitive. Has an embedded watchdog. Size: 37 x 17 x 6.5 mm. Eliminates need for packetizing and data encoding. $\geq 30g$.	Only works at a distance of up to 1200m at 9600 bps.

5.1.2.1.1.2 Leading Design

We will use Mobilinkd for the payload. This will help us locate the UAV in case it gets lost, and it is easily reprogrammable.

5.1.2.2 Interaction of Payload Components

5.1.2.2.1 Interfaces Between Payload and Launch Vehicle

The payload will fit within its own body tube, which is above the main chute. There will be shear pins attached to this area.

5.1.2.2.1.1 Slingshot System

5.1.2.2.1.2 Linear Actuator



L12-I Micro Linear Actuator with Internal Controller

Gearing	50:1	Mass	34 g
Stroke	30 mm	Repeatability	±0.2 mm
Voltage	6VDC	Max Side Load (extended)	40 N
Peak Power Point	17 N @ 14 mm/s	Closed Length (hole to hole)	82 mm
Peak Efficiency Point	10 N @ 19 mm/s	Potentiometer	3kΩ±50%
Max Speed (no load)	25 mm/s	Max Input Voltage	7.5V
Max Force (lifted)	22 N	Stall Current	460mA
Back Drive Force (static)	12 N	Standby Current	7.2mA

The linear actuators are responsible for pulling out stainless steel pins. By releasing the resisting force against the tension of the rubber band, the nose cone and the payload accelerate out of the rocket as one mass and then hang until the RSO gives the all-clear.

5.1.2.3 Integration of Payload into Launch Vehicle

5.1.3 Demonstration of Design Completion

5.1.4 Payload Electronics

5.1.4.1 System Diagrams and Schematics

5.1.4.2 Batteries/Power

5.1.4.3 Switch and Indicator Wattage and Location

5.1.5 Justification of Unique Aspects of Payload

6 Project Plan

6.1 Testing

6.1.1 Test Result Effects on Launch Vehicle and Payload

6.1.2 Test Plan Description

6.1.2.1 Vehicle Test Plan

To verify that the vehicle is ready, it is necessary to design a subscale model of it. We used a 3" subscale rocket and verified that the design and its subsystems are safe for the flight of the fullscale.

Test Objective:

To verify the functionality and safety of the rocket

Success Criteria: The rocket has a suitable stability margin (greater than or equal to 2 calibers), was flown and recovered successfully, met the minimum rail exit velocity (52 ft/s), and flew the avionics and air brakes subsystems.

Test Plan:

1. Design a full-scale and subscale rocket. Simulate. If the rockets do not meet the Student Launch handbook's criteria, then revise and simulate again.
2. Pick suitable motors for each rocket.
3. Build the subscale rocket. Measure for:
 - a. Center of Gravity, relative to nose cone
 - b. Total Mass

These details should be inputted in RockSim to receive a better prediction of the subscale's flight.

This should also include the rocket's air brakes and avionics.
4. Test the recovery system and air brakes.
5. Fly the subscale, with the air brakes and avionics on board and active.
6. The following depends on the flight's results
 - a. If the rocket has crashed, diagnose what went wrong, redesign if necessary, rebuild, and fly again.
 - b. If a subsystem did not function at all, diagnose what went wrong, redesign if necessary, and fly again.
 - c. If the rocket is successful, then record the results in the Critical Design Review.

6.1.2.1.1 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.1.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.1.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.1.4 Results

6.1.2.2 Recovery Test Plan

Testing for the avionics bay is fairly straightforward, as it requires the team to listen to a specific series of beeps from the flight computers to ensure their functionalities. For the stratologger, there should be seven sets of beeps, in the following manner:

Present number - 1 through 9

Main Deploy Altitude

Long beep if Apogee delay set

Altitude of last flight (Warble = Power lost)

Battery Voltage (needs to be about 9V)

Continuity beeps (repeats every 0.8 seconds)

Zero beeps = no continuity

One beep = Drogue OK
 Two beeps = Main OK
 Three beeps = Drogue + Main OK (ideal scenario)

For the RRC3, the continuity check is the following:

5 second long beep (init mode)
 10 second baro history init time (silence)
 Settings beep (when enabled) or POST fault code beep (if a fault, see POST fault codes)
 10 second launch commit test time (silence)
 Launch Detect mode (continuity beeps)
 A long beep indicates no continuity on any event terminal.
 One short beep indicates continuity on only the drogue terminal.
 Two short beeps indicate continuity on only the main terminal.
 Three short beeps indicate continuity on the main and drogue terminals.

6.1.2.2.1 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.2.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.2.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.2.4 Results

6.1.2.3 Energetics Test Plan

We will perform ground tests to verify our calculations of how much black powder is safe to use on a rocket. In an isolated area, we will test different masses of black powder charges and remotely detonate these charges with a 9 Volt current.

If the rocket deploys its respective parachutes without exploding the body tubes, then we will have found the correct mass of the black powder that is safe to use on the flight. If the rocket is unable to deploy a parachute, then we will increase the mass of the black powder used and check to see if there is continuity with the recovery electronics and the electric match that detonates the black powder.

We will find the minimum amount of black powder that is safe for use.

This ground test will be used on the full-scale and scale model of the rocket.

If we have calculated the correct mass, then it doesn't pop out, we need to find out why. Account for nylon screws and the force of the black powder and the friction that results from the avionics bay rubbing against the body tube.

6.1.2.3.1 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.3.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.3.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.3.4 Results

6.1.2.4 Air Brakes Test Plan

Testing of the airbrakes is fairly easy to understand.

First, check the module to see any possible structural damages. Tighten all screws, from the hinges of the airbrakes to the arms of the servo. If not already uploaded, the airbrake test code should be uploaded to the Teensy via laptop. We then perform two tests with two codes.

Connect the servo cable to the respective wire on the airbrake electronics. Once the battery is connected, the simple code should just open and close the airbrakes continuously. During this preliminary test, team members in charge of the airbrakes will look for any improper functionality in the rocket. If no issues have come up after one minute of running the code, remove the battery connection from the Teensy and upload the flight code.

Connect the servo cable to the respective wires on the airbrake electronics. Connect the other respective cable to the Pnut altimeter, and then place the Pnut in the vacuum chamber. Finally, connect the Lipo battery source to the designated location on the Teensy board. Turn on the vacuum chamber. As pressure changes in the chamber, the airbrakes should open and close irregularly to adjust the simulated altitude. If the airbrakes and servo do respond, the airbrake module is ready for flight.

6.1.2.4.1 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.4.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.4.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.4.4 Results

6.1.2.5 GPS Test Plan

To test the GPS, one must turn on the Whistle GPS app and set up the Whistle Zone, a circle with a one mile radius. After the Whistle Zone is set up and the base station is in place, a team

member can drive up to a mile with the GPS, and the phone should be able to track the pathway of the car.

Refreshing location is useful in checking to see if the GPS has changed its position. The GPS will only update the phone if the GPS has left the designated Whistle Zone. The update provides the location of the GPS before it left the Whistle Zone.

6.1.2.5.1 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.5.2 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.5.3 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.5.4 Results

6.1.2.6 Payload Test Plan

Spin cylinders up

Steer L/R/U/D

Make sure you can get telemetry out

Make sure sensors for the release mechanism are working

RPM Detection is working

Make sure we can spin up propellor

Make sure controller works

Make sure release mechanism can be controlled via RC controller (The UAV responds to the controller, tested as a system)

Test deployment of UAV (how does the sabot go from inside the body tube to horizontal and ready for UAV release)

Test UAV's ability to glide

Attach release mechanism to a tethered weather balloon, fly to 1000 ft, then drop it. Activate at 400 ft to verify that it does not fall too fast to be dangerous

Test APRS downlink and telemetry data downlink.

-testing on bench

-range testing of RC control and of APRS downlink (know how far the RC control works, ideal conditions = 400 ft, less than ideal = far)

Make sure UAV will release properly

6.1.2.6.1 Ground Testing

Release Mechanism

6.1.2.6.2 UAV Testing

Servos and RC control

6.1.2.6.3 Proof of Integrity

6.1.2.6.4 Objective/Success Criteria, Testing Variable, and Methodology

6.1.2.6.5 Justification of Test for Validity of Design

6.1.2.6.6 Results

6.1.3 Changes in Launch Vehicle and/or Payload

6.2 Requirements Compliance

6.2.1 Verification Plan

We will use a cross reference table to make sure we have met all of sections 1-5 within the NASA Student Launch handbook.

6.2.1.1 General Verification Plan

6.2.1.2 Vehicle Verification Plan

To test the vehicle, we shall perform a series of analyses to ensure that it works properly. To make sure that the rocket is designed properly, we will place the design in RockSim 9 to predict the rocket's behavior, such as its velocity at rail exit. We will also calculate the drift of the design, its kinetic energy at which it lands, and, and its stability margin.

If the rocket is unable to perform correctly in simulation or fails to meet the SL requirements for safe ascent and descent, then we will continue adjusting the rocket until it reaches SL requirements.

6.2.1.3 Recovery System Verification Plan

Testing for the avionics bay is fairly straightforward, as it requires the teammates to listen to a specific series of beeps from the flight computers to ensure their functionalities. For the stratologger, there should be seven sets of beeps, in the following manner:

Present number - 1 through 9

Main Deploy Altitude

Long beep if Apogee delay set

Altitude of last flight (Warble = Power lost)

Battery Voltage

Continuity beeps (repeats every 0.8 seconds)

Zero beeps = no continuity

One beep = Drogue OK

Two beeps = Main OK

Three beeps = Drogue + Main OK (ideal scenario)

For the RRC3, the continuity check is the following:

5 second long beep (init mode)

10 second baro history init time (silence)

Settings beep (when enabled) or POST fault code beep (if a fault, see POST fault codes)

10 second launch commit test time (silence)

Launch Detect mode (continuity beeps)

A long beep indicates no continuity on any event terminal.

One short beep indicates continuity on only the drogue terminal.

Two short beeps indicate continuity on only the main terminal.

Three short beeps indicate continuity on the main and drogue terminals.

6.2.1.4 Experiment Verification Plan

We will bring a measuring tape with us and a GPS to verify that the payload landed within 50 ft of its intended landing position.

6.2.1.5 Safety Verification Plan

Safety of the team and of anyone around is managed by the safety officer.

6.2.2 Team Derived Requirements

6.2.2.1 Vehicle Requirements

A successful mission is determined by the vehicle's success in the following areas : data collected, ascent, altitude reached, descent.

If the payload establishes some sort of trend between altitude and carbon dioxide levels and reads a three digit number, preferably near 350 ppm, which is the safe level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, the mission is a success in this aspect.

If the rocket achieves a minimum velocity of 52 feet/s, achieves a static stability margin of 2.0 at rail exit, does not utilize a motor that exceeds 2560 Newton-seconds, and safely ascends to one mile, then the mission is a success in this aspect.

If the rocket safely descends with a maximum kinetic energy of 75 ft-lbf, returns data from the payload, and can be reused again, then the mission is a success in this aspect.

To go outside of the handbook's requirements, the rocket must land within a 1 mile radius of the launchpad and must utilize its air brakes to increase drag and achieve or almost achieve its target altitude of one mile.

6.2.2.2 Payload Requirements

6.2.2.3 Recovery Requirements

6.2.2.4 Safety Requirements

6.2.2.5 General Requirements

Teamwork Dynamics

Risk	Mitigation
Consecutive long work days	Update the Gantt chart frequently, schedule hourly breaks
Uneven distribution of work	Update the Gantt chart frequently
Avionics bay not assembled in time	Give the person in charge of avionics (Kush) more time to practice

Rocket Construction

Risk	Mitigation
Finding the right parachutes	Simulate, calculate drift and kinetic energy, and fit the parachutes and their respective shock cords
Finding the right way to mount masses	Use zipties and duct tape, cut boards
Couplers that are too short or do not have enough body tube length	All tube couplers should be halfway inside. For the full scale, tube couplers must be a minimum of 3" inside a body tube. For the subscale, tube couplers must be a minimum of 2.5" inside a subscale rocket body tube and 3" inside a full-scale rocket body tube

Metal screws tearing	Screw in more delicately, designate a few people to use screws
----------------------	--

Other team dynamics goals:

- Develop clear goals for each day we meet
- Present individual roles and progress to the team
 - I.e. inform them on how to make the avionics work
- Bring plenty of shock cord to launches
- Figure out how to pack shock cord better
- Fill old holes with epoxy and then drill new ones
- Develop checklists in the event the person in charge of a specific aspect is unable to come to an event
- Stay focused while building. Don't get distracted by animals
 - DO NOT engage Parbo the Macaw. Except for spraying.
- Schedule hourly break times

6.2 Budget

*Will be reusing last year's materials

Description	Unit Cost	Qty	Subtotal	
Scale Vehicles and Engines				
3" Fiberglass Frenzy XL	\$200.00	1	\$0.00*	
3" G12 Thin-Wall Airframe (12" length)	\$20.00	1	\$0.00*	
3" G12 Coupler (6" length)	\$14.00	2	\$0.00*	
3" G12 Coupler (9" length)	\$21.00	1	\$0.00*	
HS-7980TH	\$190.00	1	\$0.00*	
2-56 wire	\$10.00	1	\$0.00*	
1/4" Machine Closed Eye Bolt	\$18.00	4	\$0.00*	
Heavy unit easy connector	\$5.00	1	\$0.00*	
Iris Ultra 72" Compact parachute	\$265.00	1	\$0.00*	
12" Elliptical Parachute	\$47.00	1	\$0.00*	
Cesaroni J240RL	\$85.00	1	\$85.00	
Total Scale Vehicle Cost				\$85.00
Vehicle				

4" G12 Coupler (12" length)	\$31.00	3	\$0.00*	
4" G12 Coupler (8" length)	\$21.00	2	\$0.00*	
4" Fiberglass Frenzy XL	\$300.00	1	\$0.00*	
4" G12 Airframe (12" length)	\$23.00	1	\$0.00*	
2-56 wire	\$10.00	1	\$0.00*	
Heavy unit easy connector	\$5.00	1	\$0.00*	
Aero Pack 75mm Retainer (Fiberglass Motor Tubes)	\$44.00	1	\$0.00*	
Shock Cord Protector Sleeves of Kevlar	\$10.00	3	\$0.00*	
1 Inch Black Climbing Spec Tubular Nylon Webbing	\$12.00	2	\$0.00*	
3/8" Machine Closed Eye Bolt	\$30.00	4	\$0.00*	
4" G10 Airframe Plate	\$6.00	8	\$0.00*	
3" G10 Airframe Bulkplate	\$5.00	8	\$0.00*	
3" Aluminum Bulkplate	\$15.00	4	\$0.00*	
4" Aluminum Bulkplate	\$20.00	4	\$0.00*	
4" Coupler Bulkplate	\$4.00	4	\$0.00*	
3" Coupler Bulkplate	\$3.50	4	\$0.00*	
Electric Matches	\$1.50	60	\$90.00	
Aero Pack 54mm Retainer (Fiberglass Motor Tubes)	\$29.00	1	\$0.00*	
Cesaroni K2000	\$30.00	5	\$150	
Total Vehicle Cost				\$240
Recovery				
Iris Ultra 120" Compact Parachute	\$504.00	1	\$0.00*	
24" Elliptical Parachute	\$60.00	1	\$0.00*	
4F Black Powder	Kept by mentor			
Batteries (9v, 2 pack)	\$7.00	3	\$0.00*	
Battery Holder	\$1.00	5	\$0.00*	
Stratologger CF Flight Computer	\$55.00	1	\$0.00*	
RRC3 Flight Computer	\$70.00	1	\$0.00*	
PerfectFlite Pnut (2 units)	\$55.00	2	\$0.00*	
Total Recovery Cost				\$0.00

Payload				
Arduino Uno kit (includes LED, resistors, regulators, etc)	\$35.00	1	\$0.00*	
SD card + Adapter	\$10.00	1	\$0.00*	
PerfectFlite Pnut Altimeter	\$50.00	2	\$0.00*	
Lithium Ion Battery (rechargeable)	\$100.00	1	\$0.00*	
DC 12v 10000RPM Mini Magnetic Motor	\$5.53	2	\$10.06	
16" Paper parachute	\$4.00	2	\$8.00	
Teensy				
Gimbal	\$11.68	2	\$23.36	
Adafruit Battery	\$6.40 for 10	10	\$6.40	
Total Payload Cost				\$47.82
GPS System				
Whistle GPS Dog Tracker Kit	\$75.00	1	\$0.00*	
Cellular Service Fee (3 months free, 5 months to pay)	\$40.00	1	\$40.00	
Total Payload Cost				\$40.00
Educational Outreach				
Color fliers (250 copies)	\$170.00			
Total Educational Outreach Cost				\$170.00
Travel (4 Members)				
Trips to Lucerne (\$2.80/gal, 112mi; \$21.00 per trip per car)				
Huntsville, Alabama (roundtrip plane ticket)	\$332.00	6	\$1992.00	
Food (2 meals a day, 6 days)	\$10.00	728	\$720.00	
Hotel (2 people per room, 6 days)	\$120.00	18	\$2160.00	
Total Travel Cost (Estimated)				\$4872.00
Total Estimated Project Expenses				\$3830.82

6.2.1 Funding Plan

The team will procure funds from various sources. Action plans include the following: go around the community to collect items for a garage sale and also ask for donations, explain to them what the team's goal is, send letters to local businesses and aerospace companies requesting financial aid, and speak to vendors involved in rocketry and other supplies for discounts and donations. Additionally, opportunities such as fundraising support from local restaurants and stores will be used to gain more funding for the team.

6.3 Timeline

The development timeline will be available on verticalprojectile.org under the NASA SL tab.

Appendix A: Statement of Works

No.	Requirement in SOW	CDR Section
1. General Requirements		
1.1	Students on the team will do 100% of the project, including design, construction, written reports, presentations, and flight preparation with the exception of assembling the motors and handling black powder or any variant of ejection charges, or preparing and installing electric matches (to be done by the team's mentor)	
1.2	The team will provide and maintain a project plan to include, but not limited to, the following items: project milestones, budget and community support, checklists, personnel assigned, educational engagement events, and risks and mitigations	
1.3	Foreign National (FN) team members must be identified by the Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and may or may not have access to certain activities during launch week due to security restrictions. In addition, FN's may be separated from the team during these activities.	
1.4	The team must identify all team members attending launch week activities by the Critical Design Review	

	(CDR). Team members will include:	
1.4.1	Students actively engaged in the project throughout the entire year	
1.4.2	One mentor (see requirement 4.4.)	1.1.2
1.4.3	No more than two adult educators	1.1.2
1.5	The team will engage a minimum of 200 participants in educational, hands-on science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) activities, as defined in the Education Engagement Activity Report, by FRR. An educational engagement activity report will be completed and submitted within two weeks after completion of an event. A sample of the educational engagement activity report can be found on page 29 of the handbook.	
1.6	The team will post and make available for download, the required deliverables to the team Web site by the due dates specified in the project timeline.	
1.7	Teams will post, and make available for download, the required deliverables to the team Web site by the due dates specified in the project timeline.	
1.8	All deliverables must be in PDF format.	
1.9	In every report, teams will provide a table of contents including major sections and their respective sub-sections.	
1.10	In every report, the team will include the page number at the bottom of the page.	
1.11	The team will provide any computer equipment necessary to perform a video teleconference with the review panel. This includes, but is not limited to, a computer system, video camera, speaker telephone, and a broadband Internet connections. Cellular phones can be used for speakerphone capability as a last resort.	
1.12	All teams must be required to use the launch pads provided by Student Launch's launch service provider. No custom pads will be permitted on the launch field. Launch services will have 8 ft. 1010 rails, and 8 and 12 ft	

	1515 rails available for use.	
1.13	<p>Teams must implement the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board Electronic and Information Technology (EIT) and Accessibility Standards (36 CFR Part 1194) Subpart B-Technical Standards (http://www.section507.gov):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● 1194.21 Software applications and operating systems ● 1194.22 Web-based intranet and Internet information and applications 	
1.14	<p>Each team must identify a “mentor.” A mentor is defined as an adult who is included as a team member, who will be supporting the team (or multiple teams) throughout the project year, and may or may not be affiliated with the school, institution, or organization. The mentor must maintain a current certification, and be in good standing, through the National Association of Rocketry (NAR) or Tripoli Rocketry Association (TRA) for the motor impulse of the launch vehicle and must have flown and successfully recovered (using electronic, staged recovery) a minimum of t2 flights in this or a higher impulse class, prior to the PDR. The mentor is designated as the individual owner of the rocket for liability purposes and must travel with the team to launch week. One travel stipend will only be provided if the team passes the FRR and the team and mentor attends launch week in April.</p>	1.1.2
2. Vehicle Requirements		
2.1	The vehicle will deliver the payload to an apogee altitude of 5, 280 feet above ground level (AGL).	
2.2	The vehicle will carry one commercially available, barometric altimeter for recording the official altitude used in determining the altitude award winner. Teams will receive the maximum number of altitude points if the official scoring altimeter reads a value of exactly 5280 feet AGL. The team will lose one point for every foot above or below the required altitude.	
2.3	Each altimeter will be armed by a dedicated arming	

	switch that is accessible from the exterior of the rocket airframe when the rocket is in the launch configuration on the launch pad.	
2.4	Each altimeter will have a dedicated power supply.	
2.5	Each arming switch will be capable of being locked in the ON position for the launch.	
2.6	The launch vehicle will be designed to be recoverable and reusable. Reusable is defined as being able to launch again on the same day without repairs or modifications.	
2.7	The launch vehicle will have a maximum of four (4) independent sections. An independent section is defined as a section that is either tethered to the main vehicle or is recovered separately from the main vehicle using its own parachute.	
2.8	The launch vehicle will be limited to a single stage.	
2.9	The launch vehicle will be capable of being prepared for flight at the launch site within 3 hours of the time the Federal Aviation Administration flight waiver opens.	
2.10	The launch vehicle will be capable of remaining in launch-ready configuration at the pad for a minimum of 1 hour without losing the functionality of any critical on-board components.	
2.11	The launch vehicle will be capable of being launched by a standard 12-volt direct current firing system. The firing system will be provided by the NASA-designated Range Services Provider.	
2.1.2	The launch vehicles will require no external circuitry or special ground support equipment to initiate a launch (other than what is provided by Range Services).	
2.13	The launch vehicle will use a commercially available solid motor propulsion system using ammonium perchlorate composite propellant (APCP) which is approved and certified by the National Association of Rocketry (NAR), Tripoli Rocketry Association (TRA), and/or the Canadian Association of Rocketry (CAR).	

2.13.1	Final motor choices must be made by the Critical Design Review (CDR).	
2.13.2	Any motor changes must be approved by the NASA Range Safety Officer (RSO), and will only be approved if the changes is for the sole purpose of increasing the safety margin.	
2.14	Pressure vessels on the vehicle will be approved by the RSO and will meet the following criteria:	
2.14.1	The minimum factor of safety (Burst or Ultimate pressure versus Max Expected Operating Pressure) will be a 4:1 with supporting design documentation included in all milestone reviews.	
2.14.2	Each pressure vessel will include a pressure relief valve that sees the full pressure of the tank.	
2.14.3	Full pedigree of the tank will be described, including the application for which the tank was designed, and the history of the tank, including the number of pressure cycles put on the tank, by whom, and when.	
2.15	The total impulse provided by a Middle and/or High School launch vehicle will not exceed 2560 Newton-seconds (K-class.)	
2.16	The launch vehicle will have a minimum static stability margin of 2.0 at the point of rail exit	
2.17	The launch vehicle will accelerate to a minimum velocity of 52 fps at rail exit.	
2.18	All teams will successfully launch and recover a subscale model of their rocket prior to CDR. Subscals are not required to be a high power rockets.	
2.18.1	The subscale model should resemble and perform as similarly as possible to the full scale model, however, the full-scale will not be used as the subscale model.	
2.18.2	The subscale model will carry an altimeter capable of reporting the model's apogee altitude	
2.19	All teams will successfully launch and recover their full-scale rocket prior to FRR in its final flight	

	<p>configuration. The rocket flown at FRR must be the same rocket flown on launch day. The purpose of the full-scale demonstration flight is to demonstrate the launch vehicle's stability, structural integrity, recovery systems, and the team's ability to prepare the launch vehicle for flight. A successful flight is defined as a launch in which all hardware is functioning properly (i.e. drogue chute at apogee, main chute at lower altitude, functioning tracking devices, etc.). The following criteria must be met during the full scale demonstration flight:</p>	
2.19.1	The vehicle and recovery system will have functioned as designed.	
2.19.2	The payload does not have to be flown during the full-scale test flight. The following requirements still apply:	
2.19.2.1	If the payload is not flown, mass simulators will be used to simulate the payload mass.	
2.19.2.1.1	The mass simulators will be located in the same approximate location on the rocket as the missing payload mass.	
2.19.3	If the payload changes the external surfaces of the rocket (such as with camera housings or external probes) or manages the total energy of the vehicle, those systems will be active during the full-scale demonstration launch.	
2.19.4	The full-scale motor does not have to be flown during the full-scale test flight. However, it is recommended that the full-scale motor be used to demonstrate full flight readiness and altitude verification. If the full-scale motor is not flown during the full-scale flight, it is desired that the motor simulate, as closely as possible, the predicted maximum velocity and maximum acceleration of the launch day flight.	
2.19.5	The vehicle must be flown in its fully ballasted configuration during the full-scale test flight. Fully ballasted refers to the same amount of ballast that will be flown during the launch day flight.	
2.19.6	After successfully completing the full-scale demonstration flight, the launch vehicle or any of its	

	components will not be modified without the concurrence of the NASA Range Safety Officer (RSO)	
2.19.7	Full scale flights must be completed by the start of the FRRs (March 6th, 2018). If the Student Launch office determines that a re-flight is necessary, then an extension to March 28th, 2018 will be granted. This extension is only valid for re-flights; not first time flights.	
2.20	Any structural protuberance on the rocket will be located aft of the burnout center of gravity.	
2.21	Vehicle Prohibitions	
2.21.1	The launch vehicle will not utilize forward canards.	
2.21.2	The launch vehicle will not utilize forward firing motors.	
2.21.3	The launch vehicle will not utilize motors that expel titanium sponges (Sparky, Skidmark, MetalStorm, etc.).	
2.21.4	The launch vehicle will not utilize hybrid motors.	
2.21.5	The launch vehicle will not utilize a cluster of motors.	
2.21.6	The launch vehicle will not utilize friction fitting for motors.	
2.21.7	The launch vehicle will not exceed Mach 1 at any point during the flight.	
2.21.8	Vehicle ballast will not exceed 10% of the total weight of the rocket.	
3. Recovery System requirements		
3.1	The launch vehicle will stage the deployment of its recovery devices, where a drogue parachute is deployed at apogee and a main parachute is deployed at a lower altitude. Tumble or streamer recovery from apogee to main parachute is also permissible, provided that kinetic energy during drogue-stage descent is reasonable, as deemed by the RSO.	
3.2	Each team must perform a successful ground ejection test for both drogue and main parachutes. This must be done prior to the initial subscale and full scale launches	

3.3	At landing, each independent sections of the launch vehicle will have a maximum kinetic energy of 75 ft-lbf.	
3.4	The recovery system electrical circuits will be completely independent of any payload electrical circuits.	
3.5	All recovery electronic will be powered by commercially available batteries.	
3.6	The recovery system will contain redundant, commercially available altimeters. The term “altimeters” includes both simple altimeters and more sophisticated flight computers.	
3.7	Motor ejection is not a permissible form of primary or secondary deployment	
3.8	Removable shear pins will be used for both the main parachute compartment and the drogue parachute compartment.	
3.9	Recovery area will be limited to a 2500 ft. radius from the launch pads.	
3.10	An electronics tracking device will be installed in the launch vehicle and will transmit the position of the tethered vehicle or any independent section to a ground receiver.	
3.10.1	Any rocket section, or payload component, which lands untethered to the launch vehicle, will also carry an active electronic tracking device.	
3.10.2	The electronic tracking device will be fully functional during the official flight on launch day.	
3.11	The recovery system electronics will not be adversely affected by an other on-board electronic devices during flight (from launch until landing).	
3.11.1	The recovery system altimeters will be physically located in a separate compartment within the vehicle from any other radio frequency transmitting device and/or magnetic wave producing device.	
3.11.2	The recovery system electronics will be shielded from all	

	onboard transmitting devices, to avoid inadvertent excitation of the recovery system electronics.	
3.11.3	The recovery electronics will be shielded from all onboard devices which may generate magnetic waves (such as generators, solenoid valves, and Tesla coils) to avoid inadvertent excitation of the recovery system.	
3.11.4	The recovery system electronics will be shielded from any other onboard devices which may adversely affect the proper operation of the recovery system electronics.	
5. Safety Requirements		
4.1	The launch vehicle will carry a science or engineering payload. The payload may be of the team's discretion, but must be approved by NASA. NASA reserves the authority to require a team to modify or change a payload, as deemed necessary by the Review Panel, even after a proposal has been awarded.	
4.2	Data from the science or engineering payload will be collected, analyzed, and reported by the team following the scientific method.	
4.3	Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) payloads of any type will be tethered to the vehicle with a remotely controlled release mechanism until the RSO has given the authority to release the UAV.	
4.4	Any payload element that is jettisoned during the recovery phase, or after the launch vehicle lands, will receive real-time RSO permission prior to initiating the jettison event.	
4.5	The payload must be designed to be recoverable and reusable. Reusable is defined as being able to be launched again on the same day without repairs or modifications.	
5. Safety Requirements		
5.1	Each team will use a launch and safety checklist. The final checklists will be included in the FRR report and used during the Launch Readiness Review (LRR) and any launch day operations.	

5.2	Each team must identify a student safety officer who will be responsible for all items in section 5.3	
5.3	The roles and responsibilities of each safety officer will include, but not limited to:	
5.3.1	Monitor team activities with an emphasis on Safety during:	
5.3.1.1	Design and vehicle payload	
5.3.1.2	Construction of vehicle and payload	
5.3.1.3	Assembly of vehicle and payload	
5.3.1.4	Ground-testing of vehicle and payload	
5.3.1.5	Sub-scale launch test(s)	
5.3.1.6	Full-scale launch test(s)	
5.3.1.7	Launch day	
5.3.1.8	Recovery activities	
5.3.1.9	Educational Engagement Activities	
5.3.2	Implement procedures developed by the team for construction, assembly, launch, and recovery activities	
5.3.4	Assist in the writing and development of the team's hazard analyses, failure modes analyses, and procedures	
5.4	During test flights, teams will abide by the rules and guidances of the local rocketry club's RSO. The allowance of certain vehicle configurations and/or payloads at the NASA Student Launch Initiative does not give explicit or implicit authority for teams to fly those certain vehicle configurations and/or payloads at other club launches. Teams should communicate their intentions to the local club's President or Prefect and RSO before attending any NAR or TRA launch.	
5.5	Teams will abide by the rules set forth by the FAA.	

Appendix B: Summary of CDR Report

Appendix C: Partners in Industry

Dr. James Martin

Dr. Martin holds degrees from West Virginia University, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and George Washington University. He has worked at the NASA Langley Research Center, The University of Alabama, and Boeing. His work has mostly involved the design and evaluation of reusable launch vehicles. Some recent work has been on crew escape for the Shuttle, the Space Launch Initiative, and a robotic lander on the moon. Dr. Martin retired from Boeing when the Launch vehicle business was sold. He continues to be active in aerospace doing consulting, as an Associate Editor for AIAA J. Spacecraft and Rockets, and as Chair of the local AIAA Orange County Section.

Jonathan Mack (Electrical Engineer and Programmer)

Jonathan graduated with a Bachelor of Science from Long Beach State. Currently he is an electronics design engineer involved in hardware and software development including diverse fields such as toys, audio, and currently printing. He has led a 4H project in mechanical, electrical and software design areas in robotics. At home his hobbies mainly focus on improving DIY (Do It Yourself) knowledge, including everything from mad science projects to more mundane things like welding and cooking (usually not at the same time.)

Guy Heaton (Mechanical Engineer)

Guy graduated with a Bachelor of Science from Pepperdine University. Currently he is a Senior Mechanical Engineer and has been working on printing solutions for 12 years. Responsibilities include designing for injection and blow molding and extrusions. He also does mechanical systems, drive trains, cabling, durability testing, and sheet metal design. When not designing new printers he does manufacturing time analysis, line balancing, and documentation.

Mike Stoop (Fiberglassing, Programming, Design)

Mike Stoop is currently the CTO of PriceDoc, Inc, a healthcare related web services company. Mike has been in the software industry for 30 years and an avid rocketeer for 40 years. Mike achieved his level 3 certification in 2002 and has participated in many individual and team "M" class and above rocket projects. He has launched K and larger engines with electronic dual deploy many more than 15 times. Mike is also the owner of Madcow Rocketry, a mid/high power rocket kit manufacturer.

Drew, SpaceX (Fiberglassing, Programming, Design)

Mr. Drew Beckett holds BS and MS degrees in aerospace engineering from the Dwight Look College of Engineering at Texas A&M University at College Station. Mr. Beckett developed and operated unmanned aircraft technology demonstrators for the Texas A&M Flight Mechanics Laboratory (later Unmanned Flight Laboratory) while employed by the Texas Engineering Experiment Station. More recently, Mr. Beckett has been in the employ of Space Exploration Technologies where he is responsible for the inertial guidance, navigation, and control sensors for the Falcon 9 launch vehicle and Dragon spacecraft as well as navigating Dragon on-orbit as a mission operator.

Appendix D: Determination of the Magnus Effect

Lift of Rotating Cylinder:Kutta-Joukowski Lift Theorem

$$L = \rho G V$$

$$G = 2\pi b V_r$$

$$V_r = 2\pi b s$$

Sum of Y Forces

$$\Sigma F_y = L_m \cos\theta + F_d \sin\theta - mg$$

$$mg = L_m \cos\theta + F_d \sin\theta$$

Magnus Force Calculation

$$\frac{L_m}{L} = \rho G V$$

$$L_m = \rho G V L$$

$$L_m = \rho(2\pi b V_r) V L$$

$$L_m = \rho(2\pi r[2\pi r\omega]) V L$$

$$L_m = \rho(4\pi^2 \omega r^2) V L$$

$$L_m = \rho 4\pi^2 \omega r^2 V L$$

Force of Drag Calculation

$$A = 2rL$$

$$F_d = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 c_d A$$

$$F_d = 2\rho r L V^2 c_d$$

Governing Equation

$$mg = L_m \cos\theta + F_d \sin\theta$$

$$L_m = \rho 4\pi^2 \omega r^2 V L$$

$$F_d = 2\rho r L V^2 c_d$$

$$m_p = (\rho 4\pi^2 \omega r^2 V L) \cos\theta + (2\rho r L V^2 c_d) \sin\theta$$

$$m = \frac{2\rho L r V}{g} [2\pi^2 \omega r * \cos\theta + V c_d * \sin\theta]$$

Appendix E: Flight Diagram

