# MANET PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS USING DIFFERENT DISTRIBUTION LOAD

Saidireddy Malgireddy<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering <sup>1</sup>KL Deemed to be University,Hyderabad (msreddy333@gmail.com)

## Abstract:

The paper presents the results of a close packet-level simulation examination four multi-hop wireless impromptu network routing protocols beneath the load of various likelihood distributions, that cover a variety of style selections having totally different protocol viz. DSR, AODV and OLSR. We have extended the OPNET network machine to accurately model the waterproof and physical-layer behavior of the IEEE 802.11 wireless computer network normal, as well as a sensible wireless transmission model Simulation of sixty mobile nodes has been meted out and therefore the performance optimization is determined

**Keywords:** Simulation, Opnet, Wireless, applied math chance distribution, IEEE802.11, throughput, delay, retransmission try, load, protocol, MAC, LAN..

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ad-hoc wireless network is that network wherever no communication is gift, in such network; every mobile node operates not solely as a bunch however conjointly as router. Mobile nodes within the network may not be within vary of every alternative, communication of those nodes perform by discovering "multihop" methods through the network to alternative nodes. This sort of network is a few times known asinfrastructurelessnetwork Some samples of the potential uses f spontaneous networkingare students using laptop computer computers to participate in associate interactive sharing info lecture. business associates during a gathering, troopers relaying info for situational awareness on the parcel [2,3]. Manydifferent protocols are projected tournavel themultihoprouting downside in spontaneousnetworks, every s upported completely different assumptions and intuitions. Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANETs)[1] are associate rising technology that permits establishing an instant communication network for civilian and military applications, while not hoping on pre-existing fixed network infrastructure. The nodes in a very MANET will dynamically be part of and leave the network, frequently, usually while not warming, and presumably without disruption to alternative nodes' communication. Each node within the network conjointly acts as router, forwarding information packet for alternative nodes. A central challenge in style of spontaneous network is that the development of dynamic routing protocols that may effectively realize the route between 2 human action nodes. The routing protocol should be ready to keep up with the high degree of node quality that usually changes the topology drastically and unpredictably.

The current Mobile circumstantial Network (MANET) [2] paradigm as delineated by the net Engineering Task Force (IETF) MANET work cluster. Routing algorithms are usually tough to formalize into mathematics; they're instead tested mistreatment intensive simulation. An

outsized quantity of work has been drained the world of energy economical routing. This approach makes an attempt to maximize network life by routing through methods, that use {the least the smallest quantity} amount of energy relative to every node. Now a day, a lot of attention has been given to use specific network parameters whereas specifying routing matrixes. Routing matrixes includes delay of network, link capability, link stability or distinctive low mobility nodes. These schemes are typically supported previous work that is then increased with the new matrix.

The paper is providing a sensible measuring comparison the performance of a spread of multihop wireless impromptu network routing protocols. We have a tendency to gift results of elaborate simulations showing the relative performance of 3 recently planned impromptu routing protocols:DSR[3,4,5], AODV[6], OLSR[8]

Our leads to this paper are supported simulations of a billboard hoc network of sixty wireless mobile nodes moving concerning and communication with one another. We have a tendency to analyze the performance of every protocol and explain the look selections that account for his or her performance.

The section a pair of of the paper describes the various kinds of protocols employed in the simulation. The section three has given description of various kinds of applied mathematics likelihood distribution used for arrival and departure of packets in simulation. The performance analysis is describes in section four. The section five has summaries conclusion of the paper.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROTOCOLS 2.1 Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) [3, 4, 5]

The DSR is mistreatment supply routing, i.e. the sender is aware of the whole hop-by-hop route to the destination . Once node causation knowledge packet to the destination, DSR has use route discovery by flooding the network with route request (RREQ) packets. Every node receiving associate degree RREQ, node will rebroadcast it, unless it's the destination or it's a route to the destination in its route cache. Such

node replies to the RREQ with a route replay (RREP) packet that's routed to the back first supply. If any link on a supply route is broken, the supply node is notified employing (RERR) a route error packet. The supply can initialize new discovery method. No special mechanism is needed to find routing loops. forwarding node caches Also, any the supply route during forwards a packet it for attainable future

use. Many further optimizations are projected and have been evaluated to be terribly effective by the authors of the protocol [5], as delineated within the following:

- Salvaging: Associate nursing intermediate in node will use an alternate route from its own cache once a knowledge packet meets a failing link on its supply route.
- Gratuitous route repair: A supply node receiving AN RERR packet piggybacks the RERR within the

following RREQ. This helps finish off the caches of alternative nodes within the network that will have the failed link in one amongst the cached supply routes

Promiscuous listening: once a node overhears a packet not addressed to it, it checks whether or not the packet may be routed via itself to realize a shorter route. If so, the node sends a gratuitous RREP to the source of the route with this new, higher route. Except for this, promiscuous listening helps a node to learn completely different routes while not directly collaborating within the routing method.

## 2.2 Ad Hoc on demand Vector (AODV) [6]

AODV discovers routes on demand basis. It uses routing table to keep up routing info, one entry per destination. RREP packet is employed to replies back to the supply and, after, to route data packets to the destination. AODV uses sequence up at every destination numbers to keep to determine routing info and to stop routing loops [6]. AODV performing on timer- primarily based states in every node. A routing table entry is expired if not used If node link is broken, recently. the all predecessor nodes forward the RERR packets, to effectively erasing all routes victimization broken link. AODV uses increasing ring search technique initially to to associate unknown find routes destination. AODV formula has the power to quickly adapt to dvnamic link conditions with low process and memory overhead. AODV offers low network utilization sequence range to and uses destination loop freedom AODV keeps the ensure subsequent info with every route table entry.

(i) Destination informatics address (IP address for the destination node),

- (ii) Destination sequence variety
- (iii) Valid destination sequence variety flag.
- (iv) Network interface,
- (iv) Hop count, that is, variety of hops needed to succeed in the destination,
- (v) Next hop (the next valid node that didn't re broadcast the RREQ message),
- (vi) List of precursor
- (vii)Life time, that is, expiration or deletion time of a route.
- 2.3 Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR) [8]

The OLSR model implements the MPR (Multipurpose Relay) flooding mechanism to broadcast and

flood Topology management (TC) messages within The formula is enforced as steered in the network. OLSR RFC 3626. This mechanism takes advantage of controlled flooding by permitting solely elect nodes (MPR nodes) to flood the TC message. Every node selects Associate in Nursing MPR to succeed in its twohop neighbors The OLSR model implements the neighbor periodic sensing mechanism through broadcast of how-do-you-do messages. These how-do-youdo messages are one-hop broadcasts (never forwarded) that carry neighbor sort and neighbor quality data. The neighbor sensing mechanism provides information on up to two-hop neighbors. Generation and process of the how-do-you-do messages are implemented as steered within the OLSR RFC. Periodic and triggered Topology management (TC) messages implement the topology discovery/diffusion mechanism within the OLSR model. TC messages are generated by MPR nodes and carry data regarding MPR These selector nodes. messages are diffused throughout the network victimization controlled flooding, therefore serving to to create a topology of reachable nodes, previous mount up every node.

#### 3. INTRODUCTIONS OF STATISTICAL **PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS [9]** 3.1 Poisson Distribution

A chance variable x is alleged to follow a statistical distribution if it assume solely non – negative values and its likelihood mass operate is given by

$$p(x) = e - \lambda \lambda x / x! \qquad ; \qquad x = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$$
  
= 0 otherwise

Here  $\lambda$  is known as the parameter of the distribution.

**3.2 Normal Distribution** 

A chance variable x is claimed to possess a traditional distribution with parameters  $\mu$  (mean) and  $\sigma 2$ (Variance) if its chance density perform is given by the probability law

f(x) =  $1/\sigma(2\Pi)1/2$  X exp{-(x- $\mu$ )2/2  $\sigma$ 2},  $-\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \mu$  $<\infty, \sigma > 0$ 

## 3.3 Rectangular or Uniform Distribution

A variant x is claimed to possess a continues rectangular distribution over associate interval (a, h) if its chance density operate is given by f(x) = 1/(b-a) if a < x < b

#### Otherwise. 3.4 Gamma distribution

A variant x is claimed to possess a gamma distribution  $\lambda$ > zero, with parameter if its likelihood density perform is given by

 $f(x) = e - xx \lambda - 1/\Gamma(\lambda), \lambda > 0, 0 < x < \infty$ 

#### **3.5Exponential Distribution**

variate x is claimed to possess associate degree exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta > 0$ , if

its probability density operate is given by

 $f(x) = \theta e - \theta$ ;  $x \ge 0$ 0 otherwise

## 4. ANALYSIS OF SIMULATION

4.1 Performance of Adhoc Network with using mobility As shown within the figure four.1, Adhoc wireless network model is developed exploitation quality models. In Adhoc wireless network model sixty nodes are taking part within the network. All nodes of the network don't seem to be following same quality models, rather than that, sixty nodes are divided into ten teams. each cluster is following one trajectory; white lines indicated within the figure four.1, shows moving direction of the nodes.



## Figure 4.1 Adhoc Wireless Network Model with Mobility 4.1.1 Performance Analysis of Protocols.

We have made an in depth simulation model that accurately follows the main points of Wireless routing protocol on random waypoint model. We've performed specifically repose point simulation for in time chance distributions. so as to verify the accuracy of our model, we have a tendency to founded the machine to represent a true system that adequate details are on the market within the literature. Our simulation model is predicated on OPNET fourteen.5 machine. The effective parameters with their optimized values are according here for every of various set of simulation.

- Throughput (bits/sec). 1.
- End-to-End Delay. 2.
- 3. Retransmission Attempts (packets).

## 4.1.2 Wireless Throughput (bits/sec)

Performance of AODV with reference to throughput was best as compared to the other protocols as shown in the figure 4.1. Performance of DSR and OLSR was stable but poor throughout the simulation as compared to AODV

#### Table 4.1 Average throughput (bits/sec)

Name of Protocol	Average Throughput (bits/sec) Model with Mobility
AODV	15038091.11
DSR	4300581.778
OLSR	4176687.111



Figure 4.1 Throughput (bits/sec)

## 4.1.2 End-to-End Delay (sec) :

AODV and DSR at the beginning of simulation have shown delay however as simulation progresses it becomes stable to all-time low worth of delay. DSR has shown highest delay of zero.11 sec and AODV has shown zero.067 sec as shown within the figure four.2. a mean delay of OLSR was zero.00031.

Delays of model with quality conditions and while not mobility conditions are shown in following table. As shown within the table five.2, it's ascertained that model with quality conditions has slightly a lot of delay as compared to unexpected network while not mobility conditions. Unexpected network model with quality conditions has shown a lot of delay as compared to model while not conditions with higher output.

## Figure 4.2 End-to-End Delay (bits/sec)

IIRECE VOL. 7 ISSUE 2 (APRIL- IUNE 2019)

	Misk distributio	on and trajector	y1-OLSR100 nd	odes3-DES-1
0.13		VVireless LAI	V.Delay (sec)	
0.12 -				
0.11 -	1			
0.10 -	-			
0.09 -				
0.08 -				
0.07 -				
0.06 -	-			
0.05 -				
0.04 -				
0.03 -	-			
0.02 -	_			
0.01 -				
0.00	-		-	
and and	and an	COD <sup>2</sup>	·	S

Figure 4.3 Retransmission Attempts (Packets)



Table 4.2 End-to-End Delay (sec)

Name of Protocol	Average Throughput (bits/sec)	
	Model with Mobility	
AODV	0.0014	
DSR	0.0019	
OLSR	0.00031	
4.1.3 Retransmission Attempt		

Initially, at the beginning of simulation AODV protocol has shown most range of retransmission makes an attempt however as simulation progresses it settled on median zero.004925 packets. shown within As the figure four.3 DSR has shown most range of retransmission makes an attempt as compared to different protocols. At the beginning of simulation DSR additionally shown most retransmission makes an attempt, so it settled to average zero.002420 packets.

OLSR protocols have shown average retransmission makes an attempt zero.001518.. Comparison between accidentalnetwork model with quality conditions and while not mobility conditions are given in table four.3. once in Adhoc network model node movements are restricted then minimum range of retransmission makes an attempt is needed as shown within the table four.3

Table 4.3 Retransmission Attempts (bits/sec)

Name of Protocol	Average Throughput (bits/sec)	
	Model with Mobility	
AODV	0.004925	
DSR	0.002420	
OLSR	0.001518	

## 5. CONCLUSIONS:

A MANET simulation model was settled mistreatment parameter that mention in table three.1. Completely different applied mathematics distributions load have given to the model and performance of simulation models were ascertained and discuss in on top of sections. Performance of routing protocols were thought-about and given in on top of sections. Overall performance of routing protocols is as follows:

- Performance of all protocols has improved once swing quality conditions except OLSR protocol
  - with relation to output.
- 2. In terms End-to-End Delay AODV has shown improvement as compared to alternative protocols.

Retransmission make an attempt are significantly attempt are significantly reduced alltogether protocols once swing quality conditions **REFERENCES** 

[1] F.Borgonovo, A.Capone, M.Cesana, L.Fratta, "ADHOC MAC: new MAC architecture for ad hoc

networks providing efficient and reliable point-to-point and

broadcast services," Wireless Networks Journal, Vol.10,

## issue 4, July 2004.

[2] Geetha Jayakumar and G. Gopinath, Performance Comparison of Two On-demand Routing Protocols for Adhoc Networks based on Random Way Point Mobility Model , American Journal of Applied Sciences 5 (6): 659-664, 2008

[3] K.E.Kannammal and K.E.Eswari Behaviour of Adhoc Routing Protocols in Different Terrain Sizes International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology Vol. 2(5), 2010, 1298-1303.

## IJRECE VOL. 7 ISSUE 2 (APRIL-JUNE 2019) ISSN: 2393-9028 (PRINT) | ISSN: 2348-2281 (ONLINE)

[4] Georgios Parissidis, Vincent Lenders, Martin May, and Bernhard Plattner Multi-path Routing Protocols in Wireless Mobile Ad Hoc Networks, 2006

[5] Aparna K, Performance Comparison of MANET (Mobile Ad hoc Network) Protocols (ODMRP with AMRIS and MAODV), 2010 International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 1 – No. 10. [6] Mohd Izuan Mohd Saad and Zuriati Ahmad Zukarnain,Performance Analysis of Random-Based Mobility Modelsin MANET Routing Protocol, European Journal ofScientific Research ISSN 1450-216X Vol.32 No.4 (2009).

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)