## Why admitting refugees en-masse is DANGEROUS.

Once upon a time, America and the UK were the only nations that refugees could reliably turn to. But (thanks largely to Britain and America) most of the world is hospitable to refugees. America no longer needs to be the place those who are under threat by thuggish warlords flee to. And America certainly is not the only "step up" for immigrants whose lives are not endangered. The more we try to be kind to outsiders, the more dangerous it becomes for native Americans.

We don't have the government authorities or citizen vigilance to keep tabs on the activities of new arrivals. Even smaller, more "communal" nations can't keep watch over the immigrants they admit. German officials released a document on February 26 stating that government officials do not know the whereabouts of 130,000 migrants who registered for asylum last year. These missing aliens represent about 13 percent of the refugees, mostly from the Middle East, who entered Germany in 2015. *The New American*, which relayed the story from AFP, quoted "About 13 percent did not turn up at the reception centers to which they had been directed."

So now we have American progressives wanting to open the floodgates to hordes of similar asylum-seekers and refugees. Errr. Do you think every one of these 130,000 is dutifully going about becoming a German citizen? They are certainly off to a bad start, managing not to report to the first center to which they were directed.

It's a logical and statistical certainty that some of these migrants will commit crimes against Germans. It's also a certainty that the US authorities cannot protect Americans against predations by some of the many refugees progressives want to admit. If anything, Germans are better at screening and policing than we are, yet they've had 13% evade their auspices. And we're less than 1 year in! The whereabouts and the habits of even more refugees will get harder to track as the months go by.

Here is how progressives play to our emotions: they show a Syrian family with whom we all sympathize. Good people, most likely. Progressives do *not* show similar photos of EVIL people, coming into the country on the refugee wave. They don't show photos of men brandishing knives or box-cutters; they do not show manuscripts or emails of a migrant seduced by a warped vision of Allah. We see no certain face of the woman, man, or child that this evil entrant will murder. So once again, progressives play to our feelings and not our reason.

The Stewart Solution: Play to reason. There is no reason to invite criminal conduct. When statistics tell us that mass immigration will beget crimes against Americans, this becomes a law enforcement issue. Even if we assume zero murders, we can't wash away rapes, assaults, and thefts. If we incrementally help the lives of say 2000 immigrants while scarring the life of one rape victim, reason calls for preventing the rape. Add rapes, assaults, and thefts, and the ratio for all Americans is 1 for every 600. Even if the immigrant population is twice as well behaved (1 criminal in 1200), we are needlessly increasing the number of crimes against Americans. Remember, that the 1 in 1200 doesn't do just one crime; successful predators are rarely just "one and done".

If reason doesn't work, we may need to play to emotion too. That means the next photo of a nice would-be immigrant is juxtaposed against a photo of an American murdered by an immigrant. When admitting a mass of poorly-screened immigrants, we know an American will be a victim of their crimes; we just don't know who. Take a photo and the story of ONE for every needy-looking would-be immigrant's photo and story. I have called for immigration to be restricted to a trickle for the next 2 - 5 years. The few whom we take in will be those whose public statements and private works show they are devoted to America.