Professor Heather A. Haveman: Soc 280D (Sociology of Organizations):

Typology for Understanding the Sociological Study of Organizations: 3 Perspectives on Organizations Applied to 5 (Nested) Levels of Analysis

Perspective	Position/demography	Relationships/power	Culture/cognition/information
Basic principle	Position in social and physical space	Relationships determine patterns of action	Understandings/mental models determine
	determines patterns of action by defining	by defining opportunities for action and	patterns of action by defining opportunities
	opportunities for action and constraints on	constraints on action.	for action and constraints on action.
	action.		
Social structure inheres	the distribution of individuals and	the relationships between individuals and	the system of understandings of reality
in	collectives along salient dimensions of	collectives (organizations, families, etc.)	and possibility – culture – norms, values,
	social and physical position.	involving exchanges of valued items	expectations of what is and what is not
		(material, informational, symbolic,)	done/possible/good.
Individual:	internal organizational demography: (a)	egocentric and dyadic relations: (a) your	individual sense-making and learning;
your social,	demographic characteristics (gender, race,	relationships with other people – both	symbolic interactions: cognitive
psychological, and	age, etc.) and (b) your demographic	affective and instrumental; both voluntary	representations of what is and should be
economic experiences as	characteristics relative to other people in	and involuntary, both current and past; and	(schemas), which can be tinged with strong
an organizational	the organization (and in the labor	(b) the structure of relationships among	emotions, and which develop over time,
member depend on	force/population).	people within your organization, and	through real and superstitious learning.
		between organizations.	
Group/subunit behavior	internal organizational demography: the	aggregated networks of interpersonal	social cognition and symbolic interaction:
and effectiveness	composition of the group, in terms of	relations: the structure of relationships	the meanings people have toward other
(conflict, innovativeness,	demographic characteristics (gender, race/	among people and groups within and	people and things, which are derived from
creativity, ability to make	ethnicity, age, time in the organization/	between organizations – egocentric and	social interaction and modified through
good or timely decisions,	group, education area and level)	dyadic relations aggregated to whole	interpretation.
turnover,) depend on		organizations or communities. Note that	
		the "nodes" in these networks can be	
		individuals or groups (e.g., due to the ways	
		work flows through organizations).	
Organization: an	organizational ecology: their	interorganizational networks: (a)	the social construction of reality: what
organization's	characteristics (age, size, and technology),	relationships between a focal organization	the founders/leaders/members of
functioning, behavior,	the characteristic of other organizations in	and other organizations – both affective	organizations have learned about what
and performance	the environment (density [<i>i.e.</i> , legitimacy]	and instrumental; both voluntary and	works and doesn't, what is right and wrong,
(structural change,	of their own and other populations, relative	involuntary, both current and past.; and (b)	what is good and bad – not merely dry fact,
growth/shrinkage,	size, etc.)	the aggregate structure of relationships	but rather also what they have learned
economic performance)		among organizations in their population/	about rules, laws, and resource
depend on		industry and field. Note that "nodes" in	dependencies (coercive/ regulatory forces);
		these networks can be individuals (as in	norms, values, and expectations (cultural
		interlocking directorates) or organizations	forces); and relative frequency/rareness of
		(as in market ties).	role models (mimetic targets).

Perspective	Position/demography	Relationships	Culture/cognition
Population/Industry:	population ecology: the number of	interorganizational networks: the	the social construction of reality: what
the structure and vital	organizations in the population (density);	structure of relationships among	people in the organizations in a population
rates of populations/	their aggregate size (mass); their	organizations within the focal population/	or industry have learned about what works
industries depend on	distribution along salient dimensions of	industry. Note that the nodes in these	and doesn't, what is right and wrong, what
	organizational characteristics (age, size,	networks can be individuals or	is good and bad – not merely dry fact, but
	technology, <i>etc</i> .); and their identity (form as	organizations.	rather also what they have learned about
	social code, both recognition and		rules, laws, and resource dependencies
	imperative standing).		(coercive/ regulatory forces); norms, values,
			and expectations (cultural forces); and
			relative frequency/rareness of role models
			(mimetic targets).
Field/Sector: the	<u>community ecology</u> : the number of	interorganizational networks: the	the social construction of reality: what
emergence and structure	organizations in various populations in the	structure of relationships among	people in a sector or field of social life have
of fields/sectors depend	field/sector (density); their aggregate size	organizations in a sector or field of social	learned about what works and doesn't,
on	(mass); their distribution along salient	life. Note that the nodes in these networks	what is right and wrong, what is good and
	dimensions of organizational characteristics	can be individuals or organizations.	bad – not merely dry fact, but rather also
	(age, size, technology, <i>etc</i> .); and their		what they have learned about rules, laws,
	identity (form as social code, both		and resource dependencies (coercive/
	recognition and imperative standing).		regulatory forces); norms, values, and
			expectations (cultural forces); and relative
			frequency/rareness of role models (mimetic
			targets).

In this typology, each successively higher level of analysis is the most proximate "environment" for the preceding (lower) level. Groups/subunits are the environment within which individuals live and work, formal organizations are the environment for groups/subunits, industries/populations are the environment for organizations, and fields/sectors are the environments of populations/industries. Thus there is a nesting of levels of analysis. In the table above, I've explained the nature and behavior of each level of analysis as depending on itself and the most proximate environment. This is obviously a simplification; for instance, it is quite easy to argue that the structure of fields/sectors affects the behavior of individuals. But it is an empirical question as to whether these more distant/higher-order effects are direct or indirect – whether they are mediated by lower, more proximate levels of analysis/aggregation.

Caveats for Students: This is a work in progress, so suggestions are welcome. (1) I haven't "fit" several prominent contemporary streams of research in the sociology of organizations into the typology. (2) I haven't explicitly recognized, much less explained, the relative prominence or neglect that each perspective pays to value-rationality in organizations (*wertrational*), which reflects beliefs in substantive principles rather than efficiency or profitability (emotions come into play when things are understood as good or bad) *versus* to instrumentally-rationality in organizations (*zweckrational*), which views organizations as tools achieve to value-neutral ends such as financial gain (Weber 1947, *Theory of Social & Economic Organization*; 1978 [1968], *Economy & Society*; DiMaggio and Anheier 1990 *Annual Review of Sociology*, "The sociology of nonprofit organizations and sectors").