



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

March - April, 2000

The Foreclosure On The United States

We are in the midst of the closing chapters of the current attempt to force all of the people of the world into one government, one speech, one economy and one religion. There is hardly a learned person anywhere who will not concede that the attempt is being made for the final closure of the jaws of their trap.

As we study the history of the world along with the history of the Bible, we learn that those who would contemplate such an undertaking, have the same mind-set. They have all been excessively egotistical, they all have had a "Napoleonic complex," and they all have considered that they have the power and the right and the sole intelligence to determine what is wrong and what is right. In other words, *"ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil."* ([Gen 3:5](#)).

The word "mind-set" is synonymous with the word "conspiracy." There have always been those who believe the same things, work for the same purposes, have a common goal. It is absolutely absurd to believe that there is no such thing as conspiracy.

When we compare the events surrounding the building of the Tower of Babel in [Genesis 11](#) with that of the venture in Babylon under Nebuchadnezzar, we must consider the [Genesis 11](#) incident the more important. The venture in Babylon taught us one major aspect of the overall conspiracy, that of universal economics. The Tower of Babel teaches us their mind-set.

"And the whole earth was of one language, and of one speech. And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there. And they said one to another, Go to, let us make brick, and burn them thoroughly. And they had brick for stone, and slime had they for mortar."

"And they said, Go to, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven; and let us make us a name, lest we be scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth" ([Gen. 11:1-4](#)). The word "tower," as used in that passage has a much deeper meaning than simply the tower made of brick and mortar.

Yes, they actually built a tower as is described in the Bible. But that tower meant something much more. *"Gadal"* means tower and its root word meaning is, "grow up, become great or important, promote, make powerful, praise, do great things."

Alexander the Great certainly did "great things" for his time. He did have the intentions of a one-world government, a one-world speech, a one-world people, a one-world religion, and a one-world economy. However, the works of Alexander were only a "type" of the attempt to be made many years later. Alexander had a lack of knowledge of the expanse of the entire world. His "world government" was just a small part of all the people and land on

the surface of the earth. So, it was not yet time for the final thrust. However, it was a teaching tool and Alexander's efforts are still used for that purpose.

At the time of Jesus, those who would even desire a world government were attempting it. The [Book of Acts, chapter 17](#) tells us of this. *"But the Jews which believed not, moved with envy, took unto them certain lewd fellows of the baser sort, and gathered a company, and set all the city on an uproar, and assaulted the house of Jason, and sought to bring them out to the people. And when they found them not, they drew Jason and certain brethren unto the rulers of the city, crying, These that have turned the world upside down are come hither also:"* ([Acts 17:5-6](#)).

Jesus came to save Israel from a government system which was totally opposite from that which God had promised. In Edward Gibbon's epochal series of books on the *Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*, he shows that it was the Talmudic Jews who had become influential in the Roman government and caused its fall.

The Roman Empire had become totally miscegenated with peoples from all over the vast empire. Their properties were siezed and many people fled into Rome for their "bread and circuses." Those remaining in the distant prefectures lived in this system of government. When Paul came into Thessalonica, the people had become accustomed, and even liked their new form of government. Obviously, the teaching of the system of government by Jesus was, indeed, "turning the world upside down." Keep the Roman example in mind; the confiscation of farms and other private property, the forcing of citizens into the larger cities and giving them "bread and circuses."

Throughout the centuries following the time of Jesus, the world has realized many attempts for some form of a world government. The majority of these attempts have been made by nations claiming to be Christian and led by men claiming to be Christian. In reality, they have all been controlled by men who believed in the Talmudic persuasion.

William the Conqueror was controlled by Talmudists. Oliver Cromwell was controlled by Talmudists, as was the Spanish Empire, The Portugese Empire, The British Empire, the French Empire, the American Revolution, the French Revolution, Napoleon, the Civil Wars of Europe during the 19th century, the American Civil War, World War I and World War II. There were others, of course, but that gives us the idea that world government has been tried many times.

The United States has the dubious honor of being the nation which has created the seed bed for this latest attempt. This country has become the most influential, the wealthiest, the most powerful nation the world has ever seen. There has never been a government and its people who have had the influence upon the entire world like the United States.

It has been God's Providence for this to have been true. It has been the combination of a creative people with an open mind, an abundance of raw materials, a large and varied land and climate, with an isolation provided by two huge oceans. It was the ideal place to grow and become powerful.

With all of those attributes, the one commodity which any aspiring empire requires is financial capital. There can be no wealth without it coming from the earth. There is no other measure. The United States had, and still has, huge quantities of raw materials with which to acquire and secure financial capital. There are other countries of the world which have much raw material, maybe even that which exceeds the United States. But they have not enjoyed the other attributes mentioned above. This fact was observed by those who would make this latest drive for world government. The basic wealth of raw materials and power were the key words.

At the beginning of the 19th. century, there were two financial "empires" within this country in a battle to the death. Which one was to win and which was to lose? Those two financial "empires" were the Rothschild's of Europe and the J.P Morgan banks of Wall Street.

The entire history of this conflict can be found in Ivor Benson's latest book, *The Zionist Factor*. When one reads this book, the Bolshevik Revolution can be understood as well as our entry into World War I and II. Our country's immigration problem with its attendant racial difficulties, the civil unrest now rampant, the rapid decay of our culture during this century, the exorbitant taxes, the socialist school systems, the abortion problems, the homosexual problems can all be understood in their proper light.

It was during this century that the Leftist movement, utilizing "certain lewd fellows of the baser sort" ([Acts 17:5](#)) entertained our young people and indoctrinated them into a world unknown to older citizens of this country. All of this provided the framework for the Zionist Utopia dreamed of for so long. Some may argue with, "What about Communism?" Well! What is the "mother tongue" of Communism?

It was the battle for the control of money in this country. The J.P. Morgan Banks were Nationalistic in thought. They financed the "family corporations" such as Henry Ford, Sr., the founder of the Ford Motor Company. Our country was filled with family companies where all of the employees were considered "family." We see ads on TV about the Smucker's family of preserves and jellies. The Endicott-Johnson Shoe Company was family owned and provided a large share of the shoes made in this country. The steel mills, the copper mills, the foundries, the electronic manufacturers, the automobiles, the telephones, along with all of the other goods which made this country supreme were proudly made in this country.

But the Morgan dynasty lost out to the Rothschild's. We mentioned in an earlier newsletter that the Rothschild dynasty controlled 45% of the world's money in 1945. In 1995, they controlled 95% of the money of the world. It is not necessary to **own** the money. It is only necessary to **control** the money.

The Morgans were Nationalistic. The Rothschilds were Internationalists. It is within that framework that the current effort towards the One World Order (The [United Nations](#)) can be understood. The [United Nations](#) is strictly Zionist in its power structure. History tells us that it can not be any other way. Although the concept of a world Zionist Order has been around for many years, this present drive has been with tremendous speed, precision and ruthlessness so that only the word "Conspiracy" will suffice.

Returning to [Genesis 1:1](#), "*And the whole earth was of one language, and of one speech.*" For a One World Government to ever be effective, the one thing that is absolutely mandatory is that there be one language and one speech. Looking at this realistically, that is a very hard problem to overcome. Technically it has been accomplished.

The communications system we now call the "Internet" was initially developed by the Department of Defense as a convenience for the exchange of technical information between universities and colleges doing research work for the defense establishment. One can imagine the improvement made in the rapid exchange of data.

It is doubtful that anyone in the Department of Defense considered seriously the ramifications of the Internet for international communications in the world's financial markets. However, once the various governments of the world, along with the world's banks, were able to utilize the Internet, "*...the whole earth was of one language, and of one speech.*" Those who were striving for their One World Government (U.N.) became ecstatic.

For the first time in history the people of the world had "language" which could be used to communicate instantly.

All of a sudden, we all were being bombarded with the "Y2K" problem. Was it a real problem or was it being made into a real problem? We have heard and read of various scenarios over this. The world was going to shut down. Power plants, telephones, mail delivery, transportation, etc. would fail. All of this was because of the date problem with older computers.

Official Washington, at first, conveniently stayed out of the great debate. Only a few individuals with ties to the financial markets continuously pushed the doomsday scenario. When time became short, the government reacted.

A logical rationalization of this enormous problem comes from Joan Veon, Christian businesswoman and independent journalist. She authored the book *Prince Charles, The Sustainable Prince* and *The United Nations' Global Straitjacket*. We will extract from her latest works *Moving the World Electronically Into World Government*. Joan Veon has covered, as a journalist, 35 U.N. conferences! This should make her very qualified to understand the real purpose of the United Nations.

"In late 1998-early 1999, I concluded that Y2K was going to be serious since it appeared to have all of the necessary requirements for a 'planned, managed, and catastrophic event' to catapult us from unofficial world government into 'official' world government. As you see, I was incorrect when it came to a physical transfer, because Y2K was a transfer of the world from individual nation-states to a new electronically interconnected world which uses wires, computer processors, and electricity to cement the individual countries together!

"From June 1998 until September 30, 1999, I believed Y2K was going to be very serious. My understanding underwent a 'sea-change' when I attended the 1999 annual IMF/World Bank meeting in Washington, D.C. At that meeting where everything of importance is discussed, Y2K was a non-event. There were no rumblings or undertones about it. If the 'big boys' who run the world's monetary system were not concerned, then there was no reason to be concerned. Furthermore, I realized these same 'big boys' probably were not going to go out of 1999 on a market down, but were going to go out on a major upswing. I then realized that we would have one of the strongest bull markets ever. Furthermore, I made this statement at a number of conferences and wrote about it in my September newsletter.

"When there were no meltdowns, blackouts, or incidents of any kind on December 31, it was declared on national television: 'The Y2K bug has been met and conquered.' This statement, along with reading Y2K czar John Koskinen's press briefing, provided me with new insight as to the real agenda behind the Y2K scare. Exactly what did Y2K do?

"Y2K provided a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity for nation-states of the world who were not Internet savvy to get wired. It provided an opportunity to transition business and government into a new working relationship which compliments 'Reinvented Govt.' It set up an electronic network which is unparalleled in all of history. It spurred the economies of the world and set the tone for a cashless society, all of which facilitate the ushering in of world government.

"When a new house is built, the foundation is laid, then the structure and roof are added on. The wiring allows for the structure to have power. Y2K was the wiring of the house, as the structure had already been built for some time. Y2K provided the excuse to transfer the world from individual nation-states into a electronically knit world--a world which is now one

and which includes both governments and corporations. Beginning January 1, 2000, we entered an electronic world government!

"Were we misled or deceived by our government as to the seriousness of the situation? I believe Y2K had to be painted as being serious in order to have sufficient reason to get Americans to agree with Congress that the money had to be spent. The options were telling America that billions were needed to get the world wired, or telling them that we have to spend money to fight the Y2K bug. The Y2K challenge created that unifying desire to 'meet the enemy eye to eye and win'! With regard to foreign countries, many do not have and cannot provide the basics for their people, let alone spend monies on getting wired. In order for them to justify the repositioning of critical dollars, a global problem had to be created.

"This picture begins to make sense when you look at how the U.N. painted Y2K. It does appear that Y2K provided a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity for the nation-states of the world who were not Internet savvy to get wired. In a speech at the second U. N. conference on Y2K, in June 1999, U.N. Deputy-General Louise Frechette said in part:

'The Threat. The millennium bug threatens to disrupt finance, trade, shipping, telecommunications, air traffic control and other vital public services such as electricity and natural disaster preparedness. It casts a shadow over issues of peace and security.

'Solution. The International Y2K Cooperation Centre was created with remarkable speed following the [U.N.](#) meeting in December 1998. The number of countries with a Y2K plan and a national coordinator has risen. **New coalitions are being forged among business, industry, governments, and international organizations. Such solidarity and problem-solving shows the United Nations in action.** Working groups have forged innovative partnerships with all available expertise, including the private and academia; and it has facilitated the efforts of the Secretariat and wider [United Nations](#) family to create an electronic organization. Ambassador Ahmad Kamel (of Pakistan) helped all of us **harness the Internet, e-mail and other new communications technologies to our global mission of peace, development and human rights.**

'Goal. We must all continue to take advantage of what the new technologies have to offer--for diplomacy and development, for advocacy and education. We must all pursue a vision of a new century in which all people are technologically empowered.'

"From this speech, it becomes clear that the need to battle the Y2K bug was basically an excuse to **synchronize the whole world electronically** in order to bring the lesser developed countries into the electronic age--a different agenda than what we had been told by the American press. So what did we do? Let's take a look at what was said by Koskinen at several press briefings in early January:

'Electronic Network or Brains. Press briefing given in the first few days of this new millennium provided us with the real truth of what Y2K was--an excuse to 'wage war' in order to integrate the world using an electronic medium which can only further erase borders, and make us **one**, facilitating global integration in a new way for a new century. Koskinen outlined the following ways we were integrated:

"The **United States** created the \$50 Billion Information Coordination Center (ICC). The ICC is now at the center for state and local governments, the private sector, multinational and transnational corporations, and our federal government, to interact on a daily basis to coordinate all systems from an electronic basis point. In other words, it appears that the electronic brains of the global system was created in the U.S. to monitor and coordinate all of the perceived electronic problems in the world! The ICC was established, designed, and

run by General Peter Kind. It is an information collection mechanism focused on dealing with information from around the world. Koskinen organized more than 25 task forces to reach out to industry groups, corporations, the U.N., and foreign trading partners. He has collected confidential industry information to assess the potential Y2K risks (*Washington Post*, 12/16/99). Reports sent to the center came from all federal agencies, domestic agencies, the [Federal Reserve](#) Board, every area of the executive branch, and [FEMA](#).

"In explaining the vastness of the ICC, Koskinen said: 'What we have encapsulated here in the Information Coordinator Center is a unique operation. Communication going on, with all of our embassies and national coordinators in countries around the world, all freely exchanging information, which is the final step in a long process of exchanging technical information and advice. We have begun to look at the question of how we can build on this sort of unprecedented amount of cooperation and work together.' Koskinen further boasted, as reported in the USA Today, '**Solving Y2K proved that I can run the world with four people.**'

"On May 22, 1998, the Policy on Critical Infrastructure Protection program was released which set up the '**National Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC), which includes the FBI, the Secret Service, the Department of Defense, and the intelligence agencies which are all ordered to cooperate with the NIPC.**' We will live in a totally controlled society where everyone cooperates for the sake of one another.

"Financial markets were coordinated from an information standpoint both nationally and globally. Every major financial institution created a Y2K committee. At the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, they held several Y2K coordinating meetings. The Market Authorities Communication Service was established for financial authorities and involves periodic conference calls between central bankers and market regulators to determine if there are any issues which needed to be discussed and dealt with.

"In short, if you could encapsulate what transpired, it would be that an x-ray was taken of every aspect of life, business, industry, manufacturing, communications, defense, police, rescue operations, utilities, natural resources, hospital services, and the operations of local, state, and federal governments in order to determine how to change the system from what it was to meet that of 21st century global governance.



Joe Lockhart

"Joe Lockhart, White House spokesman, said this about Y2K: 'I think the Y2K success story to date is very much a testament to the government working in partnership with local governments, communities, and corporations.' At the heart of Bill Clinton's 'Reinventing Government' program begun in 1993 is a new form of government which is based on partnership; public-private partnerships, a marriage between government, business, and non-governmental organizations (NGO's). Throughout the U.S., this new governmental form has been replacing representative government as the multinational and transnational corporations use their deep pockets to fund projects which had been the responsibility of local, county, state, and federal governments.

"The Economies of the World--An Economic Substitute for War. The Kennedy administration commissioned 15 men, experts in their fields, to determine what would have to change in America if we were to enter a permanent time of peace. This top-secret report was published by one of the 15 whose identity is still unknown today. Their conclusions stated that we would have to find an enemy other than our fellow man. They suggested the environment. Since war is an

economic stimulus, they determined that 10 percent of our Gross Domestic Product would have to be wasted per year. They suggested a number of ways to do that: a space program, medical research, free housing for the poor, etc."

That is the end of the extracts of Joan Veon's article regarding the reason for the Y2K scare. The entire article is extremely interesting and can be obtained from the Christian Awareness Ministries, Route 2, Box 567, Headland, AL 36345. We thank Drs. Ray and Marilyn Lewis for their great work.

We highlighted the last paragraph in its entirety because it gives the reasons for what is to follow in this issue of the *Intelligence Newsletter*. Incidentally, the report which those 15 experts created for President Kennedy is entitled *The Report From Iron Mountain*. It is available from Christian Research, POB 385, Eureka Springs, Arkansas 72632, Telephone (501) 253-7185.

"And ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars: see that ye be not troubled: for all these things must come to pass, but the end is not yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in divers places. All these are the beginning of sorrows." (Mat. 24:6-8)

"Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves. (Mat. 23:15).

There have always been wars. It appears that wars are simply the rules of Hegelian Dialectics. The *thesis* and the *antithesis* in battle, always creating the *synthesis*. Could it be that this effort toward a One World Government, the United Nations,, the Zionist Utopia, be what Jesus called *the beginning of sorrows*? It is a historical fact that there have been an astronomical number of killings throughout the entire world since this current effort at a one world government was initiated. Governments are killing more people in the name of peace than was ever accomplished in the name of war!

Think about what *The Report from Iron Mountain* stated. The substitutes for war included space programs, medical research, free housing for the poor and the environment. All of this is intended to take ten percent of the Gross National Product. All of the programs the Iron Mountain Report envisioned are now being accomplished. All of them are emotionally driven, which makes them almost impossible to debate.

Two of their projects are genuinely bankrupting the developed industrialized countries of the world. They are feeding and housing the poor of the world and the environment issue. Every one of the Western European cultures of the world are being destroyed by these two projects. The information revealed in the preceding articles gives some of the background information for what is to follow. The rest of this issue will show how we are being placed into serfdom in our own country.

The Fourth World Wilderness Congress met in conference in Denver and Estes Park, Colorado during the week of September 11-18, 1987. Yes, that was over 12 years ago! What you will now be reading about has been going on for a long time without a word from the media!

The Congress was headed by Michael Sweatman of Canada, Speakers included President Ronald Reagan with a video speech; James Baker III, Secretary of U.S. Treasury; David Rockefeller; G. Arthur Brown, Associate Administrator of UNDP; Nyle C. Brady, Deputy Asst. Administrator, U.S. AID; Mansour Khalid, Vice-chairman, World Commission on

Environment and Development, Sudan; William Ruckleshaus, Commissioner, WCED, USA; and Michael Sweatman.

The purpose of that Congress was to develop what has become known as the World Conservation Bank. Their stated purpose in the *Fact Sheet* which they distributed to selected leaders of the world reads as follows: "In order to marshal and support investments in conservation projects and national conservation strategies that enhance the resource base for development, serious consideration should be given to the development of a special international banking program. Such a special conservation banking program or facility could provide loans and **facilitate joint financing arrangement** for the development and protection of critical habitats and ecosystems, including those of international significance, supplementing efforts by bilateral aid agencies, multilateral financial institutions, and commercial banks."

"The World Conservation Bank would finance, directly and through syndicated and co-financing arrangements; The preparation, development and implementation of national conservation strategies by developing country governments; **The acquisition of environmentally important land for preservation of biological diversity and watersheds;** **The management and conservation of selected areas;** **And plans for the WCB propose that it act as intermediary between certain developing countries and multilateral or private banks to transfer a specific debt to the WCB. In return for having been relieved of its debt obligation, the debtor country would transfer to the WCB natural resource assets of equivalent value.** Or, developing country debts under foreign assistance programs, which have little hope of repayment, could be retained in-country and applied toward conservation, reforestation or rural agricultural programs through the WCB."

The bolded passages above and below are ours to show the guarded "double-speak" language which reveals their true purpose. To reinforce that thought, the following are the words of James Baker III which he made at a previous conference for this purpose: **"The connection between healthy economies and healthy environments is gaining widespread recognition and is becoming a major agenda item at the end of the 20th century. It should be clear that the development prospects of a country are intimately tied to its natural resource base. Here, I do not mean minerals and fossil fuel, but rather soil, water and a range of renewable resources based on plant and animal life. Fragile natural systems produce these resources and must be carefully managed. If they are not, economic growth may not be sustained or may become extremely expensive, as many countries, both developed and developing, are now learning."**

What these "self appointed" people are saying is that the average American farmer, rancher, logger, miner and John Q. Citizen living in the rural areas of our country, cannot manage our own lives. We need "experts" to do our planning for us. That really means that the best way to do that is to force you into the big cities where they can keep their "protective eye" on you just like it was in the latter days of the Roman Empire!

To put this into terms we all can readily understand we will now print an article originally released in the *Asheville Tribune* by David Morgan, entitled "Case History: *Hage v. United States*."

"After years of successfully ranching in California, Wayne & Jean Hage (she is now deceased) purchased a large cattle ranch in Nevada, Pine Creek Ranch, in the spring of 1978. The acreage involved is approximately 752,000 acres. However, as it is mostly desert land, the land's ability to support cattle is far less than might be supposed from its size.

Located in the high desert mountains of central Nevada, the remote operation seemed an unlikely place for a war that would rock the very foundation of federal land management agencies. Wayne purchased the operation from the well-respected Arcularius Brothers who sold the ranch because the regulatory pressure by the [U.S. Forest Service](#) had become unbearable. Since Wayne had always been able to work with the agency, he believed he could resolve problems that might occur. Wayne soon learned the only way he could satisfy the Forest Service was to allow them to confiscate his property.

"One of the first incidents that drew the line between Wayne and the Forest Service revolved around a critical spring that Wayne owned. Situated close to the Forest Service Ranger Station in Meadow Canyon, the district ranger decided they would pipe the water from the spring, through a newly installed \$50,000 water purification facility, into their cabin. Wayne learned of this after the project was complete, and rightfully objected. He explained that if they needed his water, they could make appropriate arrangements. They refused to cooperate and would not acknowledge that he owned the water even though he held two court decrees affirming his water right. Wayne even held a field hearing where the state water engineer acknowledged Wayne's ownership and the Forest Service's illegal confiscation. But, still today, the Forest Service has maintained a fence around the spring so that cattle and wildlife cannot drink, and the water is still being piped into the ranger's cabin.

"Because Wayne questioned the Forest Service's actions, the Forest Service began an unbelievable retaliation campaign. In a 105-day period they sent Wayne 40 certified letters and personally visited him 70 times, each time citing him in violation of a bureaucratic regulation. Wayne had to respond in writing and take corrective action to each one of their allegations, no matter how trivial. In fact, most, if not all, were wild goose chases or violations the Forest Service themselves had created.

"Some of these charges stated Wayne was not maintaining his drift fences. In order to comply with their rules, Wayne would check and mend if necessary the fences in question. One of these incidents involved sending a horse and rider to the top of Table Mountain to ride the 20-mile fence line. After doing this, the rider found only one problem. There was one staple missing. The Forest Service had dutifully marked it with a blue flag.

"Also, among these charges were 45 accounts of trespass where Wayne's cattle were allegedly found in the wrong location. For every one of these, Wayne would send a crew of riders to locate the cattle and attempt to comply with the regulations. Often, there were no cattle to be found, leaving Wayne to wonder if there ever were. Also, on several occasions there were eyewitnesses who watched the Forest Service employees move Wayne's cattle into trespass areas, and then immediately cite him for the violation.

Over the next eight years he filed three administrative appeals, and won all three. They cost him over \$150,000 in attorney and consultant fees, not to mention the countless hours, personal resources and lost income also expended.

"Twice, his pickup was shot at while he was close by, a not so subtle warning. His wife and children were run off the road personally by the District Ranger. Even though he won every case, the agency would create new regulations that would wear Wayne down, force him to expend his time and resources fighting their regulations, and eventually run him completely out of business. The final straw came when the Forest Service confiscated at gunpoint over 100 head of his cattle. Armed with semiautomatic weapons and bulletproof vests, 30 Forest Service riders confiscated his cattle in July of 1991.

"Although they had no legal justification for their actions, they took the cattle, handed Wayne a bill for their cost of gathering the cattle, transported the cattle to a sale yard which

refused to auction the stolen cattle, and, eventually the Forest Service held their own private sale and kept the proceeds.

"The confiscation did not go quite as planned, however. They needed to infuriate Wayne to the point that he would also come armed and give them the excuse to eliminate Wayne altogether. Wayne came armed, but with a 35 millimeter camera, just more evidence for the case he knew he would have to file.

"September 26, 1991, after being forced to sell every cow he owned in order to comply with federal regulations, Wayne filed a landmark takings case, *Hage v. United States*, for the regulatory and physical taking of his ranch. A year later, the same agency filed two felony charges against Wayne for clearing scrub brush from his legally owned right-of-way. Although the Forest Service knew he was not in violation and admitted this on the record later, they also knew filing criminal charges against him might force Wayne to drop his takings suit. After losing the case at jury trial, Wayne prevailed before the Ninth Circuit, overturning the felony charges against him.

"In a recent radio interview on WTZY in Asheville, NC, Hage spoke about the true nature of the case. What he said was that basically all of this has to do with our national debt. Following are excerpts from the WTZY interview:

"During the Civil War we accumulated \$2.8 billion worth of debt which the North owed mainly to the House of Erlinger in London and the House of Rothchild in Paris, who had financed both sides in the War. We couldn't pay the debt, so for the first time in our nation's history they decided to collateralize that debt with the mineral estate of the Western lands and Alaska. During the late 1800's we were able to internalize that debt to where we owed it to ourselves.

"In the 1960's the general teaching of Economics 101 was that we shouldn't worry too much about our national debt as we owed it to ourselves, and hence it wouldn't have to be paid off. Besides all that gold, silver, gas, oil and other mineral rights out west more than adequately collateralized it. But during the initiation of the Great Society and the Vietnam War we began once again to borrow from overseas, as we didn't want to tax ourselves enough to pay for what was needed. We began to 'externalize' our debt, a fatal mistake. Well, when we began to externalize our debt heavily, Charles deGaulle of France said, 'I don't think you fellows can redeem your dollar debt with gold.' We said, 'Oh, yes we can!' So he said that he would rather have gold and began to raid our Treasury. When Nixon became President, he was faced with this mess and had to close the gold window; we were running out of gold. We, in effect, were running out of collateral.

"What Nixon did next, and what stunned a lot of folks, was to set up the [Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\)](#), and we began to pass massive environmental laws. And for what real purpose? All of them have had an effect collectively, whether at the Federal, state or local level. The one thing they all do is that they effect the transfer of private property out of the hands of private individuals and place that property into the hands of government. Now what is that all about?

"Well, when we ran out of gold and, in order to keep the foreign interests from cashing in their bonds and notes and imploding and destroying the U.S. economy, we had to show them that the resources of the U.S. adequately collateralized their debt. In order for it to be properly collateralized, we had to show them that U.S. citizens and U.S. interests would not be developing, drilling and mining those resources. The effect of this was to disenfranchise American citizens of access to their resources for the purpose of making their resources available to the international financial interests that hold the debt of the U.S. Indeed, at the present time, about 40% of all our debt is held by and owed to foreign interests.


"Look at the mines. Where I live, in Nevada, we have major mines all around us. At one time they were all owned by U.S. citizens. But now the only mines here that operate are those held by those countries that own the debt of the U.S. If you or I discovered a major gold deposit, neither our kids nor we would ever live long enough to mine one shovelful of it. All the rules, regulations, and laws would drive us under. We would have to sell out for nothing to the government or to a foreign entity, who would find their ability to mine it would be rather easy.

"Another little known but important fact that should be remembered is that treasury bills and debts held by foreign interests are secured while those held by U.S. citizens are not. Little by little, our entire form of government is being reversed. A fundamental tenant of economics is that all wealth originates in the land. The cornerstone of a truly free society is the ownership of private property by the people. In such a society the people own the means of production. (*alloidial title*) In a totalitarian society, the opposite takes place. There, the government owns the land, the wealth, and the means of production. They, in effect, rent the land to the people. (*title in fief*) And what this means is that in a free society where the people own the land, the government has to come to the people for its operating budget-for tax dollars in order to operate. The government has to listen to what the people have to say. That is the essence of a free society.

"Our government today owns over 40% of the resource base of the U.S. The corporate U.S. government has come to have its own assets and is having to listen less and less to its citizens. And it is attempting to get more and more property under the guise of environmentalism. If you really want to find out who is really behind all this, follow the money of who is behind and invests heavily in the environmental entities. It is big money, and comes from powerful interest groups from all around the world. A couple of excellent books I would advise you to read are *Trashing the Economy* and *Undue Influence* by Ron Allen. They can both be obtained from *Stewards of the Range* in Idaho, telephone (208) 336-5922.

"Now, as I have said, that if laws protecting private property can be weakened, the value of the property declines. As government regulations increase, the productive capacity of private property decreases and the value of the property itself is reduced. Government ownership of and regulation of the lands and resources of a nation have never in history provided for a free society, nor for a productive one. Taking productive resources and lands away from citizens under the guise of 'protecting' the environment is simply a method by which the government steals power for itself.

"Karl Marx considered the elimination of private property key to the establishment of a socialist government. There was a good reason behind this premise. If people had no value left in their property, that value must be in the hands of government. The terms property rights and property control are synonymous. Property rights are the ability of the individual to exercise control over his property. It is only through the right to control the use of property that the individual can make the property produce value or wealth. If regulation or law transfers control over one's property to the government, then the ability of the property to produce wealth is also transferred to the government. Marx was right. The elimination of private property is essential if socialism or communism is to supplant a free society." That is the end of Wayne Hage's report.



Karl Marx

We must always remember, and we have stated it many, many times, Communism, Socialism, United Nations, Zionist World Order, Talmudism--all mean the same thing.

Remember the promise of God, if we would just follow His Laws: "*And Judah and Israel dwelt safely, every man under his vine and under his fig tree...*" ([1 Kgs 4:25](#)).