Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64071), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 11/22 (50%)

ATTENDANCE

- 1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - **0** Once
 - **0** 2 3 times
 - **0** 4 5 times
 - **0** 6 7 times
 - **2** 8 times
 - 9 More than 8 times
- 2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 4 Never
 - 2 Once
 - **4** 2 3 times
 - **0** 4 5 times
 - **0** 6 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

- 3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - $\mathbf{0}$ 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)
- 4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - **0** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 :
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)
- 5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - $0 \quad 0 \text{ (N/A or Unsure)}$
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - **0** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

01/22/2016 Page 1 of 3

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64071), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Fall $\rm Qtr~2015$

- 6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 1 (Among Worst) 0 0 0 3 0 4 (OK or Average) 1 5 1 6 7 (Among Best) 7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 1 (Among Worst) 0 2 0 0 4 (OK or Average) 1 5 $\mathbf{2}$ 6 7 7 (Among Best) 8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course: 0 (N/A or Unsure) 0 1 (Among Worst) 0 0 0 4 (OK or Average) $\mathbf{2}$
- 9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
 - 0 (N/A or Unsure)

7 (Among Best)

- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0

5

1

- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1
- 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

- 10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
 - Poor 0
 - Inadequate
 - **0** Less than adequate
 - Adequate 0
 - More than adequate 0
 - 3 Very good
 - Excellent

COMMENTS

- 11. <No question text>
 - 1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

01/22/2016 Page 2 of 3

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Scauso, Marcos Sebastian INTL ST 14 DIS 1 (64071), POL SCI 41A DIS 1 (67071), Fall Qtr 2015

- everything
- His ability to involve the students in discussions about every topic faced in class and his ability to make his position clear without being affected by it in his explanations.
- Marcos' willingness to help and engage the students was the strongest point of the course. Definitely one of the best TAs I have had the pleasure of taking in UCI.
- Marcos is one of my favorite TAs of all time. He's incredibly passionate, receptive to questions and encouraging of participation. He helped clarify lecture material very well.
- Marcos was able to clearly elaborate on things that were confusing and hard to grasp in class and made them very understandable. He was very helpful and made lots of time out of his day to meet with students if they could not make office hours.
- Marcos was able to communicate clearly even the most complex political theories. He spent a lot of time making sure that he was meeting the class's needs. Overall, he did an excellent job and it was obvious he has a clear understanding of the course material because when a question arose he never hesitated to come up with a clear and concise answer.
- Was very clear in explaining the concepts that were easy to understand during discussion
- 4 blank answer(s).
- 2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
 - Great job, just keep doing what you're doing.
 - I think everything he does is great. He knows when he is talking fast and will reiterate that point more slowly. Very self conscious of his teaching and language which means that he already knew where he was lacking and reinforced that.
 - I was very happy with the overall quality of discussion. Sending the lecture slides via email was very helpful and I also appreciated him being open to change and criticism.
 - N/A
 - N/a
 - Nah, he's awesome!
 - nope just thank you
 - 4 blank answer(s).

01/22/2016 Page 3 of 3