

Research Article

Characterization of Insertion Property in Topological Spaces

Blasus Ogola, N. B. Okelo*

School of Mathematics and Actuarial Science,
Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology,
P. O. Box 210-40601, Bondo-Kenya.

*Corresponding author's e-mail: bnyaare@yahoo.com

Abstract

In the present paper, for a topological space whose Λ -sets or kernel of sets are open, we give a sufficient condition for the weak cc-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak cc-insertion property, we give a sufficient conditions for the space to have the strong cc-insertion property.

Keywords: Characterization; Insertion Property; Topological Space.

Introduction

The concept of a preopen set in a topological space was introduced many decades back and many results have been obtained [1]. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called preopen or locally dense or nearly open if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(C \text{I}(A))$. A set A is called preclosed if its complement is preopen or equivalently if $C \text{I}(\text{Int}(A)) \subseteq A$. The concept of a semi-open set in a topological space was introduced in [2]. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called semi-open if $A \subseteq C \text{I}(\text{Int}(A))$. A set A is called semi-closed if its complement is semi-open or equivalently if $\text{Int}(C \text{I}(A)) \subseteq A$. In [3] they introduced a new class of generalized open sets in a topological space, so called b -open sets [4]. This type of sets discussed under the name of γ -open sets [5].

This class is closed under arbitrary union. The class of b -open sets contains all semi-open sets and preopen sets. The class of b -open sets generates the same topology as the class of preopen sets. Authors also studied several fundamental and interesting properties of b -open sets. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called b -open if $A \subseteq C \text{I}(\text{Int}(A)) \cup \text{Int}(C \text{I}(A))$ [6]. A set A is called b -closed if its complement is b -open or equivalently if $C \text{I}(\text{Int}(A)) \cap \text{Int}(C \text{I}(A)) \subseteq A$. A generalized class of closed sets was considered in [7]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them V -sets. Complements of V -sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called Λ -sets [8, 9].

Research methodology

In this section we outline our research methodology. We begin by the following definition.

Definition 2.1

A real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called A -continuous if the preimage of every open subset of \mathbb{R} belongs to A , where A is a collection of subsets of X .

Remark 2.2

Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of A -continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [10]. In the recent literature many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity. In [7] he introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers [1].

Definition 2.3

Hence, a real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called contra-continuous (resp. contra- b -continuous) if the preimage of every open subset of \mathbb{R} is closed (resp. b -closed) in X .

Results of Katětov [1,7] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is

due to Brooks [3], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient conditions for the insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions on such topological spaces that Λ -sets or kernel of sets are open [2]. If g and f are real-valued functions defined on a space X , we write $g \leq f$ in case $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all x in X . The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [8].

Definition 2.4

A property P defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a cc-property provided that any constant function has property P and provided that the sum of a function with property P and any contra-continuous function also has property P . If P_1 and P_2 are cc-properties, the following terminology is used:

- (i) A space X has the weak cc-insertion property for (P_1, P_2) if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that $g \leq f$, g has property P_1 and f has property P_2 , then there exists a contra-continuous function h such that $g \leq h \leq f$.
- (ii) A space X has the strong cc-insertion property for (P_1, P_2) if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that $g \leq f$, g has property P_1 and f has property P_2 , then there exists a contra-continuous function h such that $g \leq h \leq f$ and if $g(x) < f(x)$ for any x in X , then $g(x) < h(x) < f(x)$.

In this paper, for a topological space whose Λ -sets or kernel of sets are open, is given a sufficient condition for the weak cc-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak cc-insertion property, we give sufficient conditions for the space to have the strong cc-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results. In addition, the weak insertion of a contra-b-function has also recently considered by the author in [3].

Results and discussion

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a contra-continuous function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated. The abbreviations cc and cbc are used for contra-continuous and contra-b-continuous, respectively.

Definition 3.1

Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . We define the subsets A^\wedge and A^\vee as follows:

$$A^\wedge = \bigcap \{O : O \supseteq A, O \in (X, \tau)\} \text{ and } A^\vee = \bigcup \{F : F \subseteq A, F \in (X, \tau)\}.$$

Remark 3.2

In [8], A^\wedge is called the kernel of A . The family of all b-open and b-closed will be denoted by $bO(X, \tau)$ and $bC(X, \tau)$, respectively. We define the subsets $b(A^\wedge)$ and $b(A^\vee)$ as follows:

$$b(A^\wedge) = \bigcap \{O : O \supseteq A, O \in bO(X, \tau)\} \text{ and } b(A^\vee) = \bigcup \{F : F \subseteq A, F \in bC(X, \tau)\}.$$

$b(A^\wedge)$ is called the b-kernel of A .

Proposition 3.3

- (i) The union of any family of b-open sets is a b-open set.
- (ii) The intersection of an open and a b-open is a b-open set.

Definition 3.4.

If f is a real-valued function defined on a space X and if $\{x \in X : f(x) < i\} \subseteq A(f, i) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq i\}$ for a real number i , then $A(f, i)$ is called a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of f at the level i .

Theorem 3.5.

Let g and f be real-valued functions on the topological space X , in which kernel sets are open, with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$, then there exists a contra-continuous function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.

Proof:

Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$. Define functions F and G mapping the rational numbers Q into the power set of X by $F(t) = A(f, t)$ and $G(t) = A(g, t)$. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of Q with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \rho^- F(t_2)$, $G(t_1) \rho^- G(t_2)$, and $F(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$.

By [9] it follows that there exists a function H mapping Q into the power set of X such that if t_1 and t_2 are any rational numbers with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$, $H(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$ and $H(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$. For any x in X , let $h(x) = \inf \{t \in Q: x \in H(t)\}$. We first verify that $g \leq h \leq f$: If x is in $H(t)$ then x is in $G(t_0)$ for any $t_0 > t$; since x is in $G(t_0) = A(g, t_0)$ implies that $g(x) \leq t_0$, it follows that $g(x) \leq t$. Hence $g \leq h$. If x is not in $H(t)$, then x is not in $F(t_0)$ for any $t_0 < t$; since x is not in $F(t_0) = A(f, t_0)$ implies that $f(x) > t_0$, it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $h \leq f$. Also, for any rational numbers t_1 and t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$, we have $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = H(t_2)^V \setminus H(t_1)^\wedge$. Hence $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2)$ is closed in X , i.e., h is a contra-continuous function on X . The above proof used the technique of theorem 1 in [7]. Before stating the consequences of theorems 2.1, we suppose that X is a topological space whose kernel sets are open.

Corollary 3.6

If for each pair of disjoint b-open sets G_1, G_2 of X , there exist closed sets F_1 and F_2 of X such that $G_1 \subseteq F_1, G_2 \subseteq F_2$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ then X has the weak cc-insertion property for (cbc, cbc).

Proof:

Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on X , such that f and g are cbc, and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $b(A^\wedge) \subseteq b(B^V)$, then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X . If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of Q with $t_1 < t_2$, then we have that $A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2)$; since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\}$ is a b-open set and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is a b-closed set, it follows that $b(A(f, t_1)^\wedge) \subseteq b(A(g, t_2)^V)$. Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$. By Theorem 3.5 the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.7.

If for each pair of disjoint b-open sets G_1, G_2 , there exist closed sets F_1 and F_2 such that $G_1 \subseteq F_1, G_2 \subseteq F_2$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ then every contra-continuous function is contra-continuous.

Proof:

Let f be a real-valued contra-b-continuous function defined on X . Set $g = f$, then by Corollary 3.6 there exists a contra-continuous function h such that $g = h = f$.

Corollary 3.8

If for each pair of disjoint b-open sets G_1, G_2 of X , there exist closed sets F_1 and F_2 of X such that $G_1 \subseteq F_1, G_2 \subseteq F_2$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ then X has the strong cc-insertion property for (cbc, cbc).

Proof:

Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X , such that f and g are cbc, and $g \leq f$. Set $h = (f + g)/2$, thus $g \leq h \leq f$ and if $g(x) < f(x)$ for any x in X , then $g(x) < h(x) < f(x)$. Also, by Corollary 3.2, since g and f are contra-continuous functions hence h is a contra-continuous function.

Conclusions

In the present paper, we have shown that for a topological space whose Λ -sets or kernel of sets are open, is given a sufficient condition for the weak cc-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak cc-insertion property, we have given sufficient conditions for the space to have the strong cc-insertion property.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Andrijevic D. On b-open sets. *Mat Vesnik* 1996;48:59-64.
- [2] Brooks F. Indefinite cut sets for real functions. *Amer Math Monthly*. 1971;78: 1007-10.
- [3] Chinnadurai V, Bharathivelan K. Cubic Ideals in Near Subtraction Semigroups. *Int J Mod Sci Technol*. 2016;1(8):276-82.
- [4] Ekici E. On contra-continuity. *Annales Univ Sci Bodapest* 2004;47:127-37.
- [5] Ekici E. New forms of contra-continuity. *Carpathian J Math*. 2008;24(1):37-45.
- [6] Kat'etov M. On real-valued functions in topological spaces. *Fund Math*. 1951;38:85-91.
- [7] Lane E. Insertion of a continuous function. *Pacific J Math*. 1976;66:181-90.
- [8] Maheshwari SN, Prasad R. On ROs-spaces. *Portugal Math* 1975;34:213-17.
- [9] Okelo NB. On characterization of various finite subgroups of Abelian groups. *Int J*

Mod Comput Inf Commun Technol.
2018;1(5):93-8

its Application in Decision Making. Int J
Mod Sci Technol. 2016;1(7):159-63.

[10] Vijayabalaji S, Sathiyaseelan N. Interval
Valued Product Fuzzy Soft Matrices and
