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1
2 marked as T-32 for identification.)
3 MR. WINWARD: Okay. We'd like to 4 restart the meeting. And next up on the agenda is
5 the South Seaside Park Homeowners and Voters
6 Association De-annexation petition hearing. Before
7 we get started, I want to give a couple minutes to
8 Mr. DeMarco. You had a concern about --
$9 \quad$ MR. DEMARCO: Can I ask that now?
10
11 to keep it short.
12
13 me.
14
15
16 resolution about the neighborhood plan. Basically,
I know we had the whole big meeting about the
one-family zone. Now, I know you passed the
resolution. Did you pass it to keep it as a
one-family zone, the whole area, or is it
multifamily again? where are we?
22 23 changes, all the concerns that the public had --

MR. DEMARCO: Right.
MR. WINWARD: -- we read it into the
resolution.

4 I believe he has an affirmative answer to the 5 question.
yes one-family or no? what's -- yes or no?
that was adopted
that there would be no townhomes or multifamily in
the residential districts. I believe the one area
that was approved for what was called the mixed use
is the neighborhood business district, where it
would -- you would permit as a conditional use
apartments above retail in hopes to --
Mr. DEMARCO: Yes. okay. second question. What is or how is victorian Developers or some, whatever it is there, Victorian something there, LLC, how -- is that tied into part of the resolution?

MR. DICKERSON: That has nothing to do with the South Seaside Park neighborhood plan.

MR. DEMARCO: All right. Thank you.
That's all I wanted to know. Thank you.
MR. WINWARD: We're going to open the
meeting with testimony from our township planner.
MR. MICHELINI: If I could just enter 3 my appearance on the record. Joseph Michelini from
O'Malley, surman \& Michelini on behalf of the
South Seaside Park Homeowners and Voters Association petition signers.

Just as a matter of clarification,
we're still in the township's case, correct?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. MCGUCKIN: Yes.
MR. MICHELINI: Okay. That's all.
Thank you.
MR. MCGUCKIN: We did want to correct
an exhibit, as I understand it. And that was the -which invoice was it? A-72 and A-73 were invoices.

MS. HUGG: It's the March 7, 2016
invoice.
MR. MCGUCKIN: Okay. So, that will
now be A-72A, correct?
MS. HUGG: Yes.
(The Invoice previously marked A-72
was marked as A-72A for identification.)
MR. WINWARD: Very good. Did you
make note of that, Mr. Michelini.
25
MR. MICHELINI: I did. Thank you.

3 proceed.
4 STANLEY SLACHETKA, having been duly sworn, according 5 to law, upon his oath, testified as follows:

MR. SLACHETKA: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. It's Stan. First name is Stan, or
Stanley. And it's, last name is slachetka. It's S,
as in Sam, 1-a-c-h-e-t-k-a with T\&M Associates.
T\&M Associates is the appointed
township planner. And that's the basis upon my
testimony to the board this evening, as the township
planner. You don't need me to read any credentials
or anything like that into the record, do you, Greg or Mr. Attorney?

MR. MCGUCKIN: I think we're well
familiar with your credentials.
MR. SLACHETKA: Okay. Thank you. (Off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: I'm going to be testifying to the contents of the planning report that we prepared in this matter. This is referenced, the Planning Report in the Matter of the Petitioned De-annexation of South Seaside Park. And it's dated July 3rd, 2017. And it's signed by me as

1 a licensed professional planner in the State of New
2 Jersey. And I provided a copy of that, a full copy
3 of that, including all of the attachments, exhibits
4 and the maps that are associated and referenced in
the report that's identified as $\mathrm{T}-32$.
(off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: So, anyway, to start,
8 I think what's probably important here is to sort of
set some framework for the testimony. This report
and my testimony are going to be focusing on the
planning issues that are involved in the proposed
de-annexation, requested de-annexation by the
petitioners. And I'll get into the content of that.
And I'11 get into the provisions of the request of
the de-annexation or the framework for the analysis
in just a moment. But I think what's important for
the board to understand is, this is -- this report
is not a comprehensive report in the sense of bringing all the factors together. I think you're going to probably be hearing some testimony on that
later in the process from the township's case.
However, what we wanted to do is review and,
essentially, address some of the testimony that
24 we've heard so far from the petitioners' planning
25 witnesses and Mr. Bauman, some of the lay testimony,
and establish a framework for analysis in the context of the comprehensive planning activities of the municipality so that we could better analyze the proposed petition from the standpoint of the statutory criteria.

And I'm going to probably apologize right from the very beginning. There's a lot of stuff here. There's a lot of information that I'm going to be going through. So, we'll try to take our time. Present it as clearly and as comprehensively as we possibly can, so that the board has a full understanding of those planning issues that I'm going to be addressing and our arguments and our conclusions. So, let me apologize. You'll probably hear a lot from me this evening and maybe on into other evenings. But I think it's going to be necessary for the board to kind of be able to fully understand and comprehend this. And, certainly, if there's, you know, after my testimony, if there's any specific questions or if there's a point of clarification that you want to make, actually, during my testimony, I'll be glad to welcome that.
so, to the analysis and request
that's before the board. We have, the South Seaside
municipality, impact on the neighborhood that is
requesting the de-annexation and making
recommendations to the governing body with regards to that.

And in that context, I think it's probably appropriate that the planning board has that role and responsibility. Because the fact that it really is a fundamental component of your planning obligations to review the impact that any such proposals or any proposals, really, from a planning perspective, from a zoning perspective, from an application perspective, as well as an application, what the impacts of those are on the community and weigh that against your goals and objectives as expressed in your master planning documents and your comprehensive planning documents.

And understanding how that also impacts the general, general welfare of the community, which is one of the fundamental requirements or foundations of the planning work that you do, both in terms of crafting plans for the township, as well as in reviewing applications. So, I think that this is an appropriate venue and the subject matter that I'm presenting to you, will be presenting to you in that regard, I think is something that you're fully capable of analyzing and should be analyzing. so, let's get -- go into the statutory requirements. I'm going to be drinking water from time to time, so I apologize. We've presented kind of a summary of the key components of the statutory requirements. We did not include all the statutory language in the report. That's obviously part of the record and can be referenced by the board, but I think will be presented here as a summary as it relates specifically to the planning issues and concerns. And I think really three parts to that, under the first -- three fundamental parts to that. The first part being that the petitioners, and this is, the petitioners have the burden to prove to you and to the township that, to
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demonstrate that the refusal to consent to the de-annexation by the governing body is arbitrary or unreasonable. That refusal to consent to the 4 de-annexation by the governing body is detrimental 5 to the social and economic well-being of the 6 majority of the residents in the affected land. In this case, the South Seaside Park neighborhood. And that de-annexation would not cause significant injury to the well-being of the municipality in which the land is located.

And when we get to the conclusions, and I'll be talking all through this testimony in terms of our evaluation of the planning issues in relationship to those three components, our fundamental conclusion is, one, that the governing body by denying the de-annexation would not be acting in an arbitrary basis, and the reasons that are presented because of that.

That the refusal to consent to the de-annexation is, in fact, not detrimental to the social and economic welfare and well-being of the majority of the residents. In fact, the de-annexation, we will contend, is actually detrimental. A de-annexation would be detrimental to the community in question, the neighborhood in

1 question. 3 will, in fact, cause harm, substantial harm to the 4 Township of Berkeley. And, again, we'11 get into 5 all the details about that. But that's really the 6 fundamental conclusions.

A couple of quick details with
regards to the petition. The petition for
de-annexation was signed by 351 individuals, 285
which were verified as valid. And that represents
about 65 percent, 65 and a half percent of the 435
registered voters in South Seaside Park. So, the
petition in that context is -- would be a valid petition before the board

MR. BACCHIONE: Through the Chair. I just have a quick question for you, if you don't mind me interrupting.

MR. SLACHETKA: No, John.
MR. BACCHIONE: Just explain what you
mean by valid.
MR. SLACHETKA: Well, valid not in the substantive question, but that they have, the petition, they've had the signatories that allow them to proceed through the process.

MR. BACCHIONE: So, there were 351
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individuals who signed the petition. 285 are
2 verified as valid. The others were not residents or
3 were not full-time residents or -- I don't
4 understand the ones that weren't valid. Like, what 5 were they?

MR. SLACHETKA: To be frank, I don't
know all the details about why they were not
qualified or those signatures were disqualified. We could probably get you more details about that.

MR. BACCHIONE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SLACHETKA: That's the
information I have before me. Like I said, if
there's anything other, the board wants some
clarification or follow-up information or analysis, we certainly can provide that to the board as needed. And, as I mentioned, there's a whole series of witnesses before this board, both witnesses presented by the petitioners and witnesses to date presented to you by the township, including myself, you know, acting, as I said, as a township planner, and township planning being a component of the municipal government here in Berkeley Township.

And then you heard what we call lay testimony. And that's not to be derogatory to the testimony. It's just by lay witnesses that are not
professionals but are presenting testimony either in
favor of the de-annexation or opposed to de-annexation.

Some things that I want, you know,
sort of right upfront exclusions that I want to
identify. And first of which, anything that's not
within the purview of the township and certainly not
within the purview of the township from a planning
function, such as, you know, statewide
transportation. Things that are controlled by other jurisdictions, whether or not it's maybe Ocean Ride as a transportation entity, New Jersey Transit, state and county park land, the Berkeley Times, libraries. We have it in a footnote in our report. But there's a variety of things that are, in essence, not under the township's jurisdiction that we cannot impact or influence, that might have been mentioned in prior testimony.

I also included in table number one the series of references. And those include a variety of different documents, transcripts, petition reports that were viewed as part of the construction of this planning analysis. And they go without speaking in terms of the list here. If there's any questions about it, I'11 be glad to

1 I think the detail is really important in
2 understanding some of these issues. And you' 11 see
3 how each piece links into the other and that detail
4 then sets the foundation for what we do, what we
5 analyze later on. so, if it actually does sound
6 like I'm being a bit repetitive sometimes, I'm being
7 repetitive for a reason and it's to help you
8 understand your decision here in this way.
so, under the municipal overview, I
mean, we know, most of you know, I would hope, sitting on the planning board, are relatively -thoroughly understand and know your community. Berkeley Township, is 43 square miles. It extends from the pinelands in the western most boundary, you have the senior communities out in the western boundary, all the way to the Atlantic ocean to South Seaside Park. It includes a substantial shorefront, including the Atlantic ocean shorefront in South Seaside Park and Island Beach State Park. And you've got the various Bayshore communities or bayfront communities, I should say, in the township. so, you've got an extensive shoreline, as well, not only the Atlantic Ocean, but also the Barnegat Bay.

And, from a planning context, I'm
going to be talking about that quite a bit. The
planning context your stewardship of Barnegat Bay 2 and the reasons why you have done certain things, 3 made certain decisions, are focused on the, really, 4 the fundamental, you know, planning work that you 5 need to do. And that's balancing the opportunities 6 for growth and development in a sound planning and 7 sustainable way with the protection of the environment. whether it's Pinelands, whether it's environmentally sensitive lands on the mainland, 10 whether it's the shorefront, whether it's the more significant ecosystem that is represented by Barnegat Bay and the Pinelands areas. And also important upland habitat areas that you're trying to preserve as part of your Transfer Development Rights program, and your overall comprehensive plan. Berkeley Township is doing a substantial amount, and has done over the last 20 years, a substantial amount of planning work in the community. That planning work, that comprehensive planning includes a significant amount of planning for the South Seaside Park neighborhood, as well as other neighborhoods all throughout the township. So, essentially, the township is, we've sort of categorized it as sort of a mosaic of neighborhoods. And not unlike a lot of communities

1 in the State of New Jersey, you've got a whole 2 variety of different neighborhoods. And some of 3 them have been organized and affected by natural 4 features and defined by natural features, such as, 5 the bay and for the Atlantic shore. Others are 6 defined and basically sort of organized around types 7 of infrastructure that have been developed through
8 the last century or two, including railroads, 9 Route Nine and probably more recently the Parkway.

You have a variety of master plan communities. Actually, South Seaside Park early on was one of those master plan communities, as many of the barrier island communities in the State of New Jersey were actually planned. Pinewald, all you see in -- when you see some of the tax maps and tax lots in the Pinewald area, you can see where there was anticipated, you know, planned communities in those areas.

You have -- this is going to -- as I'm speaking here, I'm going to have to take a sip of more water here.

You have your senior communities, you
know, Silver Ridge, Holiday Heights and those
variety of communities out in the northwestern
areas. And those are very defined and very

1 identifiable communities within the State of --
2 within the Township of Berkeley. You have ethnic
3 enclaves. And then we were just talking about an
4 application for Manitou Park and the community that
5 is governed by the redevelopment plan in Manitou
6 Park, which is also part of the rehabilitation area.
7 and there's a reference in the report, one of the
8 footnotes. And I would encourage you to read the
9 footnotes as much as you read the body of the report
10 because there's a lot of good information in those footnotes.
12
13
on the Italian workers that were working in that area of the township. So, underscores, too, that these places evolve over time and that they are recognized for different types of characteristics. and all these neighborhoods, as we11, within the township are defined in the context of a variety of regulatory jurisdictions that are associated with those and actually govern development and ultimately govern what is the -- how these neighborhoods are going to function, look and grow in the future. 4 That includes the Pinelands areas, which provides
25 for fairly -- governs a fairly substantial part of

1 the township and provides a significant amount of 2 restrictions in terms of the nature, type and extent 3 of development that can take place in those areas to 4 protect important natural resources.

You have the CAFRA regulations which
6 govern coastal development. These are New Jersey
7 Department of Environmental Protection regulations
8 which govern the form, the nature and the design of
development in coastal areas. Again, to protect
10
11
12
13

Basically, getting a recognition by
the State Planning Commission that, in fact, your
comprehensive planning documents for the entire
municipality, not just one portion of the
4 municipality, but for your entire municipality, are
consistent with statewide, you know, planning
6 principles. And with various state agency input
7 into that determination, including the Department of
Transportation, Department -- and, most importantly,
the Department of Environmental Protection, that
plan endorsement included a variety of different
actions and activities which will be further
defining neighborhoods and defining the community.
The Transfer Development Rights
Program that I mentioned, which transfers
development rights from environmentally sensitive lands, particularly like in the pinewald area, to areas that have been identified for being appropriate for new growth development, new ratables within the community, that includes the Town Center One, the Town Center Two, the -- which is a -- which is designated core in the state plan, as well as the other areas that are identified along Route Nine as receiving areas for the, you know, for the development rights. And that's an important part of your planning efforts. Providing, again, providing

26
1 terms of South Seaside Park and the township as a 2 whole.

4 on to, I think, probably where we, kind of a
5 starting point in this whole discussion from a
6 planning perspective. I'm trying to keep the
7 microphone close to me. But then it's also, you
8 know, I hear that I'm popping my P's and T's. So,
there's got to be an appropriate balance here.
(off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: Is this okay?
Better? Good. Okay. I'll try to keep my voice up as much as possible without hitting all the, hitting all the bad audio pops and fizzes.
so, first of which, let's talk about this issue of a place, a neighborhood, identification of a place. Identification that people have with place. And I think that's really kind of the starting point. And you've heard a lot in terms of your testimony that you've heard, and especially from the residents of South Seaside Park, with regards to that concept. And I think that those -- that those issues and addressing that are important and valid ones that we need to kind of evaluate in the context of comprehensive planning
issues that you have to consider here. But I think there needs to be a lot of clarification about that and lot of kind of -- I don't think we can quickly move from that concept without, you know, without actually providing us a good foundation, you know, from moving forward.

So, you heard about neighborhood, you heard about community. And there are ways in which those kinds of things, you know, get defined. on a broader level within Berkeley Township, essentially, we've got really three big areas of the township.
And, actually, maybe even two broader areas. And we have the mainland and we have the barrier island area of the township. And in the barrier island, obviously, you have South Seaside Park. You have also Island Beach State Park. To a certain extent, Pelican Island is somewhat associated with that. It's proximate even though it's a separate entity in of itself.

You do have -- in the mainland, in
fact, the mainland itself could be defined broadly
in terms of two different areas. You have the areas west of the Parkway, which include those areas in the Pinelands that I mentioned. Actually, west of the Parkway, you do have the municipal facilities,
the township municipal building and public safety
2 facility. And then you also have the senior
3 communities that we talked about a moment ago on 4 the -- in the northwestern part.

So, you have the west of the Parkway
6 which is Pinelands, senior citizen communities. And
you have the east mainland area. And as we talk
about it, we'll see that there are a lot of planning
activities going on and has been going on in the
last 20 years in the mainland because of the fact that those are the areas where the opportunities for a significant amount of growth and development, at the same time, the need to create some opportunities for preservation, both in terms of habitat areas and also shoreline areas to protect us from more greater environmental impacts. So, that balancing, probably looking forward, has been taking place a lot in that part of the area. But as we'11 talk about, the township is doing comprehensive planning. And every place where it plans and every part of the community that it's planning for is all integrated and linked into the overall comprehensive planning goals and objectives that you've established.

Even if those areas, even if you have a different objective and a different set of

1 planning tools that are implemented or used in an 2 area, they're overall comprehensively used in a way 3 that advances your overall goals and objectives from 4 a planning perspective.

Now, a lot has been said about the 6 noncontiguous nature of Seaside Park --
7 South Seaside Park in relationship to the township.
8 How it's basically separated significantly by a
9 water body. And it's separated, it's not contiguous
10 to the mainland area. It is on a barrier island.
and that's part -- the portion of the township is on
a barrier island. However, having said that, that's
not a unique circumstance and situation. Certainly
not even a unique circumstance and situation in
ocean county. In ocean county, you have toms river
Township and you have Brick Township, which have
areas on the barrier island that are separated physically from the rest of the mainland.

By the way, there are some figures on page seven and page eight that $I$ ' $m$ referencing.
And, you know, without getting into the nitty gritty and the details in each one of the circumstances of the separation, I think it's -- or why they're
geographically and are physically separated, I think it's important to understand that this is a
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1 circumstance, a circumstance which occurs, you know, 2 quite regularly and frequently within the State of
3 New Jersey. So, the physical separation in of 4 itself is not a unique factor or a fundamental, you know, focus, I think, of the issues that are entailed with regards to the de-annexation. It's one but it's not the, I think, the fundamental.

So, you have other communities that 9 are identified and highlighted in this map. You have upper and lower townships in Cape May County. There's Egg Harbor in Atlantic County. Then even on the Delaware, you've got communities like Montague Township that has, if I'm pronouncing that correctly, Mashipacong Island. And in Pennsauken, you have Petty Island. Actually, there's, in fact, development activity taking place in that. So, those are examples of where there's some physical separation because of the fact that there is a water body that's between one portion of that municipality and the other.

There's been a variety of historic boundary changes that are there. And there have been de-annexations. And some of those de-annexations that, in fact, have created noncontiguous elements of communities. Long Beach

1 Township in ocean county is one example of that.
2 Byram in Sussex county. In south -- you have south
3 Hackensack in Bergen County. Then you have Aberdeen
4 in Monmouth County. Some of them are, if you can
5 see by the map, are, you know, pretty significant
6 discontinuities. So, again, the idea of
7 discontinuity in terms of jurisdiction in the state
of New Jersey is not -- you're not -- not unique.
9 Because we're not talking about the only place in
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1 neighborhood names in Middletown Township. Many of
2 them associated with a specific church or a specific
3 building or a specific area of development that took
4 place, you know, going back maybe in some respects 5 to Colonial times.

And you have woodbridge Township in
7 middlesex county. You have the colonia section of
woodbridge Township. You have Iselin in woodbridge
Township. Actually, downtown area is sometimes
10 called woodbridge Proper within woodbridge Township.
you have the Keasbey section in woodbridge. And
there's several others that are identified.
actually, in Port Reading, my grandparents lived in Port Reading. And my mom grew up in Port Reading. That's a subdistrict, a neighborhood within woodbridge Township. And they often, you know, said, well, where did you grow up? I grew up in Port Reading. It's all part of woodbridge township and collectively all those neighborhoods, you know, made up the community of Woodbridge Township. And at the same time, they had their own sort of distinct neighborhood, you know, characteristics, whether it's -- whether it was an ethnic
characteristic. Port Reading, the predominant makeup in Port Reading, the Port Reading section of
ongoing now. And I can tell you, identification of school districts is -- talking about somebody going to Middletown North High School versus Middletown South High School, that is a very, very strong level of identification. But it's all happening within the community and the township of Middletown Township.

You have census tracts and blocks
that -- you have area codes. You know, I think I
mentioned zip codes. That was made, a big issue was
made of zip codes. But the zip codes, in fact, there's a map shown in one of the exhibits at the end of the -- at the end of the report, that shows the overlapping of the zip code areas within the -within Berkeley Township. And that is a consistent situation in a number of different areas. So, I think you have to be very careful when somebody presents to you, you know, any one piece of information in terms of what their identification is of the neighborhood in that context.

By the way, there's also voting districts is another one. But, again, it's just a reemphasis that there's a layer upon layer upon layer and crossing over of jurisdictions and levels of identification that people have with those
on top of that, without even getting
into more detail and confusing the matter even
further, you have -- I mean, basically, New Jersey
is an amalgam of ever changing and different places and with multiple layers of jurisdiction over -- a laying over on top of everything. You know, you've got political and you've the got regulatory ones that I just talked about before in terms of like Pinelands and CAFRA. You know, you've got places that, some with names, some just identified as a that, some with names, some just identified as a
place within a place. You've got, you know, you've got things like designated -- certain specific types of designations that, like zip code designations. School districts. Talking about Middletown Township, we are doing a enrollment projection and an analysis of a potential redistricting within the Middletown School District. That analysis is
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within Berkeley Township, there are sections in Bayville that are sort of subsections of Bayville that are identified. So, I think, you know, we have to be very, very careful in simply using a
laying over on top of everything. You knon, you ve
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we've worked with you as a planning board and how you presented it to us, is that Berkeley Township is a collection of neighborhoods. And that your planning work has recognized, recognized those differences and addressed those differences in certain ways, whether it's a redevelopment plan or whether it's certain types of zoning or whatever, to support and enhance communities to support them in their growth. To support them in sustaining themselves and their character.

And even the neighborhood plan that you just adopted, in responding to the community in terms of what their vision of themselves are, you've done that. But without sacrificing your, your obligation to view your community in a comprehensive way, and make sure all those other goals and objectives, which we'll be talking about later in the report, all those comprehensive goals and objectives are being achieved. It's an important balancing that you're doing. And it is something that, in consideration of the de-annexation petition, that, how that would render or affect, and potentially adversely affect, your efforts and Berkeley, then in turn, Berkeley Township, I think, is something the board needs to fully consider.
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Finally, there's a -- let's see here.
I don't want to skip anything. Because if I do, I'll have to go back. And you don't want me to do that.

There's a definition that we have, a more
formal definition that we've presented in Moskowitz
and Lindbloom, which is a reference work for
planners, that define and describe -- I'm just
looking to see where that is. Here it is. The bottom of page nine.

MR. WISER: Sorry. Page nine?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah, bottom of page nine.

MR. BACCHIONE: Is it page ten, Stan?
MR. SLACHETKA: Depends on how you
got printed out. Is it saying page ten?
Mr. BaCchione: I have it on ten.
That's okay. You can read nine.
MR. SLACHETKA: Well, it's at the -at the beginning of the section that's identified as -- that's called Neighborhoods. And so the Moskowitz and Lindbloom book, as I said, it's a reference work that defines -- provides a series of definitions for the planning community.

They quote -- they identify a
neighborhood as, quote, an area of a community with characteristics that distinguish it from other areas, and that may include distinct ethnic or economic characteristics, housing types, schools or boundaries defined by physical barriers, such as major highways, railroads, natural features, such as, water bodies or topography.
so, you can see the kinds of things
that I've been presenting to you in terms of the
consideration of what considers a neighborhood is
really grounded on the recognized planning framework
in terms of -- in terms of what these neighborhoods
are. And one other thing I think which is important
for the board to understand, that the -- that
sometimes these neighborhoods or these areas of identification extend beyond municipal boundaries.

I'11 use -- we were talking about
examples before. In the place where I grew up, I
grew up in Carteret, New Jersey, in northeastern
New Jersey. And that even, as it's a relatively
small community, significantly smaller than
Berkeley Township, that has recognized identified areas. We have the -- we have, in fact, one of the big distinctions are -- is West Carteret versus the standard regular Carteret. And that distinction is
made because of, simply, the fact that the
New Jersey Turnpike, when it was constructed in the 3 early 1950's, separated the two components of 4 Carteret, and there is a very strong identification 5 in that regard in west Carteret. And that actually 6 even extends their recognition of their community, 7 extends into portions of Rahway and to portions of woodbridge Township, which are proximate and organized around that area as well.

So, that kind of recognition and organization can be recognized and that sense of community can be recognized across municipal boundaries. It doesn't fundamentally alter the concept of that being a generalized neighborhood, but it also doesn't fundamentally alter the fact or require a boundary revision just in of itself. so -- and from a planning perspective, and this starts to get us into the areas where we need -where we start to go into the planning concepts, from a, from a planning perspective, that, we talk about this and we talk about this idea of sense of place and that communities that have cultural diversity and differences within their boundaries are strong communities and stronger communities. And it's talked about a lot in the planning
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 3 a trend towards too much homogeneity in the -- got 4 that one -- too much homogeneity in the communities. 5 And that that leads to a less of a sense of 6 community and more of sort of a defining of an 7 enclave. Again, it's relatively esoteric but it's 8 an important planning concept. And that's why these 9 ideas of place and looking at places that have that level of diversity, whether it's economic levels of diversity, whether it's, again, ethnic diversity, types of communities, we build that -- the communities are built upon that diversity. And, again, just kind of going back.You think about all the different communities that
you have in Berkeley Township. I mentioned Bayville. And Bayville sometimes is even defined and subdivided into places like Glen Cove, Good Luck Point, Berkeley Shores, Holly Park, Cedar Beach and mill Creek. These are all names in the township. Then you have the senior communities. You have Holiday Heights, Holiday City. You have Manitou Park which we were talking about before. Pelican Island, Pinewald, Silver Ridge, south Seaside Park. These are all pieces of the
fabric of Berkeley Township. And not any one of 2 those is any more or less important or distinct or 3 important of your consideration than the other. And they bind the community together in that sense of diversity and that sense of the collection of neighborhoods. And it's a valid planning concern. so, in that analysis, in looking at the de-annexation, what's the important question, I think, for the board to wrestle with, is, there's really two parts of it. First of which, what does Berkeley sacrifice in economic, cultural, social, historic and geographic diversity. And what is the sacrifice that's irreplaceable that is not easily replaced and basically substituted for the potential de-annexation of South Seaside Park. And then with regards to the residents of South Seaside Park, what has South Seaside Park similarly sacrificed by the de-annexation in resources and support from the township and their community as a whole, and being part of a very, very large and diverse mainland community that not only -- that has that resources to support them in a variety of different activities from a planning prospective and from a, you know, from a standpoint of protection from events like we had in Superstorm Sandy. And I would argue and I
think that our conclusion would be that that's irreplaceable.

So, those are really the two pieces
that we're going to be kind of evaluating here, that
it's what's irreplaceable from the standpoint of the
loss of South Seaside Park in the context of all
that stuff that I was talking about with the sense
of community and the various places that occur
within the township. And then what do the residents
of Seaside Park sacrifice and what cannot be replaced in that level of sacrifice or that change.
so, now we get into the -- this is
all just setting the table. But that gets into the
heart of the matter. And that's some of the statistics and information that will help us define that a little bit more. And that includes the demographics and some key demographics that I think are important for the board to consider.

First, let's talk about the
population. And this sets some parameters, I think, in thinking about the proposed de-annexation from you as the planners for the community. First of which is in terms of demographics. In the 2010 Census, the township, Berkeley Township's population was 41,255 . At that time of the 2010 Census, the
population in South Seaside Park was 49 -- excuse me -- 490 residents or approximately 1.2 percent of the township's population in 2010. Based on the 4 American Community Survey, which is a multi-year 5 survey that's performed by the Census in the interim 6 years between actual Decennial Census, in the 2011 7 to 2015 time period -- and this now is at, what was projected in 2015 as the population being, the township's population being 41,480 . And at that point in time, the ACS identified that there were 824 persons in south seaside Park, which then represented two percent of the township's population. So, there is a growth in population that was identified in the ACS from the Census and -- from Decennial Census -- and the proportion of South Seaside Park or South Seaside Park became, in terms of population, became a somewhat higher proportion of the population, going from 1.2 percent to two percent.
with regards to education. The residents of South Seaside Park are among the most educated of residents within the township. 42.3 percent of the residents in South Seaside Park had earned a college degree. And, again, that's based on the ACS data, American Community Survey data.

122 percent -- if you compare that to
2 Berkeley Township as a whole, 22.7 percent of 3 Berkeley residents as a whole had received a college 4 degree or greater. And that's -- so, that's 42.3 percent for South Seaside Park, of their resident population, and 22.7 percent as Berkeley as a whole. So, they're more highly educated than the township as a whole.

If you look at household income. The median income in South Seaside Park, based on the ACS, was 52,639 . And Berkeley, as a whole, it was 43 -- excuse me -- 43,942. And that's -- the median income, therefore, in South Seaside Park, is 20 percent higher than the township as a whole. Now, if you compare that to ocean County, ocean County's median income was 61,994 . So, by the loss of South Seaside Park, or at least that level of income, there would be an increased gap between the township's median income and the ocean County's median income.
with regards to employment. And it's amazing. They're very -- this is actually, I think, an incredible statistic and a very positive one. As of the ACS, zero of the 402 residents, which were 16 years of age or older, which is the working age,

1 were unemployed. so, no -- there's no unemployment
2 within South Seaside Park. However, in comparison,
3 the rest of the township, of the population age 16
4 and over, 11.5 percent, at the time the survey was
5 done, 11.5 percent of the township's population
overall was unemployed. So, therefore, I mean, with
the township losing south Seaside Park, that
actually would reduce the work force and actually eliminate a fairly high -- highly unemployed area, population within the township.
so, you have a higher educated, better educated than the township as a whole. You have the population that has higher incomes than the township as a whole. And you have the -- a community that is fully employed in comparison to the unemployment rate that occurs within the township. So, highly educated, employed and higher incomes than the rest of the township.

If you look at the housing stock, there's 1,671 dwelling units in South Seaside Park in comparison to 24,169 dwelling units within Berkeley Township or essentially 6.9 percent of the township's housing stock, which is a pretty substantial percentage. The de-annexation would, essentially, be a complete loss in that
neighborhood. Those housing units would be eliminated. But it also, they're very -- relatively very unique types of dwelling units and housing stock within South Seaside Park, in comparison to the township as a whole. They're smaller, if you look at the number of rooms per unit, as in comparison to the township as a whole. And some of these statistics and information is -- are probably, as you listen to them, are pretty common sense in terms of the nature of the community and it's a beachfront community. It's seasonal. In many cases, it's seasonal. And there are a lot of smaller units that are in there.
so, the buildings, the rooms are smaller. The interesting thing is that there are 247 units that are identified as not being single family detached of the 1,742 units in Berkeley. In South Seaside Park, 14.2 percent of the units are not characterized as single family detached, which I thought was kind of interesting. But I think one of the things that we've heard from the residents in South Seaside Park is the -- looking forward and looking towards the future of the community, that they're looking to have more in terms of the single family's housing stock as versus multifamily
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residential. And, again, that's part of the
2 evolutionary aspect of these things, and the 3 planning board and the township responding to the 4 interests of the community and recognizing the 5 nature and characteristics of the -- of that specific, that specific neighborhood.

I'm looking at the average dwelling units. And this is on, it's on my page 13. I don't know if it's appearing on your page 13. But under the American Community Survey estimates, the median numbers of rooms in South seaside Park dwelling units is 4.4, whereas, the median number of rooms in dwelling units in Berkeley Township overall is 5.3. And over 19.4 percent or 247 of the 1,671 units in South Seaside Park are not characterized as single family detached housing. And then this is greater, as I mentioned, this is greater than the percentage for the township as a whole, where 7.2 percent or 1,742 of the 24,169 housing units are not characterized as single family detached housing. I think that might be -- by reading that right out of the report, it's a little clearer than what I said. I was thinking through it. I missed a stat there. so, just correcting myself.

So, as I said, the loss of
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1 that really sort of underpins and creates a
2 foundation for planning and for land use planners.
3 So, I want to qualify that before we -- before I get
4 into the discussion of this.
so, and you heard a lot of discussion
6 in terms of the distance that South Seaside Park is
7 from the mainland and the remainder of the township
8 and the ability -- the distance that exists between
9 that neighborhood and community facilities. And
there's no dispute. I'm not disputing that, in
fact, that is a pretty significant distance and
there is some travel time that is required from
the -- from that neighborhood to the facilities such as the municipal building.

Other areas also have a reasonable
travel distance. And I think, you know, we'11 talk
a little bit it in a moment in terms of the
locational decision -- locational aspects of the municipal building being sort of centrally located, even though, from the standpoint of the population center of the community, it's off and to the west of the actual real population center of the community. But, clearly, South Seaside Park residents do have ways to travel to get to this facility and other places on the mainland within the township.

However, let's talk a little bit
about locational decision making and what, you know, how that's evaluated and what we need to talk about. and locational decisions are really made on a variety of different factors. Again, these are things that planners understand in terms of evaluating these things.

So, I mean, the classic example is, you know, in the New York city metropolitan region, you have a choice. You can decide to live in New York City, and maybe more specifically in Manhattan, and you have access to unparalleled facilities, community facilities, entertainment, eating establishment and the like. But, obviously, in Manhattan, again, this is pretty common sense, you're paying a substantial amount for rents. And the apartments themselves are relatively small in comparison to places in, certainly, in the outlying boroughs. And probably significantly different if you decided to live out in a more suburban area where you would have, based upon your decision to live there, a larger area of land, a little distance between your neighbors. Not living in, you know, not living in the urban environment as versus a more suburban environment. But what you are going to do
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is, you're going to, if you work in New York City,
2 you're going to have significant travel times. And
3 that is an understand -- you know, in the New York
4 metropolitan region or whether it's the Philadelphia
5 metropolitan region, that's a factor in deciding and
determining where you're going to decide to live.
7 You know, it's, what are the amenities, what's the
travel, what's the cost, what's the kind of housing
that you can get. What are the things that you
achieve or you're able to obtain in the place that
you live is that you maybe want to -- might make a sacrifice on other things.

And, clearly, one of the most
significant benefits and most significant amenities, and we've heard it, I mean, we've heard it in lay testimony after lay testimony about the quality of life living in south seaside Park and living next to the ocean and living near those -- the places that they think are important to them. And they are important to them. And so, you know, that's the sacrifice that's made. The balancing occurs in terms of, I'm getting these, I'm getting the oceanfront housing or I'm living next to the ocean. I'm living in the place that I want to be living in and call home because of a variety of these
different factors. But I'm making that sacrifice in terms of the travel time and access to other, physical access to other communities in the township.

In fact, from the standpoint of the township, there is no other place, there is no other place in Berkeley Township where you can live proximate to the Atlantic Ocean and that amenity. You certainly have opportunities as, to have -- to live along the bayfront. But if I wanted to experience the ocean and be within walking distance and be also within walking distance of, you know, whatever amenities and shopping services that might be there, but have that kind of environment of being a New Jersey shore environment, there is only one place and one place only in the Township of Berkeley that's available to you. And that is in South Seaside Park.

And planners are very fully familiar with this. And you as planners, you're, members of the board, are fully familiar because you sort of engage in this all the time. Maybe you don't talk about it consciously, but you do talk about it in terms of, you know, providing, you know, your plan, creating your planning framework here within the
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1 community. No neighborhoods, no -- planners talk
2 about that people want to be in certain
3 neighborhoods and they want to be in certain
4 landscapes. The technical term is called transects.
5 That's a planning jargon where you understand
6 transects goes from urban to -- I'll make it very
7 simple -- urban to suburban to rural to agricultural
8 to whatever. There are a variety of different
9 places that the planners are aware of that people
want to live. Travel distance, amenities, access to
transit, these are all things that are part of the lexicon of our work as planners in terms of evaluating and making -- understanding the locational decisions that people make. But fundamentally, the bottom line here is that it is a balance. And simply having that balance or needing to make that balance in of itself is a conscious, it's a conscious determination. Doesn't necessarily mean that it's not correct or it's wrong from a planning perspective.

I mean, maybe even to further define that further as it relates specifically to Berkeley Township. I mean, there are other places in Berkeley Township and other places where people decide to live. And there are places where people

1 out, any aspect of that that gets pulled out, that is irreplaceable, further undermines the definition
3 that you have of yourself as a community, what
you've been doing in terms of planning for your 5 community.

Also wanted to point out, too, and I think what's important, there was a lot of testimony and discussion in terms of shopping and services and access to those kinds of things. And, I mean, we all do it. I mean, in the place where I live, if I want to go to a shopping center or a mall, I have to go outside of my town. I have to drive. I have to drive someplace to go there. You know, there's a variety of different choices depending upon the shopping.

You go to the supermarket. In the community that I live in, there is no supermarket within, currently, within the boundaries of that community. And I have to go outside of it. And so, the same thing occurs if you -- if shopping is proximate, and shopping might be more proximate for certain types of shopping than areas within the township. And the township's retail, you know, is, has -- there's a certain range of retail that's provided in Berkeley Township. And if I want to get
want to be very close and proximate to community services and shopping and access to major highways. That's an important aspect of their decision making process, and they locate there. And maybe even understanding, you know, where future development might take place.

There's a very important locational determinant in Berkeley Township. And that is the senior communities. The senior communities themselves have amenities and a population and a culture and a physical environment that is very, very distinct and unique within Berkeley Township. You cannot live in every place but you make a decision of those places, based on a variety of different factors. And Berkeley, to its credit, within the confines of the community, has that, a substantial range of places in which, you know, people can live and work and socialize. And in New Jersey as a whole, you know, we're constantly making those decisions, consistently making those decisions in terms of.

And, as I said, in Berkeley, you know, through your planning, maybe by accident or design, you've really done a very good job of providing that range. And anything that gets pulled

1 certain things and, you know, and purchase certain 2 items that are not available, maybe I'm getting on 3 the internet, I mean, that's a possibility, but then 4 I may be driving someplace or going someplace where 5 that service or that specific product is not 6 available within the boundaries within Berkeley 7 Township. So, having to go outside of the boundary 8 of your community to get what you want in terms of 9 shopping and services in the metropolitan areas that 0 we live and the nature of communities in New Jersey, where, you know, there is vehicular travel, and we have to get in our cars to do certain things, it is not unusual. It's not in of itself a need to separate and define boundaries, because that's just not the way that we live or work within the -within New Jersey. Certainly within this region of New Jersey.
so, I think that's something, you know, that needs -- and there's some footnotes that we have in the report that cites some of the lay testimony in that regard. And, again, what I'm trying to do is kind of describe this in the context in which you're working as the planning board and
are evaluating this as members of the planning board.

So, in -- and we talked about this a little 2 bit before in terms of these locational decisions 3 where we talked about that there are senior 4 communities. And there was a pretty significant 5 discussion about the capacity, availability of 6 senior facilities or senior services within the 7 township and as well, in terms of that, those facilities, in terms of the proximate location to south Seaside Park. First of which, fundamentally, and you've heard testimony on this before, the senior, the township's senior programs are open to everyone age 62 or age older. There's no definition, no delineation in terms of neighborhoods that you have to be in a senior community. It's all 62.

There were discussion -- there was discussion in terms of the bus stops to the senior complexes, that the buses provide a access that's not available within, within the South Seaside Park area. And, essentially, the bus stops that are provided are, with the exception of the recreation center, all provided within the actual senior communities within the designated or, actually, developed senior communities in the township. So, you've got bus stops in Holiday Heights, Holiday City South,

Holiday City at Berkeley, Holiday City West, Silver
Park North, Silver Ridge Park East, Silver Ridge
Park west, Silver Ridge Park Westerly. Then I mentioned the township recreation center. And then the only other non -- well, it's a senior development but it's not one of the senior communities, is the Bay Ridge Apartments, which is the senior development run by the housing authority. so, these -- the bussing is organized directly to
the populations that, the concentration of populations that it serves.

We did hear some testimony from
Mr. Yersonson (phonetic), if I'm pronouncing it
correctly, that more stops could be added if there is a demand and the request been made. So, again, this gets to the heart of locational decisions, too, in terms of if you want access to those and you know that those -- the senior community's where you want to be and there's services and there's bus service that's available, that is a locational determinant.

Also, from the standpoint of recreation activities and opportunities for the seniors, the township does have sponsored events. Again, you've heard that presented to you. In South Seaside Park, at white Sands Beach at the Roberts Avenue
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Recreation Facility, Sergeant Lyons Memorial 2 Basketball courts. And then also the, in terms of 3 going on the other spectrum, end of the spectrum, in 4 terms of opportunities for recreation that the 5 summer youth recreation provides bus service throughout the township to those services.

But I specifically want to talk about the -and there's a table that's presented in here that 9 compares the 2017 beach rates between

Berkeley Township and South Seaside Park. Because I
knew this is something that affects residents as a
whole, but also more specifically affects the senior
community. And it's, you know, and as we'll talk
about, it's one of those key costs, I think, that
are something that the board needs to consider in
terms of impact on the residents of South seaside
Park, as well as the impact on residents within
Berkeley Township with regards to de-annexation.
There's a few highlights. I'11 talk a little bit about the information in the table. But, again, this is table three. It's on what I have as page 17.

Is that page 17 , John?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes, it is.
MR. SLACHETKA: It finally starts to

3 who might not have access to the report,
4 essentially, as I said, it provides a comparison of 5 the beach badge pricing between Berkeley Township 6 and South Seaside Park. So, there's different types 7 of lengths of use in the pricing. There's the daily 8 beach badge. There's weekend which is a daily. And 9 then there's a holiday designation for daily.
0 There's a weekly badge. There's a seasonal badge.
Then there's the preseason badge. And a few key things that kind of come out from here. Under the daily badges in Berkeley Township, the standard badge is eight dollars. That would be -- that's a non-senior standard badge, non-children badge. In comparison in South seaside Park -- excuse me, in Seaside Park Borough, it is ten dollars. So there's a two-dollar increment. It's higher cost in Seaside Park.

Significantly, there's no charge for 1 a daily badge for seniors in Berkeley Township. And that's township wide. Seniors that live in any part of the township can access the white Sands Beach on a daily basis for no charge. In Seaside Park, there is now a daily charge of ten dollars. What's

1 important to note here, too, is that that pricing, 2 the pricing that Berkeley Township offers has to be 3 provided to anybody, whether it's Berkeley residents 4 or non-Berkeley residents, they need to be provided 5 the same pricing chart, which is the same thing in 6 Seaside Park. There's no differentiation between 7 municipal or non-municipal pricing. They have to 8 offer the same prices. So, if somebody wanted to go 9 into -- a senior wanted to go to the -- have a daily badge at a Seaside Park facility, they would be charged the ten dollars for the badge and they wouldn't be charged any different than a Seaside park resident. But, again, there is no charge, ten dollars on the daily.

If you look at the weekend, the weekend daily is similar. It's eight dollars for Berkeley Township for the standard, ten dollars in Seaside Park. There's no charge for seniors and no charge for children. There's no charge for children in Seaside Park. But I do want to point out, too, that in Seaside Park, the children are defined as 11 and under, as verses in Berkeley Township, it's 12 and under. So, if you're a 12 -year-old, you can get in for a Seaside -- Berkeley Township beach and daily beach badge for no charge. But if you're 12
years old, you have to pay the adult price. SO I guess at Seaside Park you mature much more rapidly in Seaside Park than you do in Berkeley Township.

And then, again, there's -- it's a very, very similar pricing range in terms of the daily for the holidays. Basically, same framework for dailies for holidays. Weeklies, it's, for the standard badge in Berkeley Township, it's \$25. \$35 for Seaside Park, but also the ten-dollar difference there. And, again, with regards to seniors, if a senior wanted to get a weekly badge in Berkeley Township, there is no charge for that, but it's a $\$ 35$ charge for seniors in Seaside Park. And then there are similar differences for seasonal. And, again, just let me back up to weekly. For children, there's no charge in either Berkeley Township or Seaside Park, but there is that age difference, the categories that I cited before. And then the seasonal for standard is 50 in Berkeley Township, $\$ 60$ in Seaside Park. For seniors it's no charge, $\$ 20$ in Seaside Park, and no charge for children. And pre-season it's 30 for standard, 55 for -- 30 in Berkeley Township, 55 in South Seaside Park -- in Seaside Park. My apologies. Seniors, there's no charge in Berkeley Township and $\$ 20$ in Seaside Park.
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And then children, no charge in either one.
I should point out one other little caveat that's noted on the table. That if a senior in Berkeley Township wanted to get a lifetime badge, essentially, there's a ten-dollar processing charge for that. They get a lifetime badge that's available to them. So, clearly, the -- if, in fact, the white Sands Beach -- and there's -- I know
there's been some issue about whether or not the White Sands Beach actually becomes part of Berkeley Township or stays as Berkeley Township or becomes part of the Borough of Seaside Park with the de-annexation and annexation of South Seaside Park to the Borough of Seaside Park. And we don't fault -- you know, we just recognize or acknowledge the fact that there's a discussion there. But if, in fact, white Sands goes to Seaside Park Borough, essentially, the seniors in Berkeley Township that actually get access to that beach no charge are, in fact, going to have -- face a charge to, you know, to go access them in Seaside Park. Because, again, seaside Park would have to charge the same amount to the nonresidents as they would residents.

And, conversely, if, in fact, Berkeley Township retained the jurisdiction of the

White Sands Beach, then the residents of Seaside would be subject to those, the requirements in Berkeley Township. And whether or not there would be further charges or changes, we'd have to see what might transpire. But, in general, the beaches, and particularly for seniors, are more expensive.
one other difference and distinction
that I think is really important is that in Berkeley Township, seniors are defined as 62 and over. Whereas, in Seaside Park, it's 65 and over. so, that throws a, you know, portion of the senior population into the standard rates, rather than the senior rates. And, again, that would affect the residents of Berkeley Township, the senior residents of Berkeley Township, in particular, if they want to use the white sands Beach. So, essentially, they'11 be losing their complimentary beach access and the rates are going to be higher.

The one thing I do want to say is, even though, potentially, that the rates are going to be lower for -- if I -- if I'm living in Seaside Park and Berkeley Township retained the beach, the white Sands Beach, the residents of south Seaside Park would, in fact, lose the programmatic -- the input on the programmatic

1 activities that would take place or would be taking
2 place on the White Sands Beach. Now as residents of 3 Berkeley Township, they do have that input. So, 4 Berkeley Township would, in fact, retain the rights for programs.

The one thing I do want to say and
this got a lot of press over the course of the last weekend. And maybe we' 11 come back to it in just a moment in terms of looking at recreational
facilities. But there was a significant contention or significant testimony, contention that, well, look, guys, you know, even if you lose the White Sands Beach, you have the ability, you know, to $g o$ to Island Beach State Park. Naturally, Island Beach State Park, the rates to get onto Island Beach State Park are governed by the State. And they're by the automobile versus by the individual person. so, trying to make a comparison and evaluation in that in terms of monetary is one thing. And everybody else -- everybody, whether you're in Seaside Park or Berkeley Township, would be paying the same rates to get on Island Beach State Park. But, as we've seen, and as we might see very much in the future, given the annual budgeting issues that come, there is no guarantee that in any point in

1 time that -- for whatever reason, could be
2 budgetary, there could be other issues -- that beach
3 is closed and not made available to Berkeley
Township residents or anybody. And, therefore --
MR. WISER: Except the governor.
MR. SLACHETKA: I was not going to go
there.
MR. WISER: Sorry.
MR. SLACHETKA: I was not going to go
there.
But I think that's relatively
significant. And I think it's important to
understand that even though that the -- that Island
Beach State Park is in the jurisdiction of the township, the township does not control that. It's not a -- it's not under the township's control. What is under the township's control right now, from a programmatic perspective and from the standpoint of establishing rates and establishing access to its senior community, is the white Sands Beach.

In fact, I think there was a
newspaper, there was a photograph -- not the aerial
photograph you're thinking of -- but an aerial
photograph that showed, during the closure, the lack of people, with the exception of a few, on the

68
Island Beach State Park beaches and the packed 2 nature of the White Sand Beach, the amount of people that were there. I think that really just
underscores the important and irreplaceable, again, 5 resource that that beach represents to the residents 6 of Berkeley Township. And I think it's an important 7 factor for the township and planning board to consider.
(Off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: SO, the next -- the last thing I want to talk about in this context of this section of the report in that, talking about locational decision and talking about access to the beach. There was, in fact, a, also, a significant amount of testimony, lay testimony, with regards to the condition of the Barnegat Bay shoreline in South Seaside Park. And there was some specific complaints made with regards to the condition of that beach particularly between 20th and 24th Street west of Bayview Avenue. And there was a concern over the township's maintenance of that area or lack thereof.

First of which, what's important to understand is that that beach or that area is not on the -- what's called the recreation and open space

1 inventory, which is the catalog which is commonly 2 called a ROSI or some people at DEP call it the 3 ROSI, which basically is a catalog listing of all 4 the recreation and open space lands that a 5 municipality holds. That area, in fact, is not on 6 the township ROSI. So it's not a formal part of the 7 recreation and open space inventory of the 8 community. It's also not identified as such in the 9 municipality's master plan or open space or recreation plans.

There -- and it's essentially kind of a combination and there's a graphic, a tax, two tax maps that are listed in exhibits and that show the sort of combination of ownerships that that property that we would be commonly calling the, it's sort of the Bayview area, the Bayview beach area, whatever, along the -- along 24th Street. And, essentially, it's a combination of what's called privately owned riparian grants, grants to private property owners that extend out into the water.

There's tideland areas that are under state jurisdiction. And then there's a portion of the bay -- a portion of that is in the Bayview right-of-way, which is probably the only portion that's technically in control by the township. So,
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1 essentially, the township doesn't control that area.
2 A, it's not open space and recreation. And, B, it's
3 not really controlled by the township. Well,
4 despite that fact, the township was able to get
permission and undertook a shoreline stabilization
6 project for Bayview. And, in fact, actually, that,
7 you know, took place and there's been -- basically,
8 that -- that was -- that was done to ensure that the
Bayview Avenue was stabilized, that it also protecting from flood impacts as well.

So, there was activity there, but, again, it's not something that's under, typically under the jurisdiction or control of the township. And that would not change. That would not change if the South Seaside Park were annexed and made part of Seaside Park. Seaside Park would not have jurisdiction either, except to the extent that Berkeley Township currently has that ability to kind of do the shoreline -- do the maintenance work adjacent to the right-of-way.

Now, as I alluded to before -- and, again, this is kind of -- again, this is, all these 3 things are sort of rapped up in locational decisions 4 and looking at amenities and looking at things that 5 are available to a community. Again, there was a
significant discussion in testimony, both lay testimony and Mr. Bauman's testimony, with regards to the distance between South Seaside Park and the municipal building. The municipal building here and as well as other facilities. But the municipal building here was in constructed 1978, just before the adoption of the Pinelands Protection Act and also before the Pinelands Comprehensive Master Plan was enacted, which establishes the land use planning framework for the Pinelands area. And, as we know, Pinelands -- that plan puts pretty substantial and significant restrictions on development that is going to take place.

So, as a consequence of that and consequence of that act and the protection of an important natural resource -- nobody is denying that that is not important to protect -- the township's plan or anticipated plan in terms of looking at the community and trying to centrally locate its facilities went askew a bit. And askew being that the township is located -- the township facilities are located to the west of the actual population center of the community. However -- and we'll talk about this in greater detail when we get into the discussions of the benefits to the community and the
storm preparedness planning and the post Sandy 2 planning; there is actually a benefit that, in fact, 3 accrues to both the township as a whole and
south Seaside Park by having the community
facilities located where they're located.
First of which, they're outside of any flood hazard area. If you take a look at the mapping, the mapping that's provided in, again, in the appendix of the report, it's also substantially far away from any storm surge that was either experienced in superstorm Sandy or would be anticipated in storms of similar events. In fact, actually we've got some what's called SLOSH modeling which is a good acronym for it. Basically, it's an analysis and mapping of anticipated storm level, storm events, going from category one to category four, hurricane type events. And in any of those modelings, you can see where the extent of the potential storm surges would occur. And they're pretty substantial in a category three and category four. Most of those don't reach to the Route Nine area, so, and certainly don't reach anywhere near here. So, in terms of being able to respond to and to support a preparedness for 25 a storm event having the municipal facilities

1 held at the Toms River Municipal Complex and the
2 ocean County library, Toms River branch; municipal
3 court was located at the Toms River Municipal Court
4 building and zoning board of adjustment meetings
5 were held at the Ocean County library, Toms River
6 branch. A, quote, senior luncheon/town hall post
7 Sandy rebuilding meeting, unquote, was held on the
barrier island in Seaside Park on January 16, 2013,
however, this meeting took place at Saint Catharine of Siena church.

It's logical there on the --
seaside Park is on the barrier island and it was significantly impacted by Superstorm Sandy. And their municipal facilities were significantly impacted. Berkeley Township's municipal facilities are, in fact, in a relatively sustainable area of the township in terms of the potential impacts to such storms. And whether by design or by accident due to the quirk of sort of long range planning, fundamentally, it's a good location. It's a good place for these facilities because of that.

And we'll be talking a little bit
23 later about the GIS and the modeling work that the
24 township is now engaged in with the University of
25 Notre Dame, which is going to be focusing on not
only just storm surge, but also wind effects and other types of detail in terms of tracking storms in real time. To be able to have that resource and also to be able to tie that resource into being able to dispatch and to organize it in a place that is less impacted by potential events is an extreme benefit and a fundamental benefit to, not only to residents of the township as a whole but also specifically to the residents of South Seaside Park, which is in one of the more vulnerable areas of the community in terms of the impacts of storm
facilities. And a benefit, one of the key benefits, we'll be talking about that, potentially, the residents of South Seaside park can lose.

So, I'm at a point now where the next step is discussing planning efforts in Berkeley Township. I can continue on but do you want to --
(Recess was taken.)
MR. SLACHETKA: Actually, what I was
going -- I was looking for sort of a natural break point in terms of, given the time. And I think I found one. I'm going to -- I'm now into the section talking about the planning efforts in Berkeley Township. And that's the -- if you look at the
table of contents, that's in the -- starts the 2 second section. So, we've gone through the whole
first section which is Municipal and Community Overview.

So, I'll talk about the Recent
Planning History. And then I'll break there. And
then when we come back next meeting, start with the resiliency planning efforts. Because I think there's such a important fundamental linkage between that aspect to go into then the next sections. I think this would be a good break point, if that's okay with you guys.
okay. So, talking about planning 14 efforts in Berkeley Township. And most of you, at least in one way, shape or form or the other, have been involved in those efforts. And, as I mentioned, our review of these starts back in the 1997 Comprehensive Master Plan. That's the last full comprehensive Master Plan that was prepared. And, essentially, over the course of the last two decades, the township and this board have been engaged in a substantial amount of comprehensive planning for the community addressing a whole wide variety of different issues, issues that affect the township overall and also issues that affect
specific neighborhoods or areas or sections of the township.
so, the township's planning has been comprehensive. And it's been ongoing and continues to go on as the community evolves and moves forward. And since 1997, since the ' 97 Master Plan, you did three separate master plan reexaminations. There were two redevelopment plans. The Town Center Plan, which we talked about an amendment earlier in this meeting, and the Manitou Park Plan, which we also talked about as part of the application you heard earlier.

There is a pretty extensive planning that took place as part of the Transfer Development Rights Program that was established here in the township. And, as I mentioned before, the TDR being a mechanism to transfer development potential away from environmentally sensitive lands into areas where there's existing or plan for infrastructure and where growth is projected to take place. But that included, you know, crafting a TDR plan element, updating the utility plan element for the municipality, as well as a capital improvement plan, a real estate market analysis that was prepared as part of that. And that TDR effort, and we'll talk
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1 about in a moment, that TDR effort was sort of 2 embedded and incorporated into and part of a more 3 comprehensive effort by the township to obtain plan 4 endorsement from the State Planning Commission 5 certifying that your comprehensive planning 6 documents and your zoning was consistent with sound, statewide policies.

There were multiple housing elements and fair share plans addressing your affordable housing obligation. And $I^{\prime} l l$ be -- and my testimony today will be concluding on the most recent version of that, the 2017 housing element. And, as I said -- actually, before I get into the next one, what's important, too, for the township to know and for the residents of Berkeley Township to understand is, a lot of these planning efforts, and especially the most recent ones, with post sandy planning projects and reports and plans, much of this was funded through grants that the township obtained through the State or from other sources, including the TDR was, transfer development rights, was a -was funded by a state grant. And the township received close to a half a million dollars in post 24 sandy planning assistance grant money that funded a 25 whole variety of different plans, including both

2 the recent neighborhood plan that was adopted today
by resolution by the planning board for the South Seaside Park neighborhood, as well as the coastal neighborhood plans.
of all your comprehensive planning efforts and what
kind of intertwines them all together and kind of
creates a sort of framework for planning and moving
forward, including planning for the south seaside
Park neighborhood as part of that, is your plan endorsement. And the recognition and the things that you needed to do to prepare for and get approval from the state that your plans were consistent with state and regional planning policies. And the -- associated with that was what's called a CAFRA consistency statement and acknowledgment by the DEP that your plans also were consistent with the coastal planning framework as embodied in the Coastal Area Facility Review Act, CAFRA regulations. And then embodied even within that, listed within that, is your 2020 vision plan, which was done in 2003, which formed a foundation for further planning.
your recent master plan reexamination report, and

Probably the most significant aspect

As we'll talk about, and especially

## 80

1 when we get into resiliency planning, and we talk
about planning for South Seaside Park specifically,
3 this is a continuum. It's not just a one off on any
4 one particular plan. But each plan that you've
done, and each step that you've taken as a community
6 to plan for your community in a comprehensive way,
7 builds upon what you've done before and creates a
foundation for you moving forward and taking
actions. And we'll see that very specifically as it relates to South Seaside Park.
so, I think that it's important for the board to understand, and I think residents of
South Seaside Park, as well as the township as a whole, that how this is all intertwined, how this becomes -- is evolutionary, how it's a continuum, how it creates a foundation for taking one step and one action after the other to provide a comprehensive and a very sound planning foundation for your community.

So, going -- and I'll go through the earlier plans a little bit more quickly. Then we'll get -- when I get into some of the later ones, we'll get into more detail. But, again, the last full comprehensive plan that you did was your 1997 Master Plan. And as part of that, there was also a visual

10
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12
13
14

1 where we identified the development of the new town 2 center.

4 and, again, set the foundation for the zoning within
5 the township at the time as a master plan would, in
6 relationship to the zoning ordinance pursuant to the
7 requirements of the Municipal Land Use Law.
So, I did mention that the 2020
9 vision statement that was undertaken as a foundation
resource analysis that was done. Interestingly enough, South Seaside Park scored the lowest. And I don't know the reason why, because we weren't involved with that. But they did, in fact, score the lowest in terms of overall looking at the visual representation or visual impact or view of developments. And some of the work, some of the recommendations out of the ' 97 plan was in response to that, in trying to kind of create, you know, better communities within the township. But as most comprehensive master plans do, you had the land use plan element and you had the other components that establish a foundation for zoning moving forward.

The -- we're looking at the section
of the report. Just give me one second. Some of the other things that were in that plan were that the plan outlined goals and objectives that would improve property maintenance regulations, extend township utilities into areas not presently served, at that time, to reduce the use of septic systems and private water wells, rehabilitate older housing stock, promote affordable housing opportunities, ensure attractive retail and office development
along the 166 and Nine corridors, as well as development, and that was one of the first places
and a requirement of the plan endorsement for the
township pursuant to the State Planning Commission requirements for an application for plan endorsement. That, in 2003, you did your first reexamination, it was six years after the 1997 plan. And in 2003, you also did that 2020 vision statement. And the 2003 reexamination basically reaffirmed the various goals and objectives and the proposed planning framework that was set forth in the 1997 plan. And it also began to focus on areas of growth and potential preservation. And, again, one of the things that's important from the township's perspective, and what we talk about even to this day is, we need opportunities for growth and development, the improvement -- the increasing ratables within the township. But also, as I
mentioned earlier, the role of the township in terms
of the stewardship of the environmentally sensitive lands and natural resources within the area. Within, whether it's within the Pinelands area, whether we talked about TDR preserving areas within Pinewald and other portions of the township through the Transfer Development Rights program, whether we looked at areas that are impacted by storms that might be in flood hazard areas. All those things are -- have been a consistent and constant theme in terms of creating that kind of balance. And intertwined within all that is looking for opportunities for redevelopment and also opportunities to protect and enhance existing neighborhoods within the township. Again, using the neighborhood framework as being an important identification and defining aspect of the township. So, that balancing, that looking at areas for growth, looking at areas of conservation, looking at areas of preservation sort of intertwine the whole planning effort you've had. so, in that context, the reexamination report, in fact, recommended substantial portions of the township to be in a public preservation, conservation or conservation recreation zones. And, again -- again,
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looking at the environmental stewardship, that was a
result of the evaluation of the importance of those
3 areas, not only just in terms of sensitivity, but
4 protecting the Barnegat Bay ecosystem and the water
quality in that ecosystem. And this, these efforts
6 to preserve and protect Barnegat Bay, while still
providing growth opportunities for the township,
8 ultimately reaches benefits or provides benefits for
9 the community as a whole, township wide, region wide
and then -- and specifically south Seaside Park,
which is part of the Barnegat Bay ecosystem. So,
the quality and the benefits and planning that takes
place on the mainland is as important to
south Seaside Park as any other planning approach that the township is taking.
so, moving on from there -- and I
should just sort of mention very quickly. The
vision plan, again, was required pursuant to the
plan endorsement and also talked about the concept
of concentrating development in centers. There was
a lot of public input in that process and
establishing that framework, that framework of balancing preservation with providing areas, center based development consistent with the state plan, was the outcome of that 2020 vision statement. And
before the State planning commission and review of the planning documents by state agencies, including
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the Department of Environmental Protection and the 2 office of -- I think it was office of Smart Growth 3 at the time, now it's office of Planning Advocacy, 4 and other agencies and entities, including DOT.

As part of that ongoing process, we
6 then had the 2008 reexamination report which
7 reiterates the vision that was enunciated in the
82020 vision statement and then kind of translates
that vision into actual real land use policies that
could be the foundation of zoning within the
municipality. Again, with the emphasis on
preservation of open space, improve roadway connections.

Manitou Park redevelopment, the
Manitou Park being designated as an area in need of rehabilitation was identified there. And
significantly in the 2008 reexamination report, they recommended a land use study for South Seaside Park. Again, we're talking about an evolutionary movement forward in a variety of different things.

Then, as a outcome of that
reexamination report or in conjunction with that reexamination report, there was a 2008 land use plan and circulation elements. And it's, again, primarily as part of the implementation of the 2020
vision plan through specific zoning changes that were being recommended. And, again, this is all going into the context of plan endorsement, and all going into time where the TDR idea and concept is also evolving as well.

And then with respect to South
Seaside Park, the recommendation, it was to study
the neighborhood's land uses in relation to zoning
and develop recommendations to improve Central
Avenue. So, South Seaside Park is, again, specifically mentioned in that plan document. so, again, this is, the planning you're doing and the planning that's happening even to this date, is comprehensive and community wide and addressing all areas.

And then, in 2012, the township did, in fact, receive its plan endorsement from the State Planning Commission. Then shortly thereafter adopted the TDR plan element and the TDR ordinance and other components of that. And they -- idea of the plan endorsement, there's -- I won't get into a lot of details in terms of the goals and objectives here. They're spelled out in the report. But, essentially, the township was determined and all its comprehensive planning documents were determined,
and its land use policy for the entire township was
2 determined to be consistent with sound state and
3 regional planning objectives. And, again, the
4 fundamental component of all of that is the efforts
to preserve and protect the ecosystem associated
6 with Barnegat Bay. And I mentioned we had the TDR
transfer element, the real estate market analysis, the utility services plan and the capital
improvement plan, which were also adopted. so, then, the last thing that I
wanted to talk about before we take our break, our merciful break, is, before getting into the resiliency planning the next time we get together, is the township's housing element and fair share plan. And we do note that in Mr. Bauman's testimony and in his report, he was very critical of the township's affordable housing planning efforts and specifically very critical in connection with what the township may or may not have done in terms of trying to locate affordable housing in
South Seaside Park, fundamentally, I think, you
know, misses the point. But, in fairness, you know,
he did, at the time he was writing his report, all
24 we had in place was the 2008 housing element and
25 fair share plan, which was submitted -- had been

1 ysubmitted to COAH for review. But, ultimately, you
2 know, as you are aware, Council on Affordable
3 Housing and their regulations were the subject of 4 extensive litigation, a variety of different court 5 decisions and, ultimately, significant portions of 6 their regulations were overturned. So, there was a
7 whole period of time that the township, along with
8 other municipalities in the State of New Jersey, was
9 trying to figure out what was going to be happening
with those regulations and the impact on the
township and the township's affordable housing obligation.

Ultimately, the Supreme Court
determined that the appropriate venue that -- they
determined that COAH, the State agency that was regulating this process, was not doing their job. and so, therefore, they threw the whole process into the courts. I don't have to get into all the details about why that happened, but what's more -most important is the ultimate outcome of that for the township and what this means in terms of planning and in terms of planning not only for the township but in South Seaside Park as well.

The 2017 housing plan element, and you also made some recommendations tonight about

1 some ordinances that were designed to implement 2 components of that, the 2017 housing plan element is 3 the -- was the subject of a settlement agreement 4 between the Fair Share Housing Center, which is the 5 advocacy organization that is basically in 6 litigation with a whole variety of municipalities, including -- it was including Berkeley Township.

Berkeley filed a declaratory judgment request or action, which, essentially, asked the court to approve its plan. And so, as part of that, we negotiated with Fair share Housing Center. And as part of that negotiation, the ultimate outcome was a recognition that the township, A, had an ongoing rehabilitation obligation, which met that part of township's need, rehabilitation obligation. Two, the township fully satisfied, based on activities that took place and based on housing that was produced within the township, for both affordable housing and housing that wasn't defined as affordable, but, essentially, because of its affordability, was, in fact, creditworthy. That's housing, what we call development without controls. Again, not getting into details, but essentially, fundamentally, the township satisfied, the court determined, and Fair share Housing Center agreed,
the township fully satisfied its prior round obligations. And then, as part of the settlement with the township, the Fair share Housing Center -and, again, recognizing the ongoing activity the township was doing in providing affordable housing in a variety of different places, in places like Manitou Park, that the township's actual third round obligation or obligation moving forward between 20 -- what is it -- 2015 to 2020. Actually, no. 1999 to 2025. What's called the prospect to be. 1999 to 2025. That obligation was zero.

Now, part of the responsibility the township had in the negotiation and ultimate settlement agreement was to then put in place ordinances that, despite its, you know, that it satisfied its obligation, that it actually had provided more affordable housing than, actually, its obligation both from the second round and prior round with all the activity that was taking place. It did agree for the agreement by the Fair share Housing Center that our obligation was zero and we didn't need to do any further negotiations on that. Agreed to put in place an ordinance which establishes a requirement that any multifamily residential housing that was provided for in

Berkeley Township, would be required to have a set 2 aside for affordable housing or a percentage of 3 those units. And by multifamily, we're saying any 4 multifamily housing that is five units or more and 5 has a density of six units, or dwelling units, or 6 more. And that if it's rental, you'd have a 15 percent set aside requirement. And if they were for sale units, they'd be at 20 percent. And you adopted that recently, that housing element which implements and basically encapsulates that settlement agreement.
and so, therefore, now, there is a ordinance which we commonly call the point system ordinance which establishes that requirement. So, if there's a zoning board action that creates multifamily housing, if it's currently zoned for multifamily housing, if it creates or if there's a redevelopment plan that creates multifamily housing or mixed use development, whatever that might be with the multi -- a multifamily development as component of that, there is the affordable housing obligation. That is township wide. It's everyplace. It's in South Seaside Park. It's everywhere.

Now, the only part of that that

1 creates an analysis that's necessary is that, of a
part of, depending upon the location of the
3 development and its proximity to community
facilities and transportation network and some other factors that we've developed, that there is an 6 opportunity for that portion of that housing to be
7 provided on-site or through a payment, what's called
8 a payment in lieu of construction, which means they
9 get a -- the township gets a payment, and that we
10 have -- we create that housing in an appropriate
location, that affordable housing in an appropriate
location based on planning -- based on planning criteria.

That very much, you know, for the most part, that's not really affecting anything other than the fact that it depends upon, you know, it affects how much is constructed on-site versus how much is done through a payment in lieu of construction. And that is consistent. And that applies to all multifamily residential development within the township.

Now, despite Mr. Bauman's contention
that there was not an intent to provide affordable
housing, that has to be taken in the place of the
context. And, again, this is -- this occurred after
be a commensurate requirement for affordable
housing. And that's consistent. That's --

1 he made this testimony and prepared his report of
2 all the planning that was taking place in
3 South Seaside Park in terms of the creation of the neighborhood plan. And we heard a very clear
message from the community, that they wanted to take
any, or limit very substantially any opportunities
for multifamily residential development within the
confines of their neighborhood. And that the plan
itself establishes dominant development form within
that area is, as being single family detached, with
the exception of a couple small areas like where the
business -- where mixed use is provided in the
business zone in the -- in South Seaside Park.
so, essentially, really, you can't
have it both ways. I mean, if you want affordable
housing, the way that affordable housing is
delivered under the provisions of the ordinance, and
not only that, but also pretty much common, is
through a multifamily residential housing form.
so, we provide those opportunities.
and if, at any point in time, a multifamily
development occurs in South Seaside Park or anywhere
3 else in the township, they are -- there's going to
everybody gets, you know, treated the same way. And
those opportunities are there if, in fact, the
community determines that multifamily residential is
an appropriate vehicle to provide that.
So, I think that might be a good
place to stop. And then we go on to resiliency next time.

MR. MICHELINI: I assume the planner
will be here in the next meeting, right, to
continue? There's not going to be any breaks, right?

MR. WINwARD: And I think at this
rate, the meeting after that, too.
MR. MICHELINI: Well, that will begin
my cross-examination.
MR. WINwARD: okay. Well, thank you
so much for that testimony.
At this time, I'd like to have a
motion to adjourn the meeting.
DR. HUDAK: Motion.
MR. MACKRES: Second.
MR. WINWARD: All in favor.
ALL: Aye.
(Meeting adjourned.)

Dated: July 30, 2017
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| 33/19 35/22 41/7 41/11 | 18/14 25/9 34/24 34/25 | 21/18 21/25 22/24 23/3 |
| 41/14 44/24 48/1 51/5 | 42/7 49/23 49/24 72/15 | 23/9 24/17 24/22 24/23 |
| 51/15 57/21 59/15 59/23 | 77/24 81/1 88/7 93/1 | 27/11 27/12 27/22 27/22 |
| 60/20 62/13 63/4 63/10 | analyze [2] 9/3 19/5 | 27/23 $28 / 11 \quad 28 / 14 \quad 28 / 15$ |
| 63/15 64/21 65/13 68/4 | analyzing [2] 11/10 11/10 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 28 / 24 & 29 / 17 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 20\end{array}$ |
| 70/12 70/22 70/22 70/25 | annex [2] 34/8 36/5 | 35/14 35/16 39/3 39/15 |
| $72 / 880 / 2382 / 4 \quad 82 / 20$ | annexation [33] $1 / 5$ 4/6 | 39/23 40/18 49/9 50/15 |
| 83/15 83/25 83/25 84/18 | 7/24 8/12 8/12 8/15 10/3 | 56/22 57/9 69/21 73/1 |
| 86/11 86/19 86/24 87/2 |  | 75/10 77/1 77/18 81/19 |
| 87/10 87/12 88/3 90/23 | 12/20 12/23 12/24 13/2 | 82/19 83/5 83/8 83/9 83/19 |
| 91/4 93/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 9 & 15 / 2 & 15 / 3 & 17 / 9 & 18 / 16\end{array}$ | 83/19 83/20 84/3 84/23 |
| against [1] 10/23 | 25/24 30/6 37/21 42/8 | 87/15 94/11 |
| age [6] 45/25 45/25 46/3 | 42/15 42/18 $43 / 21$ 46/24 | argue [1] 42/25 |
| 58/12 58/12 63/17 | 49/1 60/18 64/13 64/13 | arguments [1] 9/14 |
| agencies [2] 85/25 86/4 | annexations [2] 30/23 | around [2] 21/6 40/9 |
| agency [2] 24/6 89/15 | 30/24 | as [191] |
| agenda [1] 4/4 | annexed [1] 70/15 | Asbury [1] 33 |
| ago [1] 28/3 | annual [1] 66/24 | aside [2] 92/2 92/7 |
| agree [1] 91/20 | another [3] 33/4 33/9 | ask [1] $4 / 9$ |
| agreed [2] 90/25 | 35/22 | asked [2] 10/2 90/9 |
| agreement [4] 90/3 91/14 | answer [2] | askew [2] 71/20 |
| 91/20 92/11 | thony's [1] 33/2 | aspect [6] 48/2 55/3 56/1 |
| agricultural [1] 54/7 | anticipated [4] 21/17 | 76/10 79/6 83/17 |
| aligned [1] 16/3 | 71/18 72/12 72/16 | aspects [3] 25/22 36/20 |
| a11 [56] 4/22 4/23 5/23 | any [24] 7/13 9/20 10/18 | 50/18 |
| $5 / 24 \quad 6 / 11.8 / 3 \quad 8 / 19 ~ 11 / 16$ | 10/19 15/25 35/18 42/1 | assets [1] 25/3 |
| 12/12 $13 / 514 / 7{ }^{19 / 16}$ | 42/2 56/1 61/22 62/12 | assistance [3] 17/14 17/15 |
| 20/22 $21 / 14$ 22/18 $25 / 6$ | 66/25 72/7 72/10 72/18 | 78/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 13 & 26 / 14 & 28 / 21 & 32 / 18\end{array}$ | 80/3 84/14 91/22 91/24 | associated [6] 8/4 22/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 19 & 33 / 18 & 33 / 23 & 35 / 5\end{array}$ | 92/3 $94 / 6$ 94/6 $94 / 21 \quad 95 / 10$ | 27/17 32/2 79/16 88/5 |
| 37/16 $37 / 18$ 41/15 41/20 | anybody [2] 62/3 67/4 | ASSOCIATES [3] 1/23 7/9 |
| 41/25 43/6 43/13 53/22 | anything [6] 7/14 14/13 | 7/10 |
| 54/11 56/10 58/14 58/21 | 15/6 38/2 55/25 93/15 | ASSOCIATION [4] 1/5 4/6 |
| 69/3 70/22 79/7 79/8 80/14 | anyway [1] 8/7 | 6/5 10/1 |
| 83/9 83/12 85/23 87/2 87/3 | anywhere [2] 72/23 94/22 | assume [1] 95/8 |
| 87/14 87/24 88/4 88/23 | apartments [3] 5/15 51/17 | Atlantic [6] 19/16 19/18 |
| 89/18 91/19 93/20 94/2 | 59/7 | 19/23 $21 / 5 \quad 30 / 11 \quad 53 / 8$ |
| 95/22 95/23 | apologies [1] 63 | Atlantic Ocean [1] 53/8 |
| Allen [1] 73/21 | apologize [4] 9/6 9/15 | attachments [1] |
| Allen Street [1] 73/21 | 11/13 18/25 | Attorney [1] 7/15 |
| allow [1] 13/23 | appearance [1] | Attorneys [2] 2/5 2/8 |
| alluded [1] 70/21 | APPEARANCES [1] 2/2 | attractive [1] 81/23 |
| along [6] 24/22 53/10 | appearing [1] 48/9 | audience [1] 61/2 |
| 69/17 69/17 81/24 89/7 | appendix [1] 72/9 | audio [1] 26/14 |
| also [46] 2/11 11/1 15/19 | application [6] 10/21 | authority [1] 59/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 23 & 20 / 12 & 22 / 6 & 26 / 7\end{array}$ | 10/22 22/4 77/11 82/12 | automobile [1] 66/17 |
| 27/16 28/2 $28 / 15$ 31/11 | 85/23 | availability [1] 58/5 |
| 33/7 35/21 40/15 47/2 49/5 | applications [1] 11/6 | available [8] 53/17 57/2 |
| 49/9 49/11 $50 / 15$ 53/12 | applies [1] 93/20 | 57/6 58/18 59/20 64/7 67/3 |
| 56/6 59/21 60/2 60/12 63/9 | appointed [1] 7/10 | 70/25 |
| 68/14 69/8 70/9 71/8 72/9 | approach [1] 84/14 | Avenue [5] 59/25 68/20 |
| 75/1 75/4 75/8 76/25 77/10 | appropriate [9] $10 / 15$ 11/7 | 70/9 73/17 87/10 |
| 79/18 80/25 82/15 82/19 | 24/18 26/9 36/4 89/14 | average [1] 48/7 |
| 82/25 83/13 84/19 87/5 | 93/10 93/11 95/4 | aware [3] 10/7 54/9 89/2 |
| 88/9 89/25 94/18 | appropriateness [1] 25/24 | away [2] 72/10 77/17 |
| alter [2] 40/13 40/15 | val [1] 79/14 | Aye [1] 95/23 |

back [9] 17/3 18/7 32/4 38/3 41/14 63/15 66/8 76/7 76/17
bad [1] 26/14
badge [16] 61/5 61/8 61/10
61/10 61/11 61/14 61/15
61/15 61/21 62/10 62/11
62/25 63/8 63/11 64/4 64/6 badges [1] 61/13
balance [5] 26/9 54/16 54/16 54/17 83/11
balanced [1] 85/12
balancing [6] 20/5 28/16
37/20 52/21 83/18 84/23
Barnegat [9] 19/23 20/1 20/12 68/16 84/4 84/6 84/11 85/19 88/6
barrier [8] 21/13 27/13 27/14 29/10 29/12 29/17 74/8 74/12
barriers [1] 39/5
based [12] 22/13 23/21 44/3 44/24 45/10 51/21 55/14 84/24 90/16 90/17 93/12 93/12
basically [14] 4/16 5/6 21/6 23/25 29/8 34/11 42/14 63/6 69/3 70/7 72/15 82/16 90/5 92/10
basis [3] 7/11 12/17 61/24
Basketba11 [1] 60/2
Bauman [1] 8/25
Bauman's [3] 71/2 88/15 93/22
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { bay } & \text { [12] } & 19 / 23 & 20 / 1 \\ 20 / 12\end{array}$ 21/5 59/7 68/16 69/23 84/4 84/6 84/11 85/19 88/6 bayfront [2] $19 / 21 \quad 53 / 10$
Bayshore [1] 19/20
Bayview [6] 68/20 69/16 69/16 69/23 70/6 70/9
Bayview Avenue [2] 68/20 70/9
Bayville [5] 1/8 34/2 34/2 41/17 41/17
be [99]
beach [35] 19/19 27/16 30/25 41/19 59/25 60/9 61/5 61/8 61/23 62/24 62/25 64/8 64/10 64/19 65/1 65/16 65/17 65/23 65/23 66/2 66/13 66/14 66/15 66/15 66/22 67/2 67/14 67/20 68/1 68/2 68/5 68/14 68/19 68/24 69/16 beaches [2] 65/5 68/1
beachfront [1] 47/11
Beaverson [1] 2/7
became [2] 44/16 44/17 because [22] $10 / 16 \quad 12 / 18$ 22/10 $25 / 19 \quad 28 / 10 \quad 30 / 18$ $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 9 & 33 / 14 & 36 / 15 & 38 / 2 & 40 / 1\end{array}$ 52/25 53/21 57/14 60/10 64/21 73/6 73/14 74/21 76/8 81/3 90/20
becomes [3] 64/10 64/12 80/15
been [23] 7/4 10/2 15/17 $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 21 & 21 / 3 & 21 / 7 & 24 / 17 & 28 / 9\end{array}$ 28/17 29/5 30/21 30/23

39/9 $56 / 4 \quad 59 / 15 \quad 64 / 9 \quad 70 / 7$ 76/16 76/21 77/3 77/4 83/10 88/25
before [24] $4 / 6$ 9/25 $10 / 8$ $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 14 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 17 & 34 / 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}139 / 18 & 41 / 23 & 50 / 3 & 50 / 3 & 58 / 2\end{array}$
58/10 63/18 70/21 71/6
71/8 77/16 78/13 80/7
85/24 88/11 88/12 96/8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { began [1] } & 82 / 19 \\ \text { begin [1] } & 95 / 14\end{array}$
beginning [3] 9/7 16/5 38/20
behalf [1] 6/4
being [26] 11/23 $12 / 5$ 12/9
12/21 14/21 17/17 19/6
19/6 24/17 $37 / 19$ 40/14
42/19 44/8 44/9 47/16
49/16 50/19 53/14 71/20
72/23 75/4 77/16 83/16
86/15 87/2 94/10
believe [3] $4 / 12 \quad 5 / 4 \quad 5 / 11$ Be11 [1] 1/13
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { benefit [5] } & 72 / 2 & 75 / 7 \\ 75 / 7\end{array}$ 75/12 85/20
benefits [6] 52/14 71/25
75/12 84/8 84/8 84/12
Bergen [1] 31/3
BERKELEY [87] $1 / 110 / 4$ $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 4 & 14 / 22 & 15 / 13 & 16 / 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 24 & 17 / 8 & 19 / 13 & 20 / 16\end{array}$
22/2 27/10 $34 / 1 \quad 35 / 15$ $36 / 22 \quad 36 / 23 \quad 36 / 2437 / 2$ $\begin{array}{llll}37 / 24 & 37 / 24 & 39 / 22 & 41 / 16\end{array}$ 41/19 42/1 42/11 43/24 $45 / 245 / 345 / 645 / 1146 / 22$
47/17 48/13 $53 / 7$ 53/16 54/23 54/24 55/8 55/12 55/15 $55 / 22 \quad 56 / 25 \quad 57 / 6$
59/1 60/10 60/18 61/5
61/13 61/21 62/2 62/3 62/4
62/17 62/22 62/24 63/3
63/8 63/11 63/16 63/19
63/23 63/25 64/4 64/11
64/11 64/18 64/25 65/3
65/9 65/14 65/15 65/22
66/3 66/4 66/21 67/3 68/6
70/18 73/5 74/15 75/17
75/24 76/14 78/15 90/7
90/8 92/1
Berkeley Township [56] $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 4 & 14 / 22 & 16 / 22 & 17 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 13 & 20 / 16 & 27 / 10 & 34 / 1\end{array}$ 35/15 36/22 $36 / 23 \quad 36 / 24$
37/2 37/24 39/22 41/16
42/1 45/2 46/22 53/7 54/23
54/24 55/12 $56 / 25$ 60/10
60/18 61/5 61/13 61/21
62/2 62/17 62/22 62/24
63/3 63/8 63/16 63/19
63/23 63/25 $64 / 11 \quad 64 / 11$
64/18 64/25 65/3 65/9
65/14 65/22 66/3 66/4 68/6
70/18 73/5 75/17 78/15
90/7 92/1
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { better [4] } & 9 / 3 & 26 / 12 & 46 / 12\end{array}$ 81/10
between [13] 30/19 44/6 45/18 $\quad 50 / 8 \quad 51 / 23 \quad 60 / 9 \quad 61 / 5$ 62/6 68/19 71/3 76/9 90/4 91/8
beyond [1] 39/16
big [4] 4/17 27/11 35/10
bind [1] $42 / 4$
bit [17] 16/13 16/19 16/25 18/20 19/6 19/25 25/7
25/22 43/16 49/19 50/17 51/1 58/2 60/20 71/20
74/22 80/21
blocks [1] 35/8
Blvd [1] 2/7
$\begin{array}{llll}b \\ \text { board } & {[36]} & 1 / 1 & 2 / 5 \\ 7 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 17 & 9 / 12 & 9 / 17 & 9 / 25 & 10 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 15 & 11 / 18 & 13 / 14 & 14 / 13\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}14 / 15 & 14 / 17 & 17 / 6 & 18 / 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 11 & 23 / 22 & 33 / 21 & 37 / 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 25 & 39 / 14 & 42 / 9 & 43 / 18\end{array}$
48/3 53/21 57/23 57/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}60 / 15 & 68 / 7 & 73 / 25 & 74 / 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}76 / 21 & 79 / 3 & 80 / 12 & 92 / 15\end{array}$
bodies [1] 39/7
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { body [7] } & 10 / 12 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 4\end{array}$
12/16 22/9 29/9 30/19
book [1] 38/22
borough [6] 61/17 64/12
64/14 64/17 73/20 73/21
borough's [1] 73/15
boroughs [1] 51/19
both [10] 11/4 14/17 25/25
28/14 71/1 72/3 78/25
90/18 91/18 94/15
bottom [3] 38/10 38/12 54/15
boundaries [8] 16/8 39/5 39/16 40/13 40/23 56/18 57/6 57/14
boundary [5] 19/14 19/16 30/22 40/16 57/7
branch [3] 73/24 74/2 74/6
break [5] 75/21 76/6 76/11 88/11 88/12
breaks [1] 95/10
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Brick [2] } & 2 / 7 / 10 / 16\end{array}$
Bridge [1] 33/7
brief [1] 4/12
bringing [1] 8/19
broader [3] 25/14 27/10 27/12
broadly [1] 27/21
budgetary [1] 67/2
budgeting [1] 66/24
build [1] 41/12
building [9] 28/1 32/3 50/14 50/19 71/4 71/4 71/6 73/25 74/4
buildings [1] 47/14
builds [1] 80/7
built [1] 41/13
burden [1] 11/24
bus [5] 58/17 58/20 58/24 59/19 60/5
buses [1] 58/18
business [4] 5 5/13 73/17
94/12 94/13
bussing [1] 59/9
Byram [1] 31/2
C
CAFRA [4] 23/5 34/17 79/17
79/21
call [7] 14/23 18/21 31/21
52/25 69/2 90/22 92/13
Callahan [1] 1/14

| C | 39/4 48/5 49/14 <br> haracterized [3] 47/19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ca11ed [13] 5/12 31/20 | 48/15 48/20 | 58/24 59/7 81/10 |
| 32/10 $33 / 8$ 38/21 $64 / 4$ | charge [19] 61/20 61/24 | community [76] 10/4 10/23 |
| 68/25 69/2 69/18 72/14 | 61/25 62/14 62/18 62/19 | $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 2 & 12 / 25 & 16 / 6 & 18 / 4 & 18 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 79/17 91/10 93/7 | 62/19 62/25 63/12 63/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 12 & 20 / 19 & 22 / 4 & 24 / 12\end{array}$ |
| calling [2] 18/10 69/15 | 63/16 63/20 63/21 63/25 | 24/19 27/8 $28 / 20 \quad 32 / 20$ |
| can [26] 4/9 9/11 11/17 | 64/1 64/5 64/19 64/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 9 & 33 / 15 & 34 / 8 & 35 / 6 & 37 / 12\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 15 & 21 / 16 & 23 / 3 & 27 / 3 & 31 / 4\end{array}$ | 64/22 | 37/15 38/24 39/1 39/21 |
| 31/12 $31 / 13$ 33/22 35/1 | charged [2] 62/11 62/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 40 / 6 & 40 / 12 & 41 / 6 & 42 / 4 & 42 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 18 & 39 / 8 & 40 / 11 & 40 / 12\end{array}$ | charges [1] 65/4 | 42/21 43/8 43/22 44/4 |
| 51/10 $52 / 9$ 53/7 55/18 | chart [1] 62/5 | 44/25 46/15 47/10 47/11 |
| 61/23 62/23 72/18 75/14 | CHERKOS [1] 2/3 | 47/23 48/4 48/10 49/14 |
| 75/17 85/1 | children [7] 61/15 62/19 | 50/9 50/21 50/22 51/13 |
| can't [1] 94/14 | 62/19 62/21 63/15 63/21 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}54 / 1 & 55 / 1 & 55 / 16 & 56 / 3 & 56 / 5\end{array}$ |
| cannot [3] 15/17 43/10 | 64/1 | $56 / 17 \quad 56 / 19 \quad 57 / 8 \quad 58 / 14$ |
| 55/13 | choice [1] 51/10 | 60/13 67/20 69/8 70/25 |
| capable [1] 11/10 | choices [1] 56/14 | 71/19 71/23 71/25 72/4 |
| capacity [1] 58/5 | church [3] 32/2 33/2 74/10 | 75/11 76/3 76/23 77/5 80/5 |
| Cape [1] 30/10 | circulation [1] 86/24 | 80/6 80/19 84/9 85/16 |
| capital [2] 77/23 88/8 | circumstance [4] 29/13 | 85/17 87/14 $93 / 3$ 94/5 95/3 |
| carefu1 [2] 34/4 35/17 | 29/14 30/1 30/1 | community's [1] 59/18 |
| cars [1] 57/12 | circumstances [1] 29/22 | compare [2] 45/1 45/15 |
| Carteret [5] 39/19 39/24 | cited [1] 63/18 | compares [1] 60/9 |
| 39/25 40/4 40/5 | cites [1] 57/20 | comparison [9] 46/2 46/15 |
| case [4] 6/8 8/21 10/7 | citizen [1] 28/6 | 46/21 47/4 47/7 51/18 61/4 |
| 12/7 | City [8] 33/13 41/22 51/9 | 61/16 66/18 |
| cases [1] 47/12 | 51/11 52/1 58/25 59/1 59/1 | compensated [1] 49/6 |
| catalog [2] 69/1 69/3 | clarification [4] 6/7 9/21 | complaints [1] 68/18 |
| categories [1] 63/18 | 14/14 27/2 | complete [2] 46/25 85/7 |
| categorized [1] 20/24 | classic [1] 51/8 | Complex [2] 73/23 74/1 |
| category [4] 72/17 72/17 | clear [1] 94/4 | complexes [1] 58/17 |
| 72/20 72/21 | clearer [1] 48/22 | complimentary [1] 65/17 |
| Catharine [1] 74/ | clearly [4] 9/10 50/23 | component [4] 10/17 14/21 |
| cause [2] 12/8 13/3 | 52/13 64/7 | 88/4 92/21 |
| caveat [1] 64/3 | climate [1] 25/17 | components [6] 11/15 12/14 |
| Cedar [1] 41/19 | close [4] 26/7 55/1 73/6 | 40/3 81/12 87/20 90/2 |
| census [7] 35/8 43/24 | 78/23 | comprehend [1] 9/18 |
| 43/25 44/5 44/6 44/14 | closed [1] 67/3 | comprehensive [27] 8/18 |
| 44/15 | closely [1] 18/6 | 9/2 10/25 16/22 20/15 |
| center [18] 24/19 24/20 | closure [1] 67/24 | 20/19 24/2 $26 / 25 \quad 28 / 19$ |
| 50/21 50/22 56/11 58/21 | COAH [2] 89/1 89/15 | 28/22 37/15 37/18 71/8 |
| 59/4 71/23 73/7 77/8 82/2 | coasta [7] 17/21 23/6 | 76/18 76/19 76/22 77/4 |
| 84/23 $85 / 5$ 90/4 $90 / 11$ | 23/9 $33 / 9$ 79/5 $79 / 19$ 79/20 | 78/3 $78 / 5$ 79/7 $80 / 6$ 80/18 |
| 90/25 91/3 91/21 | code [2] 34/21 35/14 | 80/24 81/11 85/8 87/14 |
| centers [2] 84/20 85/6 | codes [4] 35/9 35/10 35/11 | 87/25 |
| Central [1] 87/9 | 35/11 | comprehensively [2] 9/11 |
| centrally [2] 50/19 71/19 | collection [2] 37/3 42/5 | 29/2 |
| century [1] 21/8 | collectively [1] 32/19 | concentrating [1] 84/20 |
| certain [14] 20/2 20/3 | college [2] 44/24 45/3 | concentration [1] 59/10 |
| 27/16 $31 / 21$ 34/20 37/6 | Colonia [1] 32/7 | concept [6] 26/22 27/4 |
| 37/7 $54 / 2$ 54/3 $56 / 22$ 56/24 | Colonial [1] 32/5 | 40/14 41/8 84/19 87/4 |
| 57/1 57/1 57/12 | combination [3] 69/12 | concepts [1] 40/19 |
| certainly [9] 9/19 14/15 | 69/14 69/18 | concern [4] 4/8 41/2 42/6 |
| 15/7 16/15 $29 / 13$ 51/18 | come [4] 61/12 66/8 66/25 | 68/20 [3] |
| 53/9 57/16 72/22 | 76/7 | concerns [3] 4/23 11/20 |
| CERTIFIED [2] 1/24 96/5 | comes [1] 10/8 | 36/12 |
| certify [1] 96/6 | commensurate [1] 94/24 | concluding [1] 78/11 |
| certifying [1] 78/5 | commercial [1] 85/5 | conclusion [3] 12/15 18/17 |
| cetera [1] 16/11 | Commission [6] 24/1 78/4 | 43/1 |
| Chair [1] 13/15 | 82/11 85/24 87/18 96/14 | conclusions [5] 9/14 12/11 |
| Chairman [2] 1/12 7/7 | common [4] 47/9 49/24 | 13/6 18/9 18/15 |
| change [4] 25/17 43/11 | 51/15 94/18 | condition [2] 68/16 68/18 |
| 70/14 70/14 | commonly [3] 69/1 69/15 | conditional [1] 5/14 |
| changes [4] 4/23 30/22 | 92/13 | confines [2] 55/16 94/8 |
| 65/4 87/1 | communities [39] 16/11 | confusing [1] 34/10 |
| changing [1] 34/12 | 19/15 19/20 19/21 $20 / 25$ | congestion [1] 85/9 |
| character [1] 37/10 | 21/11 $21 / 12$ 21/13 $21 / 17$ | conjunction [1] 86/22 |
| characteristic [3] 32/24 | 21/22 21/24 22/1 25/21 | connected [1] 18/6 |
| 33/14 33/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 25 / 25 & 28 / 3 & 28 / 6 & 30 / 8 & 30 / 12\end{array}$ | connection [2] 18/5 88/18 |
| characteristics [8] 22/17 $32 / 22 ~ 36 / 18 ~ 36 / 21 ~$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 25 & 36 / 4 & 36 / 5 & 37 / 8 & 40 / 22 \\ 40 / 24 & 40 / 24 & 41 / 4 & 41 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { connections [1] } & 86 / 13 \\ \text { connectivity [1] } & 85 / 9\end{array}$ |


| C | 96/5 | ${ }^{n g}{ }^{[1]}\left[\begin{array}{l} 49 / 3 / 25 \\ 51 / 1 \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONNORS [1] $2 / 3$ | Cove [1] 41/18 | $54 / 14 \quad 55 / 20 \quad 55 / 2158 / 2$ |
| conscious [2] 54/17 54/18 | CPA [1] 2/13 | 59/16 70/23 89/5 |
| consciously [1] 53/23 | crafting [2] 11/4 77/21 | declaratory [1] 90/8 |
| consent [3] 12/19 ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | create [3] 28/13 81/9 | define [4] 38/8 43/15 |
| consequence [2] 71/14 |  | 54/21 57 |
| consequence [2] 71/14 |  | defined [12] 21/4 21/6 |
| 71/15 $\begin{aligned} & \text { conservation [3] } \\ & \text { c }\end{aligned}$ | creates [8] 50/1 79/9 80/7 | 21/25 $22 / 19$ 27/9 27/21 |
| conservation [3] 83/19 | 80/16 92/15 92/17 92/18 | 36/18 39/5 41/17 62/21 |
| 83/24 83/25 | 93/1 | 65/9 90/19 |
| consider [6] 18/2 | creating | defines [3] 36/23 36/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 25 & 43 / 18 & 60 / 15 & 68 / 8\end{array}$ | creation [2] 85/11 94/3 | 38/23 |
| consideration [3] 37/21 | credentials [2] 7/13 7/17 | defining [5] 24/12 24/12 |
| 39/10 42/3 | credit [1] 55/15 | 41/6 83/17 85/14 |
| considers [1] 39/10 | creditworthy [1] 90/21 | definition [6] 16/10 36/20 |
| consistency [1] 79/17 | Creek [1] 41/20 | 38/5 38/6 56/2 58/13 |
| consistent [10] 24/5 35/15 | critería [5] 9/5 16/4 | definitions [1] 38/24 |
| 78/6 79/15 79/19 83/10 | 16/18 18/8 93/13 | degree [2] 44/24 45/4 |
| 84/24 88/2 93/19 94/25 | critical [2] 88/16 88/18 | Delaware [1] 30/12 |
| consistently [1] 55/20 | cross [1] 95/15 | delineation [1] 58/13 |
| consolidation [1] 36/10 | cross-examination [1] | delivered [1] |
| constant [1] 83/10 | 95/15 | delivery [1] |
| constantly [1] 55/19 | crossing [1] 35/24 | demand [1] 59/15 |
| constructed [3] 40/2 71/6 | cultural [4] 25/3 36/19 | DeMarco [1] 4/8 |
| 93/17 | 40/22 42/ | demographic [1] |
| construction [3] 15/23 | culture [1] | demographics [5] 16/13 |
| 93/8 93/19 | currently [3] 56/18 70/18 | 16/14 43/17 43/17 43/23 |
| contend [1] 12/23 | 92/16 | demonstrate [1] 12/1 |
| content [1] 8/13 6 6/11 | D | density [1] 92/5 |
| contention [3] 66/10 66/11 |  | denying [2] 12/16 71/16 |
| 93/22 | dailies [1] 63/7 | DEP [2] 69/2 79/18 |
| contents [2] 7/21 76/1 | daily [12] 61/7 61/8 61/9 | Department [5] 23/7 24/7 |
| context [17] 9/2 10/14 | 61/13 61/21 61/24 61/25 | 24/8 24/9 86/1 |
|  | 62/9 62/14 62/16 62/25 | depending [2] 56/14 93/2 |
| 20/1 22/19 26/25 35/20 | 63/6 | depends [2] 38/15 93/16 |
| 43/6 49/22 57/22 68/11 | Dame [1] 74/25 | derogatory [1] 14 |
| 83/22 87/3 93/25 | DASTI [1] 2/3 | describe [2] 38/8 57/22 |
| contiguous [1] 29/9 | data [2] 44/25 44/25 | DESCRIPTION [1] 3/11 |
| continue [3] 23/18 75/17 | date [3] $14 / 18$ 87/13 $96 / 9$ | design [4] 23/8 55/24 |
| 95/10 | dated [2] 7/25 96/16 | 74/18 85/12 |
| continues [1] 77/4 | day [1] 82/ | designated [4] 24/21 34/20 |
| continuum [2] 80/3 80/15 | de [36] 1/5 4/6 7/24 8/12 | 58/23 86/15 |
| control [6] 67/15 67/16 | 8/12 8/15 10/3 10/11 12/2 | designation [1] 61/9 |
| 67/17 69/25 70/1 70/13 | 12/4 12/8 12/16 12/20 | designations [2] 34/21 |
| controlled [2] 15/10 70/3 | 12/23 12/24 13/2 13/9 15/2 | 34/21 |
| controls [1] 90/22 | 15/3 17/9 18/16 25/24 30/6 | designed [1] |
| conversely [1] 64/24 |  | despite [3] 70/4 91/15 |
| copy [2] 8/2 8/2 | 37/21 42/8 42/15 42/18 | 93/22 |
| core [1] 24/21 | 43/21 46/24 49/1 60/18 | detached [5] 47/17 47/19 |
| correct [4] 6/8 6/13 6/19 | 64/13 | 48/16 48/20 94/10 |
| 54/19 | de-annex [2] 34/8 36/5 | detail [10] 17/18 18/25 |
| correcting [1] 48/24 | de-annexation [32] $1 / 5$ 4/6 |  |
| correctly [2] 30/14 59/14 | 7/24 8/12 8/12 8 /15 10/3 | 71/24 75/2 80/23 |
| corridors [1] 81/24 |  | details [8] 13/5 13/7 14/7 |
| cost [2] 52/8 61/18 | 12/20 12/23 12/24 13/2 | 14/9 29/22 87/22 89/19 |
| costs [1] 60/14 | 13/9 15/2 $15 / 317 / 9$ 18/16 | 90/23 |
| could [10] $6 / 2$ 9/3 14/9 | 25/24 30/6 37/21 42/8 | determinant [2] 55/8 59/20 |
| 18/2 27/21 33/19 59/14 | 42/15 42/18 43/21 46/24 | determination [4] 17/7 |
| 67/1 67/2 86/10 | 49/1 60/18 64/13 | 24/7 36/3 54/18 |
| council [2] 73/22 89/2 | de-annexations [2] 30/23 | determine [1] 10 |
| Councilman [1] 1/12 | 30/24 | determined [6] 87/24 87/25 |
| county [15] 15/13 29/15 | dealing [2] 25/13 49/23 | 88/2 89/14 89/15 90/25 |
| 29/15 30/10 30/11 31/1 | decades [1] 76/21 | determines [1] 95/ |
| 31/2 31/3 31/4 32/7 45/15 | Decennial [2] 44/6 44/15 | determining [1] 52/6 |
| 73/23 73/24 74/2 74/5 | decide [3] 51/10 52/6 | detrimental [4] 12/4 12/20 |
| County's [2] 45/16 45/19 | 54/25 | 12/24 12/24 |
| couple [5] 4/7 13/7 18/13 | decided [1] 51/20 | develop [2] 23/19 |
| 31/16 94/11 | deciding [1] 52/5 | developed [3] 21/7 58/23 |
| course [3] 17/2 66/7 76/20 | decision [10] 16/20 19/8 | 93/5 [3] 21/7 58/23 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc} \text { court } & {[8]} & 1 / 24 & 74 / 3 & 74 / 3 \\ 89 / 4 & 89 / 13 & 90 / 10 & 90 / 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} 49 / 19 & 49 / 21 & 50 / 18 & 51 / 2 \\ 51 / 21 & 55 / 3 & 55 / 14 & 68 / 13 \end{array}$ | Developers [1] development [39] $\frac{5 / 17}{20 / 6}$ |

document [2] 85/2 87/11
documents [7] 10/25 10/25 15/21 24/2 78/6 85/25 87/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { does [5] } & 19 / 5 \quad 36 / 12 & 42 / 10\end{array}$ 59/23 67/15
doesn't [4] 40/13 40/15
54/18 70/1
doing [9] 20/16 28/19
34/23 37/20 49/24 56/4
87/12 89/16 91/5
doliar [3] 61/18 63/9 64/5
dollars [8] 61/14 61/17
61/25 62/11 62/14 62/16
62/17 78/23
Domenick [1] 1/13
dominant [1] 94/9
don't [15] 7/13 13/16 14/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 6 & 17 / 2 & 27 / 3 & 38 / 2 & 38 / 3\end{array}$
48/8 53/22 64/14 72/21
72/22 81/3 89/18
done [12] 20/2 20/17 37/14 $\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 5 & 55 / 24 & 70 / 8 & 79 / 23 & 80 / 5\end{array}$
80/7 81/1 88/19 93/18
DOT [1] 86/4
downtown [1] 32/9
drink [1] 26/3
drinking [1] 11/12
drive [3] $1 / 24 \quad 56 / 12 \quad 56 / 13$ driving [1] 57/4
due [1] 74/19
du7y [1] 7/4
during [4] 9/22 49/12
67/24 73/19
dwe11ing [7] 46/20 46/21

|  | $47 / 3$ | $48 / 7$ | $48 / 11$ | $48 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

E
each [4] 19/3 29/22 80/4 80/5
earlier [4] 77/9 77/12 80/21 83/1
early [4] 18/11 18/11
21/11 40/3
earned [1] 44/24
easily [1] 42/13
east [2] 28/7 59/2
eating [1] 51/14
ecologica1 [2] 23/12 25/14 economic [5] $12 / 5$ 12/21 39/4 41/10 42/11
ecosystem [5] 20/11 84/4 84/5 84/11 88/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Edison [5] } & 33 / 4 & 33 / 4 & 33 / 5\end{array}$ 33/6 33/6
educated [6] 44/22 45/7 46/11 46/12 46/17 49/5
education [1] 44/20
effects [1] 75/1
efficient [1] 85/10
effort [4] 77/25 78/1 78/3 83/21
efforts [14] 16/24 17/1 24/25 37/23 75/16 75/24 $76 / 8$ 76/14 $76 / 16 \quad 78 / 16$ 79/7 84/5 88/4 88/17 Egg [1] 30/11
eight [3] 29/20 61/14 62/16
eight dollars [2] 61/14 62/16
either [6] 15/1 34/5 63/16

64/1 70/17 72/10
element [11] 77/22 77/22
78/12 $81 / 12 \quad 87 / 19 \quad 88 / 7$ 88/14 88/24 89/24 90/2 92/9
elements [4] 16/17 30/25 78/8 86/24
eliminate [1] 46/9
eliminated [1] 47/2
e1se [3] 36/16 66/20 94/23
embedded [2] 25/18 78/2
embodied [3] 25/19 79/20 79/21
emphasis [1] 86/11
emphasize [1] 49/20
employed [3] 46/15 46/17 49/7
employment [1] 45/21
enacted [1] 71/9
encapsulates [1] 92/10
enclave [1] 41/7
enclaves [1] 22/3
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { encourage }[1] & 22 / 8\end{array}$
end [3] $35 / 13$ 35/13 60/3
endorsement [11] 23/23
23/24 24/10 78/4 79/12
82/10 82/13 84/19 87/3
87/17 87/21
engage [1] 53/22
engaged [2] 74/24 76/22
enhance $[2] \quad 37 / 8 \quad 83 / 14$
enough [1] 81/2
enrollment [1] 34/23
ensure [2] 70/8 81/23
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ensuring [1] } & 23 / 11 \\ \text { entailed }[1] & 30 / 6\end{array}$
enter [1] 6/2
entertainment [1] 51/13
entire [3] 24/2 24/4 88/1
entities [1] 86/4
entity [2] 15/12 27/18
enunciated [1] 86/7
environment [6] 20/8 51/24
51/25 53/14 53/15 55/11
environmental [6] 23/7
24/9 25/2 28/16 84/1 86/1
environmentally [4] 20/9
24/15 77/18 83/2
esoteric [1] 41/7
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { especially [4] } & 17 / 4 \quad 26 / 21\end{array}$
78/16 79/25
ESQ [2] $2 / 5$ 2/8
ESQS [2] $2 / 3$ 2/6
essence [1] 15/16
essential [1] 18/23
essentially [19] 8/23
20/23 27/10 46/22 46/25
58/20 61/4 64/5 64/18
65/16 69/11 69/17 70/1
76/20 87/24 90/9 90/20
90/23 94/14
establish [2] 9/1 81/13
established [2] 28/23 77/15
establishes [4] 71/9 91/24
92/14 94/9
establishing [3] 67/19
67/19 84/22
establishment [1] 51/14
estate [3] 49/23 77/24 88/7
estimates [1] 48/10
et [1] 16/11
ethnic [5] 22/2 32/23
36/18 39/3 41/11
evaluate [2] 17/6 26/25
evaluated [1] 51/3
evaluating [7] 16/16 25/23
43/4 49/21 51/7 54/13
57/24
evaluation [6] 10/9 12/13 16/14 18/1 66/18 84/2
even [24] 25/13 27/12
$\begin{array}{llll}27 / 18 & 28 / 24 & 28 / 24 & 29 / 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 11 & 33 / 24 & 33 / 25 & 34 / 9\end{array}$
34/10 37/11 39/20 40/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 17 & 50 / 20 & 54 / 21 & 55 / 4\end{array}$
65/20 66/12 $67 / 13 \quad 79 / 21$
82/22 87/13
evening [5] 7/12 9/16
17/19 33/20 33/22
evenings [1] 9/16
event [1] 72/25
events [7] 42/24 59/23 72/12 72/16 72/18 73/10 75/6
ever [1] 34/12
every [3] 28/19 28/20 55/13
everybody [4] 33/2 66/20 66/20 95/1
everybody's [1] 31/14
everyone [1] 58/12
everyplace [1] 92/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { everything } & {[2]} & 34 / 14 & 36 / 16\end{array}$
everywhere [1] 92/24
evolution [1] 85/22
evolutionary [3] 48/2 80/15 86/19
evolve [2] 22/16 23/19
evolved [1] 23/18
evolves [1] 77/5
evolving [1] 87/5
examination [1] 95/15
example [2] 31/1 51/8
examples [3] 30/17 31/16 39/18
except [2] 67/5 70/17
exception [3] 58/21 67/25 94/11
exclusions [1] 15/5
excuse [3] 44/1 45/12 61/16
exercise [1] 17/25
exhibit [1] 6/14
exhibits [3] 8/3 35/12 69/13
existing [4] 77/19 83/14 85/5 85/7
exists [1] 50/8
expensive [1] 65/6
experience [3] 31/15 53/11 73/3
experienced [1] 72/11
expires [1] 96/14
explain [1] 13/19
expressed [1] 10/24
extend [3] 39/16 69/20 81/18
extends [3] 19/13 40/6 40/7
extension [1] 85/11
extensive [3] 19/22 77/13
89/4
extent [4] 23/2 27/16 70/17 72/19
extreme [1] 75/6
F
fabric [1] 42/1
face [1] 64/20
facilities [19] 27/25 50/9
50/13 51/13 51/13 58/6
58/8 66/10 71/5 71/20
$\begin{array}{llll}71 / 21 & 72 / 5 & 72 / 25 & 73 / 5\end{array}$
74/14 74/15 74/21 75/12 93/4
facility [5] 28/2 50/24 60/1 62/10 79/20
fact [44] 10/16 12/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 22 & 13 / 3 & 17 / 7 & 24 / 1 & 27 / 21\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}12 / 10 & 30 / 15 & 30 / 18 & 30 / 24\end{array}$
33/14 $35 / 11 \quad 39 / 23 \quad 40 / 1$
$\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 15 & 41 / 2 & 50 / 11 & 53 / 5 & 64 / 7\end{array}$
64/16 64/17 64/20 64/24
65/24 66/4 67/21 68/14
69/5 70/4 70/6 72/2 72/13
73/4 73/6 73/7 73/11 74/16
81/4 83/22 87/17 90/21
93/16 95/2
factor [5] $16 / 14$ 16/15
30/4 52/5 68/7
factors [6] $8 / 19$ 18/1 $51 / 5$ 53/1 55/15 93/5
fair [8] 78/9 88/14 88/25
90/4 90/11 90/25 91/3
91/20
fairly [4] 22/25 22/25
31/24 46/9
fairness [1] 88/22
familiar [4] 7/17 31/23 53/19 53/21
family [8] 4/18 4/20 5/7
47/17 47/19 48/16 48/20 94/10
family's [1] 47/25
far [3] 8/24 17/3 72/10
fault [1] 64/15
favor [2] 15/2 95/22
features [3] 21/4 21/4
39/6
February [1] 73/18
February 4 [1] 73/18
feel [1] 33/16
few [4] $17 / 5 \quad 60 / 19 \quad 61 / 11$ 67/25
field [1] 49/22
figure [1] 89/9
figures [1] 29/19
filed [1] 90/8
fil1 [1] 31/12
finally [6] $13 / 2 \quad 16 / 19$
18/16 $36 / 13 \quad 38 / 1 \quad 60 / 25$
first [16] 7/7 11/22 11/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 6 & 16 / 5 & 18 / 13 & 26 / 15\end{array}$
42/10 43/19 43/22 58/9
68/23 72/6 76/3 81/25
82/13
five [1] 92/4
fizzes [1] 26/14
flood [3] $\quad 70 / 10 \quad 72 / 7 \quad 83 / 9$
focus [2] 30/5 82/19
focused [1] 20/3
focusing [2] 8/10 74/25
follow [1] $14 / 14$
follow-up [1] $14 / 14$
following [1] $73 / 18$
follows [1] $7 / 5$
footnote [3] 15/14 73/11 73/14
footnotes [4] 22/8 22/9
22/11 57/19
force [1] 46/8
foregoing [1] 96/6
Forked [1] 2/4
form [5] 18/13 23/8 76/15
94/9 94/19
forma1 [3] $10 / 1 \quad 38 / 6 \quad 69 / 6$
formed [1] 79/23
forth [3] $16 / 4 \quad 82 / 18 \quad 96 / 9$
forward [9] 27/6 28/17
47/22 77/5 79/10 80/8
81/13 86/20 91/8
found [1] 75/23
foundation [15] $18 / 14$ 19/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}27 / 5 & 34 / 5 & 34 / 6 & 36 / 3 & 50 / 2\end{array}$ 79/23 80/8 80/16 80/18
81/13 $82 / 4 \quad 82 / 9 \quad 86 / 10$
foundations [1] 11/3
founding [1] 33/14
four [3] $16 / 2 \quad 72 / 17 \quad 72 / 21$
framework [13] 8/9 8/15 9/1 $39 / 11$ 53/25 63/6 71/10 79/9 79/19 82/18 83/16 84/22 84/22
frank [1] 14/6
Frederick [1] 1/13
frequently [1] 30/2
ful1 [5] 8/2 $9 / 12$ 14/3 76/19 80/23
full-time [1] 14/3
fully [9] $9 / 18 \quad 11 / 9 \quad 37 / 25$
46/15 $49 / 7$ 53/19 $53 / 21$
90/16 91/1
function [3] 15/9 18/3 22/23
fundamental [13] 10/17 11/3 11/22 $12 / 15$ 13/6 $20 / 4$ $\begin{array}{llllll} & 25 / 22 & 30 / 4 & 30 / 7 & 36 / 2 & 75 / 7\end{array}$ 76/9 88/4
fundamentally [7] 40/13 40/15 $54 / 15 \quad 58 / 9 \quad 74 / 20$ 88/21 90/24
funded [5] 17/13 17/15 78/19 78/22 78/24
further [8] 24/11 34/11 54/21 $54 / 22$ 56/2 $65 / 4$ 79/24 91/22
future [7] 22/23 23/19
23/21 47/23 55/5 66/24 73/10

## G

| gap [1] | $45 / 18$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| genera1 | [4] | $11 / 1$ | $11 / 2$ | $65 / 5$ |

82/3
generalized [1] 40/14
generally [3] 16/3 49/6 49/7
geographic [1] 42/12
geographica11y [1] 29/24
get [37] 4/7 8/13 8/14 $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 11 & 12 / 11 & 13 / 4 & 14 / 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}17 / 17 & 25 / 7 & 27 / 9 & 40 / 18\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 12 & 50 / 3 & 50 / 24 & 52 / 9\end{array}$
56/25 57/8 57/12 62/23

| G | grounded $[1], 39 / 11$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| get... [18] 63/11 64/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Grove } \\ \text { grow [3] } & \text { [1] } & 22 / 23 & 33 / 19\end{array}$ | highways [2] $39 / 6$ <br> HILL   |
| 64/6 64/19 66/15 66/22 | growth [12] 20/6 24/18 | Hills [1] 33/10 |
| 70/4 71/24 78/13 79/13 | 25/1 28/12 37/9 44/13 | his [4] 7/5 88/16 88/23 |
| 80/1 80/22 80/22 80/23 | 77/20 82/20 82/23 83/19 | 94/1 |
| 87/21 88/13 89/18 $63 / 9$ | 84/7 86/2 | historic [2] 30/21 42/12 |
| gets [6] 43/13 55/25 56/1 | guarantee [1] 66/25 | historically [1] 17/3 |
| 59/16 93/9 95/1 | guess [1] 63/2 | history [2] 31/14 76/6 |
| getting [9] 23/25 29/21 | guiding [1] 25/11 | hitting [2] 26/13 26/13 |
| 34/9 49/18 52/22 52/22 | guys [2] 66/12 76/12 | holds [1] 69/5 |
| 57/2 88/12 90/23 |  | holiday [8] $21 / 23$ 41/22 |
| GIS [1] 74/23 | H | 41/22 58/25 58/25 59/1 |
| give [2] 4/7 81/15 | habitat [2] 20/13 28/14 | 59/1 61/9 |
| given [3] 18/17 66/24 | Hackensack [1] 31/3 | Holiday City [4] 41/22 |
| 75/22 | had [20] 4/8 4/17 4/23 | 58/25 59/1 59/1 |
| glad [2] 9/22 15/25 | 13/23 17/16 $32 / 2142 / 25$ | Holiday Heights [2] 41/22 |
| G1en [1] 41/18 | 44/23 45/3 73/6 81/11 | 58/25 |
| go [22] 11/11 15/23 17/2 | 81/12 83/21 86/6 88/6 | holidays [2] 63/6 63/7 |
| 18/7 38/3 40/19 56/11 | 88/24 88/25 90/13 91/13 | Holly [1] 41/19 |
| 56/12 56/13 56/16 56/19 | 91/16 | home [1] 52/25 |
| 57/7 62/8 62/9 64/21 66/14 | Haines | HOMEOWNERS [4] 1/4 4/5 6/5 |
| 67/6 67/9 76/10 77/5 80/20 | half [2] 13/11 78/23 | 10/1 |
| 95/6 | hal1 [2] 73/20 74/6 | homogeneity [2] 41/3 41/4 |
| goals [11] 10/23 28/22 | happened [1] 89/19 | hope [1] 19/10 |
| 29/3 37/16 37/18 81/17 | happening [3] 35/5 87/13 | hopes [1] 5/15 |
| 82/17 85/2 85/17 85/18 | 89/9 | household [1] 45/9 |
| 87/22 | Harbor [1] 30/11 | housing [62] 25/5 25/10 |
| goes [2] 54/6 64/17 | harm [3] $13 / 3$ 13/3 $17 / 8$ | 25/12 39/4 46/19 46/23 |
| going [50] 5/25 7/20 8/10 | has [26] 5/4 5/21 9/12 | 47/1 47/3 47/25 48/16 |
| $8 / 20$ $9 / 6$ $9 / 9$ $9 / 9$ <br> 1813 $9 / 17$   | 10/15 $20 / 17$ 23/17 $28 / 9$ | 48/19 48/20 49/4 49/5 49/8 |
| 11/12 18/21 18/22 18/24 | 28/17 29/5 $30 / 13$ 31/18 | 49/11 49/11 52/8 52/23 |
| 19/25 21/19 21/20 22/23 | $31 / 25$ 33/13 $33 / 16$ 37/4 | 59/8 78/8 78/10 78/12 |
| 28/9 28/9 32/4 33/23 35/2 | 39/22 42/17 42/21 46/13 | 81/21 81/22 85/12 85/13 |
| 36/11 36/21 41/14 43/4 | 55/16 56/24 62/2 70/18 | 88/14 88/17 88/20 88/24 |
| 44/18 51/25 52/1 52/2 52/6 | 77/3 92/5 93/24 | 89/3 89/11 89/24 90/2 90/4 |
| 57/4 60/3 64/20 65/18 | have [126] | $\begin{array}{lllll}90 / 11 & 90 / 17 & 90 / 19 & 90 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 65/20 67/6 67/9 71/13 | having [6] 7/4 29/12 54/16 | 90/22 90/25 91/3 91/5 |
| 72/16 74/25 75/21 75/23 | 57/7 72/4 72/25 | 91/17 91/21 91/25 92/2 |
| 80/20 85/23 87/3 87/4 89/9 | hazard [2] 72/7 83/9 | 92/4 92/9 92/16 92/17 |
| 94/23 95/10 | he [5] 5/4 88/16 88/23 | 92/18 92/21 93/6 93/10 |
| gone [1] 76/2 | 88/23 94/1 | 93/11 93/24 94/16 94/16 |
| good [11] 6/23 22/10 26/12 | hear [3] $9 / 15$ 26/8 59/12 | 94/19 94/25 |
| 27/5 41/18 55/24 72/14 | heard [15] 8/24 14/23 | how [17] 5/17 5/19 11/1 |
| 74/20 74/20 76/11 95/5 | 25/14 26/19 26/20 27/7 | 17/25 19/3 $22 / 22 \quad 29 / 8$ 37/1 |
| got [18] 19/20 19/22 21/1 | 27/8 47/21 50/5 52/15 | 37/22 $38 / 15 \quad 51 / 3 \quad 80 / 14$ |
| 26/9 27/11 30/12 31/17 | 52/15 58/10 59/24 77/11 | 80/14 80/15 80/16 93/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 15 & 34 / 15 & 34 / 17 & 34 / 19\end{array}$ | 94/4 | 93/18 |
| 34/20 36/17 38/16 41/3 | hearing [4] 1/5 4/6 5/9 | however [6] 8/22 29/12 |
| 58/24 66/7 72/13 | 8/20 | 46/2 51/1 71/23 74/9 |
| govern [4] 22/21 22/22 | heart [2] 43/14 59/16 | hub [1] 73/7 |
| 23/6 23/8 | Heights [3] 21/23 41/22 | Hudak [1] 1/15 |
| governed [2] 22/5 66/16 | 58 | Hugg [1] |
| governing [4] 10/12 12/2 | held [4] 73/22 74/1 74/5 | hurricane [1] 72/17 |
| 12/4 12/15 | 74/7 | I |
|  |  |  |
| governor [1] $67 / 5$ <br> governs [1] $22 / 25$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccccc} \text { here } & {[29]} & 8 / 8 & 9 / 8 & 11 / 19 \\ 14 / 22 & 15 / 24 & 18 / 22 & 19 / 8 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \hline I^{\prime} d & {[1]} & 95 / 18 \\ I^{\prime} & 11 & {[17]} & 4 / 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| grandparents [1] 32/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 20 & 15 / 21 & 26 / 9 & 27 / 1\end{array}$ |  |
| grant [3] 17/14 78/22 | 31/21 36/17 38/1 $38 / 9$ 43/4 |  |
| 78/24 | 53/25 54/15 60/8 61/12 | 60/19 73/13 76/5 76/6 |
| grants [4] 17/16 69/19 | 62/1 71/4 71/6 72/23 73/5 | 78/10 80/20 |
| 69/19 78/19 | 77/15 85/2 87/23 95/9 | I'm [35] 7/20 9/6 9/8 9/13 |
| graphic [1] 69/12 | hereby [1] 96/6 | 11/7 11/12 18/21 18/22 |
| great [1] 25/10 | hereinbefore [1] 96/9 | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 22 & 19 / 6 & 19 / 6 & 19 / 24\end{array}$ |
| greater [5] 28/15 45/4 | high [3] 35/3 35/4 46/9 | 21/20 $21 / 20$ 26/6 26/8 |
| 48/16 48/17 71/24 | higher [7] 44/17 45/14 | 29/20 $30 / 13$ 31/22 33/20 |
| Greg [1] 7/14 | 46/11 46/13 46/17 61/18 | 38/8 48/7 $50 / 10$ 52/22 |
| GREGORY [1] $2 / 5$ | 65/18 | 52/22 52/23 52/24 53/1 |
|  | highlighted [1] 30/9 | 57/2 57/21 59/13 65/21 |
| 39/19 [1] $29 / 21$ | highlights [2] $1818 / 22$ 60/19 | 75/15 75/23 75/23 |
| gritty [1] 29/21 | highly [4] 45/7 46/9 46/17 | I've [2] 18/17 $39 / 9$ |

idea [4] 31/6 40/21 87/4 87/20
ideas [1] 41/9
identifiable [1] 22/1
identification [12] 4/2 $\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 22 & 26 / 17 & 26 / 17 & 34 / 6 & 35 / 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}35 / 5 & 35 / 19 & 35 / 25 & 39 / 16\end{array}$ 40/4 83/17
identified [15] 8/5 24/17 24/22 30/9 32/12 34/3 34/18 38/20 39/22 44/10 44/14 47/16 69/8 82/1 86/16
identify [2] 15/6 38/25
impact [12] 10/9 10/10 10/18 15/17 $25 / 16$ 25/20 25/25 60/16 60/17 81/6 85/19 89/10
impacted [4] 74/13 74/15 75/6 83/8
impacts [6] $10 / 22 \quad 11 / 1$ 28/16 70/10 74/17 75/11 implement [1] 90/1
implementation [1] 86/25 implemented [1] 29/1
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { implements }[1] & 92 / 10 & \\ \text { importance }[2] & 25 / 20 & 84 / 2\end{array}$
important [43] 8/8 8/16 $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 14 & 16 / 15 & 19 / 1 & 20 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}163 / 4 & 23 / 12 & 24 / 24 & 25 / 1 \\ 25 / 3\end{array}$ 26/24 29/25 36/15 37/19 39/13 41/8 42/2 42/3 42/8 43/18 49/14 52/19 52/20 $\begin{array}{lllll}55 / 3 & 55 / 7 & 56 / 7 & 62 / 1 & 65 / 8\end{array}$ 67/12 68/4 68/6 68/23 71/16 71/17 73/14 76/9 78/14 80/11 82/21 83/16 84/13 89/20
importantly [1] 24/8
improve [3] 81/18 86/12 87/9
improvement [3] 77/23 82/24 88/9
include [4] 11/16 15/20 27/23 39/3
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { included } & {[4]} & 15 / 19 & 24 / 10\end{array}$ 77/21 85/3
includes [5] $19 / 17$ 20/20 22/24 24/19 43/16
including [12] 8/3 14/19 19/18 21/8 $24 / 7 \quad 78 / 20$ 78/25 79/10 85/25 86/4 90/7 90/7
income [7] 45/9 45/10 45/13 45/16 45/18 45/19 45/20
incomes [2] 46/13 46/18 incorporated [1] 78/2 increased [2] 45/18 85/9 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { increasing [1] } & 82 / 24 \\ \text { incredible } & \text { [1] } \\ 45 / 23\end{array}$ increment [1] 61/18 individual [1] 66/17 individuals [2] $\quad 13 / 9 \quad 14 / 1$ influence [1] 15/17
information [8] $9 / 8 \quad 14 / 12$ 14/14 22/10 $\quad 35 / 19 \quad 43 / 15$ 47/8 60/20
infrastructure [2] 21/7 77/19
injury
input $[1]$$\quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { 24/6 } & 65 / 25 \\ 66 / 3\end{array}$ 84/21
instead [1] 36/6
integrated [1] 28/21
intent [1] 93/23
interesting [2] 47/15
47/20
Interestingly [1] 81/1 interests [1] 48/4
interim [1] 44/5
internet [1] 57/3
interrupting [1] 13/17 intertwine [1] 83/20
intertwined [2] 80/14 83/12
intertwines [1] 79/8
inventory [2] 69/1 69/7
invoice [4] 3/13 6/15 6/17 6/21
invoices [1] 6/15
involved [4] 8/11 25/25 76/16 81/4
irreplaceable [5] 42/13 43/2 43/5 56/2 68/4
is [242]
Iselin [1] 32/8
island [20] $19 / 19$ 21/13
27/13 27/14 27/16 27/17
$\begin{array}{llll}29 / 10 & 29 / 12 & 29 / 17 & 30 / 14\end{array}$
30/15 41/24 66/14 66/14
66/15 66/22 67/13 68/1
74/8 74/12
issue [4] 26/16 35/10
36/14 64/9
issues [18] 8/11 9/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 20 & 12 / 13 & 16 / 9 & 16 / 21\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 2 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 14 & 25 / 18\end{array}$
26/23 27/1 30/5 66/24 67/2
76/24 76/24 76/25
it [86] $4 / 11$ 4/19 4/19
4/20 $4 / 25 \quad 5 / 3 \quad 5 / 6 \quad 5 / 13$
$5 / 18 \quad 6 / 14 \quad 6 / 15 \quad 9 / 10 \quad 10 / 17$
11/19 15/14 $15 / 25$ 17/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 24 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 13 & 19 / 17\end{array}$
20/24 28/8 28/20 29/10
$\begin{array}{llll}31 / 19 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 23 & 36 / 15\end{array}$
36/15 $36 / 25 \quad 37 / 2 \quad 37 / 20$
38/9 38/14 38/16 38/17
39/2 40/2 $40 / 13$ 40/15
42/10 45/11 47/2 48/23
$\begin{array}{llll}49 / 22 & 50 / 17 & 52 / 15 & 52 / 15\end{array}$
53/23 $53 / 23$ 54/6 $54 / 15$
54/22 56/10 $56 / 19 \quad 57 / 12$
59/11 59/13 60/24 60/25
$\begin{array}{llll}61 / 4 & 61 / 17 & 66 / 8 & 69 / 2 \\ 70 / 9\end{array}$
72/15 $73 / 9 \quad 74 / 12 \quad 75 / 5 \quad 80 / 9$
80/16 $82 / 3 \quad 82 / 14 \quad 82 / 19$
86/2 87/7 90/7 91/9 91/15
91/16 91/20 92/17 93/16
93/17 94/15
it's [112]
Italian [3] 22/14 33/1
33/2
Ita7y [1] 22/13
items [1] 57/2
its [17] $17 / 6$ 33/14 34/7
36/12 55/15 67/19 71/19
87/17 87/24 88/1 90/10
90/20 91/1 91/15 91/16
91/17 93/3
itself [10] 27/19 27/21
$30 / 4 \quad 34 / 7 \quad 36 / 23 \quad 36 / 24$
40/16 $\quad 54 / 17 \quad 57 / 13 \quad 94 / 9$
J
Jack [1] 1/15
JACKSON [1] 1/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { January } & {[2]} & 74 / 8 & \text { 96/14 } \\ \text { January } & 16[1] & 74 / 8\end{array}$
jargon [1] 54/5
Jersey [24] $1 / 8 \quad 1 / 25 \quad 2 / 4$ $\begin{array}{llll}2 / 7 & 8 / 2 & 15 / 12 & 21 / 1 \\ 21 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 6 & 30 / 3 & 31 / 8 & 31 / 10 & 34 / 11\end{array}$ 39/19 39/20 40/2 $53 / 15$
55/19 57/10 57/16 57/17 89/8 96/6 96/13
job [2] 55/24 89/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { John } & {[4]} & 1 / 12 & 1 / 15 & 13 / 18\end{array}$
60/23
JOSEPH [2] $2 / 8$ 6/3
judgment [1] 90/8
July [3] 1/8 7/25 96/16
July 3rd [1] 7/25
June [1] 5/9
jurisdiction [8] 15/16
31/7 34/13 64/25 67/14
69/22 70/13 70/17
jurisdictiona1 [1] 23/16
jurisdictions [6] 15/11
22/20 31/18 $33 / 24 \quad 35 / 24$ 36/8
just [33] 6/2 6/7 8/16
13/16 $13 / 19$ 14/25 17/24 22/3 $24 / 3 \quad 33 / 23 \quad 34 / 16$ $\begin{array}{llll}34 / 18 & 35 / 22 & 37 / 12 & 38 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 16 & 41 / 14 & 43 / 13 & 48 / 24\end{array}$ 57/14 63/15 64/15 66/8 68/3 71/6 75/1 80/3 81/15 84/3 84/17 85/14 85/15 85/15
K
Keasbey [1] 32/11
keep [4] 4/11 4/19 26/6 26/12
Ke1ly [1] 2/12
Keswick [1] 1/7
$\begin{array}{lllll} & \\ \text { key [5] } & 11 / 15 & 43 / 17 & 60 / 14\end{array}$ 61/11 75/12
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { kind } & {[25]} & 9 / 18 & 11 / 14 \\ 16 / 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 5 & 26 / 4 & 26 / 19 & 26 / 24 & 27 / 3\end{array}$
36/16 40/10 $41 / 14$ 43/4
47/20 $52 / 8 \quad 53 / 14 \quad 57 / 22$
61/12 69/11 70/18 70/22
$\begin{array}{lllll}79 / 8 & 79 / 8 & 81 / 9 & 83 / 11 & 86 / 8\end{array}$
kinds [4] $27 / 9 \quad 33 / 23 \quad 39 / 8$ 56/9
knew [1] 60/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { know } & \text { [87] } & 4 / 17 & 4 / 18 & 5 / 24\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}9 / 19 & 14 / 7 & 14 / 20 & 15 / 4 \\ 15 / 9\end{array}$
16/9 17/23 18/9 18/18
$\begin{array}{llll}19 / 10 & 19 / 10 & 19 / 12 & 20 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 17 & 21 / 23 & 23 / 20 & 24 / 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 23 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 15 & 26 / 8\end{array}$ 27/4 $27 / 5 \quad 27 / 9 \quad 29 / 21 \quad 30 / 1$
$\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 5 & 31 / 5 & 31 / 11 & 31 / 17\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}31 / 18 & 32 / 4 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 19\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 22 & 33 / 15 & 34 / 3 & 34 / 14\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 23 & 48 / 9 & 50 / 16 & 51 / 2 & 51 / 9\end{array}$
51/23 52/3 52/7 52/20
$\begin{array}{llll}53 / 12 & 53 / 24 & 53 / 24 & 55 / 5\end{array}$
55/17 55/19 55/23 56/13

| K | \|ist [1] 15/24 | 27/20 27/21 28/7 28/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [25] 56/23 57/1 | 79/22 | 50/25 84/13 |
|  | 1isten [1] 47/9 | maintenance [4] 68/21 |
| 64/8 64/15 64/20 65/11 | listing [1] 69/3 | 70/19 81/18 85/13 |
| 66/12 66/13 70/7 71/10 | 1iterature [1] 41/1 | major [2] 39/6 55/2 |
| 77/21 78/14 $81 / 3 \quad 81 / 9$ | litigation [2] 89/4 90/6 | majority [2] 12/6 12 |
| 88/22 88/22 89/2 91/15 | 1 ittle [17] 16/13 16/19 | make [10] 6/24 9/22 36/3 |
| 93/14 93/16 95/1 |  | 37/16 $52 / 11$ 54/6 54/14 |
| L | 43/16 48/22 49/19 50/17 | 54/17 55/13 66/18 |
| 1-a-c-h-e-t-k-a [1] 7/9 | 74/22 80/21 | makeup    <br> making [10] $32 / 25$ $10 / 11$ <br> $16 / 20$    |
| Lacey [1] 2/4 | live [17] 23/14 51/10 | 49/19 49/21 51 |
| lack [2] 67/24 68/21 | 51/20 $51 / 22 \quad 52 / 6 \quad 52 / 11$ | 54/13 $55 / 3$ 55/20 55/20 |
| LAKEVIEW [1] 1/24 | 53/7 53/10 54/10 54/25 | mall [1] 56/ |
|  | 55/13 55/18 $56 / 10 \quad 56 / 17$ | Manhattan [2] 51/12 51/15 |
| 50/2 51/22 71/9 81/11 82/7 | 57/10 57/15 61/22 | Manitou [8] 22/4 22/5 |
| 85/4 86/9 86/18 86/23 87/8 | 1ived [1] 32/13 | 41/23 77/10 85/15 86/14 |
| 88/1 | 1iving [9] 51/23 51 | 86/15 91/7 |
| 1ands [5] 20/9 24/16 69/4 | 52/17 52/17 $52 / 18$ 52/23 | Manitou Park [6] 22/4 |
| 77/18 83/3 | 52/24 52/24 65/21 | 41/23 77/10 86/14 86/15 |
| 1andscapes [1] 54/4 | LLC [1] 5/19 | 91/7 |
| language [1] 11/16 | locate [3] 55/4 71/19 | many [3] 21/12 32/1 47/11 |
| large [1] 42/20 | 88/20 | $\operatorname{map}$ [3] $30 / 9 \quad 31 / 5 \quad 35 / 12$ |
| 7arger [1] 51/22 | located [9] 12/10 50/19 | mapping [3] 72/8 72/8 |
| 1ast [13] $7 / 8$ 17/2 17/3 | 71/21 71/22 72/5 72/5 | 72/15 |
| 17/4 20/17 21/8 28/10 66/7 | 73/16 73/21 74/3 | maps [3] $8 / 4 \quad 21 / 15$ 69/13 |
| 68/11 76/18 76/20 80/23 | location [5] 58/8 74/20 | March [1] 6/16 |
| 88/10 | 93/2 93/11 93/12 | marked [4] 3/13 4/2 6/21 |
| 1ater [7] 8/21 17/18 19/5 | 1ocational [16] 16 | 6/22 |
| 25/7 37/17 74/23 80/22 | 31/22 49/19 49/21 49/25 | market [3] 49/24 77/24 |
| law [2] 7/5 82/7 | 50/18 $40 / 18$ 51/2 $51 / 4$ | 88/7 |
| 1 lay [8] 8 [/25 14/23 14/25 | 54/14 55/7 $58 / 2$ 59/16 | Mashipacong [1] 30/14 |
| 52/15 52/16 57/20 68/15 | 59/20 68/13 70/23 | master [13] 10/24 21/10 |
| 71/1 | logical [1] 74/11 | 21/12 $69 / 9$ 71/8 76/18 |
| 1ayer [3] 35/23 35/23 | 1 1ong [2] 30/25 74/19 | 76/19 77/6 77/7 79/1 80/24 |
| 35/24 | 1ook [9] 22/23 36/10 45/9 | 81/11 82/5 |
| layers [1] 34/ | 46/19 47/6 62/15 66/12 | match [1] 61/ |
| aying [1] 34/14 | 72/7 75/25 | matter [7] 1/3 6/7 7/22 |
| leads [2] 16/21 41/5 | looked [2] 36/25 83/8 | 7/23 11/7 $34 / 1043 / 14$ |
| least [2] 45/17 76/15 | 1ooking [22] 17/10 28/17 | mature [1] 63/2 |
| legitimacy [1] 25/23 | 36/14 38/9 41/9 42/7 47/22 | Maurice [1] 31/17 |
| lengths [1] 61/7 | 47/23 47/24 48/7 66/9 | Maurice River [1] 31/17 |
| 1ess [3] 41/5 42/2 75/6 | 70/24 70/24 71/18 75/21 | may [6] 7/2 30/10 39/3 |
| let [2] 9/14 63/15 | 81/5 81/14 83/12 83/18 | 57/4 88/19 88/19 |
| 1et's [9] 11/11 18/19 | 83/19 83/20 84/1 | maybe [13] 9/16 15/11 |
| 18/20 $26 / 3$ 26/3 26/15 $38 / 1$ | Lorelli [1] 1/13 | 27/12 $32 / 4 \quad 51 / 11{ }^{1} 52 / 11$ |
| 43/19 51/1 | lose [3] 65/24 66/12 75/14 | 53/22 54/21 55/4 55/23 |
| level [7] 25/18 27/10 35/4 | losing [2] 46/7 65/17 | 57/2 66/8 85/1 |
| 41/10 43/11 45/17 72/16 | loss [7] 43/6 45/16 46/25 | McGuckin [2] 2/3 |
| levels [2] 35/24 41/10 | 48/25 49/2 49/10 49/16 | me [16] 4/13 7/13 7/25 |
| 1exicon [1] 54/12 | 1ot [23] 9/7 9/8 9/15 |  |
| libraries [1] 15/14 | 18/25 $20 / 25 \quad 22 / 10 \quad 25 / 14$ | 33/22 38/3 44/2 45/12 |
| 1ibrary [3] 73/23 74/2 | 25/15 26/19 27/2 27/3 28/8 | 61/16 63/15 81/15 96/8 |
| 74/5 | 28/17 29/5 $36 / 14$ 40/25 | mean [13] 13/20 19/10 |
| 1icensed [1] 8/1 | 47/12 $50 / 5 \quad 56 / 7 \quad 66 / 7 \quad 78 / 16$ | 34/11 $46 / 6 \quad 51 / 8 \quad 52 / 15$ |
| 1ieu [2] 93/8 93/18 | 84/21 87/22 | 54/19 54/21 54/23 56/9 |
| 117 fe [1] 52/17 | 1ots [1] 21/15 | 56/10 57/3 94/15 |
| lifetime [2] 64/4 64/6 | lower [2] 30/10 65/21 | means [2] 89/21 93/8 |
| like [17] 4/3 7/14 14/4 | 1owest [2] 81/2 81/5 | mechanism [1] 77/17 |
| 14/12 $18 / 24$ 19/6 24/16 | Luck [1] 41/18 | median [7] 45/10 45/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 12 & 34 / 16 & 34 / 20 & 34 / 21\end{array}$ | luncheon [1] 74/6 | 45/16 45/19 45/20 48/10 |
| 41/18 42/24 51/14 91/6 | luncheon/town [1] 74/6 | 48/12 |
| 94/11 95/18 | Lyons [1] 60/1] | meeting [11] $4 / 4$ 4/17 $6 / 1$ |
| 17 mit [1] 94/6 | M | 74/7 74/9 76/7 77/10 95/9 |
| LINDA [2] $1 / 23$ $96 / 4$  <br> Lindbloom  $[2]$ $38 / 7$ $38 / 22$ |  | $95 / 13 \quad 95 / 19 \quad 95 / 24$ |
| line [1] $54 / 15$ lind | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Mackres }[1] \quad 1 / 14 \\ & \text { made }[14] \text { 20/3 } 32 / 20 \quad 33 / 7 \end{aligned}\right.$ | meetings [3] 73/22 73/25 $74 / 4$ |
| linkage [1] 76/9 | 35/10 $35 / 11$ 40/1 $51 / 4$ | Member [6] $1 / 131 / 131 / 14$ |
| linkages [1] 85/6 | 52/21 59/15 67/3 68/18 | 1/14 1/15 $1 / 15$ |
| nked [1] 28/21 | 70/15 89/25 94/1 | members [3] 33/21 53/20 |
| links [1] 19/3 | mainland [12] 20/9 27/13 | $57 / 24$ |

Mr. Michelini [1] 6/24
much [13] $17 / 24 \quad 22 / 9 \quad 26 / 13$ $41 / 3$ 41/4 63/2 66/23 78/18 93/14 93/17 93/18 94/18 95/17
multi [2] 44/4 92/20
multi-year [1] 44/4
multifamily [15] 4/21 5/10 47/25 91/24 $92 / 3 \quad 92 / 4$
$\begin{array}{llll}92 / 16 & 92 / 17 & 92 / 18 & 92 / 20\end{array}$
93/20 94/7 $94 / 19$ 94/21
95/3
multiple [5] 31/18 33/7 33/24 34/13 78/8
municipal [27] $14 / 22$ 16/6

16/8 18/20 $19 / 9 \quad 27 / 25 \quad 28 / 1$
39/16 40/12 50/14 50/19
62/7 62/7 71/4 71/4 71/5
$72 / 25$ 73/4 73/16 73/22
74/1 74/2 74/3 74/14 74/15
76/3 82/7
municipalities [5] 31/16
36/6 36/8 89/8 90/6
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { municipality [14] } & 9 / 3 & 10 / 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 10 & 12 / 9 & 16 / 9 & 23 / 13 & 24 / 3\end{array}$ 24/4 24/4 30/19 31/25 69/5 77/23 86/11
municipality's [1] 69/9
MURPHY [1] 2/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { my }[15] & 6 / 3 & 7 / 11 & 8 / 10 & 9 / 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}9 / 22 & 26 / 8 & 26 / 12 & 32 / 13\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}32 / 14 & 48 / 8 & 56 / 12 \quad 63 / 24\end{array}$
78/10 95/15 96/14
myse1f [3] 14/19 31/17 48/24
N
name [4] $3 / 2 \quad 7 / 7 \quad 7 / 8 \quad 31 / 22$
names [4] $32 / 1 \quad 33 / 8 \quad 34 / 18$ 41/20
Nationa1 [1] 33/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { natural }[8] & 21 / 3 & 21 / 4 & 23 / 4\end{array}$ 23/12 39/6 71/16 75/21 83/3
Naturally [1] 66/14
nature [8] 23/2 23/8 29/6 $\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 10 & 48 / 5 & 57 / 10 & 68 / 2 & 82 / 3\end{array}$
near [2] 52/18 72/23
Nearly [1] 49/3
necessarify [1] 54/18 necessary [2] 9/17 93/1 need [12] 7/13 20/5 26/24 28/13 40/18 51/3 57/13 62/4 82/23 86/15 90/15 91/22
needed [2] 14/16 79/13 needing [1] 54/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { needs [4] 27/2 } & 37 / 25 \quad 57 / 19\end{array}$ 60/15
negotiated [1] 90/11
negotiation [2] 90/12 91/13
negotiations [1] 91/22
neighborhood [33] 4/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}5 / 13 & 5 / 22 & 10 / 3 & 10 / 10 & 12 / 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}12 / 25 & 17 / 21 & 20 / 21 & 22 / 12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}26 / 16 & 27 / 7 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 15 & 32 / 22 & 34 / 6 & 35 / 20\end{array}$
37/11 39/1 39/10 40/14
$\begin{array}{lllllll}47 / 1 & 48 / 6 & 50 / 9 & 50 / 13 & 79 / 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}79 / 4 & 79 / 5 & 79 / 11 & 83 / 16 & 94 / 4\end{array}$ 94/8
neighborhood's [1] 87/8 $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { neighborhoods } & \text { [26] } & 16 / 11 \\ 16 / 12 & 20 / 22 & 20 / 25 & 21 / 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}122 / 18 & 22 / 22 & 23 / 17 & 24 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}25 / 4 & 32 / 19 & 33 / 8 & 33 / 24\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}33 / 25 & 37 / 3 & 38 / 21 & 39 / 12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 15 & 42 / 6 & 54 / 1 & 54 / 3 & 58 / 13\end{array}$
77/1 83/15 85/6 85/7
neighbors [1] 51/23
Neptune [5] 33/9 33/10
33/11 33/12 33/18
network [1] 93/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}n e w & 32] & 1 / 8 & 1 / 25 & 2 / 4 \\ \text { ne }\end{array}$
8/1 15/12 21/1 21/13 23/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 18 & 24 / 18 & 30 / 3 & 31 / 8\end{array}$
$31 / 10 \quad 34 / 11 \quad 39 / 19 \quad 39 / 20$
40/2 51/9 51/11 52/1 52/3
53/15 $55 / 19$ 57/10 $57 / 16$
$\begin{array}{lllll}57 / 17 & 82 / 1 & 85 / 4 & 89 / 8 & 96 / 6\end{array}$ 96/13
New Jersey [12] 23/6 30/3
$\begin{array}{llll}31 / 10 & 34 / 11 & 39 / 19 & 39 / 20\end{array}$
40/2 $53 / 15 \quad 55 / 19 \quad 57 / 10$
57/16 57/17
New York [3] 51/9 51/11

## 52/3

newspaper [1] 67/22
next [14] 4/4 31/13 49/18 52/17 52/23 68/10 75/15 76/7 $76 / 10 \quad 78 / 13 \quad 85 / 21$
88/13 95/6 95/9
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Nick [3] } & 1 / 14 & 2 / 12 & 5 / 3\end{array}$
nine [8] $21 / 9 \quad 24 / 22 \quad 38 / 10$ 38/11 $38 / 13$ 38/18 72/22 81/24
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { nitty } & {[1]} \\ \text { Nixon } & 29 / 21 \\ \text { [1] } & 33 / 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { no } & {[31]} & 5 / 7 & 5 / 7 & 5 / 10 & 13 / 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 1 & 46 / 1 & 50 / 10 & 53 / 6 & 53 / 6\end{array}$
54/1 54/1 56/17 58/12
58/13 61/20 61/24 62/6
62/13 $62 / 18 \quad 62 / 18 \quad 62 / 19$ 62/25 63/12 63/16 63/20 63/21 63/24 64/1 64/19 66/25 91/9
nobody [1] 71/16
non [5] $59 / 5 \quad 61 / 15 \quad 61 / 15$ 62/4 62/7
non-Berkeley [1] 62/4
non-children [1] 61/15
non-municipa1 [1] 62/7
non-senior [1] 61/15
noncontiguous [2] 29/6 30/25
nonresidents [1] 64/23
North [4] 33/5 35/3 59/2 73/16
northeastern [1] 39/19
northwestern [2] 21/24 28/4
not [89] 8/18 11/16 12/8 12/16 12/20 13/21 14/2 $14 / 3 \quad 14 / 7 \quad 14 / 24 \quad 14 / 25 \quad 15 / 6$ $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 7 & 15 / 11 & 15 / 16 & 17 / 7\end{array}$ 17/24 18/22 $18 / 23$ 19/22 $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 25 & 24 / 3 & 29 / 9 & 29 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 14 & 30 / 4 & 30 / 7 & 31 / 8 & 31 / 8\end{array}$ $31 / 8 \quad 31 / 9 \quad 31 / 19 \quad 36 / 3 \quad 42 / 1$
42/13 $42 / 21$ 47/16 $47 / 19$
48/15 $48 / 19$ 49/22 $49 / 23$
50/10 51/23 51/24 54/19
57/2 57/5 57/13 57/13

| N | \|on-site [2] 93/7 93/17 | $1 / 2 \text { 46/4 48/14 65/1 }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not... [39] 57/15 58/18 | 5/11 11/2 12/15 15/19 | overal1 [8] 20/15 28/22 |
| 59/6 61/3 64/9 65/3 67/3 | 21/12 $22 / 7 \quad 22 / 13 \quad 24 / 3$ | 29/2 29/3 46/6 48/13 76/25 |
| 67/6 67/9 67/15 67/16 | $\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 20 & 25 / 22 & 29 / 22 & 30 / 7\end{array}$ | 81/5 |
| 67/16 67/22 68/24 69/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}30 / 19 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 22 & 35 / 12\end{array}$ | overlapping [3] 23/16 |
| 69/6 69/8 70/2 70/3 70/12 | 35/18 35/22 39/13 39/23 | 23/16 35/14 |
| 70/14 70/14 70/16 71/17 | 41/4 42/1 45/23 47/20 | overturned [1] 89/6 |
| 73/3 74/25 75/7 80/3 81/19 | 52/13 $53 / 15$ 53/16 59/6 | overview [4] 16/6 18/21 |
| 84/3 85/14 88/19 89/16 | 60/14 64/1 64/2 65/7 65/19 | 19/9 76/4 |
| 89/22 90/23 93/15 93/23 | 66/6 66/19 72/17 75/10 | own [2] 32/21 36/12 |
| 94/18 95/10 | 75/12 75/23 76/15 78/13 | owned [1] 69/18 |
| Notary [2] 96/4 96/13 | 80/3 80/4 80/16 80/17 | owners [1] 69/19 |
| note [3] 6/24 62/1 88/15 | 81/15 81/25 82/21 | ownerships [1] 69/14 |
| noted [1] 64/3 | one-family [3] 4/18 4/20 | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| notre [1] 74/25 | es [5] $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}5 / 4 & 26 / 24 & 34 / 15\end{array}\right.$ | P's [1] 26/8 |
| now [20] 4/9 4/18 6/19 | 78/17 80/22 | p.m [1] 1/9 |
| 29/5 35/1 43/12 44/7 45/15 | ongoing [5] 35/1 77/4 86/5 | packed [1] 68/1 |
| 61/25 66/2 67/17 70/21 | 90/14 91/4 | page [14] 3/2 3/11 29/20 |
| 74/24 75/15 75/23 86/3 | only [13] 19/23 31/9 42/21 | 29/20 $38 / 10$ 38/11 38/12 |
| 91/12 92/12 $92 / 25$ 93/22 | 53/15 53/16 59/5 69/24 | 38/14 38/16 48/8 48/9 |
| number [6] 3/11 15/19 | 75/1 75/7 84/3 89/22 92/25 | 60/21 60/23 73/12 |
| 35/16 $36 / 17$ 47/6 48/12 | 94/18 | page eight [1] 29/20 |
| numbers [1] 48/11 | open [9] 5/25 58/11 68/25 | page nine [1] 38/10 |
| 0 | 69/4 69/7 69/9 70/2 85/8 | parameters [1] 43/20 |
| O'MALLEY [2] 2/6 6/4 | opened | Parkway [4] 21/9 27/23 |
| oath [1] 7/5 | opportunities [15] 20/5 | 27/25 28/5 |
| objective [1] 28/25 | 25/1 28/11 28/13 53/9 | part [47] 5/19 10/5 11/17 |
| objectives [11] 10/24 | 59/22 60/4 81/22 82/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 23 & 15 / 22 & 16 / 23 & 17 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 28/23 $29 / 3 \quad 37 / 17$ 37/19 | 83/13 83/14 $84 / 7$ 94/6 | 17/10 $17 / 1317 / 17$ 18/11 |
| 81/17 82/17 85/17 85/18 | 94/20 95/2 | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 11 & 20 / 14 & 22 / 6 & 22 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 87/22 88/3 | opportunity [1] 93/6 | 24/24 28/4 $28 / 18$ 28/20 |
| obligation [12] 37/15 | opposed [1] 15/2 | 29/11 32/18 33/18 42/20 |
| 78/10 89/12 90/14 90/15 | ordinance [6] 82/6 87/19 | 48/1 54/11 61/22 64/10 |
| 91/8 91/8 91/11 91/16 | 91/23 92/13 92/14 94/17 | 64/12 $69 / 6 \quad 70 / 15$ 77/11 |
| 91/18 91/21 92/22 | ordinances [2] 90/1 91/15 | 77/14 $77 / 25$ 78/2 79/11 |
| obligations [2] 10/18 91/2 | organization [2] 40/11 | 80/25 $84 / 11$ 86/5 86/25 |
| obtain [2] 52/10 78/3 | 90/5 | 90/10 90/12 90/15 91/2 |
| obtained [1] 78/19 | organize [1] 75/5 | 91/12 92/25 93/2 93/15 |
| obviously [3] 11/17 27/15 | organized [4] 21/3 21/6 | particular [2] 65/15 80/4 |
| 51/14 | 40/9 59/9 | particularly [4] 24/16 |
| occupants [1] 23/10 | other [43] 9/16 14/13 | 31/23 65/6 68/19 |
| occupied [1] 49/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 10 & 19 / 3 & 20 / 22 & 24 / 22\end{array}$ | parts [4] 11/21 11/22 |
| occur [2] 43/8 72/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 8 & 30 / 20 & 36 / 11 & 36 / 11\end{array}$ | 33/25 42/10 |
| occurred [1] 93/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 16 & 39 / 2 & 39 / 13 & 42 / 3 & 49 / 9\end{array}$ | pass [1] 4/19 |
| occurs [6] 23/17 30/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}50 / 15 & 50 / 24 & 52 / 12 & 53 / 2\end{array}$ | passed [1] 4/18 |
| 46/16 52/21 56/20 94/22 | 53/3 $533 / 6 \begin{array}{llll} & 53 / 6 & 54 / 23 & 54 / 24\end{array}$ | past [1] 33/15 |
| ocean [20] 15/11 19/16 | $59 / 5 \quad 60 / 3 \quad 64 / 2 \quad 65 / 7 \quad 67 / 2$ | pay [1] 63/1 |
| 19/18 19/23 29/15 $29 / 15$ | 71/5 75/2 76/15 78/20 | paying [2] 51/16 66/21 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 1 & 33 / 12 & 45 / 15 & 45 / 15\end{array}$ | 80/17 81/12 81/16 83/6 | payment [4] 93/7 93/8 $63 / 9$ |
| 45/19 $52 / 18$ 52/23 $53 / 8$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 84 / 14 & 86 / 4 & 87 / 20 & 89 / 8 & 93 / 4\end{array}$ | 93/18 [4] 93/7 93/8 93/9 |
| 53/11 73/16 73/23 73/24 | 93/16 | Pelican [2] 27/17 41/24 |
| 74/2 74/5 | others [3] 14/2 21/5 32/12 | Pelican Isiand [2] 27/17 |
| oceanfront [1] 52/23 | our [18] 6/1 9/10 9/13 | 41/24 |
| of Seaside [2] 43/10 64/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 14 & 12 / 13 & 12 / 14 & 15 / 14\end{array}$ | Pennsauken [1] 30/14 |
| off [6] 7/19 8/6 26/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 16 / 23 & 18 / 9 & 31 / 23 & 36 / 4 & 43 / 1\end{array}$ | people [12] 26/18 35/25 |
| 50/21 68/9 80/3 | 54/12 57/12 76/17 88/11 | 54/2 54/9 54/14 54/24 |
| offer [1] 62/8 | 88/11 91/21 | 54/25 55/18 61/2 67/25 |
| offers [1] 62/2 | out [17] 18/10 18/21 19/15 | 68/2 69/2 |
| office [5] 31/23 81/23 | 21/24 38/16 48/21 51/20 | per [2] 47/6 73/15 |
| 86/2 86/2 86/3 | $\begin{array}{llll}56 / 1 & 56 / 1 & 56 / 6 & 61 / 12 \\ 62 / 20\end{array}$ | percent [22] 13/11 13/11 |
| offices [1] 73/16 | 64/2 $69 / 20 \quad 81 / 8 \quad 87 / 23$ 89/9 | 44/2 44/12 44/18 44/19 |
| often [1] 32/16 | outcome [4] 84/25 86/21 | $44 / 23 \quad 45 / 1 \quad 45 / 2 \quad 45 / 5 \quad 45 / 6$ |
| okay [15] $4 / 3$ 4/14 4/15 | $89 / 2090 / 12$ | $45 / 14 \quad 46 / 4 \quad 46 / 5 \quad 46 / 22$ |
| 5/16 6/11 6/18 7/2 7/18 | outlined [1] 81/17 | 47/18 48/14 48/18 49/2 |
| 14/10 $26 / 11$ 26/12 $38 / 18$ | outiying [1] 51/18 | 49/3 92/7 92/8 |
| 76/12 76/13 95/16 | outside [5] 56/12 56/19 | percentage [3] 46/24 48/17 |
| old [3] $33 / 7$ 62/23 63/1 | 57/7 72/6 73/1 | 92/2 |
| older ${ }_{\text {old }}^{\text {81/21 }}$ [3] $45 / 25$ 58/12 | $\begin{array}{cccccc} \text { over } & {[15]} & 17 / 1 & 18 / 23 & 20 / 17 \\ 22 / 16 & 34 / 13 & 34 / 14 & 35 / 24 \end{array}$ | performed [1] 44/5 period [2] 44/7 89/7 |


| P | $6 / 1 \quad 7 / 117 / 138 / 1 \quad 14 / 20$ | 86/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| permission [1] 70/5 | planners [9] 38/8 43/22 | 88/5 |
| permit [1] 5/14 | 50/2 51/6 53/19 53/20 54/1 | preserving [2] 25/2 83/5 |
| person [1] 66/17 | 54/9 54/12 | press [1] 66/7 |
| persons [1] 44/11 | planning [126] | pretty [10] 31/5 46/ |
| perspective [12] 10/20 | plans [14] 11/5 16/21 | 47/9 50/11 $51 / 15$ 58/4 |
| 10/20 10/21 16/16 26/6 | 17/21 28/20 69/10 77/8 | 71/11 72/20 77/13 94/18 |
| 29/4 40/17 40/20 49/15 | 78/9 78/18 78/25 79/5 | previously [3] 3/13 6/21 |
| 4/20 67/18 82/22 | 79/14 79/18 80/21 81/11 | 18/18 |
| petition [15] 1/5 4/6 6/6 | please [1] 4/10 | price [1] 63/1 |
| 9/4 $13 / 8$ 13/8 $133 / 1313 / 14$ | point [17] 9/21 10/7 22/13 | prices [1] 62/8 |
| 13/23 14/1 15/22 16/17 | 26/5 26/19 41/19 44/10 | pricing [7] 61/5 61/7 62/1 |
| 18/1 18/15 37/22 | 56/6 62/20 64/2 66/25 | 62/2 62/5 62/7 63/5 |
| Petitioned [1] 7/24 | 75/15 75/22 76/11 88/22 | primarily [1] 86/25 |
| petitioners [6] 2/8 8/1 | 92/13 94/21 | principles [1] 24/6 |
| 10/2 11/23 11/24 14/18 | points [1] 18/24 | printed [1] 38/16 |
|  | policies [3] 78/7 79/16 | prior [3] 15/18 91/1 91/18 |
| Petty [1] 30/15 | 86/9 | private [2] 69 |
| Petty Island [1] 30/15 | policy [1] 88/1 | privately [1] 69/18 |
| Philadelphia [1] 52/4 | policymakers [1] 36/9 | probably [21] 8/8 8/20 9/6 |
| phonetic [1] 59/13 $67 / 23$ | political [1] 34/15 |  |
| photograph [3] 67/22 67/23 | popping [1] 26/8 | 17/5 21/9 26/4 28/16 31/12 |
| $67 / 24$ | pops [1] 26/14 | $31 / 12$ 31/13 $33 / 19$ 36/7 |
| physical [7] 30/3 30/17 | population [23] 43/20 | 47/8 51/19 69/24 79/6 |
| 34/5 36/20 $39 / 5$ 53/3 55/11 | 43/24 44/1 $44 / 3$ 44/8 44/9 | proceed [2] 7/3 13/24 |
| physically [2] 29/18 29/24 | 44/13 44/13 44/17 44/18 | proceedings [1] 96/7 |
| piece [2] 19/3 35/18 | 45/6 46/3 46/5 46/10 46/13 | process [9] 8/21 10/6 |
| pieces [2] 41/25 43/3 | 49/2 49/6 49/7 50/20 50/22 | 13/24 55/4 84/21 85/21 |
| Pinelands [12] 19/14 20/8 | 55/10 65/12 71/22 | 86/5 89/16 89/17 |
| 20/12 22/24 27/24 28/6 | populations [2] 59/10 | processing [1] 64/5 |
| 34/17 71/7 71/8 71/10 | 59/11 | produced [1] 90/18 |
| 71/11 83/4 | Port [7] 32/13 32/14 32/14 | product [1] 57/5 |
| Pinewald [7] 1/7 21/14 | 32/18 32/24 32/25 32/25 | professional [1] 8/1 |
| 21/16 24/16 41/24 83/6 | Port Reading [5] 32/13 | professionals [1] 15/ |
| 85/16 | 32/14 32/14 $32 / 24$ 32/25 | program [4] 20/15 24/14 |
| place [44] 23/3 26/16 | portion [8] 24/3 29/11 | 77/15 83/7 |
| 26/17 $26 / 18$ 28/17 $28 / 20$ | 30/19 65/11 69/22 69/23 | programmatic [3] 65/25 |
| $30 / 16$ 31/9 $31 / 10$ 32/4 $33 / 3$ | 69/24 93/6 | 65/25 67/18 |
| 34/19 $34 / 19 \quad 39 / 18 \quad 40 / 22$ | portions [5] 40/7 40/7 | programs [2] 58/11 66/5 |
| 41/9 52/10 52/24 53/6 53/7 | 83/6 83/23 89/5 | project [1] 70/6 |
| 53/16 53/16 55/6 55/13 | position [1] 25/17 | projected [2] 44/8 77/20 |
| 56/10 66/1 66/2 70/7 71/13 | positive [1] 45/23 | projection [1] 34/23 |
| 74/9 74/21 75/5 77/14 | possibility [1] 57/3 | projects [2] 17/14 78/18 |
| 77/20 84/13 88/24 90/17 | possible [1] 26/13 | promote [1] 81/22 |
| 91/14 91/19 91/23 93/24 | possibly [1] 9/11 | promotion [1] 85/12 |
| 94/2 95/6 96/9 | post [6] 17/13 17/15 72/1 | pronouncing [2] 30/13 |
| places [21] 16/9 16/10 | 74/6 78/17 78/23 | 59/13 |
| 22/16 34/12 $34 / 17$ 36/17 | potential [8] 25/20 34/24 | proper [2] 32/10 33 |
| 41/9 $41 / 18$ 43/8 $50 / 25$ | 42/14 72/19 74/17 75/6 | property [3] 69/14 69/19 |
| 51/18 52/18 54/9 54/23 | 77/17 82/20 | 81/18 |
| 54/24 54/25 55/14 55/17 | potentially [3] 37/23 | proportion [2] 44/15 44/18 |
| 81/25 91/6 91/6 | 65/20 75/13 | proposals [2] 10/19 10/19 |
| plan [71] 4/16 5/22 17/20 | pre [1] 63/22 | proposed [5] 8/11 9/4 |
| 20/15 $21 / 10$ 21/12 $22 / 5$ | pre-season [1] 63/22 | 16/16 43/21 82/18 |
| 23/23 23/23 24/10 24/21 | predominant [1] 32/24 | prospect [1] 91/10 |
| 25/5 25/10 $37 / 6$ 37/11 | prepare [1] 79/13 | prospective [1] 42/23 |
| 53/24 69/9 71/8 71/11 | prepared [4] 7/22 76/19 | protect [7] 23/4 23/9 |
| 71/18 71/18 76/18 76/19 | 77/24 94/1 | 28/15 71/17 83/14 84/6 |
| 77/6 77/7 77/8 77/10 77/19 | preparedness [2] 72/1 | 88/5 |
| 77/21 77/22 77/23 78/3 | 72/24 | protecting [5] 23/12 25/1 |
| 79/1 79/2 79/11 79/22 80/4 | preseason [1] 61/11 | 25/3 70/10 84/4 |
| 80/4 80/6 80/24 80/25 81/8 | present [3] 2/11 9/10 25/9 | protection [7] 20/7 23/7 |
| 81/12 81/16 81/17 82/5 | presented [9] 11/14 11/19 |  |
| 82/10 82/12 82/14 82/19 | 12/18 14/18 14/19 37/2 | prove [1] 11/25 |
| 84/18 84/19 84/24 85/1 | 38/6 59/24 60/8 | provide [6] $14 / 15$ 58/18 |
| 86/23 87/1 87/3 87/11 | presenting [5] 11/8 11/8 | 80/17 $93 / 23$ 94/20 95/4 |
| 87/17 87/19 87/21 88/8 | $\begin{array}{lll}15 / 1 & 16 / 7 & 39 / 9\end{array}$ | provided [11] 8/2 56/25 |
| 88/9 88/15 88/25 89/24 | presently [1] 81/19 | 58/20 58/22 62/3 62/4 72/8 |
| 90/2 90/10 92/18 94/4 94/8 | presents [1] 35/18 | 91/17 $91 / 25 \quad 93 / 7 \quad 94 / 12$ |
|  planned $[2]$ $21 / 14$ $21 / 17$ <br> planner $[9]$ $2 / 12$ $2 / 13$ $2 / 14$ | $\begin{array}{ccccc} \\ \text { preservation } & {[6]} & 28 / 14 \\ 82 / 20 & 83 / 20 & 83 / 24 & 84 / 23\end{array}$ |  |


| P | 78/23 | reiterates [1] 86/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| providing [8] 24/25 24/25 | receiving recent [8] [16/25 | related [1] $25 / 1$ |
| 27/5 53/24 55/25 84/7 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { relates [4] } & 11 / 19 & 17 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 84/23 91/5 | 79/1 79/2 | 54/22 80/10 |
| provision [1] 85/3 | recently [4] 17/18 21/9 | relating [1] 16/21 |
| provisions [2] 8/14 94/17 | 25/6 92/9 | relation [1] 87/8 |
| proximate [7] 27/18 40/8 | Recess [1] 75/19 | relationship [4] 12/14 |
| 53/8 55/1 56/21 56/21 58/8 | recognition [5] 23/25 40/6 | 25/8 29/7 82/6 |
| proximity [1] 93/3 | 40/10 79/12 90/13 | relatively [7] 19/11 39/20 |
| public [7] 4/23 28/1 83/24 | recognize [2] 31/11 64/15 | 41/7 47/2 51/17 67/11 |
| 84/21 85/10 96/5 96/13 | recognized [8] $22 / 17$ 33/3 | 74/16 |
| pulled [2] 55/25 56/1 | 37/4 37/4 39/11 39/22 | relevant [1] 17/ |
| purchase [1] 57/1 | 40/11 40/12 | religious [1] 33/15 |
| pursuant [4] 73/12 82/6 | recognizing [2] 48/4 91/4 | remainder [1] 50/7 |
| 82/11 84/18 | recommendation [1] 87/7 | remember [1] 85/22 |
| purview [2] 15/7 15/8 | recommendations [4] 10/12 | render [1] 37/22 |
| put [2] 91/14 91/23 | 81/8 87/9 89/25 | rental [1] 92/6 |
| puts [1] 71/11 | recommended [3] 83/23 | rents [1] |
| Q | 86/18 87/2 | repetitive [2] 19/6 19/7 |
| qualified [1] 14/8 |  | replaced |
| qualify [1] 50/3 | recreation [13] $58 / 21$ 59/4 | 7/23 8/5 8/9 8/17 8/18 |
| quality [3] 52/16 84/5 | 59/21 60/1 60/4 60/5 68/25 | 11/17 15/14 16/2 16/6 |
| 84/12 | 69/4 69/7 69/10 70/2 83/25 |  |
| question [7] 5/5 5/17 | 85/8 | 35/13 $37 / 18$ 48/22 49/18 |
| 12/25 13/1 13/16 13/22 | recreational [1] 66/9 | 57/20 61/3 68/12 72/9 79/1 |
| 42/8 | redevelop [2] 23/20 85/5 | 81/15 83/22 85/2 86/ |
| questions [2] 9/20 15/25 | redevelopment [6] 22/5 | 86/17 86/22 86/23 87/23 |
| quick [3] 13/7 13/16 18/17 | 37/6 77/8 83/13 86/14 | 88/16 88/23 94/1 |
| quickly [3] 27/3 80/21 | 92/18 | Reporter [1] 96/5 |
| 84/17 | redistri | REPORTERS [1] 1/2 |
| quirk [1] 74/ | reduce [2] 46/8 81/20 | reports [2] 15/22 78/18 |
| quite [2] [lllll | reducing [1] 85/19 | representation [1] 81/6 |
| quote [5] 38/25 39/1 73/19 | reduction [1] 85/10 | represented [2] 20/11 |
| 74/6 85/1 | reemphasis [1] 35/23 | 44/12 |
| R | reexamination [8] 79 | represent |
| Rahway [1] 40/7 | 17 | /8 |
| railroads [2] 21 | reexaminations [1] 77/7 | requested [2] |
| range [6] 31/25 55/17 | refamence [3] referen | requested [2] |
| 55/25 56/24 63/5 74/19 | 38/23 | require [1] 40/16 |
| rapidly [1] 63/2 | referenced [3] 7/23 8/4 | required [4] 10/6 50/12 |
| rapped [1] 70/23 | 11/18 | 84/18 92/1 |
| ratables [2] 24/18 82/25 | references [1] 15/20 | requirement [5] 82/10 |
| rate [2] 46/16 95/13 | referencing [1] 29/20 | 91/24 $92 / 7 \quad 92 / 14 \quad 94 / 24$ |
| rates [8] 60/9 65/12 65/13 | referred [1] 22/13 | requirements [6] 11/3 |
| 65/18 65/20 66/15 66/22 | refusal [3] 12/1 12/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 11 / 12 & 11 / 15 & 65 / 2 & 82 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 67/19 | 12/19 | 82/12 |
| rather [1] 65/12 | regard [3] 11/9 40/5 57/21 | resident [2] 45/6 62/13 |
| rationale [1] 34/7 |  | residential [7] 5/11 48/1 |
| reach [2] 72/21 72/23 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { regards [18] } & 10 / 12 \text { 13/8 }\end{array}$ | $91 / 25 \quad 93 / 20 \quad 94 / 7 \quad 94 / 19$ |
| reaches [1] 84/8 |  | $95 / 3$ |
| read [7] 4/22 4/25 7/13 | 25/17 26/22 30/6 42/16 | residents [34] 12/6 12/22 |
| 22/8 22/9 38/18 73/13 | 44/20 45/21 $49 / 10$ 60/18 | 14/2 14/3 23/10 26/21 |
| reading [8] $32 / 13$ 32/14 | 63/10 68/15 68/18 71/2 |  |
| 32/14 32/18 $32 / 24$ 32/25 | region [6] $23 / 14 \quad 51 / 9$ 52/4 | 44/22 44/23 45/3 45/24 |
| 32/25 48/21 | 52/5 57/16 84/9 | 47/21 50/23 60/11 60/16 |
| reaffirmed [1] 82/17 | regional [2] 79/15 88/3 | $60 / 1762 / 362 / 464 / 2365 / 1$ |
| real [6] 49/23 50/22 75/3 | Register [1] 33/17 | $65 / 14 \quad 65 / 14 \quad 65 / 23 \quad 66 / 2$ |
| 77/24 86/9 88/7 | registered [1] 13/12 | 67/4 68/5 75/8 75/9 75/14 |
| really [20] 10/17 10/19 | regular [1] 39/25 | 78/15 80/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 21 & 13 / 5 & 19 / 1 & 20 / 3 & 26 / 18\end{array}$ | regularly [1] 30/2 | resiliency [5] 17/12 76/8 |
| 27/11 36/24 39/11 42/10 | regulating [1] 89/16 | $80 / 188 / 13 \quad 95 / 6$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 3 & 50 / 1 & 51 / 4 & 55 / 24 & 65 / 8 \\ 68 / 3 & 70 / 3 & 93 / 15 & 94 / 14\end{array}$ | regulations [7] 23/5 23/7 | resolution [6] 4/16 4/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 3 & 70 / 3 & 93 / 15 & 94 / 14\end{array}$ | 79/21 81/18 89/3 89/6 | 5/1 5/8 5/20 79/3 |
| reason [3] $19 / 7$ 67/1 $81 / 3$ | 89/10 | resort [1] 49/12 |
| reasonable ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ [1] 50/15 reasons [2] 12/17 | regulatory [3] 22/20 23/15 | resource [5] 68/5 71/16 |
| ceasons [2] $12 / 17$ 20/2 | 34/15 | 75/3 75/4 81/1 |
| building [1] 74/7 ceive [1] 87/17 ceived [3] 17/16 45/3 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { rehabilitate [1] } & 81 / 21 \\ \text { rehabilitation [4] } & 22 / 6 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { resources [6] } & 23 / 4 & 23 / 13 \\ 25 / 2 & 42 / 18 & 42 / 21 & 83 / 3\end{array}$ |


| R |  | 29/17 29/24 40/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| respects [1] 32/4 | same $56 / 20$ | ${ }_{\text {separation }}^{\text {30/18 } 34 / 5}$ |
| respond [1] 72/24 | 64/22 66/22 95/1 | septic [1] 81/20 |
| responding [3] 37/12 48/3 | Sand [1] 68/2 | Sergeant [1] 60/1 |
| 73/9 | Sands [11] 59/25 61/23 | series [3] 14/16 15/20 |
| response [1] 81/8 | 64/8 $64 / 10 \quad 64 / 17$ 65/1 | 38/23 |
| responsibility [3] 10/16 | 65/16 65/23 66/2 66/13 | served [1] 81/19 |
| 18/4 91/12 | 67/20 | serves [1] 59 |
| rest [5] 29/18 33/20 33/22 | Sandy [13] 17/13 17/15 | service [3] 57/5 59/19 |
| 46/3 46/18 | 25/16 42/25 72/1 72/11 | 60/5 |
| restart [1] 4/4 | 73/7 73/9 73/19 74/7 74/13 | services [9] 53/13 55/2 |
| restrictions [2] 23/2 | 78/17 78/24 | 56/8 57/9 58/6 59/19 60/6 |
| 71/12 | satisfied [4] 90/16 90/24 | 85/10 88/8 |
| result [2] 49/2 84/2 | 91/1 91/16 | set |
| retail [4] 5/15 56/23 | say [4] 17/1 19/21 65/19 | 82/4 82/18 92/1 $92 / 7$ 96/9 |
| 56/24 81/23 | 66/6 | sets [3] 19/4 36/16 43/20 |
| retain [1] 66/4 | saying [2] 38/16 92/3 | setting [1] 43/13 |
| retained [2] 64/25 65/22 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { school } & {[5]} & 34 / 22 & 34 / 25\end{array}$ | settlement [4] 90/3 91/2 |
| review [10] 8/22 10/18 | 35/2 $35 / 3$ 35/4 | 91/14 92/11 |
| 17/6 18/7 $18 / 8$ 18/18 76/17 | schools [1] 39/4 | seven [2] 29/20 49/3 |
| 79/20 85/24 89/1 | score [1] 81/4 | seven percent [1] 49/3 |
| reviewing [1] 11/5 | scored [1] 81/2 | several [3] 31/13 32/12 |
| revision [1] 40/16 | sea [1] 25/18 | 36/7 |
| Richard [1] 1/14 | SEASIDE [122] | sewer [1] 85/11 |
| Ride [1] 15/11 | Seaside Park [30] 10/5 | shape [1] 76/15 |
| Ridge [6] 21/23 41/24 59/2 | 61/19 61/24 62/6 62/13 | share [8] 78/9 88/14 88/25 |
| 59/2 59/3 59/7 | 62/18 62/20 62/21 63/2 | 90/4 90/11 90/25 91/3 |
| right [13] 4/24 5/2 5/23 | 63/9 63/13 63/17 63/20 | 91/20 |
| 9/7 15/5 16/4 18/25 48/21 | 63/21 63/25 64/12 64/13 | shark [1] 33/10 |
| 67/17 69/24 70/20 95/9 | 64/17 65/10 65/22 66/21 | shopping [9] 53/13 55/2 |
| 95/11 | 70/16 70/16 73/4 73/13 | 56/8 56/11 56/15 56/20 |
| right-of-way [2] 69/24 | 73/15 73/17 73/20 74/8 | 56/21 56/22 57/9 |
| 70/20 | 74/12 | shore [2] 21/5 53/15 |
| rights [8] 20/14 24/13 | season [2] 49/12 63/22 | shorefront [4] 19/18 19/18 |
| 24/15 24/24 66/4 77/15 | seasonal [6] 47/11 47/12 | 20/10 49/11 |
| 78/21 83/7 | 49/11 61/10 63/14 63/19 | shoreline [5] 19/22 28/15 |
| riparian [1] 69/19 | second [5] 5/16 76/2 81/15 | 68/16 70/5 70/19 |
| rise [1] 25/18 | 91/18 95/21 | Shores [1] 41/19 |
| River [12] 2/4 29/15 31/17 | Secretary [1] 2/12 | short [1] 4/11 |
| 33/10 73/21 73/22 73/23 | section [17] 16/5 16/12 | shortly [1] 87/18 |
| 73/25 74/1 74/2 74/3 74/5 | 32/7 32/11 $32 / 25$ 33/6 | should [4] 11/10 19/21 |
| road [3] $1 / 7$ 2/4 85/9 | 33/10 $33 / 12$ 38/20 49/17 | 64/2 84 |
| roadway [1] 86/12 | 49/18 68/12 75/23 76/2 | show [1] 69 |
| Robert [1] 1/12 | 76/3 81/14 85/16 | showed [1] 67/24 |
| Roberts [1] 59/25 | sections [5] 16/3 18/13 | shown [1] 35/12 |
| Rodney [1] 2/13 | 34/1 76/10 77/1 | shows [1] 35/13 |
| role [3] 10/16 18/3 83/1 | see [13] 19/2 21/14 21/15 | Siena [1] 74/10 |
| room [1] 31/12 | 21/16 $28 / 8$ 31/5 $38 / 1 \quad 38 / 9$ | signatories [1] 13/23 |
| rooms [4] 47/6 47/14 48/11 | 39/8 65/4 66/23 72/18 80/9 | signatures [1] 14/8 |
| 48/12 31 | seen [1] 66/23 |  |
| ROSI [3] 69/2 69/3 69/6 | senior [30] 19/15 21/22 | signers [1] 6/6 |
| round [4] 91/1 91/7 91/18 | 28/2 28/6 41/21 55/9 55/9 | significant [20] |
| 91/19 | 58/3 58/6 58/6 58/11 58/11 | 20/11 20/20 23/1 28/12 |
| Route [3] 21/9 24/22 72/22 | 58/14 58/17 58/22 58/23 |  |
| Route Nine [1] 21/9 | 59/5 59/6 59/8 59/18 60/12 | 52/14 58/4 66/10 66/11 |
| run [1] 59/8 | 61/15 62/9 63/11 64/3 | 67/12 68/14 71/1 71/12 |
| rura7 [1] 54/7 | 65/11 65/13 65/14 67/20 | 73/2 79/6 89/5 |
| S | 74/6 | significantly [7] 29/8 |
|  | seniors [11] 59/22 61/21 | 39/21 51/19 61/20 74/13 |
| sacrifice [7] 42/11 42/13 | 61/22 62/18 $63 / 10$ 63/13 | 74/14 86/17 |
| 53/1 $43 / 11$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}63 / 20 & 63 / 24 & 64 / 18 & 65 / 6\end{array}$ | Silver [6] 21/23 41/24 |
| acrificed [1] 42/17 | sense [9] 8/18 $40 / 11 \quad 40 / 21$ | silver Ri |
| sacrificing [1] 37/14 | 41/5 $42 / 4 \begin{array}{lllllll} & 42 / 5 & 43 / 7 & 47 / 9\end{array}$ | similar [4] 62/16 63/5 |
| safety [2] 23/11 28/1 | $51 / 15$ | 63/14 72/12 |
| said [14] 14/12 14/20 | sensitive [4] 20/9 24/15 | similarly [1] 42/17 |
| 23/18 25/6 29/5 29/12 | 77/18 83/2 | simple [1] 54/7 |
| $32 / 17$ 38/22 48/22 48/25 | sensitivity [1] 84/3 | simply [3] 34/4 40/1 54/16 |
| 49/4 55/22 61/4 78/13 | separate [3] 27/18 57/14 | since [2] 77/6 77/6 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Saint }[1] & 74 / 9 \\ \text { sale }[1] & 92 / 8 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{llll}77 / 7 \\ \text { separated [5] } & 29 / 8 & 29 / 9\end{array}\right]$ | single $[6]$ $47 / 16$ $47 / 19$ <br> $47 / 24$ $48 / 15$ $48 / 20$ $94 / 10$ |

sip [1] 21/20
site [2] 93/7 93/17
sitting [1] 19/11
situation [3] 29/13 29/14 35/16
six [2] 82/14 92/5
skip [2] 18/23 38/2
Slachetka [4] 2/14 3/3 7/4 7/8

## SLOSH [1] 72/14 <br> sma11 [3] 39/21 51/17 94/11

smal1er [5] 33/24 39/21 47/5 47/13 47/15
Smart [1] 86/2
so [113]
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { social } & {[3]} & 12 / 5 & 12 / 21\end{array}$ 42/11
socialize [1] 55/18 socioeconomic [1] 36/19
some [49] $5 / 18 \quad 8 / 98 / 20$ $\begin{array}{llll}8 / 23 & 8 / 25 & 14 / 13 & 15 / 4 \\ 16 / 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 8 & 16 / 17 & 16 / 21 & 16 / 25\end{array}$ 17/11 17/12 18/10 18/21 18/23 19/2 21/2 21/15 28/13 $29 / 19 \quad 30 / 17 \quad 30 / 23$ $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 4 & 32 / 4 & 34 / 18 & 34 / 18 & 36 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 9 & 43 / 14 & 43 / 17 & 43 / 20\end{array}$ 47/7 50/12 57/19 57/20 59/12 64/9 68/17 69/2 72/13 80/22 81/7 81/7 81/15 89/25 90/1 93/4
somebody [3] 35/2 35/17 62/8
someplace [3] 56/13 57/4 57/4
something [8] 5/18 11/9 37/20 37/25 57/18 60/11 60/15 70/12
sometimes [6] 19/6 32/9 36/18 36/19 39/15 41/17 somewhat [2] 27/17 44/17 Sorry [2] 38/11 67/8
sort [21] 8/8 15/5 18/17 20/24 20/24 21/6 32/21 $\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 2 & 41 / 6 & 50 / 1 & 50 / 19 & 53 / 21\end{array}$ 69/14 69/15 70/23 74/19 75/21 78/1 79/9 83/20 84/17
 80/18 88/2
sounds [1] 18/24
sources [1] 78/20
south [88] $1 / 4$ 1/24 $4 / 5$ $5 / 22$ 6/5 7/24 9/25 12/7 $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 12 & 17 / 8 & 17 / 20 & 19 / 17\end{array}$ 19/19 20/21 21/11 22/12 $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 1 & 26 / 21 & 27 / 15 & 29 / 7 & 31 / 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 2 & 33 / 5 & 35 / 4 & 41 / 25 & 42 / 15\end{array}$ 42/16 $42 / 17$ 43/6 44/1 44/11 44/16 44/16 44/21 44/23 45/5 45/10 45/13 45/17 46/2 46/7 46/20 47/4 47/18 47/22 48/11 48/15 49/1 50/6 50/23 52/17 53/18 58/9 58/19 58/25 59/24 60/10 60/16 61/6 61/16 63/23 64/13 65/24 $\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 17 & 70 / 15 & 71 / 3 & 72 / 4 & 75 / 9\end{array}$ 75/14 79/4 79/10 80/2

80/10 80/13 81/2 84/10
$84 / 1485 / 15 \quad 85 / 2086 / 18$ 87/6 87/10 88/21 89/23 92/23 94/3 94/13 94/22 south Seaside [78] 4/5 5/22 6/5 7/24 9/25 12/7 $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 12 & 17 / 8 & 17 / 20 & 19 / 17\end{array}$
19/19 20/21 21/11 22/12
26/1 26/21 $27 / 15 \quad 29 / 7$
41/25 42/15 42/16 42/17
43/6 44/1 44/11 44/16
44/16 44/21 44/23 45/5
45/10 45/13 45/17 46/2
46/7 46/20 47/4 47/18
47/22 48/11 48/15 49/1
50/6 50/23 52/17 53/18
58/9 58/19 59/24 60/10
60/16 61/6 61/16 65/24
68/17 70/15 71/3 72/4 75/9
75/14 79/4 79/10 80/2
80/10 80/13 81/2 84/10
84/14 85/15 85/20 86/18
87/10 88/21 89/23 92/23
94/3 94/13 94/22
space [7] 68/25 69/4 69/7
69/9 70/2 85/8 86/12
speaking [2] 15/24 21/20
specific [12] 9/20 18/14
$\begin{array}{llll}32 / 2 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 3 & 34 / 20 \\ 48 / 6\end{array}$ 48/6 57/5 68/17 77/1 87/1 specifically [14] 11/20 23/22 49/10 51/11 54/22
60/7 60/12 75/9 80/2 80/9
84/10 85/1 87/11 88/18
spectrum [2] 60/3 60/3
spe11ed [1] 87/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { spend } & {[3]} & 31 / 13 & 33 / 19\end{array}$ 33/22
spent [1] 36/14
sponsored [1] 59/23
sprawling [1] 31/24
square [1] 19/13
St [1] 33/2
stabilization [1] 70/5
stabilized [1] 70/9
Stan [4] 7/2 7/7 7/7 38/14
standard [8] $\quad 39 / 25$ 61/13
61/15 62/17 $\quad 63 / 8 \quad 63 / 19$
63/22 65/12
standards [1] 85/12
standpoint [7] 9/4 42/24
43/5 50/20 53/5 59/21
67/18
Stanley [4] 2/14 3/3 7/4 7/8
start [3] 8/7 40/19 76/7 started [1] 4/7
starting [2] 26/5 26/19
starts [4] 40/18 60/25
76/1 76/17
stat [1] 48/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { state } & {[37]} & 8 / 1 & 15 / 13 & 19 / 19\end{array}$
21/1 $21 / 13$ 22/1 23/13
$\begin{array}{llll}23 / 23 & 24 / 1 & 24 / 6 & 24 / 21\end{array}$
27/16 30/2 $31 / 7 \quad 66 / 14$
66/15 66/16 66/16 66/22
67/14 68/1 69/22 78/4
78/20 78/22 79/14 79/15
82/11 84/24 85/24 85/25
87/17 88/2 89/8 89/15 96/5
96/13
statement [6] 79/17 82/9
$82 / 16 \quad 84 / 25 \quad 85 / 3 \quad 86 / 8$
statewide [3] 15/9 24/5 78/7
statistic [1] 45/23
statistics [2] 43/15 47/8
statutory [8] 9/5 $10 / 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}11 / 12 & 11 / 15 & 11 / 16 & 16 / 4\end{array}$ 16/18 18/8
stays [1] 64/11
stenographically [1] 96/8 step [4] 75/16 80/5 80/16 85/21
stewardship [3] 20/1 83/2 84/1
stil1 [2] 6/8 84/6
stipulated [1] 5/9
stock [9] 46/19 46/23 47/4
47/25 $49 / 4$ 49/5 49/8 81/22
85/13
stop [1] 95/6
stops [4] 58/17 58/20 58/25 59/14
storm [9] 72/1 72/10 72/16 72/16 72/19 72/25 73/10 75/1 75/11
storms [4] 72/12 74/18
75/2 83/8
strategic [1] 85/11
Street [3] 68/19 69/17
73/21
strong [3] 35/4 40/4 40/24
stronger [1] 40/24
Stuart [1] 2/13
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { study } & {[2]} & 86 / 18 & 87 / 7 \\ \text { stuff } & {[2]} & 9 / 8 & 43 / 7\end{array}$
subdistrict [1] 32/15
subdivided [1] 41/18
subject [4] 11/7 65/2 89/3 90/3
submitted [1] 88/25
subsections [1] 34/2
substantial [13] 13/3 $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 14 & 19 / 17 & 20 / 17 & 20 / 18\end{array}$ 22/25 46/24 51/16 55/17 71/11 72/20 76/22 83/23
substantially [2] 72/9 94/6
substantive [1] 13/22
substituted [1] 42/14
suburban [3] 51/20 51/25 54/7
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { such }[10] & 10 / 19 & 15 / 9 & 16 / 10\end{array}$ 21/4 $39 / 5$ 39/6 $50 / 13 \quad 69 / 8$ 74/18 76/9
suggesting [1] 36/10
SULLIVAN [2] 1/23 96/4
SULLIVAN-HILL [2] $1 / 23$ 96/4
summary [2] 11/14 11/19
summer [1] 60/5
supermarket [2] 56/16 56/17
Superstorm [7] 25/16 42/25 72/11 73/6 73/9 73/19 74/13
Superstorm Sandy [3] 25/16 42/25 72/11
support [6] $37 / 8 \quad 37 / 8 \quad 37 / 9$ 42/18 42/22 72/24
Supreme [1] 89/13
sure [2] $33 / 20 \quad 37 / 16$
surge [2] 72/10 75/1

| S | 56/8 57/8 58/2 58/7 58/8 | 50/12 51/22 53/6 53/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 58/13 58/17 59/17 60/2 | 53/14 $53 / 15$ 54/8 54/23 |
| surges [1] 72/19 | 60/4 60/16 63/5 66/9 66/19 | 54/25 55/4 56/7 56/13 |
| SURMAN [2] 2/6 6/4 | 71/18 $72 / 23$ 73/8 74/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 17 & 57 / 11 & 58 / 3 & 58 / 4\end{array}$ |
| surrounding [1] 85/6 | 75/2 75/11 $75 / 22$ 81/5 83/1 | 58/16 $58 / 16$ 59/14 $61 / 24$ |
| survey [5] 44/4 44/5 44/25 | 83/11 $84 / 3 \quad 87 / 22 \quad 88 / 19$ | 62/13 63/10 63/12 63/14 |
| 46/4 48/10 | 89/21 89/22 94/3 | 63/17 64/16 65/3 66/10 |
| Sussex [1] 31/2 | testified [1] 7/5 | 66/25 67/2 67/7 67/10 |
| sustain [1] 23/20 | testifying [1] 7/21 | 67/21 67/22 68/3 68/14 |
| sustainable [2] 20/7 74/16 | testimony [33] 6/1 7/12 | 68/17 68/20 69/11 70/11 |
| sustaining [1] 37/9 |  $8 / 9$ $8 / 10$ $8 / 20$ $8 / 23$ <br> $/ 25$     | 70/11 70/25 $72 / 2 \quad 74 / 11$ |
| sworn [1] 7/4 | 9/20 9/22 12/12 14/24 | 76/6 77/7 77/13 78/8 80/25 |
| system [2] 85/8 92/13 | 14/25 15/1 $15 / 18$ 16/8 $25 / 8$ | 84/16 84/20 85/23 86/16 |
| systems [1] 81/20 | 26/20 52/16 52/16 56/7 | 86/23 89/6 92/12 92/21 |
| T | 57/21 58/10 59/12 66/11 | 93/5 93/23 95/2 |
| 1 | 68/15 68/15 71/1 71/2 71/2 | there'11 [1] 16/7 |
| T's [1] 26/8 | 78/10 88/16 94/1 95/17 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { there's } & {[73]}\end{array}$ |
| T-32 [2] 4/2 8/5 | than [15] 39/21 42/3 45/7 | 9/20 9/21 14/13 14/16 |
| table [7] 15/19 43/13 60/8 | 45/14 46/12 $46 / 13$ 46/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll} & 15 / 15 & 15 / 25 & 17 / 7 & 22 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 60/20 60/21 64/3 76/1 | 48/17 48/22 56/22 62/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 10 & 23 / 15 & 26 / 9 & 30 / 11\end{array}$ |
| take [11] 9/9 21/20 23/3 | 63/3 65/12 91/17 93/16 | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 15 & 30 / 17 & 30 / 21 & 32 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 26/3 55/6 66/1 71/13 72/7 | thank [9] 5/23 5/24 6/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 5 & 33 / 5 & 33 / 6 & 35 / 12 & 35 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 77/20 88/11 94/5 | 6/25 7/1 7/6 7/18 14/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 23 & 36 / 19 & 36 / 20 & 38 / 1\end{array}$ |
| taken [5] 23/23 75/19 80/5 | 95/16 | 38/5 $42 / 9$ 46/1 46/20 50/10 |
| 93/24 96/8 | that [566] | 55/7 56/13 56/24 57/19 |
| takes [1] 84/12 | that's [70] 5/24 6/11 7/11 | 58/12 59/19 59/19 60/8 |
| taking [9] 28/17 30/16 | 8/5 9/25 $11 / 17$ 13/5 14/11 | 60/19 61/6 61/7 61/8 61/9 |
| 31/10 $66 / 180 / 8 \quad 80 / 16$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 24 & 15 / 6 & 16 / 23 & 18 / 5 & 20 / 5\end{array}$ | 61/10 61/10 61/11 61/17 |
| 84/15 91/19 94/2 | 24/24 $25 / 22$ 26/18 $29 / 11$ | 61/20 62/6 62/18 62/19 |
| talk [34] 16/13 16/19 | 29/12 30/19 31/10 31/24 | 63/4 63/16 63/24 64/5 64/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 23 & 16 / 24 & 17 / 22 & 17 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} & 32 / 15 & 36 / 8 & 38 / 18 & 38 / 20\end{array}$ | 64/9 64/16 69/12 69/21 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 8 & 18 / 19 & 25 / 10 & 25 / 21\end{array}$ | 38/21 41/8 $42 / 13$ 43/1 | 69/22 70/7 73/11 76/9 |
| 26/15 $28 / 7 \quad 28 / 18$ 40/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 43 / 14 & 44 / 5 & 44 / 24 & 45 / 4 & 45 / 4\end{array}$ | 77/19 87/21 $92 / 15$ 92/17 |
| 40/21 43/19 49/18 50/16 | 45/12 $48 / 1$ 51/3 $52 / 5$ 52/20 | 94/23 95/10 |
| 51/1 $51 / 3$ 53/22 $53 / 23$ 54/1 |  | thereafter [1] 87/18 |
| 60/7 60/13 60/19 68/11 | $\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 24 & 57 / 3 & 57 / 14 & 57 / 18\end{array}$ | therefore [5] 45/13 46/6 |
| 71/23 76/5 77/25 79/25 | 58/18 59/20 60/8 61/14 | 67/4 89/17 92/12 |
| 80/1 82/22 88/11 | 61/22 64/3 64/6 67/11 | thereof [1] 68/22 |
| talked [10] 18/10 28/3 | 69/25 70/12 72/8 73/11 | these [32] 19/2 22/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 16 & 40 / 25 ~ 58 / 1 & 58 / 3 & 77 / 9\end{array}$ | 75/25 76/1 76/11 76/18 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 22 / 18 & 22 / 22 & 23 / 6 & 23 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 77/11 83/5 84/19 | 82/21 87/13 $90 / 21$ 93/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 6 & 31 / 19 & 31 / 20 & 36 / 17\end{array}$ |
| talking [22] 12/12 17/12 | 93/15 94/25 94/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll} & 36 / 21 & 39 / 12 & 39 / 15 & 39 / 15\end{array}$ |
| 19/25 $22 / 3 \quad 25 / 15$ 31/9 | their [21] 10/3 23/11 25/8 | 41/8 $41 / 20 \quad 41 / 25 \quad 47 / 8 \quad 48 / 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}31 / 13 & 34 / 22 & 35 / 2 & 36 / 16\end{array}$ |  | 51/5 51/7 $52 / 22$ 52/25 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}37 / 17 & 39 / 17 & 41 / 23 & 43 / 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 10 & 37 / 13 & 40 / 6 & 40 / 6\end{array}$ | 54/11 $58 / 2 \quad 59 / 9 \quad 70 / 22$ |
| 49/20 $68 / 12$ 68/13 $74 / 22$ | 40/23 $42 / 19$ 45/5 55/3 | 74/21 76/17 78/16 84/5 |
| 75/13 75/24 76/13 86/19 | 65/17 74/14 $89 / 3$ 89/6 | 85/17 |
| tax [4] 21/15 21/15 69/12 | 89/16 94/8 | they [38] 13/22 14/5 14/7 |
| 69/12 | them [13] $13 / 24 \quad 21 / 3$ 31/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 23 & 22 / 16 & 23 / 18 & 23 / 18\end{array}$ |
| TDR [10] 77/16 77/21 77/25 | 32/2 $37 / 8 \quad 37 / 9 \quad 42 / 22 \quad 47 / 9$ | 25/20 $31 / 21$ 31/21 $32 / 16$ |
| 78/1 78/21 83/5 87/4 87/19 | 52/19 52/20 64/7 64/21 | 32/21 $33 / 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 33 / 3 & 38 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 87/19 88/6 | 79/8 | 38/25 $42 / 4 \quad 52 / 19$ 52/19 |
| technical [1] 54/4 | theme [1] 83/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}54 / 3 & 55 / 4 & 62 / 4 & 62 / 7 & 62 / 10\end{array}$ |
| technically [1] 69/25 | themselves [5] 23/20 37/10 | 62/11 64/6 64/23 65/15 |
| te11 [1] 35/1 | $37 / 13 \quad 51 / 17 \quad 55 / 10$ | $66 / 3 \quad 81 / 4 \quad 86 / 17,87 / 20$ |
|  | then [45] $14 / 23$ 18/7 $19 / 4$ | 89/14 $89 / 17$ 92/7 $93 / 8 \quad 94 / 5$ |
| ten [11] 38/14 38/16 38/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 22 / 3 & 26 / 7 & 28 / 2 & 30 / 11 & 31 / 3\end{array}$ | 94/23 |
| 61/17 61/25 62/11 62/14 | 36/13 $36 / 13$ 37/24 41/21 | they'd [1] 92/8 |
| 62/17 63/9 64/5 73/21 |  | they' 11 [1] 65/16 |
| ten dollars [5] 61/17 | 57/3 59/3 59/4 60/2 61/9 | they're [15] 29/2 29/23 |
| 61/25 62/11 62/14 62/17 | $61 / 1163 / 4 \quad 63 / 13 \quad 63 / 18$ | $31 / 19 \quad 31 / 20 \quad 36 / 19 \quad 45 / 7$ |
| ten-dollar [2] 63/9 64/5 | 64/1 65/1 69/22 76/6 76/7 | 45/22 $47 / 2 \quad 47 / 5 \quad 47 / 24$ |
| term [1] 54/4 | 76/10 79/21 80/21 84/10 | 66/16 72/5 72/6 72/20 |
| terminology [1] 49/25 | 85/21 86/6 86/8 86/21 87/6 | 87/23 |
| terms [61] 11/4 12/13 | 87/16 87/18 88/10 91/2 | they've [2] 10/2 13/23 |
| $15 / 24 \quad 23 / 2 \quad 25 / 8 \quad 25 / 11$ | 91/14 95/6 | thing [9] 39/13 47/15 |
| 25/24 $26 / 1$ 26/20 $27 / 22$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { there [84] } & 5 / 10 & 5 / 18 & 5 / 19\end{array}$ | 56/20 62/5 65/19 66/6 |
| 28/14 $31 / 7 \begin{array}{llll} & 34 / 16 & 35 / 19\end{array}$ | 13/25 $21 / 16$ 23/15 27/2 | 66/19 68/11 88/10 |
| 37/13 $39 / 9$ 39/12 39/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 27 / 8 & 28 / 8 & 29 / 19 & 30 / 18\end{array}$ | things [26] 15/4 15/10 |
| $43 / 23$ 44/17 47/10 47/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 30 / 22 & 30 / 22 & 33 / 2 & 34 / 1 & 40 / 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrr} \\ 15 / 15 & 18 / 10 & 20 / 2 & 27 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 50/6 50/17 $51 / 6 \quad 52 / 22 \quad 53 / 2$ | 41/2 $44 / 10 \quad 44 / 13$ 45/18 |  |
| 53/24 54/12 55/21 56/4 | 47/12 $47 / 13$ 47/15 48/23 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 51 / 7 & 52 / 9 & 52 / 12 & 54 / 11 & 56 / 9\end{array}$ |


| T | throughout [2] 20/22 60/6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| things... [10] 57/1 57/12 | thursday [1] ${ }^{\text {theos }}$ (1/8 |  |
| 61/12 70/23 70/24 79/12 | tideland [1] 69/21 | 27/12 27/22 40/3 42/10 |
| 81/16 82/21 83/9 86/20 | tie [2] 18/5 75/4 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 43 / 3 & 44 / 12 & 44 / 19 & 49 / 2\end{array}$ |
| think [58] 7/16 8/8 8/16 | tied [1] 5/19 | 61/18 69/12 76/20 77/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}8 / 19 & 9 / 17 & 10 / 14 & 11 / 6 & 11 / 9\end{array}$ | time [32] $9 / 10$ 11/13 $11 / 13$ | 90/16 |
| 11/18 $11 / 21$ 17/3 18/6 19/1 | 14/3 22/16 $28 / 13$ 32/21 | two percent [1] 49/2 |
| 25/23 $26 / 4{ }^{26 / 18} 86 / 22$ | $36 / 15 \quad 36 / 25 \quad 43 / 25 \quad 44 / 7$ | two-dollar [1] 61/18 |
| 27/1 $27 / 3$ 29/23 $29 / 24 \quad 30 / 5$ |  | type [3] 23/2 49/13 72/17 |
|  | 53/22 67/1 73/19 75/3 | types [10] 21/6 22/17 |
| 37/24 39/13 41/15 42/9 | 75/22 81/20 82/5 85/22 | 34/20 $37 / 7$ 39/4 41/12 47/3 |
| 43/1 43/17 43/20 45/22 | 86/3 87/4 88/13 88/23 89/7 | 56/22 61/6 75/2 |
| 47/20 48/21 50/16 52/19 | 94/21 95/7 95/18 96/8 | typically [1] 70/12 |
| 56/7 57/18 60/14 65/8 | times [3] 15/13 32/5 52/2 | U |
| 7/11 67/12 67/21 68/3 | today [2] 78/11 79/2 | U |
| 68/6 73/14 75/22 76/8 | together [4] 8/19 42/4 | ULAKY [1] 2/3 |
| 76/11 80/11 80/12 86/2 | 79/8 88/13 | ultimate [3] 89/20 90/12 |
| 88/21 95/5 95/12 | Toms [9] 29/15 73/21 73/22 | 91/13 |
| thinking [3] 43/21 48/23 | 73/23 73/25 74/1 74/2 74/3 | ultimately [5] 22/21 84/8 |
| 67/23 | 74/5 | 89/1 89/5 89/13 |
| third [1] 91/7 | Toms River [8] 29/15 73/21 | under [13] 11/22 15/16 |
| this [88] 7/12 7/22 7/22 | 73/22 73/23 73/25 74/2 | 19/9 48/9 61/12 |
| $8 / 9$ $8 / 17$ <br> $8 / 17$ $9 / 15$ <br> $1 / 19$  | 74/3 74/5 | 62/23 67/16 67/17 69/21 |
| 10/7 11/6 11/24 12/7 12/12 | tonight [1] 89/25 | 70/12 70/13 94/17 |
| 14/17 15/23 17/19 18/2 | too [9] 22/15 41/3 41/4 | undermines [1] 56/2 |
| 18/5 19/8 $21 / 191926 / 5 \quad 26 / 11$ | 56/6 59/16 62/1 62/20 | underpins [1] 50/1 |
| 26/16 29/25 30/9 31/12 | 78/14 95/13 | underscores [2] $22 / 15$ 68/4 |
| 33/20 33/22 36/14 36/14 | took [5] 32/3 70/7 74/9 | understand [16] 6/14 8/17 |
| 40/17 40/21 40/21 43/12 | 77/14 90/17 | 9/18 $14 / 4 \begin{array}{lllll}19 / 8 & 19 / 12 & 29 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 43/20 44/7 45/22 48/8 | tools [1] 29/1 | 39/14 51/6 52/3 54/5 67/13 |
| 48/16 48/17 49/17 49/20 | top [2] 34/9 34/14 | 68/24 73/15 78/15 80/12 |
| 50/4 50/24 51/15 53/20 | topography [1] 39/7 | understanding [7] 9/12 |
| 53/22 57/16 57/22 57/24 | tourists [1] 49/12 | 11/1 19/2 31/14 49/25 |
| 58/1 58/10 59/16 60/11 | towards [3] 41/3 47/23 | 54/13 55/5 |
| 60/21 66/7 68/11 68/12 | 85/4 | undertaken [1] 82/9 |
| 70/22 70/22 71/24 73/7 | town [8] 24/19 24/20 33/4 | undertook [1] 70/5 |
| 73/12 73/19 74/9 76/11 | 56/12 74/6 77/8 82/1 85/5 | unemployed [3] 46/1 46/6 |
| 76/21 77/9 78/18 80/3 | townhomes [1] 5/10 | 46/9 |
| 80/14 80/14 82/23 84/5 | township [213] | unemployment [2] 46/1 |
| 85/14 85/16 85/21 85/22 | township's [25] 6/8 8/21 | 46/16 |
| 87/2 87/12 87/13 89/16 | 15/16 43/24 44/3 44/9 | unincorporated [2] 31/15 |
| 89/21 93/25 93/25 94/1 | 44/12 45/19 46/5 46/23 | 31/19 |
| 95/12 95/18 | 49/4 56/23 58/11 67/16 | unique [7] 29/13 29/14 |
| thoroughly [1] 19/12 | 67/17 68/21 71/17 74/15 | 30/4 31/8 47/3 49/5 55/12 |
| those [54] 9/12 10/22 | 77/3 82/22 88/14 88/17 | unit [1] 47/6 |
| 12/14 14/8 15/20 16/3 | 89/11 90/15 91/7 | units [18] 46/20 46/21 |
| 21/12 $21 / 17$ 21/23 $21 / 25$ | townships [1] 30/10 | 47/1 47/3 47/13 47/16 |
| 22/10 22/21 $23 / 3 \quad 25 / 18$ | tracking [1] 75/2 | 47/17 47/18 48/8 48/12 |
| 26/23 26/23 27/9 27/23 | tracts [1] 35/8 | 48/13 48/14 48/19 92/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 11 & 28 / 24 & 30 / 17 & 30 / 23\end{array}$ | transcript [1] 96/7 | 92/4 92/5 92/5 92/8 |
| 32/19 33/23 35/25 36/5 | transcripts [1] 15/21 | University [1] 74/24 |
| 37/4 37/5 37/16 37/18 42/2 | transects [2] 54/4 54/6 | unlike [1] 20/ |
| 43/3 47/1 52/18 55/14 | transfer [7] 20/14 24/13 | unparalleled [1] 51/12 |
| 55/20 55/20 56/9 58/7 | 77/14 77/17 78/21 83/7 | unquote [2] 73/20 74/7 |
| 59/17 59/18 60/6 60/14 | 88/7 | unreasonable [1] 12/3 |
| 65/2 72/18 72/21 73/1 | transfers [1] 24/14 | unusual [1] 57/13 |
| 76/16 83/9 84/2 89/10 92/3 | transit [2] 15/12 54/11 | up [18] 4/4 14/14 26/12 |
| 94/20 95/2 | translates [1] 86/8 |  |
| though [4] 27/18 50/20 | transpire [1] 65/5 | 33/7 36/4 36/5 36/7 36/16 |
| 65/20 67/13 | transportation [4] 15/10 | 39/18 39/19 49/17 61/1 |
| thought [1] 47/20 | 15/12 24/8 93/4 | 63/15 70/23 |
| thousand [1] 36/7 | trave1 [8] 50/12 50/16 | updating [1] |
| three [8] 11/21 11/22 | 50/24 52/2 52/8 53/2 54/10 | upfront [2] 15/5 18/25 |
| 12/14 27/11 60/21 72/21 | 57/11 | upland [1] 20/13 |
| 73/18 77/7 | treated [1] 95/1 | upon [10] 7/5 7/11 35/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { threw [1] } \\ & \text { throuah }{ }^{89 / 17} \\ & \hline 19 / 9 \end{aligned} \quad 12 / 12$ | trend [1] 41/3 | $35 / 2341 / 13 \quad 51 / 21 \quad 56 / 14$ |
| through [19] 9/9 12/12 | true [1] 96/7 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 13 / 15 & 13 / 24 & 21 / 7 & 23 / 23 \\ 33 / 23 & 48 / 23 & 49 / 1 & 55 / 23 \end{array}$ | try [2] 9/9 26/12 | upper [1] 30/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 33 / 23 & 48 / 23 & 49 / 1 \\ 76 / 2 & 58 / 23 \\ 78 / 19 & 78 / 20 & 80 / 20 \end{array}$ | trying $[9]$ $18 / 23$ <br> $26 / 6$ $20 / 13$  <br> $57 / 22$ $66 / 18$ $71 / 19$ | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { urban } \\ \text { us } & {[3]} & 51 / 24 & 54 / 6 & 54 / 5 & 28 / 15 & 37 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 83/6 87/1 $63 / 7 \begin{array}{lllll} & 93 / 18 & 94 / 19\end{array}$ | $81 / 9 \quad 88 / 20 \quad 89 / 9$ | $40 / 18 \quad 43 / 15$ |


| U | $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{los}^{\text {wasn }} \text { [112] } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| use [17] $5 / 12$ 5/14 36/2 |  | 52/7 $52 / 9 \quad 56 / 3 \quad 57 / 8 \quad 57 / 21$ |
| 39/17 50/2 61/7 65/16 71/9 | 30/18 39/7 69/20 81/21 | 60/21 65/4 67/17 75/20 |
| 81/11 81/20 82/7 86/9 | 84/4 85/11 | 79/7 80/7 82/22 88/19 89/9 |
| 86/18 86/23 88/1 92/19 | way [16] 19/8 19/16 20/7 | 89/21 90/22 91/ |
| 94/12 | 29/2 $29 / 19$ 35/21 $36 / 22$ | what's [19] 5/7 8/8 8/16 |
| used [3] 29/1 29/2 31/16 | 36/25 37/16 57/15 69/24 | 42/8 43/5 52/7 52/8 52/8 |
| uses [2] 85/4 87/8 | 70/20 76/15 80/6 94/16 | 56/7 61/25 68/23 68/25 |
| using [3] 34/4 49/24 83/15 | 95/1 | 69/18 72/14 78/14 79/17 |
| utilities [1] 81/19 | ways [4] 27/8 37/6 50/24 | 89/19 91/10 93/7 |
| utility [2] 77/22 88/8 |  | hatever [8] 5/18 |
| V |  | 37/7 53/13 54/8 67/1 69/16 |
| valid [8] 13/10 13/13 | we'11 [24] 9/9 13/4 16/24 | when [10] $12 / 11 \quad 17 / 1 \quad 21 / 15$ |
| 13/20 13/21 $14 / 2$ 14/4 | 17/11 17/17 17/22 17/23 | 35/17 40/2 49/20 71/24 |
| 26/24 42/6 |  | 76/7 80/1 80/22 |
| variety [20] | 28/18 37/17 50/16 60/13 | where [38] 4/21 5/13 16/23 |
| 21/2 21/10 21/24 22/19 | 66/8 71/23 74/22 75/13 | 18/5 21/16 26/4 28/11 |
| 24/10 30/21 42/22 51/5 | 77/25 79/25 80/9 80/21 | 28/20 30/17 32/17 33/2 |
| 52/25 54/8 55/14 56/14 | 80/22 | 33/3 38/9 39/18 40/18 |
| 76/24 78/25 86/20 89/4 | we're [15] 5/25 6/8 7/16 | 40/19 48/18 51/21 52/6 |
| 90/6 91/6 | 31/9 36/16 43/4 49/20 | 53/7 $54 / 5$ 54/24 $54 / 25 \quad 55 / 5$ |
| various [5] 17/20 19/20 | 49/21 49/22 49/23 49/24 | 56/10 57/4 57/11 58/3 |
| 24/6 43/8 82/17 | 55/19 81/14 86/19 92/3 | 59/18 72/5 72/19 75/15 |
| vehicle [1] 95/4 | we've [14] 8/24 11/14 | 77/19 77/20 82/1 87/4 |
| vehicular [1] 57/ | 20/24 27/11 36/25 37/1 | 94/11 94/12 |
| venue [2] 11/7 89/14 | 38/6 47/21 52/15 52/15 | whereas [2] 48/12 65/10 |
| verified [2] 13/10 14/2 | 66/23 72/13 $76 / 2 \quad 93 / 5$ | whether [23] 15/11 17/7 |
| verses [1] 62/22 | website [2] 73/13 73/15 | 20/8 20/8 20/10 20 |
| version [1] 78/11 | weekend [4] 61/8 62/15 | $31 / 20$ 32/23 32/23 36/3 |
| versus [6] 35/3 39/ | 62/16 66/8 | 37/6 37/7 41/10 41/11 52/4 |
| 47/25 51/24 66/17 93/17 | Weeklies [1] 63/7 | 62/3 64/9 65/3 66/20 74/18 |
| very [44] 6/23 9/7 16/5 | weekly [3] 61/10 63/11 | 83/4 83/5 83/7 |
| 18/6 18/6 21/25 21/25 |  | which [72] $6 / 15$ 11/2 $12 / 10$ |
| $33 / 13$ 33/16 34/4 34/4 35/4 | weigh [1] 10/2 | 13/10 15/6 16/20 18/17 |
| 35/4 35/17 40/4 42/20 | welcome [1] 9/23 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}13 / 6 & 22 / 24 & 23 / 5 & 23 / 8 & 23 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 42/20 45/22 45/23 47/2 | welfare [2] 11/2 12/21 |  |
| 47/3 49/4 49/9 49/13 49/13 | well [36] 5/6 7/16 10/7 |  |
| 53/19 54/6 55/1 55/7 55/11 | 10/21 11/5 $12 / 5$ 12/9 12/21 |  |
| 55/12 55/24 63/5 63/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 21 & 17 / 16 & 17 / 20 & 19 / 22\end{array}$ | 33/13 37/17 38/7 39/13 |
| 66/23 80/9 80/18 82/3 | 20/21 $22 / 18$ 23/11 $24 / 21$ | 40/8 41/23 42/10 43/23 |
| 84/17 88/16 88/18 93/14 | 32/17 $38 / 19$ 40/9 49/6 49/6 | 44/4 44/11 45/24 45/25 |
| 94/4 94/6 | 58/7 59/5 60/17 66/11 70/3 | 46/23 47/19 55/17 57/23 |
| Victorian [2] 5/17 5/18 | 70/10 71/5 77/23 79/4 | 58/9 59/7 61/8 $62 / 5$ 68/23 |
| view [2] 37/15 81/6 | 80/13 81/24 87/5 89/23 | 69/1 69/1 69/3 69/24 71/9 |
| viewed [1] 15/22 | 95/14 95/16 | 72/6 72/14 74/25 75/10 |
| village [1] 31/20 | we11-being [3] 12/5 12/9 | 76/3 77/9 77/10 79/23 |
| vision [11] 37/13 79/22 | 12/21 | 79/23 84/11 85/19 86/6 |
| 82/9 82/15 84/18 84/25 | wel1-compensated [1] 49/6 | 88/9 $88 / 25$ 90/4 90/9 90/14 |
| 85/3 86/7 86/8 86/9 87/1 | wells [1] 81/21 | 91/23 92/9 92/13 92/14 |
| visual [3] 80/25 81/5 81/6 | went [2] 33/3 71/20 | 93/8 |
| voice [1] 26/12 | were [ 39$]$ | while [2] 25/1 84/6 |
| voters [5] 1/5 4/5 6/5 | 14/2 $14 / 314 / 514 / 714 / 8$ | White [12] 59/25 61/23 |
| 10/1 13/12 | 15/22 17/13 $21 / 14$ 22/3 | 64/8 64/10 64/17 65/1 |
| voting [1] 35/21 | 22/14 $39 / 17$ 41/23 44/10 | 65/16 65/23 66/2 66/13 |
| vulnerable [2] 73/1 75/10 | 45/24 46/1 58/16 68/3 | 67/20 68/2 |
| W | 70/15 73/17 73/22 73/25 | White Sands [9] 61/23 64/8 |
|  | /5 74/14 77/8 78/8 79/14 | 64/10 64/17 65/16 65/23 |
| walking [2] 53/11 53/12 | 79/18 81/16 81/16 87/2 | 66/2 66/13 67/20 |
| want [31] 4/7 4/10 6/13 | 87/25 88/9 89/3 89/6 90/1 | who [2] 14/1 61/3 |
| 9/21 15/4 15/5 33/21 36/10 | 92/7 | whole [33] 4/17 4/20 14/16 |
| 38/2 38/3 49/20 50/3 52/11 | weren't [2] 14/4 81/3 |  |
| 52/24 $54 / 2 \quad 54 / 3 \quad 54 / 10 \quad 55 / 1$ | west [10] $27 / 23$ 27/24 $28 / 5$ | 45/2 $45 / 3$ 45/7 45/8 45/11 |
| 56/11 56/25 57/8 59/17 | 39/24 40/5 50/21 59/1 59/3 | 45/14 46/12 $46 / 14$ 47/5 |
| 59/18 60/7 62/20 65/15 | $68 / 20$ 71/22 | $47 / 748 / 18 \quad 55 / 1960 / 12$ |
| 65/19 66/6 68/11 75/18 | Westerly [1] 59/3 |  |
| 94/15 | western [2] 19/14 19/15 | 80/14 83/21 84/9 85/16 |
| wanted [10] 5/24 8/22 | at [41] 5/12 5/17 8/22 | 89/7 89/17 90/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 10 & 56 / 6 & 62 / 8 & 62 / 9 & 63 / 11 \\ 64 / 4 & 88 / 11 & 94 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}10 / 22 & 13 / 19 & 14 / 4 \\ 14 / 23\end{array}$ |  |
| 64/4 88/11 94/5 |  | 41/8 81/3 89/19 |
| wants [1] 14/13 | 37/13 39/10 $39 / 12 \quad 42 / 10$ | wide [7] 61/22 76/23 84/9 |



