1	DARRYL J. PAUL [SBN 93165]		
2	Attorney at Law 30011 Ivy Glenn Dr., Suite 115 Laguna Niguel, California 92677		
3	Telephone (949) 487-7087 dip@darrylpaul.com		
4	<u>ајрезантуграан.оотн</u>		
5			
6	Petitioner Med-Legal Associates, Inc.		
7			
8	JUDICIAL ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION SERVICES		
9 10			
11	 MED-LEGAL ASSOCIATES, INC., a	IAMS Ref. No.: 1220050164	
12	California corporation,)	
13	Petitioner,))	
14	and	PETITION FOR ARBITRATION	
15	and		
16	BRUCE E. FISHMAN, M.D., FICS,))	
17	INC., a California medical professional corporation; and		
18 19	BRUCE E. FISHMAN, M.D., an)	
20	individual,))	
21	Respondents.		
22		<i>,</i>	
23			
24			
25	Comes now, Petitioner Med-Legal Associates, Inc., and for causes of		
26	action complains against the Respondents as follows:		
27 28	///		
28			
	PETITION FOR ARBITRATION - 1		

8

13

14

11

20

24 25

23

26 27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST ALL RESPONDENTS (BREACH OF CONTRACT)

Petitioner alleges for a First Cause of Action:

- 1. Petitioner Med-Legal Associates, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California. Petitioner has special expertise in the management of medical/legal evaluators with regard to the evaluation and treatment of workers compensation claimants.
- 2. Petitioner is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that:
- A. Respondent Bruce E. Fishman, M.D., FICS, Inc., is a California medical professional corporation ("Respondent Corporation"), the shares of which are solely owned by Respondent Bruce E. Fishman, M.D.
- Respondent Bruce E. Fishman, M.D. ("Respondent Bruce") B. in addition to practicing medicine, operates a medical/legal evaluation business specializing in Agreed Medical Evaluations ("AME") and Qualified ("QME"), examining Medical Evaluations and evaluating workers compensation claimants and other persons who are seen at the medical offices of Family Urgent Care & Industrial Medical Clinic, Inc. ("Urgent Care"), an entity that is owned by Respondent Bruce. Collectively. Respondents Corporation and Bruce are hereinafter referred to simply as "Respondents".
- 3. Petitioner is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of the Respondents herein named are the agents and/or employees of the other Respondents, and at all times relevant herein, each defendant acted within the scope and authority of such agency and/or employment, and with the permission, consent, and/or ratification of their co-Respondents.

- 10 11 12 13
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 22

- 2324
- 25
- 2627
- 27 28

- 4. is herein Whenever reference made to the corporate Respondents named herein, or to Respondents herein which shall include said corporate Respondents in performing or failing to perform any act shall be construed to mean that the officers, directors, control persons, supervisors, managers, employees, and agents of said corporate Respondents performed, failed to perform, authorized, ratified, or permitted such acts or failures to act while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said corporate Respondents and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.
- 5. On or about November 1, 2012, Petitioner, on the one hand, and Respondents, on the other hand, entered into an agreement wherein and whereby the Petitioner agreed to perform exclusive management services of the day-to-day functions for the Respondents for consideration of various sorts, all of which is set forth with particularity in the written agreement attached hereto as Exhibit "1" (the "agreement").
 - 6. The agreement provides, among other things, for the following:
 - A. Paragraph 6. of the agreement provides in pertinent part:
 - a. <u>Term</u>. The initial term of this Agreement shall be for one (I) year commencing as of the date first written above; thereafter. this Agreement shall renew automatically for successive terms of one (1) year each, unless it is terminated in accordance with this Section 6.
 - b. <u>Termination without Cause</u>. Either party may terminate this Agreement with or without cause at any time during any renewal term of this Agreement, on sixty (60) days written notice.
 - d. <u>Effect of Termination</u>. Upon termination or expiration of this Agreement, for any reason, by either party or by operation of law. each party's respective obligations hereunder shall terminate in full, except for those obligations which either explicitly, as set forth in this Agreement or by their nature survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement including but not limited to Company's right to manage the billing, collections, and

disbursements of the Collections, to receive the Management Fee and the Billing, Collection, and Litigation Fees, whether based on Collections during or after the term of this Agreement, and to be reimbursed all Deducted Expenses or Excluded Expenses it has advanced and its administrative fees thereon, as set forth in Sections 2-4. Client shall return all documents, data and other materials or information that constitute "Confidential Information" as defined in Section 12.a. below.

- B. Paragraph 7.2 of the agreement provides:

 Non-Solicitation of Company Staff and Recruits. Client and Owner each agrees [sic] that during the term of this Agreement and for twenty-four (24) months thereafter, they shall not solicit or attempt to hire, or advise or assist any other person in soliciting or hiring or attempting to hire, any employees or other staff of Company, or any of the Support Personnel. Client and Owner further agree that they shall never, during the term of this Agreement or at any time thereafter, suggest, or attempt to persuade, any employee or independent contractor of Company, or of any of its clients or affiliates Company [sic] or for the Company client or affiliate, nor shall either of them hire any such individual or company on terms that effectively preclude his, her, or its working for Company.
- C. Paragraph 12. of the agreement provides in pertinent part:

 Non-Disclosure of Company's Professional and Business

 Practices. Trade Secrets. or Privileged Information; Non-Solicitation and Non-Interference.
- a. <u>Confidential Information</u>. Client agrees to keep confidential and to not use or disclose the professional and business practices, trade secrets or privileged information of Company and to keep such knowledge confidential in Client's dealings with any medical group, hospital, health care facility, health care company, or other person or entity. Further, Client agrees that it shall not disclose to any person or use (except for the benefit of Company) information obtained by Client during the period of Client's relationship with Company as to customer lists, names of customers or customers addresses or telephone numbers, business plans, business methods. marketing or strategic plans, financial statements, financial information, any and all computer programs (whether or not completed or in use), any and all operating manuals or similar materials that constitute the

systems, policies and procedures of Company, including but not limited to the forms provided by Company for Client's use, or any other trade secrets, confidential or proprietary information respecting Company (collectively, "Confidential Information")... b. Non-Interference; Non-Disparagement. During the term of this Agreement and for a period of one (I) year thereafter, Client agrees not to disrupt, damage, impair or interfere with the business of Company, whether by way of interfering with, raiding or soliciting Company's employees, disrupting its relationship with or soliciting its agents, representatives, customers, vendors or otherwise, nor shall Client undertake organization of any competitive organization or other business activity materially competitive with Company's business, or combine with others for the purpose of organizing any such competitive organization...

- 7. Any conditions precedent to the duties of the Respondents, and each or any of them, of timely and full performance, were performed by Petitioner or have occurred or have been excused or have been waived.
- 8. The Respondents have breached the agreement with Petitioner in the following particulars:
- A. The Respondents have purported to orally terminate the agreement without compliance with the terms thereof, and later, proffered a false document that the said Respondents claim was served to comply with the terms of the agreement regarding written notice of termination;
- B. The Respondents have refused to return to Petitioner its Confidential Information and have continued to use and misappropriate the same in the operation of Respondent Corporation;
- C. The Respondents have attempted to solicit employees and affiliates of Petitioner and have in fact hired some of them;
- D. The Respondents have disparaged Petitioner and Patrick in an effort to dissuade the prosecution by Petitioner of this Arbitration proceeding;

- E. The Respondents have conspired with others to create additional harm to Petitioner and to Patrick; and
- F. The Respondents have converted monies collected through the efforts of Petitioner to their own use.
- 9. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, conduct and/or omissions of the Respondents, Petitioner has been damaged in the nature of lost revenue under the terms of the agreement, in the misappropriation of Petitioner's Confidential Information, from the lost employees poached by the Respondents, from the disparagement of Petitioner, and in the value of the converted collections, all in a sum believed to be in excess of \$3,000,000 together with interest thereon at the legal rate according to proof.
- 10. Exhibit "1" hereto provides for the payment of reasonable attorney's fees in connection with enforcement of the terms of said agreement.
- 11. It has been necessary for Petitioner to retain the professional services of Darryl J. Paul, an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California, to maintain the within action and otherwise enforce the terms of the agreement.
- 12. As a further proximate result of the acts of the Respondents, and each of them, as aforesaid, Petitioner have become obligated for and has paid or will pay attorney's fees in an amount as yet not fully ascertained. Petitioner will request leave of Court to amend this Petition upon full ascertainment of such fees.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST ALL RESPONDENTS (FRAUD AND DECEIT)

Petitioner alleges for a Second Cause of Action:

- 13. Petitioner realleges and incorporates herein by reference as though here fully set forth each and every allegation contained in the First Cause of Action, and the whole thereof; where any such allegations in the First and/or this Second Cause of Action conflict, Petitioner realleges such conflicting allegations in the alternative.
- 14. Respondent Bruce had, prior to any relationship alleged herein, been involved in the defense of workers compensation cases and was desirous of generating new business with applicants' attorneys, with whom Patrick was closely associated through his business contacts.
- 15. The Respondents had contracted for certain billing, collection, and enforcement services for a period of years beginning in 2008 with a company hereinafter identified as "GLC" that is affiliated with Petitioner and with which Petitioner's principal, referred to hereafter as "Patrick". Inasmuch as the services needed to expand the business of the Respondents had enlarged and become more sophisticated, Petitioner was formed to provide to the Respondents this next evolution of services that had been provided for more than four years by GLC.
- 16. Proximate to entering into an agreement with GLC and continuously throughout the relationship with GLC and Patrick, and also throughout the executory phase of the agreement (Exhibit "1" hereto) with Petitioner, Respondent Bruce represented himself to be an honest medical doctor with a record devoid of blemish and that he is a board-certified Orthopedic Surgeon.
- 17. The representations of Respondent Bruce as alleged in Paragraph 16., above, were and are false and fraudulent and were known by the said Respondent to be false when the same were made and uttered. These representations were made by Respondent Bruce with the intent to induce Petitioner, GLC, and Patrick to assist the Respondents in building up

their med-legal practice and to induce them to introduce the Respondents to the many business contacts that are possessed by the Petitioner, GLC, and Patrick.

- 18. Petitioner in fact relied upon the representations of the Respondents, which reliance was justifiable in that Respondent Bruce appeared to be a knowledgeable, honest and forthright medical doctor and her comported himself as such, and he actually dressed for surgery on multiple occasions (although Petitioner later learned that the dress was for show only and that other surgeons actually performed the various surgeries for which Respondent Bruce took credit).
- 19. In truth and in fact, Respondent Bruce Fishman is a convicted felon drug dealer and is not a board-certified Orthopedic Surgeon.
- 20. At the time of the drafting of the agreement (Exhibit "1" hereto), Petitioner included the customary five-year term. Respondent Bruce balked at that term promising to be a customer of Petitioner "til the day I retire", and suggested a one-year term instead, telling Patrick that he (Respondent Bruce) should be trusted. Up to the time of the purported termination of the agreement as above alleged, Respondent Bruce had become a close personal friend of Patrick and there was no reason to doubt the sincerity of Respondent Bruce.
- 21. Shortly after execution of the agreement, Respondent Bruce asked that he be given his own key to a controlled post office box dedicated to the Respondents to enable him to control the mail. Oblivious to the true intentions of the Respondents, Patrick gave him control of the post office box, which he had never before done but which act he went along with out of his trust of Respondent Bruce.
- 22. Also shortly after execution of the agreement, Respondent Bruce asked that instead of advertising the services of Respondent Corporation

under Petitioner's phone numbers as was customary for this type of management, that instead, Patrick should have all calls route directly to Respondent Bruce's two medical care offices at Urgent Care where they would thereafter be forwarded to Petitioner. Patrick acceded to these requests.

- 23. Based on the false representations of Respondent Bruce and the advantage of the friendship with Patrick taken by Respondent Bruce, Petitioner introduced the Respondents to numerous business contacts with whom the Respondents were able to sell millions of dollars of med-legal services and to thereby profit handsomely, to include significant medical care referrals to Urgent Care for which Respondent Bruce additionally profit.
- 24. The Respondents employed the above alleged series of acts fitted to and which did deceive in concert with misrepresentation and concealment of material facts in such a fashion that after the Respondents were introduced to the many business contacts and had secured business arrangements with them, learned Petitioner's way of managing med-legal evaluation businesses in the workers compensation field, and had possession of Petitioner's Confidential Information, that the Respondents purported to terminate the agreement. This enabled the Respondents to take from Petitioner and avoid having to pay for the services.
- 25. During the executory period of the agreement and prior to the purported termination thereof by the Respondents, Petitioner spent some additional \$250,000 in promotional activities for and on behalf of the Respondents to promote their med-legal evaluator business.
- 26. As a direct result of the actions of the Petitioner, the Respondents enjoyed annual revenue of some \$2,400,000 for med-legal evaluations at the time of the purported termination of the agreement, a substantial increase over any amount previously received by them.

PETITION FOR ARBITRATION - 10

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 30011 lvy Glenn Dr., Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

On July 20, 2015 I served the documents described below on the parties in this action by placing copies thereof in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

DOCUMENT(S)

PETITION FOR ARBITRATION

SERVED:

SERVED UPON:

Law Offices of Howard A. Kapp

3731 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 514

Los Angeles, CA 90010 hkapp@kapplaw.com

[X] (BY MAIL) I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Laguna Niguel, California. I am readily familiar with the practice of my office for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after deposit for mailing in affidavit.

[X] (BY E-MAIL) I caused each party to this action, through respective counsel of record, to receive this document by electronic transmission (E-mail) at the e-mail address set forth above. The report from the E-mail service provider showed transmission to each was complete by close of business this date.

[X] (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 20, 2015 at Laguna Niguel, California.

DARRYL J. PAÙL