ADVOCATE IMPACT

Michael S. Kalt

- CalSHRM Government Affairs Director
- Partner, Wilson Turner Kosmo LLP

EMPLOYMENT LAW AND LEGISLATIVE FORECAST STATE LEGISLATIVE & HR CONFERENCE

April 3-5, 2019 | Sheraton Grand Sacramento | Sacramento, Calif.



EMPLOYMENT LAW AND LEGISLATIVE FORECAST

Michael S. Kalt

- CalSHRM Government Affairs Director
- Partner, Wilson Turner Kosmo LLP





Session Objectives

- Provide an overview of currently pending employment bills potentially affecting Human Resources Professionals
- Identify how these bills may change current policies
- Discuss tips for providing HR-input into the legislative process





The California Outlook



- Continued one-party rule in Sacramento
 - sizable "super majorities" in both legislative chambers
 - new Governor from same party
- Recent trends: approximately 20 new employment laws annually between 2011 to 2018 (slight decrease in 2018)
- Recent trends: CA municipalities increasingly enacting employment laws

California vs. Washington, D.C.

- Democrats control the California Governor's Mansion and the Republicans control the White House.
- CA has a new governor







2019 Legislative Calendar

04/26/19 - Last day Policy Committees vote on fiscal bills

05/31/19 - Last day bills pass first legislative chamber

09/09/19 - Last day to amend bills

09/13/19 - Last day second legislative

chamber to pass bills

10/13/19 - Last day Governor sign/veto bills

01/01/20 - (Most) news laws take effect





Resources for Monitoring Legislation

- SHRM (https://www.shrm.org)
- CalSHRM
 - Twitter: @CalSHRM
 - @MichaelKalt_law
 - @SHRMCAJason
 - "One Voice Newsletter" (http://calshrm.org/one-voice.html)
- California Legislative (http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/)
- NCSL (https://www.ncsl.org)





Legislative Themes

2017 – "Resisting" President Trump



• 2018 - #MeTOO

#METOO

• 2019 – If at first you don't succeed...

"To be continued..."



Harassment Training Deadline Clarification (AB 778)

- SB 1343 extends harassment training to (a) almost all employers; and (b) to non-supervisory employees by 1/1/2020
- Confusion exists regarding duty to retrain supervisors trained in 2018 in 2019 (DFEH FAQ suggests re-training required)
- SB 778 would clarify no duty to retrain employees turned in 2018 until after 12/31/20
- CalSHRM position: <u>Support</u>





Additional Sexual Harassment Protections (AB 171)

 Amends Labor Code section 230 to prohibit discrimination/ retaliation against sexual harassment victims (along with victims of sexual assault, stalking, domestic violence)

 Creates 90-day presumption of retaliation in favor of any such victims for employment changes after employer learns of status (Note: no duty to make complaint to be protected)

Vetoed in 2018 (AB 3081)



Time-Off for Harassment Victims and Family (AB 628)

- Amends Labor Code section 230 to prohibit discrimination/ retaliation against sexual harassment victims who take time off for legal relief (along with victims of sexual assault, stalking, domestic violence)
- Similarly amends Labor Code section 230.1 to require employers with 25+ employees to provide time off work for specific purposes to sexual harassment victims (along with victims of sexual assault, stalking, domestic violence)
- Extends job-protected leave for both purposes to a victim's "family members" (as defined)
- Vetoed in 2018 (AB 3081)



Joint Responsibility for Labor Contractor Harassment (AB 170)

- Amends FEHA to require client employers and labor contractors to share "responsibility" and "liability" for "harassment"
- Would apply broadly to all harassment (not just sexual) and regardless whether the harasser employed by the client or labor contractor
- Both entities have responsibility to address any harassment and can be liable if fail to take prompt and effective remedial measures once knew or should have known
- Prohibits client employer from shifting responsibility to labor contractor
- Vetoed in 2018 (AB 3081)



Veterans' Hiring Preference (AB 160)

- Current: FEHA recognizes limited veterans' hiring preference for "Vietnam-era" veterans and as defense against "sex" discrimination
- Proposal: Expand FEHA's current veterans' hiring preference to all qualified veterans and as defense to all discrimination claims
- Employers must comply with certain requirements, including applying uniformly and not as pretext
- CalSHRM/SHRM support





Preventing Race Discrimination Related to Hairstyles (SB 188)

- Amends FEHA's definition of race to include "traits historically associated with race including...hair texture and protective hairstyles."
- NYC's new guidelines suggest possible "Grooming Policy" employer violations:
 - Prohibiting twists, locks, braids, etc.
 - Requiring altering hairstyle to conform to appearance standards
- "Traits" broader than hairstyles?



Ban On Arbitration Agreements (AB 51)

- Preclude any mandatory waivers of "rights, forums, or procedures" for FEHA and Labor Code violations (i.e., arbitration agreements)
- Prohibits retaliation (including termination of application) for applicant or employee who refuses to sign improper waiver
- Prevailing <u>plaintiffs</u> entitled to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees



Ban On Arbitration Agreements (AB 51) [Cont'd]

 Prohibits conditioning employment upon employee's or independent contractor's agreement not to report sexual harassment suffered, witnessed or observed

New rules would be contained in Labor Code provisions, but violations also constitute

"unlawful employment practices" under FEHA

PAGA exposure?

Vetoed in 2018 (AB 3080)



Additional Arbitration-Related Penalties (SB 707)

- Employer's failure to pay arbitration fees breaches agreement and waives ability to compel arbitration
- Employee would have option to withdraw from arbitration and return to court, or proceed with arbitration but severe sanctions upon employer



Additional FEHA Changes

- Extend statute of limitations from one to three years for all FEHA claims (AB 9)
- Delete FEHA's preemption provision regarding local ordinances (AB 218)
- Industry-specific harassment/implicit bias training (AB 547, AB 241-243)



Lactation Accommodation Requirements (SB 142)

- Expands lactation accommodation requirements beyond new changes (AB 1976)
- Requires employees provide reasonable break time <u>each time</u> employee needs to express milk
- Reiterates location shall not be a bathroom and must be close to work area and shielded from view/intrusion
- Identifies specific physical requirements, including providing place to sit/place breast pump, and with access to electricity, water and refrigeration
- Reaffirms rules for "temporary lactation locations" and permits shared spaces for multitenant buildings



Lactation Accommodation Requirements (SB 142) [Cont'd]

- Requires employers to have written lactation accommodation policy and include in handbook and provide to employees upon hire or upon request for policy or parental leave
- Employers must respond in writing if cannot accommodate and retain requests for three years (with Labor Commissioner and employee access)
- Adopts new retaliation protections with daily civil penalties (\$100) for each lactation break not provided and other violations
- Authorizes Labor Commissioner complaints and private lawsuits
- Vetoed in 2018 (SB 937)



Paid Family Leave

- Governor Newsom has identified Paid Family Leave (PFL) as a legislative priority
- Various legislative proposals pending, including to:
 - Increase to twelve weeks of PFL (AB 1224)
 - Provide 100% wage replacement (AB 196)

CFRA and Parental Leave Expansions (SB 135)

- Would expand CFRA coverage from employers with 50+ employees to 5+ employees
- Would eliminate 1,250 hours service and 12 months service requirements and require only 180 days service
- Would also eliminate requirement of 50 employees within 75 miles of workplace

CFRA and Parental Leave Expansions (SB 135 – Cont'd)

- Would expand "family care" leave to include grandparents, grandchildren, siblings, domestic partners and a "designated person"
- Would expand "parents" to include "parents-in-law" and "children" to include "children-in-law"
- "Designated Person" means person employee identifies at time of leave request, but employer can limit to one designation every 12 months

CFRA and Parental Leave Expansions (SB 135 – Cont'd)

- "Family care" leave to also include due to "qualifying exigencies" related to Armed Forces service
- Would eliminate current "same employer" cap of 12 weeks leave total for both parents
- Repeal (as no longer necessary) the New Parent Leave Act enacted in 2017 (SB 63)



CFRA Leave for Part-Time Employees (AB 1224)

- Reduces the current 1,250 service hours requirement for CFRA eligibility to 900 hours for 12 weeks CFRA leave
- Would not change other CFRA eligibility requirements (e.g., employer size, etc.), but what about SB 135 impact?
- Increase from 6 weeks to 12 weeks for PFL benefits if two qualifying events in the same year

Paid Sick Leave Changes (AB 555)

- Increases current state-wide paid sick leave requirements to
 5 days/40 hours <u>usage</u> annually and to 10 days/80 hours for <u>accrual</u> and <u>carryover</u>
- Corresponding changes to alternative accrual methods beyond default accrual rule of "one hour per 30 hours worked" if 40 hours accrued by 200th day
- Expanded permitted uses for paid sick leave:
 - Employee's organ/bone marrow donation
 - Public health emergency closures of employee's workplace or child's school/daycare



Paid Sick Leave Changes (AB 555 – Cont'd)

 Employers prohibited from requiring documentation verifying use of first 5 days/40 hours of PSL/PTO

Good news: partial preemption of municipal-level PSL ordinances



Increased Time-Off for Organ Donations (AB 1223)

- Current: employers must provide <u>paid</u> leave up to five days for bone marrow donations and up to thirty days for organ donation to another person
- Proposed: require employers provide additional thirty days <u>unpaid</u> leave for organ donations (no changes proposed regarding bone marrow donations)



Tax Relief For Student Loan Assistance (AB 152)

- Current: an employee may exclude from state income up to \$5,250 per year in educational assistance provided by their employer (i.e., current educational assistance)
- Proposal: exclude up to \$5,250 per year from an employee's state income amounts that are paid or incurred by an employer for the payment of principal or interest on a qualified education loan incurred by the employee (i.e., prior student loans)
- Clarifies annual \$10,500 limit for employer assistance for prior student loan debt and current educational expenses
- CalSHRM/SHRM <u>support</u> (AB 152 and federal version [H.R. 1043/S. 460])



Annual Pay Data Reports (SB 171)

- Requires employers with 100+ employees that submit EEO-1 to annually submit "pay data reports" to the DFEH
- Submit by 3/31/21 and annually thereafter
- Employers must identify by race, ethnicity and sex the number of employees in 10 specific job categories
- Employers must similarly identify number of employees whose pay was in "pay bands" used by the U.S. Department of Labor Statistics



Annual Pay Data Reports (SB 171) [Cont'd]

- Employers must also identify each employee's total earnings for 12-month period (per W-2)
- For part-time employees, employers must identify total hours worked in each "pay band"
- Employers may submit "clarifying remarks"
- Employers may use previously-submitted EEO-1
- Vetoed in 2018 (SB 1284)



Gender Identity/Expression Pay Equity (AB 758)

- Current: Labor Code § 1197.5 precludes paying less to employees of opposite "sex" for substantially similar work
- Proposal: "sex" to include "gender," including gender identity and expression





Additional Labor Code Remedies

- Expand from six months to three years the period to file Labor Commissioner complaint (AB) 403
- Amend whistle-blower statute (L.C. § 1102.5) to permit "plaintiffs" to recover attorneys fees (AB 403)
- Allow "affected employees" to recover civil penalties for wage-related violations (AB 673)

PAGA Reform Proposals

- For wage statement-related PAGA actions, limit attorneys' fees to 25% if settlement greater than \$50,000 (AB 443)
- "Cure" period (65 days) proposed for itemized wage statement violations if monies otherwise due paid (AB 789)



Preventing "Document Servitude" (AB 589)

- Prohibits employers from knowingly destroying/confiscating employee
 passports/immigration documents/government identification (with \$10,000 penalty plus
 civil/criminal penalties)
- Employers must also post a notice and provide each employee a DLSE-created "Worker's Bill of Rights"
 - to all employees hired before July 1, 2019
 - > after July 1, 2020, to applicant's pre-employment authorization
- Employers must retain signed copies/acknowledgments for 3 years
- Vetoed in 2018 (AB 2732)



Medication-Assisted Treatment Protections (AB 882)

- Prohibits all employers from discharging employee if sole reason was positive drug test for prescribed medication
- Appears broader than prior medical marijuana-related proposals



Codification of "Dynamex" (AB 5)

- Codifies Dynamex's "ABC Test" to all Labor Code provisions and Wage Order provisions (unless specifically stated otherwise)
- Only statutory exceptions (so far):
 - Licensed insurance agents
 - Licensed surgeons/physicians
 - Licensed securities brokers
 - Direct sales representative
- Multiple other proposals pending (AB 71 and SB 238)

"No Re-Hire" Provisions Targeted (AB 749)

- Would prohibit any employment-dispute settlement agreement provision precluding an "aggrieved person" from working for employer (or affiliates)
- Provisions after 1/1/20 would be void and unenforceable



Consumer Report Clarification (AB 1008)

- Current: while the FCRA allows a one-time blanket disclosure for recurring reports, CA's ICRAA is ambiguous
- AB 1008 would amend the ICRAA to permit employers to obtain a one-time authorization for ongoing updates

Preventing Employer Voter Intimidation (AB 17)

 Preclude employers from requiring or requesting employers bring vote-by-mail ballot to work to cast vote

Civil penalties up to \$10,000 per violation

VOTER INTIMIDATION

Voter intimidation is illegal and unlikely. But this is what it looks like—and what you can report:

- Aggressive questioning about voting qualifications
- People falsely presenting themselves as election officials
- · False information about voting requirements
- Harassment, especially targeting non-English speakers and voters of color

You can report intimidation to the Election Protection Hotline: 1-866-OUR-WOTE



ACLU





"Spot Bills" to Watch

- PAGA (AB 440)
- "Working hours" (SB 734)
- DLSE Enforcement (AB 674, AB 789)
- Contractual Provisions (AB 2804)
- Retaliation (SB 672)