	IN	THE	UNITEI) §	STATES	SI	DIST	RICT	COU	RT
FOR	TH	E WE	STERN	DI	STRIC	TIT	OF 1	PENN	SYLV	ATNA

UNITED STATES (OF AMERICA Plaintiff))					
v.		<i>)</i> } }	CIVIL	ACTION	NO.	90-229	ERIE
ROBERT BRACE,	et al., Defendants))					

STATUS CONFERENCE

Proceedings held before the HONORABLE

SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER, U.S. Magistrate Judge,
in Judge's Chambers, U.S. Courthouse, Erie,

Pennsylvania, on Friday, April 7, 2017.

Ronald J. Bench - Official Court Reporter

EXHIBIT

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		LAURA J. BROWN, Esquire, (via Phone),
3		U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
4		BRIAN UHOLIK, Esquire, (via Phone),
5		U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division,
6		appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
7		KENNETH C. AMADITZ, Esquire, (via Phone),
8		U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division,
9		appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
10	r	NEAL R. DEVLIN, Esquire, Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
11		
12		LAWRENCE A. KOGAN, Esquire, The Kogan Law Group, P.C. appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
13		appearing on behalf of the belendants.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Mr. Devlin stated there. First of all, the Consent Decree's purpose is clear from its terms. I think that the court can presume that reasonably in looking at the Consent Decree.

I would also note for purposes of the July 2012 meeting, was not as Mr. Devlin represented, but Mr. Brace contacted the courts about requesting a jurisdictional determination so he could clean what he called were clean water deficiencies on his property. That is why the EPA and the court went out on a site visit. It had nothing to do with the Consent Decree, it was whether or not there were certain ditches on his property that he could clear or whether he needed a 404 permit.

THE COURT: Here's the thing that's going on.

We're now digging into what was said and when it was in effect, which we have to do as lawyers. But we're losing sight of, in my mind, of a fix, a fix whereby we keep Elk Creek clean and Mr. Brace can farm his land. There has to be some middle ground.

MS. BROWN: What I would say, your Honor, and I certainly understand that. I think that was what we were trying to do prior to initiating the motion to enforce, filing the motion to enforce. What we have trouble with is we have not seen the actual evidence of the contiguous and unanticipated consequences which caused flooding to the other areas of the property. To be honest, it would have been