

Paul Solomon
3307 Meadow Oak Drive
Westlake Village, CA 91361
Paul.solomon@pb-ev.com

March 18, 2025

The Honorable Steven J. Morani
PTDO OUSD(A&S)
1010 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-1010

Subj: Further Reduction in Scope of DCMA EVMS Compliance Reviews - Surveillance

Dear Hon. PTDO OUSD(A&S) Morani:

This letter augments my previous letters regarding EVMS compliance reviews. You can further reduce the scope of EVMS compliance reviews and the number of personnel without violating federal statutes. Just eliminate the EVMS surveillance activities defined in *DCMA Manual 2303-01 Surveillance*.

First, EVMS surveillance is not required by statute.

Second, per Manual 3.1.f, the outcomes of the surveillance process are (intended to be) used to develop insight and influence the contractor's technical, cost, financial, and schedule contractual performance. However, as previously reported, even if DCMA concluded that the contractor was compliant with EVMS requirements, there is no assurance that the contractor's reports contain valid, not manipulated, information that can be used to develop insight and influence the contractor's technical, cost, schedule contractual performance.

So, please cut out the DCMA personnel, EVMS consultants, and software licenses that are used for surveillance as the first step towards eliminating the DFARS EVMS clause. That will provide savings that can be used for weapon system development instead of monitoring compliance with a sham process that support a contracting officer's subjective, "Excellent" assessments.

Yours truly,



Paul Solomon

CC:

Hon. Pete Hegseth, USD	Hon. Tammy Duckworth, SASC
Hon. Glen Grothman, HOAC	Hon. Adam Smith, HASC
Hon. Ken Calvert, HAC	Hon. Mike Rogers, HASC
Hon. Robert J. Wittman, HASC	Hon. Donald Norcross, HASC
Hon. Ro Khana, HASC	Hon. Jim Jordan, HCOA
Hon. Roger Wicker, SASC	Hon. Joni Ernst, SASC
Hon. Elizabeth Warren, SASC	DOGE
Jon Sindreu, WSJ	Anthony Capaccio, Bloomberg News
Steven Morani, DoD	