



*What We Should Fear From  
'Negative' Fear-Based Sustainable Development*

By Lawrence A. Kogan, Esq.

*Institute for Trade, Standards and Sustainable Development*

Presented at the

First Annual Good Neighbor Forum

Greeley, Colorado  
February 24, 2007

The ITSSD is an independent nonpartisan nonprofit educational organization dedicated to the promotion of a *positive* paradigm of sustainable development consistent with private property, free market and WTO rules-based principles. Donations and other forms of support are tax-deductible and do not influence the views and policies of the Institute

[www.itssd.org](http://www.itssd.org)

116 Village Boulevard, Suite 200  
Princeton Center  
Princeton, NJ 08540-5700

Phone: 609-520-2144  
Fax: 609-897-9598  
E-mail: [lkogan@itssd.org](mailto:lkogan@itssd.org)

## *What We Should Fear From 'Negative' Fear-Based Sustainable Development*

### I. INTRODUCTION

- A. *Greetings*
- B. *Overview of the Problem – US Private Property Rights Are Under International Assault*
- C. *Sustainable Development and the Need to Re-Define It*

### II. 'NEGATIVE' SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IS BASED ON 'FEAR'

- A. *The Power and Dynamic of Fear*
- B. *The Use of Fear by European Governments - France*
- C. *The European Commission's 'Fear Report'*
- D. *The Embrace of Fear by the WTO Director-General*
- E. *United Nations Fear Factor*

### III. 'NEGATIVE' SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT INVOKES RELIGIOUS DUTY --ENVIRONMENTALISM AS RELIGION

- A. *The Growing Phenomenon of Religious Environmentalism*
- B. *Religious Environmentalism is a Key Premise Underlying Global Governance*
- C. *French President Chirac Relates Environmentalism to Religious/Ethical Values*
- D. *UN Officials Cite Environmental Stewardship as Religious/Moral Obligation*
- E. *Transatlantic Politicians and Clergy Try to Alter American Views of States' Rights – Introduce Environment-Based 'Subsidiarity' Doctrine*

### IV. 'NEGATIVE' SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ENCOURAGES CIVIL SOCIETY ACCOUNTABILITY, INTRUSION INTO YOUR BUSINESS & PRIVATE AFFAIRS and DESTRUCTION OF YOUR PRIVATE PROPERTY

- A. *Europe Promotes Public Access to Private Information Without the Need to Assert Legal Standing*
- B. *Europe Legally Permits Activist Groups to Destroy Your Private Property if They Merely Believe it Will Harm Animal Health or the Environment:*
- C. *Deputized NGOs – European Co-Regulation*
- D. *Regulatory Takings/ High Costs of Regulation*

### V. CONCLUSION

- A. *Recap*
- B. *What You Can Do*

## V. CONCLUSION

### A. *Recap –*

#### *Analyzing the New ‘Takings’ Theories By Way Of the 7 ‘C’s + 2*

- a. **C**onvergence, as in the unstoppable ongoing global harmonization of national systems - legal, regulatory, technical standards and financial;
- b. **C**entralization, as in the case of the former failed Soviet bloc’s centralized and planned economies; alternatively, it refers to the idyllic United Nations supranational global governance model now being promoted by some European Union and American ‘multilateralists’;
- c. **C**ommunal, as in pursuit of the ‘common good’, promoting public openness and the sharing of a ‘clean’, healthy environment and scientific and technological knowledge ‘for the betterment of humankind’ at the expense of exclusive proprietary property rights;
- d. **C**ontrol, as in the case of welfare-based paternalistic socialism - ‘We know better than you what is best for you’; Leave it to us, we’ll take care of and provide for you and yours’
- e. **C**ircumvention of the Fifth Amendment of the Bill of Rights to the U.S. Constitution, as in the case of ‘indirect’ takings - new exercises of governmental regulatory ‘police powers’ to protect the environment and human health for the public ‘common’ good that would rationalize Precautionary Principle-based private property takings *without* the need to pay ‘just’ compensation;
- f. **C**ompulsory licensing of intellectual property is the eminent domain of real property;
- g. **C**ompetitiveness concerns, at the international level, mask a new breed of disguised regulatory trade barriers (protectionism) developed by Europe that ignore(s) sound science.

**+ 2**

- j. Congress will become a *rubber-stamp* body, to the extent the new Majority decides to import European *Precautionary Principle-based*



health and environmental laws/regulations, and ‘open source’/‘universal access to knowledge’ intellectual property rules;

- k. Colonies once again, to the extent that the U.S. moves out of the way internationally and lets Europe create a new post-WWII paradigm premised on Negative Sustainable Development that undermines U.S. national security and global leadership.

B. *Analyzing ‘Negative’ Sustainable Development by Way of the 5 ‘F’s*

- a. **F**ear, as in the use of catastrophic imagery (of environmental and social disasters that await you and your families) to promote public fears so that you call for more and more government regulatory protection of your health and the environment, trust and rely upon their pseudo-scientific assessments of the problems and then agree with their policy prescriptions;
- b. **F**raternite, as in international calls for the United States to become more multilaterally linked with and bound by foreign nations in legally complex and morally-charged regulatory treaty regimes, led by France. This is intended to facilitate ethical environmental global governance through the UN (fraternity is an obligation of each one with respect to others – to the French, it is thus a moral watchword);
- c. **F**ederalism, as in US politicians’ manipulation of your support for States’ Rights/10<sup>th</sup> Amendment (State autonomy on regulatory issues affecting local populations), so that you will accept EU/UN religious, ethical and political notions of ‘subsidiarity’, which call for environmental stewardship at the state and local levels, as well as, closer ties (international agreements) between individual U.S. states and European nations;
- d. **F**ree Property, as in Europe’s Marxist putsch to persuade you to ‘give up’ your constitutionally guaranteed private property rights: to (stop) your defense of barbaric capitalism; to (change) your materialist behavior and (sacrifice) your material possessions, production & consumption of which irreversibly harm the environment; and to (donate) your scientific and technological know-how, free of strong intellectual property rights and ‘just compensation’ to those in need of technology transfer ;
- e. **F**reedom No More, as in the further loss of your uniquely American constitutionally guaranteed exclusive private property and



other rights and freedoms, unless we take action. As the US becomes more multilaterally engaged and is compelled to compromise with other nations offering *less* economic and political freedom than we do, it will become increasingly difficult for the president and the congress to uphold their sworn public oaths to protect the US constitution and our values and way of life.