

# **Research** Article

# Bacterial Contamination of Door Handles/Knobs in Gombe State University, Nigeria

## Luka Ayuba1\*, Maurice Pauro Suwange2, Umoh Obongemem Enefiok1

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Natural and Pure Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, Gombe State University, Gombe State, Nigeria.

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: <u>lukaayuba28@gmail.com</u>

## Abstract

Public contacted surfaces such as door knobs/handles frequently touched with hands could serves as vehicles for diseases transmission. This study was aimed at isolating, identifying, and evaluating the presence/absence of bacterial contaminant present on the knobs/handles of some selected departments of Gombe State University, Nigeria. During this investigation, door knobs/handles from the selected departments' namely Biological sciences, Biochemistry and Microbiology department were selected for sample collections. A total number of one-hundred and thirty-five (135) samples were analyzed using the swab-rinse method. Samples collected were cultured and incubated at 37 <sup>o</sup> C for 24 hours. Isolation and identification of bacteria was done using standard microbiological procedures. A total of one hundred and fifteen (115) colonies were isolated in this study based on cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics. Frequency distribution of the isolates showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* were 26(22.0%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 14(12.6%), *Escherichia coli* 21(18%), *Klebsiella* spp. 15(13%), *Proteus mirabilis* 15(13%) and *Salmonelle* spp. 13(11%), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 11(9.6%). The level of contamination varies depending on the traffic exposure and the environment. This means that it is necessary to practice good personal hygiene through hand washing and use of hand sanitizer as well as daily washing.

Keywords: Door handles/knobs; Bacterial contamination; Environmental hygiene; Surface.

# Introduction

Door handles are attached object or mechanism used to manually open or close a door, it also can be categorize as fomite as it can transfer infectious organism from one person to another. These handles/knobs are often touched whenever doors are use globally, this process thus transfer microbes from either hands to door handle or from door handles to hand vice versa. Basically some of the organism associated with the door handles are often transfer to hand and as individuals shake hands exchange of microorganism occurs. Similarly, several factors have been revealed to the influence the bacterial transmissions between surfaces, including the source and destination surfaces feature, bacterial species involved, moisture levels, pressure and friction between the contact surfaces and inoculums size on surfaces [1,30,44].

Furthermore, the day to day interaction of people, which constitute one way of spreading bacterial, the major source of and spread of community acquired infections are fomite [41,43]. Fomite when in constant contact with humans or natural habitats of pathogenic organism constitutes a major source of spread of infectious diseases. Such fomite which include door handles of offices, showers, toilet seats and faucets, sinks, lockers, chairs, and tables, especially those found in public offices, hospitals, hotels, restaurants and restrooms [36]. One of the most implicated probable sources of infections is door handles of office, toilets and bathroom [16,27]. Public offices have large traffic of users who throng in with their own microbial flora and other organisms they have picked elsewhere and deposit them on door handles/knobs while going into the convenience and on their way out [39]. Unfortunately, adequate water supply in lacking in most of the institution toilets and conveniences, whiles, those Universities with water systems lack availability of water supply, consequently, users barely wash their hands after usage, thus carrying contaminants from such conveniences. This may result to community-associated epidemic.

The present study was therefore, aimed at isolating, identifying, and evaluating the presence/absence of bacterial contaminant present on the knobs/handles of some selected departments of Gombe State University, Nigeria. so as to provides systematic information that would have policy significance, and will aid the hand washing programs in Nigerian universities.

### Materials and methods

### Sample sites and collection

A bacteriological investigation was conducted in (3) selected departments namely; Biochemistry, Biological science and Microbiology within Gombe State University. A total of 135 door handles/knobs contact surfaces were swab. The samples were collected at different time interval within early hours of the day, noon and evening hours after closure from daily works when people made use of these doors to maximize the chances of isolation. The moistened sterile cotton swabs sticks were used to vigorously swab the door handles of toilets, offices and laboratories, which are hand-related public surfaces. The cotton end was cut off, soaked in peptone water, the collected samples were transported Microbiology immediately to laboratory of the Department of Microbiology, Gombe State University, in an ice-cooled pack and incubated overnight. This was to ensure that any microorganisms present in the cotton swab diffused into the broth and for further bacteriological analysis [34,39].

## Sample processing

Collected samples from overnight broth culture were inoculated from serial dilutions using the pair plate method unto Nutrient agar, Mannitol Salt Agar and Mac Conkey agar plates and were incubated inverted for 24-48 h at 37°C. After the overnight incubation, the plates were observed for colony characteristics. Isolated colonies were then sub-cultured unto fresh Nutrient agar, Eosin-methylene blue and Salmonella-Shigella agar plates for proper preliminary identification [39]. Single isolated colonies from these plates were subjected to Gram's staining, spore staining and standard biochemical tests (catalase, coagulase, IMViC- indole, methyl red, Urease, TSIA, voges-proskauer and citrate utilization tests).

### Viable bacterial count

After overnight incubation, growths on plates were counted using the colony counter. Bacterial counts were expressed as the log of colony forming units per ml.

### **Result and discussion**

Door handles/knobs are touched whenever doors are use globally, this process thus transfer microbes from either hands to door handle or from door handles to hand vice-versa. This study was aimed at isolation and identification of bacteria from door handles/knob of staff offices in some selected departments, namely Biological sciences, Biochemistry and Microbiology department of faculty of science, Gombe state University. The results of the bacterial isolates from door/knob handles of some selected departments of the faculty of science presented in table 1.

Table 2 shows the result of the total bacterial plate count across the various selected departments revealed highest number of bacteria in Biological sciences  $(7.05 \times 110^6)$ cfu/ml), followed by Microbiology with  $(5.33 \times 10^6)$ cfu/ml), while biochemistry showed the least with  $(2.74 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , as shown in table 1. The highest bacterial count observed in biological sciences might be attributed to high population of staffs and students in the department that uses theses offices and conveniences, Interestingly, this study is in agreement to that of Kennedy et al. [27], who also reported that levels of contamination of conveniences vary depending on traffic, exposure and environment.

Table 3 shows the results of the frequency of occurrence of different bacteria isolates across the selected department. From the result *Staphylococcus aureus* shows the high incidence with 26 (22.6%), followed by *Escherichia coli* with 21 (18.3%), while, *Proteus spp.* 15(13.0%), *Klebsiella spp.* 15(13.0%), *Salmonella spp* 13(11.3%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 14(12.2%) *and Pseudomonas spp.* 11(9.6%) showed the least.

Table 1. Morphology	and biochemica	l characteristics	of bacteria isolate	d from various depa	artments
1 a 0 0 1. W 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	and biochemica.	i characteristics	of bacteria isolate	u mom vanous ucpa	in this most set of the set of th

								cteria			m vari	ous departments
Colony	Gram	Microsco	Cat	Co	Ox	Ci	Ind		TSIA			Possible
morphol	reacti	pic						Slot	But	$H_2S$	Gas	Organisms
ogy	on	morphol										
		ogy										
Yellow	+	Cocci in	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Staphylococcus
colony		clusters										aureus
on MSA												
while												
smooth,												
circular												
and												
milky on												
NA												
Mucoid,		Short rod	_			_	+	Y	Y		+	Escherichia
	-		-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	т	coli
pink		in single										coll
colonies												
on MA												
Mucoid,	-	long rod	-	-	-	+	+	Y	Y	-	+	Klebsiella spp
moist		in single										
and												
sticky,												
pink												
colonies												
on MA												
Pale	-	long rod	-	-	+	+	-	R	R	-	-	Pseudomonas
colonies		in cluster										spp
on MA,												
Mucoid												
with												
green												
Pigmenta												
tion on												
NA												
Mucoid	-	short rod	+	-	-	+	-	R	Y	$+^{2}$	+	Salmonella spp.
and												11
milky on												
NA,pale												
colonies												
On MA												
On MSA	+	cocci in	+	_	-	-	_	Y	Y	_	+	Staph.epidermi
whitish		chains						1	T		'	dis
Colonies												
(no												
colour												
change)												
pale		short rod	+	_	_	+		R	Y		+	Proteus
colonies	-	in single		-	-	+	-		1	-	+	mirabilis
on MA,		in single										milaums
mucoid												
on NA												
UII INA						I						

NOTE: MA=MacConkay agar, NA=Nutrient agar, MSA=Mannitol Salt Agar, Cat= Catalase, Cit= Citrate, Co= Coagulase, Ind= Indole, Ox= Oxidase, TSIA=Triple Sugar Iron Agar, Y= Yellow (Acid reaction), R= Red (Alkaline reaction)

The results of this study also showed that **Staphylococcus Staphylococcus** aureus, epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp, Proteus mirabilis, Salmonella spp and *Pseudomonas spp* are the main bacterial isolates frequently associated with the door handles as shown in the (Table 3). These organisms may probably have their way to the door handles through the skin and hand-to-hand contacts. Furthermore, in this study Staphylococcus aureus 26(22.6%), was the most frequently isolated bacterium as shown in Table 2. This is may be due to the fact that they are the major components of the normal flora of the skin and which probably explains its nose. high prevalence as contaminant as it can easily be discharged by several human activities. This observation is in conformity with the finding of Nworie et al. [5,32]. Staphylococcus aureus is the most important potential pathogen that cause boils, abscesses, wound infections toxic shock syndrome and pimples. Also, the result of this study is also in agreement to that of Bloomfield et al. [37], who also recorded 32% Staphylococcus from toilet and bathroom handles whereas in contrast with the work Wasa et al. [25] that observed high number of E.coli 67% on door handles.

Table 2. The mean total bacteria plate Count across the various selected departments of faculty of Science

Donortmont	Mean Total Bacterial
Department	plate Count (cfu/ml)
<b>Biological Sciences</b>	$7.05 \times 10^{6}$
Biochemistry	$2.74 \times 10^{6}$
Microbiology	$5.33 \times 10^{6}$

Table 3. The frequency of occurrence of different bacteria isolated from the various department

Isolates	Frequency	Frequency, %
Staphylococcus	26	22.6
aureus Staphylococcus epidermidis	14	12.2
Éscherichia coli	25	18.3
Klebsiella spp.	15	13.0
Proteus	15	13.0
mirabilis		
Salmonella spp	13	11.3
Pseudomonas	11	9.6
spp		
Total	115	100

Table 4 shows the distribution of isolates based on Grams reaction, from the results it can be deduce that Gram negative bacterial have the highest incidences on door handles and knobs with 65.23% whereas Gram positive showed the least with 34.78%. The results of this study showed high incidence of bacterial contaminants on door handles which were contaminated with considerable number of pathogenic bacteria most of which are Gram-negative bacteria 75(65.23%) as shown in Table 3. The high incidence observed may be attributed to poor sanitary and hygienic practices. The bacteria may have found their way onto the surfaces through cross contamination, poor personal hygiene of the users [2,25]. The presence of the gram-negative bacteria which are member of the coliforms indicates the possibility of the presence of faecal contamination on these public conveniences. The results of this study suggest that commonly handled objects that are microbial contaminated can serve as reservoirs of bacteria and that can easily transfer to the hands through direct contact and can give rise to food borne infections and gastroenteritis [30].

Table 4. Distribution of isolates according to grams reaction

Isolates	Number of Isolates	Percentage
Gram Positives	40	34.78
Gram Negatives	75	65.22

Table 5 shows the results of mean total bacterial plate count from various sample sites, namely Toilet, Offices and Laboratories door handles/knobs. The results revealed highest number of bacteria in Toilet door handles  $(6.24 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , followed by Offices with  $(5.28 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , while Laboratories door handles showed the least with  $(3.60 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ . The result of the total bacterial plate count across the various selected departments revealed highest number of bacteria in Biological sciences  $(7.05 \times 110^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , followed by Microbiology with  $(5.33 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , while biochemistry showed the least with  $(2.74 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , as shown in table 1. The highest bacterial count observed in biological sciences might be attributed to high population of staffs and students in the department that uses theses offices and conveniences, Interestingly, this study is in agreement to that of Kennedy et al. who also reported that levels [27], of contamination of conveniences vary depending on traffic, exposure and environment. These organisms probably have found their entry on the handles/knob through the skin and hand to hand mechanism, as this *Staphylococcus aureus* are subset of the normal microbiota of the skin [30,44]. Similarly, frequent handling by many users of different hygiene profile having regular skin contact with the handles/knob may have resulted in the frequency and the degree of population of the isolates.

Table 5. The mean total bacteria plate count from various samples sites

	Mean Total
Sample Sites	Bacterial plate
	Count (cfu/ml)
Laboratories	$3.60 \times 10^{6}$
Offices	$5.28 \times 10^{6}$
Toilet	$5.28 \times 10^{6}$

The mean total bacterial plate count from various sample sites revealed highest number of bacteria in toilet door handles  $(6.24 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , followed by Offices with  $(5.28 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , while Laboratories door handles showed the least with  $(3.60 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ . The highest occurrence of bacterial isolates observed in toilet door handles might be attributed to high population and frequently used of the toilet by different individual. This is similar to the report of Kennedy et al., [27] who reported that there was more contamination in restrooms such as educational institutions because they lack cleaners and a few that are available are neither trained nor have the equipment and disinfectants to do their job resulting to high level of contamination in these places. This is also in agreement with Boone et al. [7,35], who attested that the frequently or heavily used fomites are most likely contaminated and therefore carry higher heterotrophic bacterial loads and also Sanitary conditions in public places have always been a major problem, especially in the toilet and laboratories since some organisms are able to survive for weeks and months in dry areas. The fact that these contaminants were at high level in these environments is of great concern, especially with the increasing number of immuno-compromised individual [44].

## Conclusions

Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp, Proteus mirabilis, Salmonella spp and Pseudomonas spp were the main bacteria isolated in this research study so far. The results of the present study demonstrated that public contact surfaces such as door handles are often colonized by several bacteria and serve as a potential source of infections. Contaminated and improperly washed hands contaminate door handles, that is to say there is a high level of bacterial contamination which may lead to high level prevalence of the bacterial infectious disease due to contaminants. The isolation of pathogenic bacteria from the toilets door handles in this study indicates that they could be vehicle disease transmission microbial of as contamination of toilet door handles surfaces may be a common means of transfer of potentially pathogenic bacteria among users. On the basis of the above findings, it is therefore recommended that the university management should at least provide hand sanitizers to the users or spray disinfectants with regular cleaning of the toilets to ensure reduction in microbial load, more cleaners should be employed especially in male student hostel in considering the higher level of bacterial contamination in this site, Individuals both adult and young should adopt the habit of hand washing practice after using the toilets and routine surface disinfection of the toilets door handles, this can prevent cross contamination, Besides the encouraging for general good hygiene practice by all (staffs, students and visitors), there is a need for the university management to build more toilets so as to make it less busy, considering factors like the number of sites, the number of samples collected, conditions under which the research work was carried out, further research should be carried out particularly on the risk of fungal contamination.

#### **Conflict of interest**

Further studies should be conducted on susceptibility of both antibiotics and also disinfectants towards these organisms which this study wasn't able to cover due to lack of resources.

#### References

- [1] Nwankwo EO, Afuruobi HE. Isolation and identification of bacterial contaminants from door handles in a tertiary institution in Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Microbiology 2015;29:3139-49
- [2] AL-Harmoosh A, Ali J. Eidan, Hazim A. Al-Hadrawy, Qasim A. Mohammed and

Ameer Q. Hamed. Potential Bacterial Contaminants in the Handles of Car Doors. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 2018;10.22207

- [3] Al-Harbi M, Anderson A, Elmi A. Evaluation of Microbial Contamination in Frequently Used Fomites in Kuwait. Biodiversity Int J 2017;1(3):00013.
- [4] Onwubiko NE, Chinyeaka AH. Isolation And Identification Of Bacterial Contaminants From Door Handles In A Tertiary Institution In Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Microbiology 2015;29:3139-47.
- Nworie A, Ayeni J, Eze U, Azi S. [5] **Bacterial** contamination of door handles/knobs in selected public conveniences Abuja metropolis, in Nigeria: a public health threat. Cont J Med Res 2012;6(1):7-11.
- [6] Adewoyin AG, Majolagbe ON, Ogunmoyewa T, Abejide MA. Antibiotic Resistance Profile of Microbial Isolates of Toilet-Bowl of some Students Hostels in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. European Journal of Applied Sciences 2013;5(3):76-8.
- [7] Otter J, French G. Bacterial contamination in touch surfaces in the public transport system and in public areas of a hospital in London. Letters in Applied Microbiology 2009;49:803-5.
- [8] Boone SA, Gerba CP. The Prevalence of human parainfluenza virus I on indoor office formite. Food and Environmental Virology 2010;2(1):41-6.
- [9] Ducel G, Fabry J, Nicolle L, Girard R, Perruad M., Priiss A., Sawey TE., Thuriaux M., and Valnhems P. Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infection: A practical guide, 2nd Edition. WHO Department of Communicable Disease, Surveillance and Response: 2002;1-9.
- [10] Jawetz, Melnick, and Adelberg's, Medical Microbiology 27th ed. McGraw-Hill Education USA, 2016.
- [11] Brooks, G. F., Carrol, K. C, Butel, J. S., Morse, S. A., Jawetz, Melnick, Adelberg's. Medical microbiology 24th edition. New York: McGraw Hill, 2007. 2198
- [12] Tunc K, Olgun U. Microbiology of public telephones. J Inf 2006;53(2):140-3.
- [13] Narmeen S, Jaladet M. Isolation and identification of Staphylococcus aureus using classical and molecular methods.

The 2nd Kurdistan Conference on Biological Sciences 2009;12(1):10-6.

- [14] Harrison WA, Griffith CJ, Ayers T, Michaels B. Bacterial transfer and crosscontamination Potential associated with paper-towel dispensing. Am J Infect Control 2003;31(7):387-91.
- [15] Cezar-Vaz M, Rocha L, Bonow C, Silva M, Cezar-Vaz J, et al. Risk Perception and Occupational Accidents: A Study of gas station workers in Southern Brazil. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2012;9(7): 2362-77.
- [16] Kawo AH, Dabai YU, Manga SB, Garba GJ. Prevalence and public health implications of the bacterial load of environmental surfaces of some Secondary Schools in Sokoto, North-western Nigeria. Int Res J Micr 2012;3(5):186-90.
- [17] Reynolds K, Watt P, Boone S, Gerba C. Occurrence of bacteria and biochemical markers on public surfaces. Int J Environ Health Res (2005);15(3): 225-234.
- [18] Jason O, Chika MA, Felix A. Assessment of microbial air contamination of post processed gari on sale in markets. Afr J Food Sci 2011;5(8):503-12.
- [19] Wada-kura A, Maxwell R, Sadiq HY, Tijjani M, Abdullahi I. Microbiological quality of some ready-to-eat foods and fomites in some cafeterias in Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. Biol Environ Sc J Trop 2009;6(1):6-9.
- [20] De Jong A, Verhoeff-Bakkenes L, Nauta M, Jonge R. Cross-contamination in the kitchen: effect of hygiene measures. J App Microbio 2008;105(2):615-24.
- [21] Kassem II. Chinks in the armor: the role of the nonclinical environment in the transmission of Staphylococcus bacteria. Am J Infect Control 2011;39:539-41.
- [22] Payne DE, Martin NR, Parzych KR, Rickard AH, Underwood A, Boles BR. Tannic acid inhibits Staphylococcus aureus surface colonization in an IsaA-dependent manner. Infect Immun. 2013;81:496-504.
- [23] Pynnonen M, Stephenson RE, Schwartz K, Hernandez M, Boles BR. Hemoglobin promotes *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization. PLoS Pathog 2011; 7:e1002104.
- [24] Nworie A, Ayeni JA, Eze UA, Azi SO. Bacterial contamination of door handles/knobs in selected public

conveniences in Abuja metropolis, Nigeria: a public health threat. Continental Journal of Medical Research 2012;6(1):7-11.

- [25] Wojgani H, Kehsa C, Cloutman-Green E, Gray C, Gant V, Klein N. Hospital Door Handle Design and Their Contamination with Bacteria: A Real Life Observational Study. Are We Pulling against Closed Doors?. PLoS ONE, 2012;7(10):e40171.
- [26] Lynn M, Vivian OA, Wasa AA. Prevalence of bacterial organism on toilet door handles. Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences 2013;8:85-91.
- [27] Opere BO, Ojo JO, Omonighehin E, Bamidele M. Antibiotic Susceptibility and Plasmid Profile Analysis of Pathogenic Bacteria Isolated from Environmental Surfaces in Public Toilets. Transnational Journal of Science and Technology 2013;3(2):22-30.
- [28] Reynolds KA, Hurst CJ. Manual of Environmental Microbiology 2nd Annual Public Health Association page 9, 2005.
- [29] Kembel SW, Jones E, Kline J, Northcutt D, Stenson J, Womack AM, Bohannan BJ, Brown GZ, Green JL. Architectural design influences the diversity and structure of the built environment microbiome. ISME J. 2012;6:1469-79.
- [30] Samy SA, Hamdy ME. Pathogenic Bacteria Associated with different public environmental sites. Journal of Medical Microbiology 2012;2:133-7.
- [31] Reynolds KA, Watt PM, Boone SA, Gerba CP. Occurrence of Bacteria and Bacteria Markers on Public Surfaces. International Journal of Environmental Health Research 2005;15(3):225-34.
- [32] Rusin P, Maxwell S, Gerba C. Comparative surface-to-hand and fingertip-to mouth transfer efficiency of gram-positive bacteria, gram- negative bacteria, and phage. Journal Applied Microbiology 2002;93:585-92.
- [33] Opere BO, Ojo JO, Omonighehin E, Bamidele M. Antibiotic Susceptibility and Plasmid Profile Analysis of Pathogenic Bacteria Isolated from Environmental Surfaces in Public Toilets. Transnational Journal of Science and Technology 2013;3(2):22-30.
- [34] Nworie A, Ayeni JA, Eze UA, Azi SO. Bacterial contamination of door

handles/knobs in selected public conveniences in Abuja metropolis, Nigeria: a public health threat. Continental Journal of Medical Research 2012;6(1):7-11.

- [35] Dodrill L, Schmidt WP, Cobb E, Donachie P, Curtis V, De-Barra M. The Effect of Hand washing with Water or Soap on Bacterial Contamination of Hands, International Journal Environmental Public Health Resource 2011;8(1):97-104.
- [36] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-second Informational supplement 2012;32(3):M100-S22.
- [37] Boone SA, Gerba CP. The Prevalence of human parainfluenza virus I on indoor office formite. Food and Environmental Virology 2010;4 (1): 41-6.
- [38] Bright KR, Boone SA, Gerba CP.: Occurrence of bacteria and viruses on Elementary classroom surfaces and the potential role of Elementary Classroom hygiene in the spread of infectious diseases. The Journal of School Nursing 2010;26(2)33-41.
- [39] Bloomfield S. F. Survival of Salmonella in bathroom and Toilets in homes. Journal of Applied Microbiology 2000;89(1)137-44.
- [40] Beaugerie L and Petit JC. Microbial-gut interactions in health and disease. Antibiotic associated diarrhoea. Best Practice and Research Clinical Gastroenterology 2004;18:337-52.
- [41] Goldhammer KA, Dooley DP, Ayala EW, Hill BL.: Prospective study of bacterial and viral Contamination of exercise equipment. Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine 2006;16(1)34-8.
- [42] Kennedy DI, Enriquez CE, Gerba CP.: Enteric bacterial contamination of public restrooms. Cleaning Industry Research Institute, 2005.
- [43] Monica Cheesbrough. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries Part 2 Cambridge University Press, Low Price Edition (2002).
- [44] Prescott LM, Harvey JP, Klein DA. Microbiology, 2nd edition. W.M.C. Brown, England. 1993.
- [45] Reynolds KA. Hygiene of environmental surfaces. International Journal of

Environmental Health Research 2005;15 (2)225-34.

- [46] Prescott L.M., Harley J.P., Klen A. Donald. Microbiology, fourth Edition, McGraw Hill Publishing Press, 1999.
- [47] Rusin, P., Enriquez, C.E., Johnson, D. and Gerba, C.P. Environmentally transmitted pathogens. In: Environmental Micorbiology, eds. Maier, R.M., Pepper, I.L & Gerba, C.P, p. 448. New York: Academic Press, 2000.
- [48] Rusin, P., Orosz-Coughlin, P. and Gerba, C. Reduction of faecal coliform, coliform

and heterotrophic plate count bacteria in the household kitchen and bathroom by disinfection with hypochlorite cleaners. Journal of Applied Microbiology 2002; 85:819-28.

- [49] Scott, E. and Bloomfield, S.F. The survival and transfer of microbial contamination via cloth, hands and utensils. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 1990;68(3):271-8.
- [50] Scott E, Bloomfield SF, Barlow CG.: An investigation of microbial contamination in the home. Journal of Hygiene 1982;89(1) 279-93.

\*\*\*\*\*\*