## A Blood-Bought Book Is there a difference between the 1611 KJV and the KJV?




We've become reliant upon and accustomed to the KJV, but is it any different than the 1611 KJV? Do we have access to the 1611 if it proves to be the proper translation?

When I pick up my Bible to reads it, I never question whether or not it is the right Bible. It's a King James Version—why would I question it? Why would there be anything wrong with it. How could it be heresy? I've been using it for years.

There have been some pastors that have investigated the translation of the 1611 (original) and the later versions called King James Versions. I would have thought they were all derivatives of the KJV and that I never had to worry when I study or read from it. But according to certain observations, sometimes the KJV doesn't contain the same words as the 1611 KJV.

An "*every word*" Bible means that every word counts. This is what creates the confusion. If you read the forward by <u>Pastor John Koletas</u> study by <u>Pastor Scott DeGiorgio</u>, you will see that DeGiorgio gives 50 accounts (and there may be more) where words were changed which sometimes changes the meaning of the scripture.

I will continue to read my KJV Bible until there is a production of 1611 versions that I can get my hands on. My Bible is a KJV and that will have to suffice. But it interesting to see that either by error or deliberately someone is always trying the change the KJV.



(photo courtesy Microsoft Publisher)

## **ISSUE 87** March 28, 2015

For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved. Romans 10:13

> Promoting the Gospel of Jesus Christ to the Inner City.

Illustration, photography, graphic design and journalism by Jim Robinson, unless otherwise indicated. Most all pictures link.

Past editions of The Soulwinner can be obtained from <u>jrartworks.org</u>

