

"Sustainable Development"

JOAN VEON

THE NEW WORLD ORDER IS SUSTAINABLE---WHEN THEY GET DONE, EVERYTHING and EVERYONE WILL BE SUSTAINABLE. WHAT DOES THIS NEW BUZZ WORD OF THE ENVIRONMENTALLY CORRECT MEAN? WHAT WILL IT LEAD TO? DO WE 'NEED' IT?

In summary, as I have researched the concept of sustainable development, I feel that it is the environmentally correct phrase, "sustainable development" comprises, the new code words for "Communist Revolution." In a communist revolution, everything changes--society, values, laws, morals and conduct. To say that THEY are the ones who need to conserve the earth's resources for future generations is a sleek, smooth way for transfer of wealth and abolition of personal property rights. Under "sustainable development, " everything we know will change. Those who do not go along with the plan will be seen as detrimental to preserving the earth and therefore, detrimental to preserving its future...they will be enemies of the environment!!!

The concept of sustainable development is like a prism as it is environmental, as well as **social, economic and political**. It forms the foundation for a new way of living and thinking which will be foisted upon the American people at large by those who believe in world government and those who think they are doing the world a favor. **Sustainable development is the "final thrust." It is the philosophical divider between those who are green globalists and those who are not.** It will be the process for "separating the wheat from the chaff." Without the "problem" of the environment, there is no need to: reduce these population, create biospheres, protect "biodiversity," and change laws in order to make everything "sustainable." In addition, the UN would not have any reason to be since this is now their main mission. What it really does is reduce and eliminate our property rights while increasing those of the United Nations.

Habitat I, the first UN Conference on Human Settlements in 1976 concluded that **"Private land ownership is a principal instrument of accumulating wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice. Public control of land is therefore indispensable. Public ownership of land is justified in favor of the common good, rather than to protect the interests of the already privileged."** (Source: David A. Witts, *Theft*, La Verne University Press, LaVerne, CA 1985, p. 15, quoted by Henry Lamb)

Communitic Constitution - 1977

In trying to find where the concept of sustainable development came from, there are numerous sources...it could have come originally from communism--through The Constitution of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics of 1977 which provides in Chapter 2, Article 18, the following definition:

In the interests of the present and future generations, the necessary steps are taken in the USSR to protect and make scientific, rational use of the land and its mineral and water resources, and the plant

and animal kingdoms, to preserve the purity of air and water, ensure reproduction of natural wealth, and improve the human environment.

This is very definitely a description of sustainable development. It could have originated with **The World Commission on Environment and Development** which was called into being in **1987** by the UN to help shape the future of the 21st Century. This commission **was co-chaired by Gro Harlem Brundtland, prime minister of Norway and a member of the Socialist International**. Many members, if not most of them, with the exception of the representative from the United States perhaps, were from socialist, Marxist or communist countries--Russia, China, Hungary, Germany, Japan, Nigeria,

Yugoslavia, Guyana, Algeria, Zimbabwe or the Sudan, to name a few. Their definition of sustainable development is the definition used by the United Nations in all of their materials and documents.

Sustainable development, as defined by The World Commission on Environment and Development is, "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs...(1) "Needs" as it pertains to the world's poor to which overriding priority should be given (2) the idea of limitations imposed by...technology...on the environment's ability to meet present and future needs." Immediately what is apparent is control of the earth's resources and the consumption thereof and a "transfer of wealth" to those who have greater need than those who have.

Interestingly enough, Prince Charles from Great Britain commended the Commission in a keynote speech in 1992 in which he said, "There is little doubt that your commission's report, in 1987, was the single most important document of the decade on this subject, bringing the phrase 'sustainable development' into all our vocabularies..."

Background

In 1992, the United Nations convened what is referred to as the "Rio Earth Summit" which was a conference devoted to the health and well-being of planet Earth. **There were many conventions and treaties signed, which had been evolving since the first conference on the Environment in 1972. These conventions and treaties did more than just "protect Planet Earth." They started in motion, an environmental philosophy geared to change life on Planet Earth as we know it.**

The Environmental movement is not just a "nice little movement" to protect spotted owls and worms, it is a religious movement in which earth is to be worshipped and protected in such a way that "regular" people who don't worship the earth become the victims as they are seen as the perpetrators of pollution and those responsible for the rapid consumption of earth's resources. Instead of being an "enemy of the state," they/we will be "enemies of the environment" which is a global crime, not just a state crime.

The United Nations, for its own purposes, is leading the advancement of the environmental movement--that of power and more power and eventually global government. They say that they are the only global body in a position to become the caretaker of the resources of planet earth. However, if one reads their cunning Programme of Action for Habitat II, the upcoming conference in Istanbul, Turkey, one will see that they will also become the taskmaster of the world as they will dole out the resources as they see fit.

Sustainable Development

The United States Government aims to please the United Nations. This is seen in a draft guide presented on 9/2/95 entitled, "Community Sustainability: Agendas for Choice-Making and Action--A Local Action 'Roadmap' for our Choices as Concerned Citizens," published by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, which was written at the "personal request of Wally N'Dow, Secretary General for Habitat II." This paper suggests "rebalancing" which means "(1) we shift our consumption, extraction and harvesting patterns and technology, (2) we reframe our ethical choices within the new reality that ecology confronts us with" and (3) "is the level at which people can be most helpful" is their communities.

Their definition of sustainability is "The reshaping of our modern urban-rural industrial society's economics in accordance with the dictates of the natural ecological system of support upon which we and our social and economic systems must depend." But this is not the only definition of "sustainability." There are many interpretations.

According to J. Ronald Engel, IUCN Ethics Working Group, Ethics of Environment and Development, Global Challenge and International Response, "Sustainable" means, "not only indefinitely prolonged, but nourishing as the earth is nourishing to life and the self-actualizing of persons and communities. ...'sustainable development' may be defined as *the kind of human activity that nourishes and perpetuates the fulfillment of the whole community of life on earth.* Italics original

The Habitat II Document is a strategic plan to set up all of the machinery, commissions and policing mechanisms to ensure that the resources of planet earth are not used up and to identify those who are abusing the resources of planet earth.

Just as Peter the Great took Russia into the 21st Century kicking and screaming, so too are the environmentalists ready to take America and the rest of the world into the Third Millennium.

Just as the USSR went through the Communist Revolution, and China went through their Cultural Revolution, both revolutions changed life, freedom, ownership and conduct, so today the communist revolution has been recycled for America is what the writer calls the "Environmental Revolution."

Communities will become war zones--between those pushing the environmental agenda and citizens who either are patriots or who don't understand. Our towns and homes will become war zones based on what a person does or does not do--eat, sleep and produce. You will not be able to live in peace, you will be forced to participate. If you do not, you are a threat to the environment and may be fined accordingly.

If you do not cooperate in Sustainable Development, then you will be an enemy because if we don't have enough food, it will be your fault and if we don't have enough clean water--it will be your fault.

In the phrase "Sustainable Development" is the whole communist agenda of redistribution of wealth, collective ownership and loss of private property rights. - A redefinition of citizenship.

"Sustainable development" describes a MEANS:

- All sources of production and consumption will be controlled
- Each person/family will be monitored for how much food, water, energy, materials, gas, clothing, housing and land they use. This will be weighed against how much they produce - Family dependency ratio

"Sustainable is a control word--because once you determine something is to be designated "sustainable," you then need : **NEW LAWS, MONEY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE, SUPPORT,**

TRAINING/EDUCATION, CONTROL, TRANSPARENCY, NEW LAWS WHOSE IMPORTANCE TRANSCENDS "THE EXISTING LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF EACH COUNTRY," (145 BIS.)

Sustainable development impedes on all property rights as the preservation of earth takes precedence over man. Ranchers and farmers in the West are facing grave problems because the EPA can come onto their property and declare that a certain species of animal is found on it and tell them they can no longer farm so many acres of property because they don't want to disturb their "habitat."

QUESTIONS TO ASK ON 'SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT'

1. Please define "sustainable development" in working terms for the average person-- exactly what does it mean-- Just how it will our life change from what we know today?

2. If I understand correctly, everyone will have to produce in order to not deplete the resources of planet earth. Is this why welfare is being overhauled? What provisions are being made for the elderly who will not be able to produce? (production/consumption is a tenant of communism-- that is why the old people sweep the streets)
3. Where does the term "sustainable development" originate? I know that the UN uses the definition which was given by The World Commission on Environment, but where else can it be found? I have seen a description of sustainable development in the 1977 Constitution for the USSR? (Chapter 2, Article 18) international law
4. There are many global organizations which the US funds who are leading the efforts on sustainable development--such as the United Nations, World Bank, IMF, OECD and others. I am told that what they do--the conferences, treaties, agreements basically end up being codified into international law--environmental, or otherwise. How does international environmental law impact national and state laws of the United States or are those laws being changed to comply with international law? (In the Beijing document, there were numerous references for states to change, alter, amend their civil, penal, civil, family laws to comply with international law.) valuing the earth
5. A friend of mine, who interviewed Dr. Ismail Serageldin from the World Bank in Istanbul, said that the World Bank and UN wants to value everything in the world such as clean air, the number of trees that a country has, how educated the people are and natural resources--could you comment on what is being set up and how it will affect how we live? In addition, another way he said that the world will be valued is through social capital. If I am correct, didn't Hitler use the concept of social capital? reduction population
6. The United Nations has held many conferences in the 1990s on development. They say the population of the world has to be reduced because the world won't have enough resources. There was someone in the 1790s by the name of Thomas Malthus who said the world would run out of

food and it has not. It appears that we have all the resources we need. How can anyone measure nature and the earth's capacity without actual knowledge and experience, let alone implement a global plan of action. (If they say our scientists tell us we are running out--you can counter with the fact that not all the scientists agree with the environmental findings of the UN--(there were 70 very prominent scientists from the US and 30 from Europe who don't agree with climate warming or ozone depletion which is used as the basis for a number of environmental and they signed the "Lipseyg Accord.") control earth resources

7. If sustainable development is ensuring that there are resources for future generations, then that means someone has to control and monitor the earth's resources. What is set in place currently to do this? family dependency ratio
8. In the Beijing document it asks for the IMF/World Bank to come up with a way to put a value on "unremunerated work" or work outside of a job in which a person is not paid. This value is to be used in determining the "family dependency ratio" for each household. A friend of mine asked Maurice Strong if this was a way to determine by household whether or not the people who live in that house were adding to the earth's resources or taking away from the earth's resources. He told her it was. Could you comment on the number of ways the earth's resources will be monitored--either by household or by corporate use. authority/ executive orders
9. The President's Commission on Sustainable Development was implemented by executive order when the Senate refused to ratify the Biodiversity Treaty. All of their recommendations can be set up through the Executive Order. Isn't this bypassing our elected officials? Are you going to get Senate authority for what you are doing?
10. With what you are recommending here, is it time to through out the Constitution? How does sustainable development and the principles of the Constitution line up or are they different? penalties for not agreeing
11. Is sustainable development voluntary? Does everyone have to participate? What are the penalties if you chose not to participate? tax on depletion of resources
12. In Istanbul, there were a number of workshops espousing the theory that in the future, due to shortages of water and energy, people would have to pay for not only the service, such as water, **but also pay a tax for the depletion of it.** Here in Racine, we pay lets say, \$4.00 per thousand cubic foot for water. This means that our cost for water will probably double. The developing countries at the recent Convention of the parties to the Climate Change were told that they would get this money. If I understand correctly, tax is a transfer of wealth but now we are told that our country will not get this tax but that it will go to developing countries. Please explain this as well as how sustainable development can be beneficiary when it is an additional tax, when our country will not recive this tax and when it will increase the cost to live? **authority**

13. Since our Congress and House are not voting on these recommendations in the President's Commission on Sustainable Development," by whose authority will all of this be done? transfer of wealth

14. In Habitat II, a friend of mine was told by and official from UNDP that there are several ways to transfer wealth--trade (GATT), foreign aid and private flows of monies to developing countries. GATT is costing Americans jobs and is producing a lower standard of living. Between 1946 and 1993, the US has given \$260B in foreign aid which is a quarter of a trillion dollars. Also Americans have invested hundreds of millions of dollars overseas through mutual funds and now we will be taxed for the depletion of water and energy. What kind of world will we have after the transfer of wealth takes place? Wildlands project

15. How does the Wildlands Project which looks to return 50% of America back to wilderness, fit into "sustainable development" since it is expected that people will live in human islands and have restricted travel? new environmental taxation
16. At the recent Convention of the Parties to the Climate Change as well as at Habitat II, the concept of transfer of wealth was discussed. In addition at the Climate Change conference, a number of taxes for consumption of oil/gas and coal were discussed which would translate into penalties for Americans driving too many miles in one year or having a car which has a certain engine. Could you comment on this and help us have a realistic picture of what you are really proposing and whether or not the life we know will continue or if we will become slaves to an environmental god?

THE WOMEN'S GROUP, INC.

Women's Rights, Education and Economics

301/774-7856 4009 Route 108 Olney, Maryland 20830 (f) 301/774-3339

July 25, 1996