

2020

In the year of: Carnivorous.
Predictions?

The author would like to see the **O** removed from office, the Dictator of The United States Of America. **O = Zero** (from NERO to Zero)

Our distinguished Speaker of The House Of Representatives put us on notice that she does not hate the President (Dictator) of The United States Of America; in fact, she tells us, she prays for him (Don't mess with me.).

The less distinguished AG wants to establish an unbridled Executive (for which he would be rewarded by a honorary chair located next to Roy Cohn).

The author does not quibble about his feelings in the matter. He does not pray; most likely his strong feelings of revulsion/repulsion represent hatred for the most repulsive person(?) to appear on the horizon, as a grossly insane autocratic public official. Previously, the author had thought W. Dumbo was the worst ever.

One thing for sure, W. was responsible for the death of many many innocent civilians in the Middle East, for which he has never been held accountable. Mission Accomplished! Very few people in high office in the United States Of America are held accountable for anything they do. They skirt their humanity with garble like the National Security, Patriot Act; Love It or Leave It. Therein, instead, lies the undercurrent of righteous arrogance. This state of affairs needs to end! So sayeth the prophet who lingers on the edge of the abyss (precipice). Where the ape was seen beating his breast, "I am the leader of the 'free' world".

As was the case in NAZI Germany, the Repulsive one has many followers, who, it is opined, are ready to act in his behalf Therein exists, in this opinion, an implied threat, to those who seek the removal of the repulsive tyrant. The threat may be real enough: CIVIL WAR, or, as the case would prove, UNCIVIL WAR. Righteous,

Fundamentalist, Evangelistic, White Supremacist, America First, sentiments characterize the NAZI leanings of the followers (e.g., Rush Limbo, Medal Of Freedom Recipient [draped by Melly The Queen]). This Least Common Denominator base, of a raw primitive survivalist nature, is aching to throw the baby out with the bathwater; that is, under the aegis of the Second Amendment to the Constitution Of The United States of America (the only Amendment that matters to them), they would attack, in lock step, the others. In so doing, anarchy would reign. We thought ISIS was a dire menace. BEWARE!

Is there then a prediction of (predilection for) CIVIL (UNCIVIL) WAR? Rockets Red Glare!

If our representatives in our government cannot find the resolve, or the legal means, to remove the tyrant, what recourse exists for the Nation to protect and save itself from anarchy, perhaps a tyranny which we can barely imagine? Are you able to imagine the 'brown shirts' (skin heads) firing upon their neighbors? Could it happen here? You Betcha!

Is there a militia that would protect the neighbor, or would the militia join in the blood bath? We are not immune to the worst scenario! Remember Kent State!

Yes!, the threat is implicit, the Repulsive one suggests that he is not above such consideration. If ya cross 'im, something is going to happen. He does this because, it is said, he is CRAZY! Because he is a fanatical egomaniacal megalomaniac? A Narcissist? A mental dwarf? *Non Campus Mentis!*

CRAZY!?: A Delusional Genius!!!!

Who's crazy?

One is easily driven thus.

Cease this dire banter!

The pundits are no closer to the truth after all their punditing than is the author. The author says this with occasional reserve, Nicole. In the background resides the commercial affront!!!

Much is unknown. There are close to 8 billion homo sapiens occupying the planet. As a species we do not know what is the optimum number of occupants that will serve the needs of the

species while also balancing this ‘apparent’ (egotistical) need with a more circumspect consumption of the said planet.

Consumption of the planet happens naturally enough. Engendered, thus empowered, so to speak. As an evolutionary product, homo sapiens has adapted to his environment, hence the consumption of the planet. Other life forms confirm this modus operandi; *caveat*: other life forms do not possess the intelligence (awareness) to alter their behavior; or to retaliate against the primary polluter.

It is opined that Nationalism is the cause of something, besides xenophobia. Other mouths to feed!? While the fat dictator (Zero) is abandoning Food Stamps!!

Boundaries. The globe is divided into pieces of real estate with green, greener, and greenest quantifying, and qualifying them.

There is lot of yammer regarding global economy, trade relationships etc. This global thing is not intended to benefit the masses of occupiers, who are serving as slaves to an idea. The main idea is driven by something we identify quite clearly, and correctly, as GREED, which has many ways of being expressed. The old time English approach was: ‘Never grant parity to trading partner’. When England eventually ran out of places to exploit, its sphere became contracted (for the lack of a better expression, as of olde, Athens. It became busted, so busted that it joined with its neighbors [was forced to join its neighbors] in what were proven to be common pursuits. That is, until that old snotty latent Nationalist DNA began to express itself once again, hence Brexit.)

Not just singling (GREAT) Britain from the pack, there are NATIONS, (a dubious word), which want to do more than exercise ‘free’ trade within the ‘global’ environment, they want to control and dominate the markets. They are motivated to seek and enhance advantages to themselves (this is a natural enough phenomenon to be associated with homo sapiens (and with survival).

Seemingly, this represents the outer shape of things, all very dependent upon something to exploit, green, greener, greenest, with which the planet seems to be marginally endowed.

The USA of A has produced a lot of recyclable materials (scrap, junk, waste). It found a consumer of this scrap, junk waste, first in the likes of Japan, then in the likes of China. Japan exhausted the venue. Making things from scrap to sell back to USA, then buying condos and other real estate in the US of A, that is, until China came *on line*. Once China got into making and exporting (to USA) Pittsburg, Chicago, and Badlands, the US of A began to develop a trade deficit (and a lot of Chinese acquiring greener pastures globally).

There were a lot other things happening simultaneously. 'Cheap' imports were competing with homemade goods, which were to lose their market share unto closure. The only true beneficiaries were the Chinese producers, the Middlemen who marketed the chaff, and Global Corporate interests, who took their investments 'off shore', outsourcing to places with cheap labor, and no taxes. The consumer and the people put out of work by the competition were not served at all.

China did more than just sell shit back to the US of A, it absconded with intellectual property, or rather proprietary stuff that was protected by patents, which the Chinese chose to ignore. They had no intention of playing fair. They had a boundless workforce ready to make 'knockoffs'. For whatever the market would bear. On some Gameboard this method of operation would be identified as 'survival'. The Chinese survive the globe over as storekeepers. Go with what you've got!

Enough about the Chinese; there is the U S of A's own homegrown Inside Job (not just a single inside job, but all kinds of inside jobs, sometimes characterized as 'white-collar' crime). Mostly to do with manipulation of the 'medium of exchange'. Not just the idle increase of the 'medium', but also the exchange of it for services rendered (under the table). When a Koch contributes to a political campaign, he expects something. A vote for protecting his way of life perhaps, which may involve fucking up the environment; or screwing one's neighbors.

What is being suggested here is not pretty stuff. It is raw stuff. We are not all in this together. Disabuse yourself of that notion.

Can we ask, “Should it be different?’. Pie-in-the-sky says it should be different, based on a host of hypotheticals (based on assumptions and expectations [based on assumptions]).

The Constitution Of The United States Of America may be suffering some challenges these days, undergoing bludgeoning by tyrants, so badly abused to make us doubt its effectiveness, maybe even its veracity, but realistically speaking, any document can be ignored or perverted by those who are inconvenienced by it, whether implicitly or explicitly (like lawyers can and will argue either side of a case, whether prosecutors or defense attorneys). Most of us suspect the intent of the document was meant to provide a framework of fairness equity and justice for all, making some assumptions along the way, one, that we would recognize the ‘spirit of the law’, and would adhere to it in principle.

Nice try. It isn’t true, if it ever was. As novitiates within the system, we were taught things that were not true, that, if you pledged and saluted you would be the happy recipient of ‘fairness, equity and justice’, along with some other dubious Kudos, like: ‘We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men (and women) are created equal, are ‘ ‘endowed by the Creator (THE WHAT!?) with certain ‘*inalienable*’ rights: life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness’; one man (or woman) one vote. Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness is an unspecified assumption and expectation that it might as well have been left out of the declaration. Even ‘equality’ comes with qualifiers, explicit or implicit.

This is not a cynical appraisal; it is a bitter recognition of something we must rectify. We must make our actions consonant with our words; there is no alternative. It is not a matter of abolishing something ‘destructive of these ends’, it is a matter of executing the ‘spirit of the thing’. Then there’s the guy everyone is quoting these days; Benny Franklin, who remonstrated to the lady questioner, who inquired whether what was achieved at the Constitutional Convention assured a monarchy or a republic: *A Republic, If You Can Keep It*.

Well Yeah! A lot of hot air. (Not even hot). Cynicism and bitterness.

There are a lot of people (of) ‘we the people’ who truly believe in ‘fairness, equity, and justice’, as inalienable rights, rights that apply

to every human soul upon the planet. But even these, people hesitate over them when it comes to 'national' interests, or local communal interests, not necessarily by choice, but through a cultural ambience, doing what others do, to be accepted, principles be damned. Its always been that way. Protoplasm has a way of obviating intellectual niceties. We are not pieces on a Gameboard, we are palpably selfish entities, inevitably pushing toward some unknown goal (not even something you would identify as a goal); following or leading, pushing, all the same, trampling underfoot much that is cherished.

We must look into the mirror, we must confront ourselves frankly, admitting to ourselves our limitations, our prejudices, intolerance; yeah!, we need to.

Fine speech, there, author.

More visceral than you realize. Impassioned speeches have accompanied us throughout the ages.

A step backward here. It was opined, there is, was, will be, disenfranchisement. The disenfranchised ae always seeking a friend.

Yes, a great many have been disenfranchised by the grasping for control of the riches. Labor unions have been disenfranchised by corporations moving their operations 'offshore'. We are not all in this together.

As one mulls over 'evolution' it is not difficult to find many examples of conquest, genocide, with the 'disgraceful' (where have we heard that before?) purpose of control and domination of everything that moves and doesn't move. Such a disgrace, such a disgrace.

Now one segment of the disenfranchised has found a maniac to beat their drum.

Whatever one's turn of mind (sympathies), just about everyone (citizen) is disenfranchised. When there are too many occupants, the natural order of things consigns many to the edge (fringe). There is no difference between right and left, conservative or liberal, skin head or long hair, when it comes to being a subject (a slave, fleecable entity (consumer)) in a Corporate State. The United (some question about that) States Of America is a Corporate State.

A Corporate State is a place where the few control the many. The many are nominally consumers of junk peddled by the Vested Interests (the Corporations). Junk is stuff we do not need. Yes, we need sustenance, shelter, and some kind of gainful employment. The latter represents a recognition of a need to do something to avoid the boredom of being a slave and a fleecable entity (consumer). Yeah!, sure this is a cynical assessment, but so very close to the truth that it smarts.

Lets face it, ours is NOT a hunky dory land (A Happy Republic). There is no free lunch, even for a dyed-in-the-wool sympathizer. You are on your own in a Corporate State.

George Orwell was concerned about Big Brother. Big Brother pretended to have an interest in his subjects, wanting them to lead orderly lives, albeit, in chained abjection. At the same time George depicted ours truly as Chickens, obedient to Pigs.

It goes to show ya, nobody has anything good to say about one man dominating another. Instead of a State that accounts the least amongst its subjects as being worthy of humane indulgence, we live in a State that tries to suck out all the vital juices from the subjugated. No Foolin'! That's Cynical!

It may seem to be difficult to fathom why some people go off the deep end into a kind of anarchistic mayhem. The Second Amendment allows them to procure sticks that discharge deadly elements. Its true they may be crazy, as in, sick in the head, or merely emotionally disturbed; whatever it is, its not good for some others. And we don't know what to do about it. We have tried tearing out our hair without avail. We could do away with the Second Amendment, but there's dynamite, Ammonium Nitrate, C-4, sledge hammers, swords, poison, and clubs, of old, and plain ole physical abuse. Steve Allen loaded Madison Square Garden, or was it Yankee Stadium, with haters, placing in the center of the Garden, or Stadium, a malfeasant, willing him/her to death with Hate. Novel! There are a lotta people want the tyrant gone, but they haven't got it together yet.

It may not be apparent, but it is the author's wish to avoid the conventional patter, to approach our common interests with originality. But the author recognizes his limitations. His thoughts

and feelings may be conventional; as a consequence they may slip into the mainstream unnoticed, or as only so much chaff; (guttural utterances). Regardless of the intent, the best efforts may be consigned to ignominy.

Despite this foregoing, he persists with his declarations.

It is right to wish the dictator removed, perhaps with a violent overthrow, if that is the only recourse to guarantee his disappearance. Is the author threatening something; suggesting something threatening to the established order? A desperate answer may follow.

Its like this; every day we are subjected to his rants. There is even speculation that we will be subjected to his rants for another term of office. Since the author is almost 87, this is 'in perpetuity' and 'for eternity'.

There are no words to describe how this possibility affects the author. Every day is one day too long; the reign must end now!

Everything we are, and will ever hope to be, depends upon the removal of this despot, this arbitrary conniving prevaricating thing; this amorphous changeling, shape-shifter of matter, aberrant deviousness, vacillating vicissitude, opportunistic flounderer; as well as his enabling minions, sycophants, pinstripe shitheads. And paid pipers. In one's worst nightmare he could not conjure such monsters, unless they were Mitchell, Reese, Winebugger, W., Dick, Rummy (double talker), Ashpit, Wolffritz, Poil. Gingrich, Gonzalez, et al. And never forget the ultimate, arch, talker-downer, Kissassinger. Yes, there are many others too numerous to list; the talker-downers, the equivocators, obfuscators, dissemblers, prevaricators, confabulators, look-a-like confidence men? Adolph, Hermann, Josef, Heinrich, Reinhard, Otto, Rudolph;; Flynn, Priebus, Bannon, Kushner, Junior, Pompeo, Cohn, Haspel, McGahn, Mulvaney, Miller, Zinke, Kelly, Nielsen, Scaramucci, Spicer, S. Huckabee S., Price, Sessions, Barr, Moscow McConnell, Acosti, Perry, Sunderland, Bolton; Hannity, Limbo, those waiting to be pardoned, and the pardoner himself, et al. Where do these two-leggers come from? Has the evolutionary gambit gone awry? Or is it safe to say that this all you will get until the final day; Evolution sucks. In ***Do Us A Favor*** the author raised the name of Alfred North

2020

The Year Of Zero

Whitehead as a prospective alternative to succumbing to cynical fatalistic thoughts.

From Nero To Zero. Charting a course through history, the pathway to doom. Recall those in the Headlines; Putin, Bashar Al Assad, Kim Jung Un, Erdogan, Xi, Mohammad bin Salman Al Saud, Maduro, Duterte, Rouhani, Trump. Not to omit other dictatorial regimes still operating in full view. That's a lotta Zeroes.