

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The FY 2017 CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition deadline as required by the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

The FY 2017 CoC Priority Listing includes the following:

- Reallocation forms – must be fully completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects as described in the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition NOFA.
- New Project Listing – lists all new project applications created through reallocation and the permanent housing bonus that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- Renewal Project Listing – lists all eligible renewal project applications that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2017 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
- CoC Planning Project Listing – Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- All new and renewal projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications are accurately appearing on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the affected project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected; however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on the CoC Training page of the HUD Exchange at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources/>

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

The fields on this screen are read only and reference the information entered during the CoC Registration process. Updates cannot be made at this time. If the information on this screen is not correct, contact the HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/ask-a-question/>.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Marion County Homeless Council, Inc.

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2017 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2018 into one or more new projects? No

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
\$0				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
This list contains no items				

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are reducing eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$0					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items					

5. Reallocation - New Project(s)

Collaborative Applicants must complete each field on this form that identifies the new project(s) the CoC created through the reallocation process.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

\$0				
Current Priority #	New Project Name	Component Type	Transferred Amount	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items				

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload all new project applications that have been submitted to this CoC Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Realoc	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Saving Mercy	2017-08-23 23:08:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Saving Mercy	\$88,000	1 Year	X	PH Bonus		
Rapid Re-Housing ...	2017-08-23 15:06:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Shepherd's LightH...	\$50,848	1 Year	5	PH Bonus		
bonus grant	2017-09-28 09:03:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	St Theresa Social...	\$37,758	1 Year	6	PH Bonus		Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload all renewal project applications that have been submitted to this Renewal Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type
HOMES 2018-2019	2017-08-23 09:32:...	1 Year	Ocala/Marion Coun...	\$32,587	4	PSH	PH
HOMES II 2018-2019	2017-08-23 09:35:...	1 Year	Ocala/Marion Coun...	\$23,359	3	PSH	PH
HMIS 2018-2019	2017-09-25 14:39:...	1 Year	Ocala/Marion Coun...	\$83,491	1		HMIS
Renewal Project S...	2017-09-22 08:15:...	1 Year	Shepherd's LightH...	\$51,560	2	RRH	PH

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide," both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload the CoC planning project application that has been submitted to this CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes as the project will need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon.

Only one CoC Planning project application can be submitted by a Collaborative Applicant and must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile. Any additional CoC Planning project applications must be rejected.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type
Housing Navigator...	2017-08-23 09:38:...	1 Year	Ocala/Marion Coun...	\$44,300	CoC Planning Proj...

Funding Summary

Instructions

For additional information, carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

This page contains the total budget summaries for each of the project listings for which the Collaborative Applicant approved and ranked or rejected project applications. The Collaborative Applicant must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount the Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$190,997
New Amount	\$88,606
CoC Planning Amount	\$44,300
Rejected Amount	\$88,000
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$323,903

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	Yes		
FY 2017 Rank (from Project Listing)	No	Project Ranking D...	09/28/2017
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Ranking Document

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2017 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2017 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/26/2017
2. Reallocation	09/26/2017
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	No Input Required
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5. New Project(s)	No Input Required
7A. CoC New Project Listing	09/28/2017
7B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/27/2017
7D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/27/2017
Funding Summary	No Input Required

Attachments

Please Complete

Submission Summary

No Input Required

Ocala/Marion County CoC Project Rank and Review Committee

2017 HUD NOFA Project Review, Scoring and Tiering Recommendation

Review Committee Members : Michael Blessing (LSF/Chair), Donnie Mitchell (MCCS), Dennis Yonce (City of Ocala), Jessica Ehresman (VOA), Ann Burnett (Freedom Clinic), Lisa Rice (MCSO), Faith Beard (UWMC).

Projects Reviewed

The following projects were reviewed, scored and ranked on August 30, 2017. Projects are listed in order of community priorities by project type (HMIS, Permanent Supportive Housing, Permanent Housing/RRH and Supportive Services) with original amount of requested funding. Requested funding may not be the same as funding recommended by the Rank and Review Committee.

Full project proposals can be found on <http://www.mchcfl.org>

HMIS Project :

HMIS, Marion County Homeless Council \$ 86,491.00

Permanent Supportive Housing Projects:

HOMES (renewal), Marion County Homeless Council - \$32,587.00

Permanent Housing Projects/Rapid Rehousing:

Shepherd's LightHouse RRH (renewal), Shepherd's Lighthouse -\$51,252.00

Homes II RRH (renewal), Marion County Homeless Council - \$23, 359.00

Shepherd's Lighthouse (TH & PH-RRH), Shepherd's Lighthouse - \$ 50,848.00

Saving Mercy (TH & PH-RRH), Saving Mercy Incorporated - \$ 88,000.00

St. Theresa's (TH & PH-RRH), St. Theresa's Catholic Church - \$ 79,188.00

CoC Planning Project Renewal:

Housing Navigator, Marion County Homeless Council - \$ 44,300.00

Tiering, Funding and Requirement Breakdown

HUD requires that all CoCs rank their projects, including all new and renewing projects (except for the CoC Planning Project) into 2 tiers, prioritizing projects based on performance and need. The CoC Planning Project is not included in the ranking and tiering and is considered separately per HUD.

Below is the recommended tiering and ranking of projects to be approved for inclusion in the HUD CoC FY2017 NOFA. Project 1 is the highest ranked project and project 4 is the lowest ranked for Tier 1. Tier 2 list two projects recommended for funding. Not all projects were recommended for funding of the full amount requested.

Tier 1 = \$ 190,997.00 - available

1. HMIS (renewal) - \$ 83,491.00
2. Shepherd's LightHouse (renewal) - \$ 51,560.00
3. Homes II (renewal) - \$ 23,359.00
4. Homes (renewal) - \$ 32,587.00

Tier 2 = \$ 88,606.00 - available

1. Shepherd's LightHouse (new Bonus Project) - \$ 50,848.00
2. St. Theresa's (New Bonus Project) - \$ 37,758.00

CoC Planning Grant Funds Available = \$ 44,300.00

Total Funds Requested (Tier 1, Tier 2 and Planning Grant) = \$ \$323,903.00.

Project Score Details and Comments from Review Committee

Project Name: HMIS (renewal) ranked number 1

Comments: HMIS application was not independently scored during this competition. It was determined by the committee that this program is vital to the community as the data collected will enable evaluations of outcomes and needs. The HMIS lead agency and current HMIS vendor were approved priority funding for 2018-2019. The community has utilized HMIS for over seven years but in October of 2016, changed to a new HMIS vendor. This new system has been operational for less than a full year. The first 6 months have been inclusive of the HMIS lead agency and HMIS subcommittee review of data migration issues and current system data entry programming setups for the purpose of capturing the most complete data. The prior year budget and funding for HMIS was increased to support a smooth and uncompromised data transfer between the systems. While this year's application initially requested the same level of funding, the applicant did share with the committee the ability to adjust the budget downward and offered a voluntary reallocation. The committee accepted this offer and recommended the funding at 3,000.00 less than the original amount requested. These funds were re-allocated to the number 2 ranked project.

Project Name: Shepherd's LightHouse (renewal) scored 93 of 95 possible points and ranked # 2.

Comments: While the community priorities were PSH then RRH, this applicant would house at minimum of 12 persons. During the presentation, the applicant was able to identify a performance of almost double the number of participants for which they were funded in last year's competition. The committee was impressed with the stated commitment to Housing First and Coordinated Entry. This applicant asked for \$51,252.00 (which was an increase of just over \$2,600 from the previous year). \$3,000.00 was earmarked for administration and the applicant indicated in prior years administrative support dollars were not sought. The committee strongly felt for the program to continue and improve on its current level of success, an increase was needed in the budget to support the administrative piece and were particularly interested in seeing added support of the current staff of two. The applicant shared a plan already in process to utilize a job program called Experience Works to hire a part time position to aid with administrative duties. Funding was recommended for \$51, 560.00 (3,308.00 above last year's funding).

Project Name: Homes II (renewal) scored 93 of 95 possible points and ranked # 3.

Comments: This project provides for 5 scattered site housing for chronic homeless individuals and families. While the subpopulation of chronically homeless is the highest priority for the community, this project was ranked below Shepherd's based on the number of units available to the community. The committee also utilized performance information available at the time to evaluate, score and rank housing projects. This project was recommended for funding of the full amount requested.

Project Name: Homes (renewal) Scored 91 of 95 possible points and ranked # 4

Comments: This applicant is the only permanent supportive housing program in the community. It consists of two duplexes which house a total of four families. This project serves families where at least one member has a disability. The committee felt strongly that this project is vital to our community as Permanent Supportive Housing is the number one priority need. As this is the only PSH applicant, there was no comparative cost data available for the committee to review. Performance information contained in the application and through the HIC report was reviewed and taken into account in the scoring of all applicants. This project was ranked below the others in Tier 1, due to having the capacity to only house four families.

This is the first year the Continuum of Care has solicited and received applications for a bonus project. Three applications were submitted, reviewed and scored by the Rank and Review Committee.

TIER 2 – BONUS PROJECTS

Project Name: Shepherd's LightHouse – (new bonus) scored 114 of 115 possible points and was ranked # 1 in Tier 2.

Comments: Shepherd's LightHouse demonstrated a successful track record in housing both through the transitional and rapid rehousing tracks. The committee felt strongly that this applicant presented a solid plan to increase the number of persons served using the TH & PH-RRH option that became available this year. The applicant reported exceeding the number of person's housed in current grant and a success rate of 80-85%. The applicant reported strong commitment to Housing First and participation in the Coordinated Entry process. The committee did want to see this applicant increase staff size, at minimum to a part time individual, to handle the increasing administrative duties that will come with these funds. The applicant did identify plans to add an administrative person part time. The applicant was recommended for full funding amount requested.

Project Name: St. Theresa's Catholic Church – (new Bonus) scored 110 of 115 points and was ranked # 2 in Tier 2.

Comments: St. Theresa's has experience in the feeding, clothing, rapid rehousing and referral to supportive services for the homeless seeking assistance in the Southeast area of Marion County. The applicant recently utilized private and community funding (from an organization) to aid in the purchase and development of Bridge Housing. This housing (which consist of one home with five bedrooms with common living and kitchen area and shared bathroom) has been in existence for one month. The applicant was able to describe the challenges experienced thus far and problem solving utilized to address those as well as how new funds will allow for an increase in number of persons rapidly rehoused through use of scattered sites. The applicants experience, accounting, use of HMIS, use of coordinated entry and spoken commitment to Housing First were all considered in the rank and scoring process. The applicant was recommended for partial funding in the amount just less than half of what was requested.

Project Name: Saving Mercy (New Bonus) scored 91 of 115 available points and was not recommended for funding.

Comments: This applicant presented with the highest number of units and beds to be dedicated to the majority of subpopulations of homeless. The applicant indicated plans to work directly with local law enforcement to divert and house homeless, preventing arrest. The project is in the planning stage with movement toward implementation in that there is a local property for which applicants have made an offer to purchase, but purchase is dependent on being able to obtain a special use permit. Delays or denial in obtaining the permit and completing the purchase, would impede the applicants' ability to open a fully functioning facility before October 1, 2018. The applicant did identify that if the purchase of this property could not be achieved, the organization has been looking at alternative scattered and single sites as a second option. The committee strongly felt that while this project would fill a significant and vital need within the community, the risk of delays in becoming operational in time to begin housing if funded were too great at this time. This project was not recommended for funding.

