

EXHIBIT 2

October 24, 2015

Kathleen L. Mazure, Esq.
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer
& Pembroke, P.C.
1615 M St., N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

Re: Demand for Cessation of Board Intimidation of My Clients

Dear Ms. Mazure,

It is with the utmost regret that I must contact you on a weekend to admonish you, as FERC-related counsel to the Flathead Joint Board of Control of the Flathead, Mission and Jocko Valley Irrigation Districts ("the Board"), to admonish your client's Chairman, Mr. Boone Cole, to immediately cease and desist from harassing, in writing and by telephone, each of my clients.

I have been informed by at least six (6) of my clients that Mr. Cole had contacted them by telephone on Friday, October 23, 2015, and today, Saturday, October 24, 2015, in an effort to compel, not merely request, their withdrawal of the intervention filed with FERC on 10/22/15. Mr. Cole has proceeded to act in this bold and irrational manner with full knowledge and understanding of, and notwithstanding, my clients' recent good faith offer to withdraw their intervention subject to a few reasonable conditions. I have attached a copy of that correspondence for the benefit of your recollection.

This unacceptable intimidation-based Mafia-style behavior consists of Mr. Cole threatening to resign from the Board unless my clients agree to withdraw their intervention. Such statements are adolescent and are clearly intended to convey the impression that the intervention threatens the existence of the Board and that the Board's fate, therefore, rests primarily upon my clients' shoulders. Not only is this conduct unprofessional and wholly inappropriate, but it also violates several provisions of the Board's bylaws which the Board's Commissioners inexplicably fail to enforce under Mr. Cole's leadership.

I have decided to include Judge Haubner as a recipient of this correspondence because your client's behavior betrays the "frank and open" nature of the settlement conference process (9/25/15 Order, para. 5), and is arguably disruptive of it. It also serves as a stark reminder of how the Board inadequately represents my clients' interests, as set forth in the intervention, and why my clients, as District members, remain suspicious of the Board's lack of transparency and failure to disclose documents related to these proceedings.

