



PSA 2.8

Inching along. 2.8, 2.7, 2.5, 2.7, 3.5, (2.5 fPSA 7%) 3.4

Imperatives.

Eliminating all the man-eating monsters.

Rational beings employing rationalizations in order to warp the warp; which fucks up the woof; or weft. Fucked up weft results in many who have wept. All in all a warped individual is one exposed to weeping.

The foregoing is an intentional thing.

A rationalization takes many forms. The foregoing is not an example of a rationalization.

Very often a rationalization is characterized as disinformation, obtained from anonymous sources. Government officials very often fall back on rationalizations to obscure their actions. Some would perceive these rationalizations as justifications, where in fact justice, or the justness of a situation may not be evident in the rationalization; or in the action.

The lot of humanity is dire. So-called 'representative government' is riddled with corruption. Government servants are subject to pressures from those in power and those seeking the services of those in power. In this reference, it is assumed the person in power is the elected representative. Of course, there are other sources of power, e.g., those who simply take power by force; it goes without saying that power so acquired is inherently corrupt.

A Solution: An army of robots whose integrity cannot be compromised through rationalizations. Ideally, the robot would be incorruptible. We have learned recently that 'electronic' voting is corruptible (hackable). So we gotta design our robots carefully if we desire integrity and impartiality. What we don't need is a robot that learns the art of rationalization. Additionally, there would be no need to conduct elections for robots. Once a robot always a robot, and ideally the ideal public servant.

Of course, initially the robot would be programmed by mankind to fulfill all the functions of government. All arbitrariness and chicanery would be eliminated, all gerrymandering, pettifogging, politicking, chauvinism, pressure groups, backdoor spending, boondoggles, vast expenditures wasted in an attempt to malign a candidate for public office (muckraking), right wings and left wings, demagoguery, doctrinaire boolsheet, elastic clauses and implied powers, electoral college, executive privilege, factionalism, fat cats (influence peddlers, ennobled as lobbyists), fellow travelers, gag rules (filibuster) graft, ideology, junkets, leaks, log rolling,

PSA 2.8

loopholes, lunatic fringe, moat filling, nepotism, patronage, peanut politics, pork barreling, propaganda, red tape, sandbagging, smoke-filled rooms, spoils systems, voting, even notional security, or hymenland security, or militarism, would be eliminated as an extension or expropriation of government.

The proposed robots would not represent an evolutionary leap, but more an interregnum in the affairs of man; a delegation. It might be believed that such an institution of robots would free man from the exegesis of politics, and all implied waste associated with that involvement. Freedom from want and freedom from fear would follow from an impartial government.

Utopia manned or womanned by robots???!!!

You had asked for an alternative when I started badmouthing our great enterprise, civilization. It was understood that human beings lacked integrity, and could not be impartial; not at this stage of his/her evolution. Invoking Prime Movers and other interventionist imperatives has not been successful throughout man's evolutionary prospect. Hand in hand with his/her devious doings is the both intended and unintended suffering. Suffering comes in many forms; unfairness and neglect cause a suffering perhaps greater than physical abuse. A blow to one's body mostly ends with the blow, whereas unfairness and neglect linger as palls to the spirit; man's inhumanity to man.

We just need to change our focus a little in order to accept the impersonal nature of the robot. A robot cannot, by definition, become inhuman. It is even unlikely that a robot can become unrobotan.

Lets examine the possible resolution and consequences of one particular sociocultural problem that has recurred in the United States Of America, that of abortion and/or a woman's right to her own body. As we know the problem arises repeatedly as a political issue; and with each changing of administrations becomes a *bête noir* of disruptive controversy, sometimes violently, pitting pro-choice versus pro-life factions within the human community; and ridiculously tying up the courts.

What would a robot do? The robot is a programmed entity. It would seem we cannot ask the robot to resolve an issue that humans cannot resolve. The human must resolve the issue before he programs his replacement. Does that mean all such issues will remain unresolved?

Is it possible that mankind could program the robot with all the tools of logic and reason (albeit not the ability to rationalize) that would allow it to provide an equitable and acceptable solution (a mandate so to speak). Perhaps not acceptable to one's emotions, but let's say to one's thought processes. Given that the robot would

PSA 2.8

become the final arbiter in the affairs of men as a matter of greater convenience, what might be acceptable would ensue from a perception of fairness as the basic ingredient of the programmed entity.

We have provided the robot with the basic tools. The robot is immune to emotional appeals from either side.

The robot does not rationalize, per se, although we have empowered it with reason. The robot's use of reason is more mathematical, that is, structural, architectonic, so to speak.

When the robot is given the problem of what constitutes life, when it began, at what stage it becomes an inviolable life, whether or not such development is a concern for a human and humane society, under what conditions, it might deem such considerations irrelevant. It might indifferently assess voluntary termination of an incubus as a valid response to any number of criteria. Whether the robot could effectively construct an absolute criteria that abolished the practice of abortion is less likely.

The robot proposes the case by case examination of each instance. However, the robot is programmed for impartiality. It might state that if a termination is proposed for economic reasons, that the state would be prepared to accept a newborn as its charge, provided the incubus was nurtured in a fully healthy body, implanted with sperm from a fully healthy body, in as much as it is possible to determine good health of two mating individuals. In this case the robot is empowered to speak for the state as a guarantor of last resort. But at the same time the robot will probably reason that unrestrained reliance upon government as the last resort would not be advisable. A quota system would need to be established in order to control and discourage the possibility of irresponsible fucking.

Irresponsible fucking might happen when drugged (e.g. alcohol, mind altering substances) or infected (e.g. aids, herpes, the vds, even certain inadvertent microbes e.g. rubella]; rape, or incest; even teen pregnancies, and/or other out-of-wedlock conceptions; and last but not least, certain genetic deficiencies, had played a part in conception.

Whatever the robot does or can do falls outside the realm of absolutes. What any computer might do regarding the 'balance of nature' will be what the robot might be able to utilize. The 'balance of nature' per se, might involve a resource/population configuration, with all its attendant variables produced by any set of actuarial tables. The robot will necessarily be involved in projections and predictions in relation to an optimum design of a fully functional and sustainable system. Safety factors will become part of that system. His supply of data will be current and entered

PSA 2.8

at regular intervals into updated configurations. The source of data will be planet wide with planet wide implications.

With this background information, it might be easy enough to predict what the robot will tell us must be done in order to assure the survival of the system and all its charges, namely those of us in the human community.

In the old days of Sparta, certain births might have been judged as unsuitable material for the state, hence pitched into the proverbial canyon yonder. Some of what the robot will consider is the parental health of any given birth in which it must decide whether it should be included in those to be supported by the state. That is, in lieu of an aborted fetus. While the robot would not be assessing 'cannon fodder' per se, it might set the parameters very high for its adoptions, healthiness being the primary concern; sex not being a consideration.

An unwanted pregnancy could be terminated for all of the usual reasons, unhealthy parents, exposure to some known agent, or microbe, that might cause birth defects; rape, incest; 'out of wedlock' procreation, primarily amongst teenagers. All of these considerations would be weighed only if the basic planetary equation would allow such consideration. If the basic planetary equation was out of balance, there would not exist a quota for allowing any type of birth of this kind; as a matter of fact other potential births might be disallowed if the safety factors had become stretched to their limit. Abortion, in lieu of birth control (planned parenthood), would become a requirement in order to maintain the system in balance. While this might seem cruel and unusual, it might be even more cruel and unusual to foster a system that would not preclude freedom from want. 'Too many' would certainly predispose the system to want. The criteria thus becomes 'freedom from want'.

It is necessary to restate that our robot was installed as the implementer of a fair and equitable system based on impartial optimums. Optimums to assure that all shared equally in a freedom from want. The 'want' pertains to basics, not to gain and profit.

As well as regulating birth rate, the robot will regulate the flow of goods and limit the profit obtained therefrom. The more 'affluent' will be obliged to contribute to the common weal; also they will not become privileged with regard to production of progeny, disproportionate to other members of the community. 'Affluence' is a relative term which must suffer its own constraints. 'Poverty' is also a relative term which also must suffer its own constraints. For example, whereas a limit would be placed on gain; a subsistence level would be established, supplemented by the state, with certain

PSA 2.8

penalties assessed for shirking one's responsibilities. That is, if poverty resulted from negligence or laziness, the state, as assessed by the robot, would be empowered to take an action requisite to overcome the exegesis of negligence or laziness.

Both cases may seem extreme, the limitation on gain, and the persuasions to overcome sloth, but they are not patently unfair. The ability of one circumstance to better promote a healthier progeny may come into play if the system reaches overload. Again a case by case situation would arise. However 'affluence' would not be a primary consideration, as much as a socially shared burden and inconvenience.

Mankind has had some thousands of years to solve his social and economic conditions without attaining a consistently predictable result. This intervention of the robot has become a stopgap measure which will preclude the aforementioned disadvantages listed as pitfalls of human leadership, and as part of the human decision making process. At the same time it is enabled to provide equitability and fairness in a systematized approach to life, that is intended to guarantee a more harmonious and healthy community, based on those factors. We have allowed the robot a way to enforce the system we have asked him to put into place.

If this writing is the best you can do – **DESIST!**

Actually I had wanted to free mankind from its own machinations by creating an external machination; a device, if you will, with the ultimate control over man's doings.

One famous human thought mankind was mostly inclined toward fornication and reading the newspapers. The robot has taken this under advisement. Random fornication has resulted in an uncontrollable growth factor, and reading newspapers has resulted in global warming.

In reality there are alternative birth control devices, even beyond old wives tales, abstinence, planned parenthood, condoms, diaphragms, pills, RU 486, sterilization, castration, same sex sex. Starvation, rampant disease such as AIDS, ebola, Nile virus, mad calf, avian flu, hanta virus, resistant strains of all the old timers like TB, small pox, diphtheria, cholera, plague, rabies, syphilis, leprosy, stark raving madness; and more imaginative WMD artifacts such as anthrax, nerve gas, diabolic toxins, with the last resort of WAR, more WAR, and more WAR with lotsa Strontium 90. So why worry over something that will achieve its own balance.

Apart from the BC conundrum (condom) which may exacerbate other condoms (conundrums), and be deemed the largest

PSA 2.8

contributor (overpopulation) to social unrest, is there anything that can be done with a system that is operating near its optimum number to relieve the effects of number?

Number is an easily resolved conundrum for the robot. Alternatively a pill to make hunger go away, an illusionist pill to create the illusion that all men are created equal, thus assuring equality; that is, easily detected disparity will become an individual thing quickly remedied (simple arithmetic). People will still be taller or shorter in relation to each other, as well as other delineations will differ in size in relation to each other. However, the clone pill will make all seem to be the same, all beautiful with huge members, and other manifestations of polarity; all easily satisfied through the ingestion of anatomical pills compounded with all known steroids, hormones, pheromones, and aphrodisiacs.

The robot has ordered the manufacture of several thousand printing presses, and has hired millions of gossipmongers to augment the proliferation of newspapers and filler (as well as develop specially designed trees grown in specially designed forests to answer the requirement of pulp [plop], as well as establish mandated recycling bins in every household).

With sexually satisfied and informed masses, the robot calculates that all other social ills will be easily accounted.

Many have posed the question to the robot: What are the chances of increasing longevity? The robot's answer is always a downer. Not feasible. Not sustainable. Many have posed the question to the robot: How long can this intervention be expected to last? Until the revolution. Sexual revolution? There's only so much you can do with sex. Even if attainable, the peak of pleasure is not sustainable. Good gossip is intended to sustain one until the next attempt to climb the mountain.

Sounds pretty dull, No!??

That's why Flumdum invested his creation with the seven deadly sins: Pride, Wrath, Envy, Lust, Gluttony, Avarice, Sloth. Its like adding seasoning to one's culinary efforts. But mankind has not been satisfied with these; he has invented his own deadlier (if not disgusting) sins; even beyond those of the diabolical imagination of his creator; all in effort to relieve the forking tedium of existence. Anything Goes had become his credo.

The robot has devised a means of obviating the effects of all sin.

The robot has concentrated a great deal of effort in the area of human consciousness. The robot calculates that human consciousness has served little purpose; or in the least, it (robo) has assessed its limitations; or defects.

While it (robo) surmises that mankind has always possessed the attribute, reason, man has also overwhelmed reason with

PSA 2.8

prejudice, pettiness, intolerance, bigotry, arrogance, insolence and egocentricity (for starters). These are not mere idiosyncrasies; they are defects in the design.

Fear and anxiety are obvious and immediate responses to happenings in the surround. Reason is too slow and cumbersome to allay fear and anxiety in the immediate. Delayed awareness. Delayed response is what is needed. Robo reasons that in a state of equilibrium, that is, an environment free from the need for fear and anxiety, a radicalized environment absent of the Freudian human gratuity factor, only beset by natural phenomena, which in themselves may be accounted with mild sedatives, like Xanax, or Euphoria Chocolate, it is possible for a different kind of awareness, freed from the compulsion to survive in the moment.

Robo has other constructions to introduce should all else fail. That is, if a surfeit of fornication and the surfeit of newspapers does not bring the community into acquiescence, the nullification of these palliatives are being considered. Robo says it works for it.

Robo hasn't any stake in what happens. Its solutions are not linked to an ego. Robo is programmed to reason and provide solutions. Robo cannot provide irrational solutions. Robo is not concerned with its own survival. Robo understands it can be replaced with a more updated version, but Robo also knows that reason cannot be replaced. Robo knows that unreason can replace reason in the human community; that is, human unreason can replace human reason within the human community; and most often does. Robo is not a true believer in reason, per se, its that it knows reason to be founded in logic, which it knows to have an irrefutable mathematical basis. This does not infer that reason is irrefutable; it only infers that reason (human reason) exists as an impartial mechanism for communicating a coherent understanding of order and balance based on what is observable in the Universe.

What is communicated may engender apprehension because not everything in the Universe is observable, nor can it be completely deduced all that underlies what is observable. What is known allays fear through prediction (statistical projection). The more accurate the prediction, the more controlled the apprehension; the less need for anxiety.

At this writing, mankind is up to its morass in data, statistics, on as many fronts as the statisticians and data producers can and will imagine. He uses his most sophisticated computers to analyze and predict trends in the sale and purchase of currencies with an eye to gain, making something out of nothing, he analyzes his chances in all modes of gambling, including the stock market, with an eye to gain, and making something out of nothing. He is utilizing computers to store personal data on as many consumers

PSA 2.8

as possible, with the idea of predicting trends in buying habits in order to make profit (something out of nothing). But data and statistics are also being utilized to analyze trends in human activity and behavior with an eye to providing solutions to human problems.

The need for Robo is clearly evident.

The saga continues: August 11, 2004 PSA 3.5.

Now September 3, 2004 PSA back down to 2.5, from another lab. A cancer lab. **However** a Free PSA was done by the same lab, 7%. The Canadian GP doesn't know what to make of it. I am now referred to an oncologist in Canada. Soon I will need to call Ragde, and maybe try to resurrect Esrig, or find somebody new, or just plain Go To Hell without their help! A biopsy is probably in the cards. **However**, in reading the downloaded PSA info, it appears there are several things may affect the fPSA reading falsely low. The temperature at which it is stored, how long stored, and the handling during shipment. Also, calculations of small numbers based in a number that is already small, e.g. calculating the fPSA from a total PSA sample of 2.5.

I called my neighbor in Oregon to learn that Bush is going to get in again, and that for such a thing to happen in a democracy spells doom. I couldn't agree more.

The twisted mouths, the high moral tone, the unkind eyes, the righteous fervor. Question is: does it matter?

The nation is morally bankrupt alright, but not in the ways that are touted publicly by our leaders. Same sex marriage, abortion, flag burning, desertion of Our Lord, lack of patriotism.

No commitment to real Christian values, no comfort for the unfortunate, or the underprivileged. The leader is not the father to his people. A self-serving administration will sink the ship of state.

6/26/06

Inching along. 2.8, 2.7, 2.5, 2.7, 3.5, (2.5 fPSA 7%) 3.4 **B. Scan, CT Scan, Negative, 3.7, 3.4, 3.4, 3.4, 4.3, 4.0, 3.4, CT Scan Negative, Biopsy, Positive, Gleason 3+3, Requested Ploidy; Cryoblation or Lupron suggested.**

The End Of The Road? The end of potency. A consequence. Some unpleasantness ahead; perhaps Death.

Meanwhile the garden must be watered and weeded; the woodshed needs filling, the Eugene roof needs redoing. The Windmills continue to turn.