Fuck John Steinbeck.

That's what appeared on the marque. Will is said to have opined you need to begin with a strong first statement.




Fate is a 'cruel' taskmaster.

Like Watson and Crick tried to get away with Ms. Rosalind Franklin's work; for which they were awarded a box of Dynamite.

So it was, in the Wrath Of Grapes, JS had at his disposal the work of another, a Sanora Babb (of Whose Names Are Unknown).

Ms. Babb remained unknown most of her life, whereas JS made off with all the marbles.

So its easy to understand: 'Fuck John Steinbeck'. For that matter: 'Fuck Watson and Crick'



As you will note, there is corruption in science and there is corruption in the arts. It goes by other names: 'scooping', 'plagiarizing'. Part of the Human Condition.

As we have been learning lately through a spat of 'whistleblowers', there is something rotten in 'Denmark'. Actually we were told a long time ago there was something rotten. There were no mitigating circumstances.

Soothing the savage brow; mitigating circumstances?

Lately your author has been thrashing about seeking for some way to put it all into a plain language.

He had reiterated many times the Golden Rule, a most self-evident proposition. Along with Catherine, he propounded No Dominating. Also he proclaimed loudly: Any form of government that does not account the least must be deemed a failure.

The 'whistleblower' thing has become an affirmation of the author, of those long suffering hours listening to our leaders and their minions deceiving us, without conscience. They lied to us about Korea, about Southeast Asia, the yellow peril, the dominos, and the need to carry on over there, over there. They lied to us about the Mideast, Southwest Asia. The Corporations and Banks set out to rob us, deprive us, poison us, without remorse or compensation. The Corporations and Banks, with the help of Congress, their sycophants, were allowed to undermine Worker's Unions, and to break faith with the American citizen, the American Investor (Investor In America), the American dreamer; AND every poor American's Health. We were not all in this together. We still linger at this impasse, of not all being in it together. In fact we are at each other's throats. The Rich and The Poor, The Right Wing and the Left Wing, The Conservative and the Liberal. The Right Wing proclaims God Helps Those Who Help Themselves. The Left Wing perceives himself as his bother's keeper. The Right Wing wants to use up all the resources, no matter where they are located, and how much devastation is wreaked through their extraction and/or use (NOW!). The Left Wing wants to maintain a clean environment, clean water and clean air, and leave something for future generations. The Right Wing wants to charge a woman with murder if she aborts a fetus. The Left Wing believes the woman has a right to her own body. The Right wants prayers in the schools to go along with pro-life, saluting the flag and pledging allegiance. The Left wants these to be matters of free choice as guaranteed by the Constitution Of The United States Of America.

In the most far-reaching policy, the Republican party, that Bastian of right-tolifers, wants all the poor: dead (at least, not visible). Instead of the Affordable Healthcare Act, they want; who the fuck knows what they want.

The Republican Party does not want government to regulate, does not want government to tax (Corporations, i.e. the Benefactors); and does not want government to promote a bunch of other socially redeeming policies.

To reiterate: *Politics is the science that studies the failures in human relations, with the intent to perpetuate them.*

What is intended to survive, to persevere, in this environment?

In our last election homo sap. has the perception that half of the people voted for the successful candidate. Even those in the know, interviewed on BBC Katy Kay, should be found in the forefront of truth, saying it is clearly a simple mathematical reality that only 19.4% of the population of the United States Of America voted for the successful candidate; and that, in reality, 60.3% of the population did not vote for either candidate. But. did they reveal such truth????

Keep your perspective!

Geez, I don't know Sherlock (Sir Francis), but from what I observe, the lady in question:

By choice she did not emphasize her feminine qualities. . . . There was never lipstick to contrast with her straight black hair, while at the age of thirty-one her dresses showed all the imagination of English blue-stocking adolescents. So it was quite easy to imagine her the product of an unsatisfied mother who unduly stressed the desirability of professional careers that could save bright girls from marriages to dull men. . . . Clearly Rosy had to go or be put in her place. The former was obviously preferable because, given her belligerent moods, it would be very difficult for Maurice [Wilkins] to maintain a dominant position that would allow him to think unhindered about DNA. . . . The thought could not be avoided that the best home for a feminist was in another person's lab.


Perhaps to his later regret, Wilkins eventually showed one of Franklin's unpublished DNA photographs (the now-famous "Photograph 51") to Watson and Crick of the Cavendish. Upon seeing the photograph, Watson realized that DNA was helical. Wilkins hoped to publish with Watson and Crick, but after seeing the DNA photograph, they scooped him as well as Franklin. They published their paper shortly afterwards with scant acknowledgment to Franklin or Gosling.





"One could not be a successful scientist without realizing that, in contrast to the popular conception supported by newspapers and mothers of scientists, a goodly number of scientists are not only narrowminded and dull, but also just stupid."

James D. Watson



I think she [Rosalind Franklin] was a good experimentalist but certainly not of the first rank. She was simply not in the same class as Eigen or Bragg or Pauling, nor was she as good as Dorothy Hodgkin. She did not even select DNA to study. It was given to her. Her theoretical crystallography was very average.

— Francis Crick —

AZQUOTES



As a scientist Miss [Rosalind] Franklin was distinguished by extreme clarity and perfection in everything she undertook. Her photographs are among the most beautiful X-ray photographs of any substance ever taken.

John Dermond Bernal -AZQUOTES

A guy just doesn't know what to believe anymore. Aw Shit Man!