

Minutes of the Town of Marble
Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees
April 21st, 2023
Telephone Conference Call

A. Call to order & roll call of the special meeting of the Board of Trustees – Ryan Vinciguerra called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Present: Amber McMahill, Larry Good, Emma Bielski, Tony Petrocco and Ryan Vinciguerra. Also present: Ron Leach, Town Administrator; Alie Wettstein, Administrative Assistant and Terry Langley, minutes.

B. Review and consider for approval two Master Plan Facilitator Proposals

- a. Mark Chain Consulting –
- b. Clauson Rawley Associates –

Ryan asked Stan Clauson about their budget and Stan said that their proposal was within the \$25,000 outlined in the RFP. Emma asked about their experience with historical preservation. Stan said that they had worked on historical preservation projects in Colorado Springs, Windsor and currently with Lafayette. They also worked with Aspen in regard to their historic chalet architecture. Emma asked if, in the event they were not selected for the master plan facilitator, would they consider leading the town in historic preservation efforts for specific historic sites and Stan indicated it was a possibility. Amber asked about their experience with Marble and what they see as some of the issues in Marble. He said he has hiked and visited Marble, has worked with Outward Bound on the future of their property here, has friends in Marble and is familiar with the ATV issues.

Amber asked the same question of Mark Chain. He explained that he has worked with Ron Leach on several Marble projects and issues. He wants to make sure that everyone in the town, the residents of subdivisions outside of town and other stakeholders have a voice. He has seen the conflict that arises in the summers with ATVs, Lead King Loop and parking and feels there needs to be a push for a permit system. Other issues include Infrastructure from water to bridges and beyond, non-conforming lots and zoning.

Larry stated that both proposals include experience and a plan. He noted that a major difference was in task 7 (adoption of the plan) and the number of meetings required. He asked Stan how they can get this off the ground with significantly less meetings and how in depth the adoption of the plan can be expected to be. Stan said that task 1 includes developing a schedule for focus group and public meetings. Their proposal includes 3 public meetings, two outreach events and phone meetings with staff. He would expect, due to significant consensus building in the public meetings and outreach events, that the adoption process would be relatively brief and non-controversial.

Mark hopes that there is consensus throughout the process and he recognizes the diverse opinions within Marble and so proposes 14-15 meetings, some with the MP committee and some with the public. One goal is to have the committee decide what percentage of consensus decides an issue. He stated that much depends on how much participation there is from both full and part-time residents.

Larry asked Stan who would be taking the lead on this project. Stan said it would be him. Patrick Rawley would serve as a project planner. In addition, they would include a staff planner and

possibly landscape designers and urban design staff. He spoke to the downside of too many meetings, meeting fatigue and the difficulty in working on issues when attendance is sporadic.

At this point both Mark and Stan left the conference call and the board discussed the two proposals.

Tony Petrocco said that he has worked with both firms and feels they would both do good work. He made a motion to approve Mark Chain's Master Plan Facilitator Proposal. Emma Bielski seconded. She said that Clauson Rawley's experience with historical preservation would be useful in the future. Amber agreed and said that people in Marble can have a hard time with outsiders coming in and seemingly telling them what to do. Therefore, Mark's local image is valuable. Ryan believes that Mark has a better understanding of Marble and having one face facilitating the process is good. The motion passed unanimously.

C. Review and consider for approval various 2023 CARES Act grant application projects – Larry spoke to supporting the proposal from Amy Rusby. He feels that it fits into the parameters of the grant. Emma recused herself due to her role as the school social worker. Amber spoke to the impact COVID has had on the needs of the students. Tony Petrocco made a motion to spend the money on the school project. Larry Good seconded and the motion passed with four yes votes and Emma recused.

D. Adjourn – Tony Petrocco made a motion to adjourn. Larry Good seconded and the motion passed unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 6:37 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Terry Langley