VILLAGE OF PARDEEVILLE PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Village Hall – 114 Lake Street, Pardeeville Monday, October 31, 2022 at 11:45 AM

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Verification of the Posting of Agenda
- IV. Agenda Approval
- V. Minutes Approval
- VI. Comments from the Floor
- VII. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. Snowmobile route consider E. LaFollette St. south side
 - B. Update Language in the Zoning Ordinance

VIII. Adjourn

Kayla Lindert, Clerk/Treasurer

Posted: 10/27/22

.....

The Village Hall is accessible to the handicapped. If you require additional assistance, please contact the village office no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting date. Phone 608-429-3121. If members are present from other recognized Boards, Commissions or Committees which may constitute a quorum, the meeting is presumed to be for the above-stated agenda/purpose. An updated agenda may be posted 24 hours before meeting time.

VILLAGE OF PARDEEVILLE PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Village Hall – 114 Lake Street, Pardeeville Tuesday, September 20th, 2022 at 5:30 p.m.

- I. Call to Order 5:31 PM call to order by Chair Possehl
- II. Roll Call All 3 members here, as well as Lindert, Salmon and Trustee Griepentrog. Trustee Henslin, Trustee Babcock and Lt. Clark joined after 6 PM
- III. Verification of the Posting of Agenda Lindert stated all 3 public places and website
- IV. Agenda Approval Holtan/Balsiger
- V. Minutes Approval Balsiger/Holtan
- VI. Comments from the Floor None

VII. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Dog ordinances
 - i. Ord 6-24: Animals at Large Prohibited
 - 1. Addressing/clarifying invisible fences
- Salmon explained existing ordinance and how this got brought to her attention. Provided Paul Johnson's response and proposal to changes to the ordinance.
- Motion to approve changes and recommendation to the Board Balsiger/Holtan
- Motion carried unanimously
 - ii. Ord 6-61: Vicious dogs
 - 1. Adopting County ordinance
- Salmon explained this is being brought back because the recently deemed "vicious" dog has bit again and Sheriff's office said the Village ordinance is too vague.
 Recommend that we adopt the County ordinance.
- Paul Johnson cautioned to not contradict chapter 6 of the existing ordinance and for village employees to review
- Griepentrog stated the need for the Village to adopt a clear ordinance so owners have a clear understanding
- Griepentrog brought up "serious injury" ordinance language discussion; reviewed definitions in full
- Nuisance vs. vicious dog discussion
- Legal court decision and affidavit discussion
- Possehl stated he thinks the Village needs to do something about this dog
- Discussion on a certain dog owner's property County vs. Village collaboration
- Holtan stated he doesn't think there should be a time constraint on incidents; doesn't agree to a certain number of incidents to fall within a 12-month period
- Salmon stated some possible revisions that the Village can make
- Balsiger stated he wants the duty to fall back on the owner, not the Village physically removing the dog
- Lt. Clark arrived around 6:10 PM and helped explain to the committee the County

situations he has been involved in with seizing dogs and the legal process

- Clark gave guidance on residing in village vs. county and what ordinance to fall back on
- Motion to adopt County ordinance, but also include some language from 6-61 (b) and recommend to the Board **Possehl/Balsiger**
- Motion carried unanimously
- B. ATV
 - i. Ord 32-53: Miscellaneous ATV/UTV regulations
 - 1. Hours of operation
- Balsiger asked what recommendation was
- Possehl stated mirroring the County ordinance on hours of operation
- Balsiger wants to revisit if Village receives numerous complaints
- Haynes stated motorcycles are much louder than ATVs
- Motion to change hours of operation of ATVS to be 5 AM-10 PM Holtan/Balsiger
- Motion carried unanimously

VIII. Adjourn 6:26 PM by Possehl

Submitted: Kayla Lindert

Approved:

Erin Salmon

From: Burkhardt, Joel <joel.burkhardt@labcorp.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 2:12 PM

To: Erin Salmon
Cc: Kayla Lindert

Subject: RE: Snowmobile Route

We could for sure mark very well around the lift station if we were to be able to use the E. LaFollette St. route. Otherwise instead of going down to 1st Alley we could run down 2nd Alley and cut kiddie corner over to 2nd St. and come through the empty lot that Kwik Trip has right now. I doubt they would have an issue with it as the sleds are coming into their station anyways. We wouldn't bring our large equipment into the Village so there would be no damage from that to be concerned about. It would just be the sleds that would be coming in which would be far less in width and weight.

I can probably make a day meeting work depending on the day. I have off from October 26th to November 8th for hunting and my wedding so I'm sure one of those days I could make a midday work. Just let me know. I won't be on my work email during those dates so if you can use <u>joelburkhardt@yahoo.com</u> that would be great!

Again thanks for working with us on this!

Joel

From: Erin Salmon <dpw@villageofpardeeville.net> **Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2022 1:53 PM **To:** Burkhardt, Joel <Joel.Burkhardt@covance.com>

Cc: Kayla Lindert <clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net>

Subject: [External] RE: Snowmobile Route

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Joel.

We will for sure bring this back. We want the club to be happy and feel safe on the route. I think the E. LaFollette St. route could work. We just have to be careful on the lift station that is on the S. side, across from Spring St.

The subdivision will be starting "hopefully" in December. It will be out for bid again in mid-November. I really don't want to encourage the route to continue with site grading and utilities planned for this site over the winter.

We will be in touch with the proposed Public Protection date. Can you meet during the day at all? We have so many night meetings lately, we're "wishing" for a day meeting, lol!

Thanks much!

Erín M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator & Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities 114 Lake St. Pardeeville, WI P: 608-429-3121 F: 608-429-3714

"A mistake which makes you humble, is much better than an achievement that makes you arrogant."

From: Burkhardt, Joel < joel.burkhardt@labcorp.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 6:55 AM **To:** Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Cc: Kayla Lindert < clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net >

Subject: RE: Snowmobile Route

Hi Erin,

Yes, that is not a problem to work with you and try to come up with something else. The main concern about coming straight up to Lake St. is that snowmobiles just don't turn on blacktop so it seems like that would be more of a safety factor than the turn/transformer but again I'm open to other options.

Can put this out there? I guess I haven't been back on the development property but is much going on yet? And will much be going on in winter? If not is there anyway we can just continue to use the route we had before? We actually had a club meeting last night and many club members and area riders are very concerned as far as the new route and having to rely on safe ice conditions...The last thing we want is for someone to lose a life because they broke through trying to get into Pardeeville.

One option we have been exploring is using the existing property we had but instead of going all the way through the property to Maple St. we would stick to the East end of it coming off Tom Heaps, and following the property line of the Village and St. Johns. And come out onto East Lafollett St. just east of that pond/marsh. Then we would run the south side of East Lafollette St. back to the West to cross back over to the alleyway we use to come down? I believe the south side of East Lafollette St normally has a bit of area that would have snow on so that the sleds wouldn't need to be totally on blacktop for long distances.

Let me know what you think. I just know we have many people concerned that they will no longer be able to get into Pardeeville otherwise.

Thanks for the time and help with this. Much appreciated!

Joel

From: Erin Salmon <dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2022 4:04 PM

To: Burkhardt, Joel < Joel.Burkhardt@covance.com>

Cc: Kayla Lindert <clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net>

Subject: [External] Snowmobile Route

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Joel,

I've been hearing rumbles about the route that was decided between the buildings down here at Village Hall at the Curling Club. Would you be willing to reconsider? Possibly just go straight across Lake St., once you get off the

ice? Some are concerned of the sharp turn, there's also a large electric transformer between the buildings, a generator, etc. that the lineman are worried about.

What do you think? Can we re-visit?

Thanks much!

Erín M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator & Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities 114 Lake St. Pardeeville, WI

P: 608-429-3121 F: 608-429-3714

Notice: This e-mail may contain confidential, proprietary, or protected information - including protected health information - that is intended only for the named recipient or company, and any unauthorized use or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If this content is not intended for you, you are requested to delete this e-mail and all attachments and notify the sender immediately. If you have questions or concerns, please see our privacy policy on Labcorp.com.

Notice: This e-mail may contain confidential, proprietary, or protected information - including protected health information - that is intended only for the named recipient or company, and any unauthorized use or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If this content is not intended for you, you are requested to delete this e-mail and all attachments and notify the sender immediately. If you have questions or concerns, please see our privacy policy on Labcorp.com.

[&]quot;A mistake which makes you humble, is much better than an achievement that makes you arrogant."

 From:
 Erin Salmon

 To:
 Kayla Lindert

 Subject:
 RE: Huddleston

Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 3:44:38 PM

Kayla,

Please print to .pdf for the Public Protection packet.

Thanks!

Erin

From: Paul A. Johnson <pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 2:37 PM **To:** Erin Salmon <dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Subject: RE: Huddleston

Hi:

You have all kinds of strange stuff going on here.

Below is the screening language from the B-1 district (even though it is identified as the M1 district). The Village does not have similar language in the B2 or B3 district.

Under that is the screening rules for M1 Industrial zoning.

Any version of either of these made applicable to outdoor storage in any zoning district would be very helpful. But I think there is a strong argument that any change made now to the zoning code will be inapplicable to the stuff sitting on Huddleston's property now. The stuff on his property now is arguably legal as part of his business. So if the Village changes the zoning code to make certain outdoor storage now "illegal" the condition of the property as of the day of the zoning change would still be allowed as a legal nonconforming use.

Because of this I don't think the zoning code is the answer to the Huddleston problem. The answer to this problem is more likely found in chapter 22 by declaring a public nuisance. The Village has issued Huddleston a citation under 22-33 before (and it is currently pending with the muni court) but the problem with this section is the words: *Storage of junk prohibited*. No person shall store junked or discarded property including disassembled, inoperable, unlicensed, junked or wrecked motor vehicles, truck bodies, tractors, trailers, farm machinery, refrigerators, toilets, bathtubs, sinks, furnaces, washing machines, stoves, machinery or machinery parts, wood, bricks, cement blocks, or other unsightly debris for a period to exceed ten days, except in an enclosure which houses such property from public view, or upon permit issued by the village board, unless such storage is in connection with a properly licensed automotive or appliance sales, repair or storage business enterprise located in a properly zoned area.

Huddleston claims that all of his crap is part of and necessary for his properly licensed automotive

sales business and therefore it is allowed to be there. As we sit here today it would be impossible to figure that out which is why we are trying to get him to screen the property in so it is enclosed from public view. If the Village was to amend this ordinance to remove the highlighted section then a better argument can be made that all his crap is a violation and needs to go away as there is no longer an exception for things that are part of the automotive sales business.

This is as best as I can explain it. If the Village changes the nuisance ordinance, then starts issuing hin citations on a very regular basis, there might be enough to persuade him to clean up the property. If the Village was going to go down that road I would consider running this through your committee agenda, give Huddleston notice of the meeting in case he wants to appear and then give him notice of every meeting that comes around so he is well aware of what the Village is doing. When you start that process I would also alert his attorney so maybe we can get this addressed before any change is made. But for now there is no incentive for him to do anything and the Village's legal position is not real strong.

B-1 Outside storage and manufacturing areas in the M-1 Industrial District. Wrecking, junk, demolition and scrap yards shall be surrounded by a solid fence or evergreen planting screen completely preventing a view from any other property or public right-of-way and shall be at least 600 feet from residential, public and semi-public districts

M-1 Required buffer strips in industrial districts.

Where an M-1 Industrial District abuts a residential district, there shall be provided along any rear, side or front line, coincidental with any industrial-residential boundary, a buffer strip not less than 40 feet in width as measured at right angles to the lot line. Plant materials at least six feet in height of such variety and growth habits as to provide a year-round, effective visual screen when viewed from the residential district shall be planted in the exterior 25 feet abutting the residential district. If the required planting screen is set back from the industrial-residential boundary, the portion of the buffer strip facing the residential district shall be attractively maintained. Fencing may be used in lieu of planting materials to provide the screening. The maximum height of fencing shall be determined by the plan commission upon application and will be dependent on the nature of the business. Fencing shall effectively screen the industrial area. The exterior 25 feet of the buffer strip shall not be devoted to the parking of vehicles or storage of any material or accessory uses. The interior 15 feet may be devoted to parking of vehicles.

PAUL A. JOHNSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

I hope this helps.

PHONE 608-592-3877

FAX 608-592-5844

PJOHNSON@BOARDMANCLARK.COM

This is a transmission from the law firm of Boardman & Clark LLP and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately via email at piohnson@boardmanclark.com or via telephone at (608) 257-9521. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

From: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2022 2:17 PM

To: Paul A. Johnson <piohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Subject: FW: Huddleston

Hi Paul,

In talking with Kayla and Jody on there, confusion has surfaced. By adding language in the zoning code (to all Business and Industrial zoning districts) that would require all outdoor storage to be screened, this should help us moving forward on Huddleston? Right? Can't help out in past citations.....but at least we can start over?

Thanks much!

Erín M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator & Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities 114 Lake St. Pardeeville. WI

P: 608-429-3121 F: 608-429-3714

"A mistake which makes you humble, is much better than an achievement that makes you arrogant."

From: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2022 10:01 AM

To: pvillepresident (pvillepresident@gmail.com) <pvillepresident@gmail.com>; Trustee Balsiger (pvtrustee7@gmail.com) <pvtrustee7@gmail.com>; Trustee Holtan (pvtrustee3@gmail.com) <pvtrustee3@gmail.com>

Cc: Kayla Lindert <<u>clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>; Jody Hardwick <<u>deputy-clerk@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>; Kelsea Dushack <<u>utilities@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>

Subject: FW: Huddleston

Good morning,

We should think about setting up a Public Protection meeting. I've been talking with Paul Johnson about the Huddleston case. You may want to read from the bottom of this email, and work your

way to the top.

I originally inquired with Paul about updates for Huddleston and Broesch. The conversation grew, regarding Huddleston.

Thank you,

Erin M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator and Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities

Ph: 608-429-3121 Fax: 608-429-3714

"We could learn a lot from crayons; some are sharp, some are pretty, some are dull, while others bright, some have weird names, but they all have learned to live together in the same box." - Robert Fulghum

From: Paul A. Johnson <pipple boardmanclark.com >

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2022 9:12 AM

To: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Subject: RE: Huddleston and Broesch

b)

Good Morning:

The issue with Huddleston is the Village believes he is improperly storing junk on his property. The village admits he is zoned B-2 and his use of the property is allowed under the zoning code in place at the time of approval. So there is not much to do with the zoning code that would be applicable to this property. Any changes made to the zoning code now would not apply to the situation of the property as the property would be a legal non-conforming use.

There is language in the zoning code that requires all outdoor storage to be screened. You may want to add that to all Business and Industrial zoning districts.

The real issue with Huddleston has been a violation of section 22-33 of the code which does not fall under the zoning rules. If Huddleston can show that all vehicles on the property are operable, or are used for parts there is no violation. If Huddleston were to properly screen his entire property, there would be no violation. We have been trying for quite some time to simply get him to move his stuff behind a fence and then keep the fence is good shape. If he were to do those two things, the village would have no claim against him.

I think that is where this stands as of now.

Storage of junk prohibited. No person shall store junked or discarded property including disassembled, inoperable, unlicensed, junked or wrecked motor vehicles, truck bodies, tractors, trailers, farm machinery, refrigerators, toilets, bathtubs, sinks, furnaces, washing machines, stoves, machinery or machinery parts, wood, bricks, cement blocks, or other unsightly debris for a period to exceed ten days, except in an enclosure which houses such property from public view, or upon permit issued by the village board, unless such storage is in connection with a properly licensed automotive or appliance sales, repair or storage business enterprise located in a properly zoned area.

PAUL A. JOHNSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

PHONE 608-592-3877

FAX 608-592-5844

PJOHNSON@BOARDMANCLARK.COM
BOARDMANCLARK.COM

BOARDMAN & CLARK LLP 156 SOUTH MAIN STREET PO BOX 256 LODI, WI 53555

This is a transmission from the law firm of Boardman & Clark LLP and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately via email at piohnson@boardmanclark.com or via telephone at (608) 257-9521. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

From: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2022 11:51 AM

To: Paul A. Johnson pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Subject: RE: Huddleston and Broesch

Ok, so if we decide to modify language in the zoning code, it could be used for "future" citations, even though the citation is similar to previous ones? If so, would you have suggestions on what needs to be changed?

Thanks much!

Erín M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator & Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities 114 Lake St.

Pardeeville, WI P: 608-429-3121 F: 608-429-3714

"A mistake which makes you humble, is much better than an achievement that makes you arrogant."

From: Paul A. Johnson <pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2022 8:52 AM

To: Erin Salmon <<u>dpw@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>

Subject: RE: Huddleston and Broesch

Any changes to the code now will not be applicable to Huddleston's old citations as they were issued under the old code. Huddleston is a licensed repair shop so if you are going to paly with the language it is this language in the zoning code that needs to be addressed.

PAUL A. JOHNSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

PHONE 608-592-3877

FAX 608-592-5844

PJOHNSON@BOARDMANCLARK.COM
BOARDMANCLARK.COM

BOARDMAN & CLARK LLP 156 SOUTH MAIN STREET PO BOX 256 LODI, WI 53555

This is a transmission from the law firm of Boardman & Clark LLP and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately via email at pjohnson@boardmanclark.com or via telephone at (608) 257-9521. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

From: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net >

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2022 7:34 AM

To: Paul A. Johnson <pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Subject: RE: Huddleston and Broesch

Hi Paul,

Thanks for the updates on the 2 subjects. For Huddleston's – do you think we should add language to the code to make it more clear on what our expectations are, as a whole or in general? Or does this make it look like we are targeting him?

Erin M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator and Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities

Ph: 608-429-3121 Fax: 608-429-3714

"We could learn a lot from crayons; some are sharp, some are pretty, some are dull, while others bright, some have weird names, but they all have learned to live together in the same box." - Robert Fulghum

From: Paul A. Johnson <pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2022 11:05 AM

To: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net>

Cc: Kayla Lindert <<u>clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>; Jody Hardwick <<u>deputy-</u>

clerk@villageofpardeeville.net>
Subject: RE: Huddleston and Broesch

Hi:

Huddleston is still sitting in limbo. The court is not in a hurry to move this forward and in all honesty, neither is Huddleston's attorney or me. The last time I spoke with the attorney, he was going to meet with his clients and put together a "clean up plan" that they could live with and that might satisfy the village. I have not seen that plan yet. From the Village's perspective, I think enforcement of the clean up issues the village wants to see is frustrated by the way the village zoning code reads. Under the code there is a pretty good argument that a lot of what they have stored there is allowed because of the nature of the business and the way the code reads. I do not really want to get into the terms of the code with the judge, and full blown prosecution of this will not be cheap. However, I know the village wants something done. Not sure what the next step should be here.

As to Broesch, I have heard nothing from the county and my suggestion is we wait for them to take the lead here. Broesch did not appear for his last vicious dog citation so we took a default.

PAUL A. JOHNSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

PHONE 608-592-3877

FAX 608-592-5844

PJOHNSON@BOARDMANCLARK.COM
BOARDMANCLARK.COM

BOARDMAN & CLARK LLP 156 SOUTH MAIN STREET PO BOX 256 LODI, WI 53555

This is a transmission from the law firm of Boardman & Clark LLP and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately via email at piohnson@boardmanclark.com or via telephone at (608) 257-9521. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

From: Erin Salmon < dpw@villageofpardeeville.net >

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2022 7:45 AM

To: Paul A. Johnson <pjohnson@boardmanclark.com>

Cc: Kayla Lindert <<u>clerk-treasurer@villageofpardeeville.net</u>>; Jody Hardwick <<u>deputy-</u>

clerk@villageofpardeeville.net>
Subject: Huddleston and Broesch

Hi Paul,

Are there any updates that you know of, on these 2 people and their offenses? We're looking to update the violation chart.

Thanks much!

Erín M. Salmon, P.W.M.

Village Administrator & Director of Public Works Village of Pardeeville/Pardeeville Public Utilities 114 Lake St.

Pardeeville, WI P: 608-429-3121 F: 608-429-3714

"A mistake which makes you humble, is much better than an achievement that makes you arrogant."