

P R I N T E D
CIRCUIT DESIGN

is published monthly by:

UP Media Group Inc.
2018 Powers Ferry Road, Ste. 600
Atlanta, GA 30339

Tel (678) 589-8800

Fax (678) 589-8850

**All material published in this file
and in *PRINTED CIRCUIT DESIGN* is copyrighted
© 2003 by UP Media Group Inc.
All rights reserved.**

Reproduction and distribution of material appearing in *PCD*
and on the www.pcdmag.com Web site
is forbidden without written permission from the editor.

For information on obtaining reprints go to:
<http://www.pcdmag.com/mag/reprints.html>



EDITORIAL

Editor-in-Chief: MIKE BUETOW, mbuetow@upmediagroup.com

Associate Editor: ANDY SHAUGHNESSY, ashaughnessy@upmediagroup.com

Editorial Assistant: ROBIN NORVELL, rnorvell@upmediagroup.com

Web Development Manager: TERESA GENTRY

2002 Editorial Review Board: ERIC BOGATIN, Gigatest Labs; ANDY KOWALEWSKI, SyChip; TOM STOUT, Optimum Design Associates; BERNARD VOSS, Siquel Inc.; BILL WIGNALL, Electronics Workbench

PRODUCTION

Managing Editor: MATT GILES, mgiles@upmediagroup.com

Production Manager: JAVIER LONGORIA, jlongoria@upmediagroup.com

Advertising Production Coordinator: JENNY DARBY, 678/589-8854, jdarby@upmediagroup.com

SALES

North America: WES GIFFORD, 678/589-8805, wjifford@upmediagroup.com

Euope: TIM ANSTEE, STEVE REGNIER, +44 (0) 1732 366 555, sales@starmediaseservices.co.uk

Japan: PACIFIC BUSINESS INC. +81 3 3661 6138, pacificb@gol.com

Korea: YOUNG MEDIA INC. +82 2 756 4819, ymedia@chollian.net

CIRCULATION

Director of Circulation and Audience Development:

JENNIFER SCHULER, jschuler@upmediagroup.com



Subscription Inquiries

U.S. 877/676-9745, INT'L 847/559-7307, pcd@omeda.com

Reprints

Fostereprints, Michelle Wolfe, 219/879-8366, mwolfe@fostereprints.com

List Rental

Rubin Response, 847/619-9800, fax 847/619-0149

EDITORIAL & U.S. SALES OFFICE

PRINTED CIRCUIT DESIGN

2018 Powers Ferry Road, Suite 600, Atlanta, GA 30339
678/589-8800, fax 678/589-8850

Please direct all editorial and advertising inquiries to the address above. Publisher assumes no responsibility for return of unsolicited manuscripts, photos or artwork. Publisher also reserves the right to refuse any items that are contrary to its policies. PCD is not liable for the content or representations in the submitted advertisements or for transcription or reproduction errors. Opinions expressed by the feature writers and columnists presented herein do not necessarily reflect those of Printed Circuit Design magazine or its staff.

UP MEDIA GROUP INC.

President and CEO: PETE WADDELL, pwaddell@upmediagroup.com

Group Publisher: LAURA BROWN SIMS, lbsims@upmediagroup.com

Controller: MICHAEL DOUGLAS, mdouglas@upmediagroup.com



BY MIKE BUETOW

Character Test

Mike Jouppi isn't a household name yet, but let's give it a few months. After all, the self-styled thermal management specialist has spent a good part of the last few years updating the printed circuit board current carrying capacity charts. And he's had the patience to see it through, despite several job changes and many lessons in governmental bureaucracy.

The original data – on which all the commercial and military specs are based – came from research conducted at the National Bureau of Standards in the mid '50s (although many thought this data came from the work of Dr. Charles Jennings at Sandia Labs in the '70s). Jouppi's findings debunk many long-held "rules." For the PCB world this is like finding Moses' third tablet. But who could have blamed him if he had given up? His is a tale of perseverance.

Jouppi conducted much of his research while at Lockheed Martin in Colorado, where he had access to the tool set needed to validate the tests. In the late '90s, while studying conductor heating parameters, he began to question whether the current carrying capacity charts – the staples of industry standards – were accurate. With the University of Colorado-Denver, he submitted in September 2000 a proposal to the National Science Foundation requesting funding to continue conductor tests on how varying amounts of voltage and current would behave under specific conditions. It was turned down for a variety of reasons, Jouppi recalls, one being that NSF thought the work had already been done. "That reviewer obviously had not read the proposal," he says now, without a trace of bitterness.

In the meantime, Lockheed cooled on the project. Undeterred – and now on his own – Jouppi approached U.S. Department of Defense ManTech Program, a joint effort to speed time-to-market of advanced weapons systems, where his proposal "fell into a crack." Next, Jouppi solicited companies participating

in an industry task group that had formed on the back of his earlier work, but only one company offered help with funding. His time and money exhausted, Jouppi had to close a lab UC-Denver had set up to conduct the tests.

Yet Jouppi went on. His plan called for two phases: testing epoxy boards and polyimides and reworking the charts, then studying copper planes and vacuum environments. His first tests were on a test board similar to the ones used by Dr. Jennings in his landmark Sandia study. Jouppi later redesigned the test board using a design that was more conservative. In some cases, the differences were staggering. In one instance, using polyimide, Jouppi found that 200% more current could be run than is permitted in the IPC design standard.

One of Jouppi's original goals was to ascertain what happens to products using high current pulses followed by a low steady state. "People wanted to know what this was doing to the circuitry, what would happen under ambient conditions, [and] what the effect was on components," he says. This in turn led to questions on the impact of large numbers of internal planes and how to take advantage of the heat spreading of extra copper in the board. As many studies have shown, the standards are conservative. But another important aspect emerged, too. Jouppi found that the width of the trace – as opposed to the cross-sectional area – is a better way to look at current carrying capacity. "Depending on the weight and the width of the copper, those results can be different." The downside is that designers will have to plow through a large number of charts. Not to worry: Jouppi is now in full swing with a software company developing a tool for designers to make that job a lot easier.

Printed Circuit Design plans to publish some of his research this year. With solutions to conductor spacing and heat issues at a premium, by year-end we all may be thanking Jouppi for his foresight. Let's thank him for his determination, too.