

CONSTITUTIONAL MEMORY RESEARCH PARTNERSHIP

Strategic Affiliation Opportunity for Academic Institutions

Prepared by: Gregory Malpass MBA (LBS/NYU)

Date: November 2025

Decision Required: 30 November 2025

THE INFRASTRUCTURE REALITY

Constitutional Memory represents the next foundational layer in AI architecture - user-controlled personalization infrastructure that will become as ubiquitous as OAuth for authentication or HTTPS for security.

Scale Projection: - Every LinkedIn professional requiring career privacy from AI platforms - Every university student needing sovereignty from institutional surveillance
- Every enterprise employee whose company demands governance over AI usage - Every parent seeking child protection without platform exploitation

We are discussing billions of users within 3-5 years of market validation. This is not incremental technology - this is infrastructure that will underpin how humanity interacts with artificial intelligence across all contexts and life stages.

WHAT IS BEING OFFERED

We are establishing Constitutional Memory as an independent research and development initiative. Academic partnerships are being structured as **strategic affiliations rather than traditional EIR positions.**

Partnership Structure: - Constitutional Memory development proceeds with or without university participation (self-funded phase) - Selected institutions (2-3 maximum) gain early-mover positioning in AI data sovereignty research - Partnership provides institutional credibility and research validation for infrastructure-level innovation - Commercial development (separate legal entity) may establish innovation partnerships with affiliated universities

What This Is NOT: - Not a traditional EIR funding request - Not incremental academic research seeking institutional support - Not a commercial pitch requiring university investment

What This IS: - Invitation to affiliate with research that will define global AI personalization standards - Opportunity to be cited in every regulatory framework and technical implementation guide that follows - Strategic positioning as academic authority before infrastructure becomes ubiquitous

THE COMPETITIVE ELEMENT

Multiple institutions have expressed preliminary interest. We are deliberately limiting partnerships to 2-3 universities to ensure meaningful collaboration and avoid dilution of research impact.

The Selection Criteria: - Recognition that this represents infrastructure-level innovation, not incremental research - Understanding of the regulatory imperative (EU AI Act, UK data protection frameworks) - Commitment to AI governance thought leadership - Alignment with institution's strategic positioning in AI ethics and policy

The Timeline Imperative: Within 18-24 months, Big Tech will either build surveillance-based personalization into their platforms (making constitutional memory politically difficult) or regulatory requirements will mandate user sovereignty (making late-movers non-compliant).

Academic institutions positioning themselves now will define the standards. Those joining later will merely study them.

CURRENT DEVELOPMENT STATUS

Technical Validation: - 900+ pages of production-ready technical specifications - Three-year development cycle with extensive Claude AI collaboration - Validation framework demonstrating 62% improvement in AI response relevance - Four-product ecosystem architecture (EDU/PRO/ENTERPRISE/SHIELD)

Commercial Readiness: - Business model projecting £175M revenue by Year 5 - Regulatory compliance framework aligned with EU AI Act Article 5 (prohibited practices) and Article 52 (transparency obligations) - Strategic positioning for acquisition interest from Microsoft/Anthropic/Google tier - Established relationships within UK innovation ecosystem (AISI, Enterprise 100)

Research Foundation: - Comprehensive literature review spanning AI personalization, data sovereignty, regulatory compliance - Preliminary stakeholder engagement across educational institutions, enterprises, regulatory bodies - Multi-demographic privacy architecture addressing students, professionals, employees, children - Empirical validation methodology designed for academic rigor

VALUE PROPOSITION FOR ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS

Academic Excellence

Curriculum Innovation: - New course development: "Data Sovereignty and AI Strategy"
- Executive education modules on responsible AI implementation - Integration opportunities with existing Strategy, Innovation, Technology courses - PhD research pipeline in digital strategy and AI ethics

Research Impact: - Publication pipeline targeting top-tier journals (Computer Science, Business, Education, Psychology) - Policy white papers with measurable influence on regulatory frameworks - Cross-disciplinary collaboration opportunities (Strategy, Law, Computer Science) - International research partnerships (INSEAD, Stanford, MIT, Cambridge, Oxford)

Grant Development: - ESRC funding applications for AI social impact research (£200K-500K potential) - Innovate UK collaborative R&D opportunities
- EU Horizon Europe applications focused on AI and society - Follow-on funding pipeline exceeding £500K based on initial research outcomes

Industry and Policy Leadership

Thought Leadership Platform: - Positioning as leading academic voice in responsible AI adoption - Executive education programs for senior AI strategy decision-makers - Advisory

relationships with UK AI Safety Institute, EU AI Office, ICO - Media engagement opportunities as constitutional memory gains regulatory attention

Alumni and Corporate Engagement: - AI strategy development workshops for alumni networks - Corporate partnership opportunities through affiliated organizations - Investment pipeline development with venture capital and corporate investors - Consulting engagements as enterprises pursue compliant AI deployment

International Recognition: - First-mover citations in global regulatory frameworks - Technical standards contributions (ISO, IEEE, W3C) - Academic conference keynotes and panels as subject matter experts - Institutional brand enhancement as AI governance authority

Practical Applications

For Your Institution: - Risk-mitigated AI adoption frameworks protecting student data privacy - Compliance strategies aligned with regulatory requirements - Implementation blueprints for user-controlled educational AI systems - Foundation for addressing AI-generated content threats in educational settings

For Your Research Community: - 10-15 MBA/undergraduate dissertation projects on AI governance - PhD research opportunities with high-impact publication potential - Industry placement and internship programs with partner organizations - Future research opportunities in educational AI safety and child protection

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW

Phase 1: Current State Analysis (Months 1-6) - Systematic analysis of 200+ studies spanning AI personalization and data sovereignty - Multi-stakeholder interviews (50+) across educational institutions, enterprises, regulatory bodies - Cross-jurisdictional regulatory framework analysis (EU, UK, US)

Phase 2: Framework Development and Validation (Months 7-12) - Technical architecture design for user-controlled AI personalization - Controlled research studies (300+ participants) across student, professional, consumer cohorts - Regulatory compliance framework development (GDPR, AI Act, UK data protection)

Phase 3: Implementation and Dissemination (Months 13-18) - Real-world validation with 3-5 partner organizations across sectors - 12-month longitudinal study tracking adoption patterns and outcomes - Publication strategy targeting academic journals and practitioner outlets - Policy briefings for UK AI Safety Institute, EU AI Office, regulatory bodies

THE REGULATORY IMPERATIVE

Constitutional Memory is not merely responding to current regulations - it is defining the frameworks that governments will mandate.

Current Regulatory Landscape: - **GDPR Article 17** (right to erasure) creates tension with AI training and personalization - **EU AI Act Articles 5 & 52** mandate transparency and user control in high-risk AI systems - **UK Data Protection Framework** increasingly emphasizes individual agency and informed consent - **Educational Safeguarding Requirements** demand protection from AI-generated content targeting minors

Future Regulatory Direction: Within 24 months, data sovereignty in AI interactions will transition from competitive advantage to compliance requirement. Universities conducting foundational research now will be cited in every regulatory guidance document that follows.

EXPECTED RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS

Theoretical Advances: - Constitutional memory framework for ethical AI personalization - Comprehensive data sovereignty taxonomy for multi-stakeholder environments - Individual agency models in human-AI interaction design - Privacy-preserving AI architectures applicable across age demographics

Empirical Evidence: - First large-scale study of user preferences for data sovereignty in AI interactions - Comparative effectiveness analysis across different data control paradigms - Economic impact assessment of alternative AI personalization approaches - Longitudinal studies of adoption patterns and user satisfaction metrics

Policy Impact: - Evidence-based recommendations for data sovereignty legislation - Technical standards supporting user-controlled AI personalization - Regulatory frameworks balancing individual agency with innovation - Child protection guidelines for AI educational applications

DECISION FRAMEWORK

What We Need from Partner Universities by 30 November 2025:

Option 1: Expression of Strategic Interest - Confirmation of alignment with research priorities - Identification of potential faculty collaborators - Preliminary discussion of resource requirements - Agreement to explore formal partnership structure in December

Option 2: Formal Declination - Clear statement that this does not align with institutional priorities - Confirmation to remove from partnership consideration - No further follow-up required

What Happens Next: - Shortlisted institutions: December discussions on specific collaboration structure - Declined institutions: No further contact regarding this opportunity - Non-responsive institutions: Removed from consideration after 30 November deadline

THE FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION

The question is not whether AI personalization will happen - it will, with or without university participation.

The question is not whether data sovereignty will become a regulatory requirement - it will, within 18-24 months.

The only question is: Which universities will be positioned as the academic authorities who defined the frameworks, versus those who later study what others created?

This is infrastructure that will shape how billions of humans interact with artificial intelligence across their entire lives. Academic institutions affiliating now will be cited for decades. Those joining later will merely reference those citations.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Gregory Malpass MBA (LBS/NYU)

Founder, Constitutional Memory Ltd

+44 7850 230692

malpass.greg@gmail.com

Constitutional Memory Project Resources: - Technical Architecture Documentation - Validation Framework and Empirical Results - Regulatory Compliance Analysis - Four-Product Ecosystem Strategy - Full EIR Proposal (18-month research program detail)

Available upon request for institutions expressing strategic interest.

Decision Required: 30 November 2025

This will become significant. The question is which institutions will be positioned accordingly.