

Billy explained the following to me regarding the only possibility to still defeat the IS: Unfortunately it's quite too late to defeat the IS with the use of "Gewaltlose Gewaltsamkeit", because in the meantime the IS has become much too strong.

There was a time in the past – quite a couple of months ago – when it was still possible to send out a kind of special forces unit into the area where Baghdadi, the head of IS, and his ringleaders and followers were located in order to take them out by arresting them or, if there wasn't any other possibility, by killing them .

In that way the IS could have been defeated from its inside, in a logical wise, by using the lowest possible use of Gewalt.

But this isn't possible anymore, because unfortunately Baghdadi is now too well protected. The only realistic way that still exists to overcome the IS, is to use brute Gewalt (brute force), but still in reasonable and logical wise.

This means that only some kind of huge multinational army could help, which should be made up of all armies of each country of the entire world or at least an alliance of all countries around Iraq and Syria and completed with strong armies of countries such as the USA, England, France, Russia and China etc.

The number of soldiers of this multinational army should outnumber the soldiers of the IS army (which already has around 100 000 soldiers – men and women) by at least a factor of 3.

And the soldiers should be armed with the best and newest weaponry and supported with all kinds of military equipment such as tanks, fighters jets and tactical missiles, etc. etc.

Only with such a huge and powerful army would it be possible to defeat the IS quickly and with the least possible amount of war casualties as well. Because of being radicalised and fanaticised, the IS soldiers should be arrested and given a life sentence of banishment to an island or region far away from the civilisation and without having any possibility to communicate with the outside world.

Unfortunately, if the USA, under the command of George W. Bush, had never conquered Iraq and tracked down Saddam Hussein and killed him, Saddam Hussein would have had the ability – besides the armed Kurdish fighters, called Peshmerga (who ironically helped the USA in its mission to capture Saddam) – to control Baghdadi and the IS. Hence the United States of America has to take the main responsibility for this evil outcome – as almost always. But right now the USA has the opportunity to react in a wise manner and encourage the UN and as many other countries as possible to join a kind of peace multinational army in order to protect peace, our freedom and all good and true human rights from the evil IS and therefore from the peril of falling back into dark ages.

Patric Chenux