

**CASCO TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION**  
**REGULAR MEETING**  
**May 20, 2026**  
**6:00 PM**  
**Casco Township Hall**

1. Call to order
2. Review / Approval of Agenda
3. Public Comment - items not on the Agenda (please keep comments to 2 minutes)
4. Acknowledge/read correspondence received
5. Approval of minutes:
  - a. 3/18/26 meeting
  - b. 4/15/26 meeting
6. Public hearing – none
7. New Business:
  - a. Begin discussion for Moratorium – Battery Storage, solar energy, data centers
  - b. Any other business that may come before the commission
8. Old Business:
  - a. Any other business that may come before the commission
9. Administrative Reports
  - a. Zoning Administrator
  - b. Township Board representative
  - c. Zoning Board of Appeals representative
10. General Public Comment (2 minutes each)
11. Adjourn

*Next meeting date Wednesday June 17, 2026 6:00PM*

Casco Township Planning Commission

Regular Meeting

March 18, 2026

6:00 p.m.

Casco Township Hall

Members Present: Chairman Andy Litt (A.L.), Dan Fleming (D.F.), Greg Knisley (G.K.), Dian Liepe (D.L.), Ryan Brush (R.B), Kelly Hecker (K.H.) Paul Macyauski (P.M.). Zoning Administrator Tasha Smalley (T.S.)

Audience: Julie Cowie, Supervisor Allan Overhiser, Mike Muehlfeld Missy Fojtik, owner Day Dreamer Domes and a Day Dreamer Staff member Curtis and Casco Township Trustee Mary Campbell.

A quorum was determined to be present:

1. Chairman Andy Litt called the March 18<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Casco Township Planning Commission to order at 6:03 p.m.

**2. Review/Approval of Agenda**

**MOTION:** P. M. moved to approve agenda as presented. D.L. seconded the motion.

**Motion Carried**

**3. Public Comments – Items not on the agenda**

- a. Peter Brown – 7087 107<sup>th</sup> Ave. Mr. Brown addressed the issue of minimum square footage requirement and requested an explanation of why he cannot build his home. He expects that the reason must be connected to safety, public health or public safety. Additionally, his request is the criteria used to deny his request.

**4. Approval of Minutes 2.18.2026**

Motion by P.M. to approve minutes as presented D.F. seconded motion **Motion Carried**

**5. Correspondence received: A.L. listed the following as received:**

- a. Email from Tom Valente, 485 Sunset Lane Concerns - Day Dreamer Domes expansion of activities
- b. Email from Tim Tomezak, 7163 Wind Cliff Drive - Day Dreamer Domes Day Dreamer Domes – expansion and if the Planning Commission has addressed some of the nuisances.
- c. Email from Tiffany Bierlein – Day Dreamer Domes - Concerns about traffic and numbers of persons allowed at the business
- d. Email from Lisa Tuohy – Day Dreamer Domes – Concerns. Wants the PC to consider what is permitted to be an ancillary use.
- e. Letter from Mike Muehlfeld, 439 Blue Star Highway – Day Dreamer Domes about how events and activities held will impact the area.
- f. Email from Steve Earls, 7207 Center Street – Raised the concern that the owner of Day Dreamer Domes is operating outside of the what was originally approved.
- g. Letter from Lance Webley 7230 Maple Street – Concern – the area was quiet and should stay quiet. Does not want to hear other person’s noise.
- h. Tiffany Bierlien 7272 Elm Street Concerned about data centers and this is not consistent with life in Casco Township.

Copies of all correspondence are part of the minutes.

Continued

**6. Public Hearings** No public hearings were scheduled

**7. New Business**

a. Zoning Moratorium on Issuance of Zoning Permits for Battery Energy Storage Systems, Solar Energy Farm, and Data Centers. Due to increase in requests to build battery energy storage systems, solar energy farms and data centers across the county. The Casco Township Attorney sent a letter to the Casco Township Planning Commission recommending a moratorium on the issuance of any zoning, building permits, other permits or other approvals to any person, entity or premises, for the establishment of any battery storage system, solar energy farm or data center.

**Motion:** P.M. moved to adopt a recommendation to the Casco Township Board of Trustees that a 6-month moratorium be adopted per Casco Township Attorney's letter. K.H. **seconded motion.**

**Motion Carried.**

**Comment on motion:**

P.M. noted that there is a need to develop standards and that many townships started with a six-month moratorium and found that six months was not enough time to develop standards and criteria. NOTE: Casco Township Board of Trustees will consider the moratorium at its April 20<sup>th</sup> Board meeting. If approved, the moratorium will take place immediately.

**8. Old Business**

a. **Continue review of ancillary uses at 397 Blue Star Highway – Missy Fojtik, Day Dreamer Domes from Sept. 17, 2025.**

Chairperson Litts introduced the agenda item by stating that there is a need to understand all of the ancillary uses which might take place, on the property. Owner was challenged to provide clarification on five specific items that came out of the meeting last fall:

Need for 3 sets of legible, sealed, site plans (original, as built and proposed) be provided by the current owner to Casco Township.

1. List of each existing and proposed uses:
  - a. Pool area use
  - b. Flea Markets
  - c. Music events, DJ parties
  - d. Restaurant
  - e. Other events/activities
2. Explanation of how Day Passes and how they work.
3. A copy of campground rules to be provided by the owner to Casco Township.
4. Proof of EGLE is in agreement with all proposed uses and facilities to be provided to Casco Township.
5. Approval from SHAES, the Van Buren Health Department, the State of Michigan, and other appropriate agencies. Copies of approvals to be provided to Casco Township.

- a. Owner Missy Fojtik sent a letter with clarification of 10 details: Copy of document attached to minutes
- b. Key points of discussion and comments follow:
  - That it be very clear to applicant general public is not invited. Cannot participate
  - Guests must sign a waiver and there needs to be proof that everyone who comes thru the door has signed a waiver and paid the appropriate fees.
  - Planning Commission has elected to not change site plan. With the most important aspect is that the public is not invited to the pool or restaurant. Guests must sign waiver and pay for a day pass. If this is not done, it puts the township at risk.
  - Health Department approval for restaurant has been received. Has proof been provided?
  - Question was raised about the restaurant if it is a grandfathered use?
  - Bar and dining operation is for guests who have signed a waiver and paid a day pass. General public are not allowed by SU to come to the bar or restaurant.
  - A.L. asked if the approval was for a store with snacks. T.S. response 2021 approval was for campers and overnight guests. Not the general public.
  - From owner: Liquor License - criteria - the bar must be open 5 days a week.
  - Ancillary use - Restaurant can be considered an ancillary use for day and overnight guests. Restaurants are not allowed in a residential zone to serve the public unless the zoning is changed.
  - Live music arts and cultural event. How big will these be?
  - From owner: There are 120 parking spots Pool occupancy limit is 200 people. 100 persons in restaurant.
  - Comments and questions from Planning Commission Members:
    - How to regulate?
    - If there are going to be over 250 persons a large gathering permit is needed from township. 4 large gathering permits are permitted per year.
    - A.L. asked K.H. what are her concerns? Noise, traffic and concentration of people.
    - Who needs to be protected: Neighbors and public from what? Noise and public safety.
    - A.L. if a large gathering is held it will be at the owner's peril. What is in place to protect the public within a reasonable time?
    - T.S read from previous minutes which stated that 50% of sales are required to be from retail.
    - All ancillary uses are secondary to support the primary use as a campground.
  - Marketing for the Day Dreamers Domes must ensure the primary use is stated and all other uses are secondary.
  - Question: Marketing materials are the rules being followed?
  - Guest checks in: How are day guests checked in?

- Response from owner: Guests are directed to Club House to register and pay the day fee. All day guests must leave by dusk. 11:00 a.m. is check in for day guests. Frisbie golf could start earlier with permission. The owner has security who is continuously checking the property. Guests are required to advise when leaving the property.
- Upon check in - guests must advise which activity they plan to participate in.
- Emergency weather procedures: There are emergency procedures in place and are posted on the property.
- A.L. #5 response SLU is a special permit which are issued with specific conditions. All requirements are to be complied with.
- #6 Complaints and violations: If there are complaints and known violations. The township will investigate. After investigation and the issues are not corrected, the permit can be revoked following procedures set out in the Casco Township Zoning Ordinance.
- The new Noise Ordinance must be followed.
- Chairman Litts statement: Something that needs to be enforced will be enforced.
- Owner statement. She did not realize how her business was affecting the surrounding neighbors and the township. She will comply with the noise ordinance and also stated that due to recent changes the noise from her business does not affect the neighbors and guests negatively due to changes in the speakers being used.
- Chairman Litts statement: The owner of the business needs to be partners with the township and its residents. Main issue is to protect the public. There is no need to go line by line to negotiate the agreement.
- The list is extensive = The owners need to understand that if there is a gathering larger than 250 persons, a large gathering permit is required.
- Zoning Administrator reviewed the Zoning Ordinance regarding revocation of S.L.U. After her review, this is done by holding a public hearing. If there is a violation - the owner needs to be noticed with request to eliminate the violation before P.C. and Township Board is involved.
- Holder of a SLU must follow all applicable state laws and township ordinances and rules.
- Violations need to be addressed immediately and corrected within a given period of time.
- Violation reports must be creditable - Investigation is done by Zoning Administrator after complaint is given with a period of time to correct.
- Process for violations is in the Casco Township Zoning Ordinance 1504(4).
- A SLU can be revoked. A public hearing notification following procedures as if it is an original S.L.U. The public hearing would include information about violations and failure to comply with complaints. The information about violations and complaints must be true and owner has not made the necessary changes. It must be proven that the S.L.U. holder is out of compliance.
- Complaints are given to Zoning Administrator. Zoning Administrator issues complaint and advises PC.

- **MOTION:**
  - D.L. moved to adopt the contents of Current & Near-Term Uses as submitted by Missy Fojtik and communication from Andy Litts, as amended. Motion seconded by: Paul Macyauski. GK yes, DF yes, RB yes, PM yes, DL yes, AL yes, KH yes, Motion Carried
  - NOTE: documents referred to in the motion are made part of these minutes.
- c. Following the vote:
- Owner of the Day Dreamer Domes Missy Fojtik shared with the Planning Commission that the winter months are difficult for businesses. She has a full-time chef and is doing some catering. However, she has signed up with Door Dash to deliver meals.

Planning Commission discussion: Who will sign the waiver and pay the guest fee as required by the S.L.U. was the raised by several members.

**MOTION**

Chairman Litts moved to permit the owner of Day Dreamers Domes to utilize Door Dash for the public to purchase food from the restaurant. K.H. seconded **Motion failed.**

**MOTION**

K.H. moved to prohibit the use of a third-party delivery service from Day Dreamer Domes.

G.K. seconded. Roll call: G.K.yes, D.F. Yes, R.B. Yes, P.M. yes, D.L yes, A. L. yes, K.H. yes.

**Motion Carried**

Old Business continued

**Review of final draft of Casco Township Master Plan:**

Motion: P.M. Moved to recommend to the Casco Township Board of Trustees consider adopting the Casco Township Master Plan with corrections. D.L. seconded motion.

**List of errors and corrections as follows:**

Page #8 in paragraph sentence 4 change 196 to 196

Page #10 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph remove Lake Michigan and several small lakes.

Pages 26, 31, 41 and 52 remove pictures because the pictures are not Casco Township pictures. Recommend replacing with pictures taken the township.

Page 53 Picture of Sunset Junk - why is that in there?

K.H. provided a page of edits and corrections which she requested be made. Document with the details is made part of the minutes as an attachment.

**Township Representative**

Trustee Dan Fleming: The park plan prepared by: has been approved. The goal is to construct resilient lakeshore protection.

Page Six

Continued

**Zoning Board of Appeals**

No report.

**General Public**

Mark Muehfield 439 Blue Star Highway

Stated his concern that a new liquor license does not fit in the area. Also, that the business is in rural residential. How are registrations to use restaurant being tracked?

Chairman Litts adjourned meeting at 9:07 p.m.

**Attachments:**

Current and Near-Term Uses Prepared by: Missy Fojtik, Owner of Day Dreamer Domes

Memo from: Andy Litts, Chairman of the Casco Township Planning Commission

Minutes prepared by:

Linda Teeter, 73747 North Shore Drive #18, South Haven, MI 49090

# Casco Township Planning Commission

April 15, 2026

## Minutes of meeting

### Attendance:

Dan Flemming, Kelly Hecker, Dian Liepe, Andy Litts,

Absent: Ryan Brush, Paul Macyasuski

1. Meeting called to Order at 6:00 p.m. by Chairman Andy Litts.

2. Agenda:

### MOTION

Kelly Hecker moved to approve agenda for the meeting. Dian Liepe seconded motion

Motion Carried

3. Public Comment

Tom Willoby 7185 Lake Forest Drive made comments:

Mr. Willoby indicated he had met with Zoning Administrator Smalley during the day regarding issues of how to develop homes on are parcels of land in RR and AG zoning districts. Parcels he and his partners are considering purchasing will require private roads.

He was informed:

- Private roads are allowed in the Residential Zoning District but not RR or AG.
- Shared driveways are allowed in all districts.
- Question to the Planning Commission - Will the Planning Commission approve a private road in either a RR or AG Zoning District?
- Discussion with speaker.
- In PUD – why is private road allowed along lakeshore – Response: to preserve AG land.
- Zoning Administrator response: Private roads are allowed in Planned Unit Development (PUD) The current PUD has not been used since adopted. However, only one shared driveway is permitted per two homes.
- Question of the speaker – Is the property being considered in AG Zoning. Response was Yes. It was noted that if there are shared driveways Only 4 homes could be built.
- Guest response: The goal is to build 5 homes. Parcel is 10 acres and the speaker indicated that there is a 5-home goal cannot be achieved without a private road. There would be 1.5 – 2 acres per home with. There is water on the property.
- P.C. question to Zoning Administrator
  - Can the speaker bypass the Casco Township Planning Commission and go to the state for approval? Zoning Administrator responded Yes.
  - Issues to consider: Subdivision: Road frontage is an issue
  - If RR zoned property abuts Blue Star Highway is a private road allowed? Zoning Administrator responded No. Further, if the property is zoned RR, a shared driveway is allowed.
- Guest – Is a proposal needed to bring to the Planning Commission? Response was Yes.
- Chairman Litts responded that the proposal will need to meet all Casco Township Ordinances.
- Zoning Administrator asked the Planning Commission if the topic of private roads should be discussed in the future? Response was yes to put on the list of issues to work on. Zoning Administrator recommended to revisit in the future.
- Question to speaker – Will the developers consider a PUD? The PUD ordinance refers to driveway and circulation.
- If PUD is presented, it must meet requirements of the PUD for PC to support.

Process – Plans are to be presented to Zoning Administrator. A site plan is required to be included with the plans.

### Next public comment:

Luca Felinczak 204 Tolly Place, Prospect Heights, IL

Speaker indicated he is considering purchasing property to build multi family dwelling – inquired about low density lot requirement. Could the requirement be changed from low to medium or high density. Can this be done?

Statement: There is a need for housing. How does the Planning Commission feel about this change?

Page two  
Continued

Future land map reference. Question: Where are the best places in the township to increase density?

Duplex not allowed in low density. Map as published does not go that far west of Blue Star.

Response: This would require a change in zoning. Is it already allowed in places such as 74<sup>th</sup> Street on the east side – north of North Shore Drive. Information: Medium Density allows for multi-family.

Guest stated that the property is located on the east side of 74<sup>th</sup> Street.

Guest was informed municipal water and sewer must be available and are required.

Question: Master Plan updates

After the Township Board considers township residents must have 63 days for public comment - July meeting? **Who's PC or TB?**

Chairman Litts recommended that the guest come back, to the July, 2026 Planning Commission meeting.

**Guest** Peter Brown 7087 107<sup>th</sup> Street.

Did not receive an agenda for the meeting. He was advised that the agenda is on the Township website and there are copies available at the meeting.

4. Correspondence from Dan Fleming – attached to minutes

NOTE: 6:40 p.m. Dan Flemming Planning Commission member left the meeting due to a family emergency.

March 18, 2026 and April 15<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes will be completed and sent to Planning Commission members and Zoning Administrator, on April 20<sup>th</sup>. Chairman Litts indicated that both sets of minutes will be considered at May 20<sup>th</sup> Planning Commission meeting.

5. Public Hearings - No public hearings were scheduled.

6. New Business

- No new business

7. **Old Business**

- Continue Zoning Ordinance update – Minimum dwelling size and Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU)

Chairman Litts lead the discussion of draft text which will add 3.20 Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU)

It was noted that the current ordinance sets a minimum dwelling size.

After discussion:

Recommendation to remove the lower and upper minimum requirement replacing with a minimum square foot to be 720 – reasoning to simplify the requirement.

Chairman Litts stated that there needs to be an agreement on a number. He suggested 400 square feet.

Discussion

Need to define Single wide -

Suggested dimensions 20'X20' for dwelling

Statement - all homes that are real property must be part of the property they are on and must adhere to all building, energy and local codes. Double wide dwellings are required to be HUD approved.

NOTE: Need to define Elevation View

H. It was recommended to leave in the word elevation.

Discussion to refer to a 20-foot elevation.

Back to letter "C"

Reword:

The dwelling unit shall have a minimum combined horizontal dimension across any front, side size, or rear of 20 feet elevation, at the time of manufacture, construction or placement.

Zoning Administrator will prepare options to for wording to present at a future meeting.

Chairman Litts lead a review of the document (part of the minutes)

Opening Statement recommended changes:

Insert in first sentence after dwellings. Construction and placement of a single- and two-family dwellings.

- A. No changes recommended
- B. Greg K. to bring information about the requirements for ceiling heights to next meeting.
- C. Completed previously in the meeting

Continued

- D. Recommendation: after regulations add" local, county, State of Michigan and Federal"...
- E. No changes recommended
- F. No changes recommended
- G. No changes recommended
- H. No changes recommended
- I. No changes recommended
- J. No changes recommended
- K. It is recommended to put D and K together Zoning Administrator will prepare draft language to present to the Planning Commission at next meeting.
- L. No changes recommended
- M. Planning Commission will consider this item at a later date.
- N. No changes recommended
- O. No changes recommended

**Accessory Dwelling Units.**

- 1. No changes recommended
- 2. No changes recommended
- 3. Clarification is needed regarding lot coverage. Is it the sum total of all. Zoning Administrator will prepare new wording for next meeting.
- 4. No changes recommended
- 5. No changes recommended
- 6. No changes recommended
- 7. No changes recommended
- 8. This item needs to be reworded re: utilities. A sub off primary cannot create a non-conforming parcel.
- 9. Reword #9 Recommendation do not use the term single wide. ADU must be built on permanent foundation. Perimeter must meet building codes. Need to redraft text. Note: must be different from Building Code.
  - a. Question raised: How to say what is intended? Suggested language: Structure built must comply with all local ordinances.

Add #10 using #7 of Saugatuck Township ordinance of 8.03 (copy attached)

Discussion of ADU = Can the ADU be attached or not attached to principal dwelling. NOTE:

Cannot be attached however could be in principal residence. Consider using Saugatuck Township language.

**8. Administrative Reports**

**a. Zoning Administrator**

Zoning Administrator reported that she has been contacted by Ganges Township regarding the Sylvan Shores development. The owner has property in Ganges and Casco Township and the owner wants to create a development with a private road. The private road would extend into Casco Township on Blue Star Highway.

The Zoning Administrator posed the question of how the two townships can work together on this. Each township has different Zoning requirements for private roads.

After discussion: It was the consensus of the Planning Commission to first hold a joint meeting with Ganges Planning Commission to discuss this matter.

4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday of June the 24<sup>th</sup> Suggestion to hold meeting at Casco Township.

Proposed first meeting be initial discussion to review ordinances for both townships and determine the differences.

It important to determine who runs the meeting.

Have a committee formed during the meeting to work with applicant.

There will need to be a maintenance agreement

- No Township report
- No Zoning Board of Appeals.

Adjourned by Chairman Litts at 8:35 p.m.

Minutes prepared by: Linda Teeter 7374 North Shore Drive #18 South Haven, MI 49090

**EXCERPTS OF MINUTES**

At a meeting of the Township Board of the Township of Casco, Allegan County, Michigan, held at the Township Hall at 7104 107<sup>th</sup> Avenue, South Haven, Michigan, on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026 at 7:00 p.m., local time.

After certain other matters of business were concluded, the Supervisor stated the next order of business was the consideration of a proposed Resolution concerning the establishment of a moratorium on the issuance of zoning permits for any battery energy storage systems, designed to store electricity in batteries for subsequent use; solar energy farms, designed to create renewable energy from sunlight; and data centers, designed to house computer systems and associated components such as telecommunications and storage systems. After discussion, the following Resolution was offered by Clevenger and supported by Ridley.

**CASCO TOWNSHIP BOARD RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A  
MORATORIUM ON THE ISSUANCE OF ZONING PERMITS  
FOR BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS, SOLAR ENERGY  
FARMS, AND DATA CENTERS**

WHEREAS, the Township previously adopted the Casco Township Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance does not specifically address the regulation of battery energy storage systems, solar energy farms, or data centers; and

WHEREAS, before a large amount of developable property in the Township is used for these purposes, and before these uses are initiated in the Township without proper regulation, the Township wants to carefully study these land uses and decide how best to regulate them; and

WHEREAS, the State has adopted Public Act 233 of 2023, shifting siting authority over large-scale renewable energy facilities (including battery energy storage systems and solar energy farms) to the State; and

WHEREAS, the Michigan Public Service Commission has not yet fully processed any siting request for either a battery energy storage system or a solar energy farm; and

WHEREAS, the Township may wish to exercise its right to develop an allowable zoning ordinance to regulate battery energy storage systems or solar energy farms or both; and

WHEREAS, the significant increase in the demand for telecommunications and for electronic storage has created a demand for more and larger data centers;

THEREFORE, THE TOWNSHIP BOARD RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS.

1. For the reasons stated above, the Township Board establishes a moratorium on the issuance of any zoning, building, or other permits or other approvals to any person, entity, or premises, for establishment of any battery energy storage system, solar energy farm, or data center.

2. The extended moratorium shall last through Oct. 20, 2026. The purpose of the extended moratorium is to allow the Township to consider whether to adopt a local ordinance to regulate battery energy storage systems and solar energy farms, despite the existence of Act 233; consider how to allow and fairly regulate data centers; carefully consider additional regulations to preserve and protect the public health, safety, and welfare, while preserving agricultural land and land uses in the Township; and promote the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance and the goals of the Township's master plan, specifically by determining how best to regulate battery energy storage systems, solar energy farms, and data centers, as allowed in the Township.

3. All resolutions and parts of resolutions are, to the extent of any conflict with this Resolution, rescinded.

YES: 5

NO: 0

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Dated: April 20, 2026

Rachel K. Ridley  
Rachel Ridley  
Casco Township Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Casco Township Board of Trustees at a meeting held on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026.

Rachel K. Ridley  
Rachel Ridley  
Casco Township Clerk

April 20, 2026  
Date

## Itemized Zoning Report: Casco Township

April 2026

Date	Description	Time
4/1	Allen Spencer, 006-026-01, working on plans to build a home, general regs; setbacks, where can pole barn be located, etc	.25
4/1	Kristie Deters, 119 63 <sup>rd</sup> St, shared driveway , review of proposal	
4/2	Gus, 1180 64 <sup>th</sup> St, pole barn regs	.25
4/3	Dan, 1180 64 <sup>th</sup> St, pole barn setbacks, 60x112	.25
4/6	Karin, 022-009-00, 527 66 <sup>th</sup> pole barn regs	.25
4/7	Office hours	.25
4/8	Bethany, Remax, 514 62 <sup>nd</sup> St, land div regs	2.25
4/8	Gills, 1180 64 <sup>th</sup> St, access bldg. regs	.25
4/13	Nick Stegman, 669-001-00, build regs, approx 200x200, min	.25
4/14	Office hours 12:30-5p	.25
4/15	Aaron Villeaneva, 432 60 <sup>th</sup> St, pipeline thru property, what is setbacks	4.5
4/15	PC meeting	.25
4/15	On site inspection Beach Glass	3
4/16	Ted Booker Booker Appraisals, non conform regs	.5
4/20	Beth Warsco, 72 <sup>nd</sup> DeBest property, memo to new non conform use, only office not landscape business	.25
4/21	Office hours	.25
4/21	Chuck Ipel, 60 north shore dr, questions about property line, A/C unit, fence regs	2.25
4/28	Office hours	.25
4/29	Adam Stanga, 103 <sup>rd</sup> Ave, property on Black River, setback from top of ravine of river	2
		.25
		17.75