

A dishonourable, hostile behaviour

Excerpt out of the 601th contact report from November 10, 2014, 21:54

Billy: ...What do you think about the fact that the West once again – as it has done since time immemorial and especially today – considers Russia as an antagonist?

I informed myself about this by reading a very educational newspaper and by gathering some other very important information, which is probably not known by the so-called average citizens.

So I acquired quite a bit of knowledge regarding the history of Russia and the fact that Russia has been feared and defamed by the western countries and its rulers since time immemorial.

The Cold War was also formed in the same way, as the non-communist countries around the world shouted: “Turn away from Russia!”

It is also once again the same situation nowadays in that the declared antagonists against Russia have been given a lot room for their malicious agitation, especially done by the European Union-dictatorship as well as by the USA and by the “Russia-hatred-shouters” in the Ukraine, Georgia and in the Baltic States, who all allege that the Russian people are eager for war.

Quite obviously, as a result of fear and cowardice as well as through the abysmal false rhetoric of the EU-dictatorship rulers, what is being worked towards, in an idiotic, feeble-minded and criminal as well as irresponsible wise, is letting the “Cold War” to once again become reality – and those having might in Germany play a significant role.

Ptaah:

54. As a matter of fact, what you say is again on the agenda today.

55. But the entire thing is rooted much deeper as is generally discernible by non-oriented normal human beings, because everything has been founded since time immemorial – as you said – by Russia-antagonists, and this antagonism has been carried far and wide, especially in the West, up into the present time in the 21th century.

56. The “Cold War” was also a significant Ausartung (getting badly out of control of the good human nature) of the contrarities between Russia and the West as well as those other countries that made pacts with the West.

57. In the last century the enmity was, out of ideological reasons, carried on further and built up anew, and the capitalism in the western camp and the communism in Russia and its member states were placed in the foreground as the main causes.

58. But this was only an irresponsible dishonesty, which then turned out to be such, when the breakdown of the Eastern bloc happened, during which the small former Soviet Republics dropped away from Russia and turned towards the West – i.e. Europe and the USA.

59. But the inevitable outcome of this was, that the former Eastern bloc countries, which turned towards the West, felt themselves more and more cornered by Russia and defended themselves, partly with Gewalt, against the interferences of Russia in their own territories, which led to various acts of war against the Russians in the former Soviet States.

Billy: The “Cold War”, as I have orientated myself, was nothing other than an outcome of the traditional enmity between the western countries and Russia, and I think that since time immemorial the evil relationship between these two fronts was constantly steered by antagonists and those greedy for might, and this was fundamentally done from Europe and the USA.

Naturally this inevitably also created mistrust between Russia and the western countries, and I think that in this case the different religions in the east and west also got dirtily involved and fomented unpeace.

In addition, another fact has probably also to be considered, namely that very different and deep-rooted cultural differences were prevailing – as this is still the case today – between the western and Russian religious and people-based cultures, hence in this relation hostilities had since time immemorial also been a daily occurrence through misunderstandings and ethnic hatred and so on.

If one considers that a single human being, such as a religious or political ruler, can, with irrational delusional-speeches and delusional-views, incite and bring entire masses of human beings on his or her side, as is currently the case in Syria and Iraq with the IS, i.e. the Islamic State, then this is not surprising.

And what you say regarding this, that countries that have become free from the Soviet Union and have turned and continue to turn towards the West, namely the USA as well as especially the European Union dictatorship, instead of having maintained or still maintaining their newly gained freedom and independence, that was and respectively is a new reason for mistrust and enmity against Russia, which of course sees itself as rebuffed and feels cut off from the entire western world, as was the case in the past due to the dominance of the Tatars, whose dominance, to my knowledge – if I remember right – began to rise in the 11th century and only ended again in the 15th century, as the might or the empire of Moscow began to form.

And because this might could spread territorially very fast after its establishment, the western countries had a new reason to create fear and a new enemy image with regard to Russia and its population, which had been decried as barbaric and brutal, especially in the 16th century.

And because the Eastern Christian church and the Western Christian church were at loggerheads with each other and the Russian politics also did not agree with that of the West, Russia was stylised as a tyrannical enemy by the Christian world and western politics as well as by the western military.

That Russia was then accused of imperialism, was a result of it having gathered like-minded people in the Balkan States as well as in several countries in Asia, which was of course running contrary to the interests of the western powers.

Furthermore western powers were interfering in the internal affairs of Russia, and its internal constitution was the subject of criticism.

The expansionism of Russia was also pilloried, whereas the expansionism of the West was not even mentioned, especially the expansionism that the USA since time immemorial affords herself, it has nested herself in many countries around the world and made them dependent on her, which is still done today.

Well Russia was always a country that was subjected to autocracy, so, in accordance with the form, the might laid with a ruler.

That was still the case in the late 19th century, as more and more countries in Europe endeavoured to allow each citizen to partake and participate in the political events, whereas Russia still held onto the old autocratic system, which of course was poorly compatible with the consciousness-based, societal and economic dynamic that Russia was seized by.

In 1917 everything then led to the revolution, which inevitably resulted in another political course being taken than the one the western world had hoped for.

Therefore Russia and the Eastern Bloc States became even more estranged from each other than before, which subsequently even got worse when World War 2 was over and the occupation of Eastern Germany took place, which in the end was then followed by the Cold War.

Furthermore I have also oriented myself about something that I already otherwise knew, namely that with the collapse of the superpower USSR and the end of the ideological contrariety, the relationship to the western countries had changed temporarily.

Due to the end of the Soviet Union it lost the nature of creating angst in others, which is why the West did not fear it anymore, because, as of the 1990s, Russia was strongly debilitated in every respect and therefore was no longer considered as a powerful enemy.

Because of this, a curious form of sympathy for the new Russia arose in the West, which however lasted just so long until Vladimir Putin came into power, about whom it is said that he claims the status of great power for his country again – which may or not be the case.

Already since his first inauguration Russia has again been proclaimed by the West, especially by the USA and the EU-dictatorship, as an enemy image and considered as a potential opponent.

I consider this behaviour as a negligent and criminal machination, because the outcome of this could again result in a total isolation for Russia as well as in a new “Cold War”, in which case real and new war activities cannot be excluded.

Indeed, the politic of Putin with regard to Crimea and Ukraine is to be criticised in a reasonable wise, because the entire action is not rightful, but that doesn't mean that idiotic sanctions have to be imposed on Russia by the USA and the EU-dictatorship, which harm the Russian people and the economy, whereas on the other hand counter-sanctions are also evoked, which in turn can hit the populations of Europe and the USA as well as their economy disadvantageously. With the right point of view it can be seen that the mighty ones of the EU-dictatorship disregard negligently – in this as well as in other relations – the foreign-policy interests with regard to Russia and therefore even jeopardise the already again fragile world peace.

What counts for the EU-dictatorship and for the USA – as well as for all other countries –, is to push through their own interests, by hook and crook, in which case Gewalt (violence), unlogic and coercion are seen as totally wrong means, but are yet considered as absolutely fair and right. All the exalted and nice words of the – in this relation –fallible and responsible rulers are no more than lies and deceit, because the plain truth is that those rulers are only interested in maintaining their own might, and indeed without the people giving them instructions.

It is a fact that with all the lying and cheating, through which people are kept little and underage, that all western countries and Russia as well as the entire world are sitting in one boat, and therefore all are using the same rudder and have to steer the boat in the same direction.

Thus all politicians and other rulers, all militaries as well as all scientists, religions and all peoples are demanded to foster the true peace, which however means that all, in fact absolutely all existing escalations in every respect, are ended as well as the entire condition of the world is normalised upon a peaceful basis. That is my opinion.

Billy Eduard Albert Meier

Translation: Patric Chenaux

Corrections and Changes by Willem Mondria