5

6

7

8

10

Ryan W. Anderson (Ariz. No. 020974)

Alisan M. B. Patten (Ariz. 009795)

5415 E. High St., Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85054

Email: randerson@gamlaw.com

Phone: (480) 304-8300 Fax: (480) 304-8301

Attorneys for Receiver

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

In the Matter of the Estate of

DENNY J. CHITTICK,

Deceased.

Cause No. PB 2016-051754

NOTICE OF CLAIM AGAINST ESTATE OF DENNY J. CHITTICK

(Assigned to Commissioner Andrew Russell)

7 (12) 7

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

- 1. The Claimant is Peter S. Davis in his capacity as court appointed Receiver of DenSco Investment Corporation ("DenSco") in Arizona Corporation Commission v. DenSco Investment Corporation, an Arizona corporation, Maricopa County Superior Court, case No. CV2016-014142 ("Receiver").
- 2. The Estate of Denny J. Chittick is indebted to the Receiver in the amount of \$46,811,635.54 as detailed in paragraph 3 below.
 - 3. The Receiver's claims against Estate of Denny J. Chittick are as follows:
- A. At all material times, Chittick was the sole owner, officer, employee and shareholder of DenSco. From and after November 27, 2013, Chittick was aware that DenSco had

con actiauded. At that point Denote was insulvent, of would soon of insulvent, of was, of would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

become, unable to pay its debts as they became due. On or about December 31, 2014, Chittick transferred all of the funds in his DenSco 401(k) Plan (\$359,609.00) and transferred it to a new account at Vanguard. Likewise, on or about December 31, 2014, Chittick transferred all of the funds from the DenSco Defined Benefit Plan (\$1,817,243.03) to a certificate of deposit at an FDIC insured bank. Additionally, on or about December 31, 2014, Chittick's caused DenSco to convert \$1,448,460.49 from his personal investment in DenSco, into DenSco stock in Chittick's name, as a book entry.

I. CHITTICK'S PERSONAL INVESTMENT ACCOUNT

On December 31, 2014, Chittick converted \$1,448,460.49 from his personal investment in DenSco into DenSco stock. Between January 29, 2015 and June 28, 2016, Chittick caused DenSco to make "distributions" to Chittick, in the total sum of \$555,000.00 (cash), which were funded by a corresponding liquidation of Chittick's shares of DenSco stock In other words, Chittick caused DenSco to redeem Chittick's shares of DenSco stock for a total amount of \$555,000.00, during a time period when the true value of the shares of stock would have been worthless, or nearly worthless given that DenSco had been defrauded out of millions of dollars and was insolvent or would soon be insolvent, or was, or would become, unable to pay its debts as they became due.

Additionally, Chittick caused DenSco to transfer \$120,000 (cash) as "distributions," to Chittick, between January 31, 2014 and December 26, 2014. These "distributions" were in addition to the annual wages Chittick was paid by DenSco and were made at a time that DenSco was insolvent, or would soon be insolvent, or was, or would become, unable to pay its debts as they became due.

Last, Chittick caused DenSco to transfer \$11,963.90 as "wages" to Chittick's minor children. These funds may have been deposited into an IRA account for the benefit of the children.

II. CHITTICK'S 401(K) PLAN.

On or about December 31, 2014, Chittick caused, or directed, the transfer of all funds in his 401(k) Plan from DenSco into a 401(k) plan at Vanguard in Chittick's name. At this time, the funds in the 401(k) Plan had been invested in DenSco, so the true value of the 401(k) investment was worthless or nearly worthless. Still, Chittick transferred \$359,609.00 as "funds of the plan" to the new account at Vanguard. Even if the value of the 401(k) plan was worth \$359,609.00 at the time of its transfer, \$121,799.71 belonged to DenSco. These funds include \$84,800.00 from transfers made to the 401(k) plan which Chittick characterized as "wages," but in reality were a type of distribution from DenSco to Chittick, from and after December 23, 2013. Additionally, the \$121,799.71 includes \$36,999.71 in interest that accrued on the 401(k) Plan's investor balance after November 27, 2013, or the date that Chittick became aware of the fraud committed against DenSco by Menaged. These transfers left DenSco with even less money to pay its creditors, at a time when it already was insolvent, or would soon be insolvent, or was, or would become, unable to pay its debts as they became due.

III. CHITTICK'S DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN

Chittick participated in a Defined Benefit Pension Plan at DenSco. All of the funds in this account were invested in DenSco. On or about December 24, 2014, Chittick caused the liquidation of all "funds" in the Defined Benefit Pension Plan at DenSco and directed the transfer of the liquidated funds to a secure investment in the form of a certificate of deposit at an FDIC insured bank. The actual value of the investment in the Defined Benefit Pension Plan was worthless, or nearly worthless. Still, Chittick transferred \$1,817,243.03 out of the Defined Benefit Plan into the certificate of deposit. Even if the value of the investment in the Defined Benefit Plan was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

because \$867,289.00 was transferred to the Defined Benefit Plan as a type of distribution for Chittick over and above his annual wages, plus \$9,405.49 in interest that accrued on the Defined Benefit Pension Plan's investor balance, after November 27, 2013, or the date that Chittick became aware of the fraud committed against DenSco by Menaged. The \$1,817,243.03 is funds belonging to DenSco. Even if the value of the Defined Benefit Pension Plan was truly worth \$1,817,243.03 on the date of its transfer, at least \$876,694.49 of those funds belongs to DenSco. These transfers left DenSco with even less money to pay its creditors, at a time when it already was insolvent, or would soon be insolvent, or was, or would become, unable to pay its debts as they became due.

Claims: As a result of the foregoing actions by Chittick, the Receiver has the following claims against Chittick: Conversion, common law fraud, breach of fiduciary duty as director and officer of DenSco, fraudulent transfer (both actual and constructive) pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-1004 et seq., unjust enrichment, or, alternatively, gross negligence or negligence as an officer or director of DenSco.

B. Chittick was the sole owner, officer, employee and shareholder of DenSco. Chittick transferred funds in the form of purported secured loans from DenSco to Yomtov Scott Menaged or his related entities as an investment of the cash assets of DenSco. Menaged was to sign a Promissory Note for the monies loaned to him from DenSco, purchase real property with the lent funds, and sign a first position Deed of Trust, or mortgage, with DenSco as a beneficiary on the real property purchased by Menaged or his related entities. All DenSco monies loaned to Menaged were to be repaid by Menaged with interest. If a default occurred, DenSco's first priority secured interest in the real property purchased by Menaged was intended to protect DenSco's loans. However, Menaged defrauded DenSco by taking advantage of DenSco's lending practices and in numerous instances,

DenSco's loans to Menaged or his related entities were not secured with a first position deed of trust or Menaged failed to even purchase any real property the proceeds of the DenSco loans to Menaged.

To date, the Receiver has identified 91 remaining DenSco loans to Menaged, or his related entities, totaling \$43,947,819.61. From these 91 loans, it appears that only 6 real properties were actually purchased by Menaged or his related entities, however, these properties are not secured by a 1st position lien in favor of DenSco. The Receiver has determined that of the \$43,947,819.61 that was lent to Menaged or his related entities, \$14,339,339.79 was advanced to Menaged under a forbearance agreement to purportedly ensure DenSco had first position liens on property previously purchased by Menaged with previous DenSco loans, and \$28,122,300.00 appears to represent unsecured loans to Menaged. It is not yet known what Menaged has done with the \$28,122,300.00 of DenSco funds. Menaged filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy relief on April 20, 2016.

Chittick failed to institute or follow proper management and control of DenSco's business operations which enabled and contributed to the fraud committed against DenSco by Menaged. Chittick was aware of the fraud committed against DenSco, by Menaged, at least by November 27, 2013. Despite his actual knowledge of the fraud by Menaged, Chittick continued to accept monies for investors into DenSco, and continued to make loans to Menaged and his related entities, adding to the liabilities of DenSco which could not be met. Chittick's failure to provide proper management and control of DenSco's operations also included the preparation of false, or inaccurate financial records of DenSco, upon which the tax liability of DenSco was based, resulting in artificially inflated tax liabilities of DenSco. The tax liability of DenSco was borne by Chittick since DenSco was treated as an S corp for tax purposes. However, DenSco presumably would reimburse Chittick for the tax liability he paid related to DenSco's income in the form of draws and/or payroll. Therefore, to

Euro manufarmat filmie or miner vaine in Chitick that was based mant ad

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

artificially inflated tax liability of DenSco, DenSco was harmed in an amount to be determined, in addition to the loss of the \$43,947,819.61, earlier discussed.

Claims: As a result of the foregoing actions by Chittick, the Receiver has the following claims against Chittick: common law fraud, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty as director and officer of DenSco, fraudulent transfer (both actual and constructive) pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-1004 et seq., aiding and abetting Yomtov Scott Menaged in his torts against DenSco, unjust enrichment, or, alternatively, gross negligence or negligence as an officer or director of DenSco.

- Given the complexity of the issues surrounding DenSco and the Receiver's ongoing 4. investigations into DenSco and its business operations, the Receiver believes further discovery into the actions or omissions of Chittick may expose additional potential claims and/or monetary damages against Estate of Denny J. Chittick. Accordingly, the Receiver may amend this Notice of Claim if and when new information is discovered.
 - 5. The Receiver's claims against the Estate of Denny J. Chittick are unsecured.
 - 6. The Receiver shall mail a copy of the Notice of Claim against Estate of Denny J. Chittick to the Personal Representative.

DATED this 9th day of December, 2016

A MURRHY ANDERSON, P.C.

torneys for the Receiver

Original of the foregoing filed this 9th day of December, 2016, with:

Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court

	1	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered this 9th day of December, 2016 to:
	2	Full day of December, 2010 to.
	3	Commissioner Andrew Russell Maricopa County Superior Court
	4	Northeast Regional Center 18380 N. 40 th Street
	5	Phoenix, Arizona 85032
	6	Copy of the foregoing mailed this
	7	this 9th day of December, 2016 to:
	8	Clark Hill, PLC Darra Lynn Rayndon
	9	Michelle M. Tran 14850 N. Scottsdale Road
	10	Suite 500 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
	11	Attorneys for Shawna C. Heuer, Personal Representative of the Estate of Denny J. Chittick, Deceased
	12	James F. Polese
	13	Christopher L. Hering Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C.
	14	Two North Central Avenue 15 th Floor
	15	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for the Estate of Denny Chittick, Deceased
	16	And Densco Investment Corporation
	17	Scott A. Swinson, Esq.
	18	2400 E. Arizona Biltmore Circle, Suite 1300 Phoenix, AZ 85016
	19	Attorney for Robert Brinkman Family Trust
	20	Peter S. Davis, Receiver of Densco Investment Corporation 3200 North Central Avenue
		Suite 2460 Phoepix, Arizona 85014
	21	Though, Alizonia 35014
		By: Khanera angenna
		$\mathbb{R}^{n} = \mathbb{R}^{n}$