



## Riemann's Hypothesis and Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer are False

Jiang Chun-Xuan

P. O. Box 3924, Beijing 100854, P. R. China

[jcxuan002@sina.com](mailto:jcxuan002@sina.com), & [jiangchunxuan234e@126.com](mailto:jiangchunxuan234e@126.com)

### ABSTRACT

All eyes are on the Riemann's hypothesis, zeta, and L-functions, which are false, read this paper.

The Euler product converges absolutely over the whole complex plane. Using factorization method, we can prove that Riemann's hypothesis and conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer are false. All zero computations are false, accurate to six decimal places. Riemann's zeta functions and  $L$  – functions are useless and false mathematical tools. Using it one cannot prove any problems in number theory. Euler totient function  $\phi(n)$  and Jiang's function  $J_{n+1}(\omega)$  will replace zeta  $L$  – and functions.

[Chun-Xuan, J. (2016). Riemann's Hypothesis and Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer are False. *The Journal of Middle East and North Africa Sciences*, 2(7), 1-4]. (P-ISSN 2412- 9763) - (e-ISSN 2412-8937). [www.jomenas.org](http://www.jomenas.org). 1

**Keywords:** Zeta and L-functions. Riemann hypothesis.

### 1.Introduction

The function  $\zeta(s)$  defined by the absolute convergent series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (1)$$

In complex half-plane,  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  is called the Riemann's zeta function.

The Riemann's zeta function has a simple pole with the residue 1 at  $s = 1$  and the function  $\zeta(s)$  is analytically continued to the whole complex plane. We then define the  $\zeta(s)$  by the Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_P (1 - P^{-s})^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

Where the product is taken all primes  $P$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $t$  are real.

The Riemann's zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros in  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ . The zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$  are called the nontrivial zeros. In 1859 G. Riemann conjectured that every zero of  $\zeta(s)$  would lie on the line  $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ . It is called the Riemann's hypothesis (Riemann, 1859). We have

$$\zeta(s = \sigma + it, \sigma \geq 1) \neq 0 \quad (3)$$

We define the elliptic curve (Coates, 2007)

$$E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2x, \tag{4}$$

Where  $D$  is the congruent number?

Assume that  $D$  is square-free. Let  $P$  be a prime number which does not divide  $2D$ . Let  $N_P$  denote the numbers of pairs  $(x, y)$  where  $x$  and  $y$  run over the integers modulo  $P$ , which satisfy the congruence

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 - D^2x \pmod{P}. \tag{5}$$

Put

$$a_p = P - N_p \tag{6}$$

We then define the  $L$ -function of  $E_D$  by the Euler product

$$L(E_D, s) = \prod_{(P, 2D)=1} (1 - a_p P^{-s} + P^{1-2s})^{-1} \tag{7}$$

Where the product is taken over all primes  $P$  which do not divide  $2D$ . The Euler product converges absolutely over the half plane  $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ , but it can be analytically continued over the whole complex plane. For this function, it is the vertical line  $\text{Re}(s) = 1$  which plays the analogue of the line  $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$  for the Riemann zeta function and the Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. Of course, we believe that every zero of  $L(E_D, s)$  in  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$  should lie on the line  $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ . It is called a conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD). We have

$$L(E_D, s = \sigma + it, \sigma \geq 3/2) \neq 0 \tag{8}$$

## 2. Riemann's Hypothesis is false:

Theorem 1. Euler product converges absolutely in  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ . Let  $s_0 = 1/2 + it$ , using factorization method we have

$$\zeta(s_0 = 1/2 + it) \neq 0 \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let  $s = 2s_0, 2.2s_0, 2.8s_0, 3s_0, 4s_0, 5s_0, \dots, P_0s_0$

We have the following Euler product equations

$$\zeta(2s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (1 + P^{-s_0})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\zeta(2.2s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (P^{-1.2s_0} + \frac{1 - P^{-1.2s_0}}{1 - P^{-s_0}})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\zeta(2.8s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (P^{-1.8s_0} + \frac{1 - P^{-1.8s_0}}{1 - P^{-s_0}})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\zeta(3s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (1 + P^{-s_0} + P^{-2s_0})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\zeta(4s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (1 + P^{-s_0})^{-1} \prod_P (1 + P^{-2s_0})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\zeta(5s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (1 + P^{-s_0} + P^{-2s_0} + P^{-3s_0} + P^{-4s_0})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{15}$$

.....

$$\zeta(P_0 s_0) = \zeta(s_0) \prod_P (1 + \dots + P^{-(P_0-1)s_0})^{-1} \neq 0, \tag{16}$$

Since the Euler product converges absolutely in  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ , the equation (10)-(16) are true. From (10)-(16) we obtain

$$\zeta(s_0) \neq 0 \tag{9}$$

All zero computations are false and approximate, accurate to six decimal places. Using three methods we proved the RH is false (Jiang, 2005). Using the same Method we are able to prove that all Riemann’s hypotheses also are false. All  $L$  – functions are false and useless for number theory.

**3. The Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer is false:**

Theorem 2. Euler product converges absolutely in  $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ . Let  $s_1 = 1 + it$ . Using factorization method, we have

$$L(E_D, s_1 = 1 + it) \neq 0 \tag{17}$$

**Proof.** Let  $s = 2s_1, 3s_1, 4s_1, \dots$

We have the following Euler product equations. we have the following Euler product equations.

$$L(E_D, 2s_1) = L(E_D, s_1) \prod_{(P,2D)=1} \left( P^{-2s_1} + \frac{1 - (a_p + 1)P^{-2s_1} + a_p P^{-3s_1}}{1 - a_p P^{-s_1} + P^{1-2s_1}} \right)^{-1} \neq 0 \tag{18}$$

$$L(E_D, 3s_1) = L(E_D, s_1) \prod_{(P,2D)=1} \left( P^{-4s_1} + \frac{1 - a_p P^{-3s_1} - P^{-4s_1} + a_p P^{-5s_1}}{1 - a_p P^{-s_1} + P^{1-2s_1}} \right)^{-1} \neq 0 \tag{19}$$

$$L(E_D, 4s_1) = L(E_D, s_1) \prod_{(P,2D)=1} \left( P^{-6s_1} + \frac{1 - a_p P^{-4s_1} - P^{-6s_1} + a_p P^{-7s_1}}{1 - a_p P^{-s_1} + P^{1-2s_1}} \right)^{-1} \neq 0 \tag{20}$$

.....

Since the Euler product converges absolutely in  $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ , equations (18)-(20) are true.

From (18) - (20) we obtain

$$L(E_D, s_1) \neq 0 \tag{17}$$

All zero computations are false and approximate. Using the same method we are able to prove all  $L(E, s) \neq 0$  in the whole complex plane.

All zero computations are false and approximate. Using the same method we are able to prove all  $L(E, s) \neq 0$  in the whole complex plane.

The elliptic curves are not related with the Diophantine equations and number theory (Frey, 1986). Frey and Ribet did not prove the link between the elliptic curve and Fermat’s equation (Frey, 1986; Ribet, 1990). Wiles proved Taniyama-Shimura conjecture based on the works of Frey, Serre, Ribet, Mazuer and Taylor, which have nothing to do with Fermat’s last theorem (Wiles, 1995). “Taniyama-Shimura conjecture” was in obscurity for about 20 years till people seriously started thinking about elliptic curves. Mathematical proof does not proceed by personal abuse, but by show careful logical argument. Wiles proof of Fermat’s last theorem is false (Taylor, 1997; Ribet & Hayes, 1994, Zhivotov,2006a, Zhivotov, 2006b). In 1991 Jiang proved directly Fermat’s last theorem (Jiang, 2012a).

**4. Conclusion:**

The zero computations of zeta functions and  $L$  – functions are false. Riemann’s zeta functions and  $L$  – functions are useless and false mathematical tools. Using it one cannot prove any problems in number theory (Arthur, et al., 2011). The heart of Langlands program (LP) is the  $L$  – functions (Gelbart, 1984). Therefore, LP is

false. Wiles proof of Fermat last theorem is the first step in LP. Using LP one cannot prove any problems in number theory, for example, Fermat's last theorem (Wiles, 1995). Euler totient function  $\phi(n)$  and Jiang's function  $J_{n+1}(\omega)$  will replace Riemann's zeta functions and  $L$  - functions [Gelbart, 1984; Jiang, 2012b; Chun-Xuan, 2016].

#### Corresponding Author:

Jiang Chun-Xuan,

P. O. Box 3924, Beijing 100854, P. R. China

Email: [jcxuan002@sina.com](mailto:jcxuan002@sina.com), & [jiangchunxuan234e@126.com](mailto:jiangchunxuan234e@126.com)

#### References

1. Arthur, et al., (2011). On certain functions. AMS, CMI. 2011. 13.
2. Chun-Xuan, J. (2016). Jiang's Function  $J_{n+1}(W)$  in Prime Distribution. The Journal of Middle East and North Africa Sciences, 2(6), 13-20.
3. Chun-Xuan, J. (2005). Disproofs of Riemann's hypothesis. Algebras Groups and Geometries, 22, 123-136.
4. Coates, J. (2007). Number theory, ancient and modern. In ICCM (Vol. 1, pp. 3-12).
5. Frey, G. (1986). Links between stable elliptic curves and certain diophantine equations. Universität des Saarlandes.
6. Gelbart, S. (1984). An elementary introduction to the Langlands program. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 10(2), 177-219.
7. Jiang, C. X. (2012a). Automorphic Functions and Fermat's Last Theorem (1). Rep Opinion, 4(8), 1-6.
8. Jiang, C. X. (2012b). The Hardy-Littlewood prime  $k$ -tuple conjecture is false.
9. Riemann, B. (1859). Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse. Ges. Math. Werke und Wissenschaftlicher Nachlaß, 2, 145-155.
10. Ribet, K. A., & Hayes, B. (1994). Fermat's Last Theorem and modern arithmetic. American Scientist, 82(2), 144-156.
11. Ribet, K. A. (1990). On modular representations of arising from modular forms. Inventiones mathematicae, 100(1), 431-476.
12. Taylor, R. (1997). Fermat's Last Theorem. BBC.
13. Wiles, A. (1995). Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem. Annals of mathematics, 141(3), 443-551.
14. Zhivotov, Y. (2006). Fermat last theorem and Kenneth Ribet mistakes. [www.baoway.com/bbs/viewthread.php?tid=18164&fpage=3](http://www.baoway.com/bbs/viewthread.php?tid=18164&fpage=3)
15. Zhivotov, Y. (2006). Fermat last theorem and mistake of Andrew Wiles. [www.baoway.com/bbs/viewthread.php?tid=18162&fpage=3](http://www.baoway.com/bbs/viewthread.php?tid=18162&fpage=3)

Received May 15, 2016; revised June 10, 2016; accepted June 10, 2016; published online July 01, 2016.