

Observer: Sue Calder

Aldermen Present: Wynne, chair; Revelle, Suffredin, Kelly, Nieuwsma, Burns, and Reid.

Staff Present: Nyden and Flax.

Start: 6:03 pm

End: 7:47 pm

Public Comment (14)

What is the status of current applications of ADUs - unsure from staff/committee comments? Nyden reassured the individual that those applications that are in works/committee will not be affected by moratorium. (His was for an owner occupied ADU.)

Landmark status of church on Hurd - precludes allowing homes being built, congregation can't afford it, only 1 member of church supports, 3-4 years ago a development was proposed, congregation can not afford to bring up to preservation standards, too large building for a now small congregation, removed Black families from property originally - needs to be examined with reparations in mind.

ADU - clarify what is meant by "owner-occupied", just address absentee owners, burden on low-income families, has a social and equity impact, need for affordable housing, support for moratorium.

Occupancy definition - hampers seniors sharing housing, use sq. footage of rooms, enforce current rules.

Rent and Mortgage assistance - expand to include assistance with taxes, need to help small Black landlords, can't get rid of "deadbeats."

For Introduction

P4 Amend code concerning "live-work units". From Plan Commission. Passed with no discussion.

P5 Provisional moratorium on construction of new non-owner occupied ADUs. A sub-committee of P&D members and experts will be formed with a report due to Council in 6 months (January). Passed.*

P6 Amend city code definition of "family". Reid- need to ensure the unit is a safe place to live, Kelley be wary of definition and national rules on "family" (those with disabilities, group homes) and moved to table to January so that a sub-committee could investigate. Reid stated that "group homes" should be affordable. Passed.

P7 Landmark status for church on Hurd. Concern with retaining a building that cannot be restored to usable landmark status. Defeated, 6-1.

P1, 2 and 3 were now considered. All passed 7-0. Flax made an excellent presentation. On source and use of money.

P1 Allocate \$125,000 of ESG-CV Cares funding. \$100,000 would be earmarked to Connections for case management for newly housed people who were homeless and impacted by Coronavirus, and \$25,000 for support of the Homeless Management Information Service (links

all people who interact with a homeless provider in suburban Cook County their information to all agencies).

P2 Expand use of CDBG-CV funding for rent assistance to include mortgage assistance up to \$345,000 (from the CARES Act; rent assistance was allocated \$500,000 but not all has been used).

P3 In the second round of funding for small business staff recommends an additional \$50,000 (be added to the \$120,000 already allocated) and double the potential amount of the grant to \$5,000. To be eligible, applicant has to have a store front, be at 80% of AMI income or hire low-income employees.

*P4 I didn't note Suffredin voting nay as reported in Council notes.