

Getting off the subject again?

Anyway the author made it to 80

As of this writing the killers from the W. administration are still at large. Their names continue to appear in the headlines. The author wants it to be known, that is, Louis W. Durchanek wants it to be known that he wants to appear in the headlines condemning those killers, that he will not rest, and his heirs will not rest, until all the killers are brought to justice, and public ridicule. He strongly believes if we are too cowardly to set the example, then we all deserve their eventual fate; Ignominy, with pain!

Yes! Bitter words, without conscience, or remorse. If it was within the author's power they would be made to suffer, at long length, as he has been made to suffer with their dominance of his life. They set out to destroy the very Constitution that had made it possible for them to be where they were. These people are from an elite caste of corporation executives whose sole purpose in life was Gain, and to control the avenues toward that end. Dominance was the name of the game. These were not 'demos', conscionable citizens, from the street; they were usurpers and destroyers. And those who did not approve of them were 'terrorists'

How could a dorny old man become a terrorist?

You may believe the author's taste somewhat affected when you read his dalliance in the park; his dalliance with Oedipus; his dalliance with his mother; but could it be in any worse taste than these ones so described, with their dalliances? Somewhere it is opined that comparisons are odious.

Move it forward.

It started as a 2 letter word, ending as a 6 letter word.

Fk, fuk, fuck, Fuuck, fucked!

In our, (as in 'we the people') search for the 'proverbial', we might discover the hyperthetical, that is, the WTC thing might have happened, and it might not have happened, depending on how you construe your conspiracies.

Even if 911 did happen as conspired, it seems unlikely that Al would have charged off into EYEWRECK; only one person could be that dumb (or with that much vested interest).

But imagine it. Saddam still hangin' in thar. Halliburton broke. W. out to pasture (at SMU) instead of waddling in full regalia on the carrier deck spouting Mission Accomplished! (There's a Premie for yuh.) Sheeit!. 5,000 soldiers lives saved. Jessica Lynch, a

nobody, 100,000 EyeWreckie lives saved. EyeWreck infrastructure still in tact; its national treasures preserved for posterity. Sheeit! And none of that crap from Rummy, or Asscrap, or Jesus Christ anyway, the garbagemouthed DICK, and his garbagemouthed protégé, W. And probably none of that Patriot Act Bullshit. And Usama, well who knows about USAMA?

Both the overvote and the undervote; its like when Gene Tierney smiled, her uppers showed clearly she had evolved. W. was a celebrity too; an asshole, par excellence. The butterfly ballot made it all possible, as well as all those felons who could not vote and all those blacks whose names sounded unethnically like somebody else, and, it has been suggested, dead people voted; and late absentee ballots. Christ, talk about a conspiracy abetted by Sandra Day O'Conner, who disallowed a hand job; only mechanized dildos are allowed (you got five minutes).

Can you insult a man any more than if you didn't call him (her) an asshole; especially when he (she) tried so hard to be one? If it is still possible, I maintain Sandra Day O'Conner was old enough to know better. She aided and abetted; that makes of her an asshole as well. Don't take it personal, Justice, it is 'limited to the present circumstances' only.

Some will say the whole process was flawed; that it was not a conspiracy, just a whole lot of honest mistakes.

Let me tell yuh, IRWRECK was not an honest mistake; it was a deliberate blunder passed off as plausible necessity shrouded in (con)s(p)ecrecy; yeah, yeah, made possible by Sandy. If there was a conspiracy, the SC was in on it; they owed the Baker something.

The conspiracy theory is not a single theory; it is a series of conspiracies within conspiracies. Where does one start? In Florida, perhaps, where W's brother was Governor, where the Secretary of State was a Republican Chairleader (later to be appropriately scandalized). And with the Supreme Court (needing to be scandalized – let me do it! Al wouldn't [country before revenge]). After that was the 129,000 ton SS Condoleezza Rice as the National Security Advisor (Don't know what a person has to do to get a ship named after them.) As NSA she had access to all the intelligence being generated by the various intelligence entities, which purportedly were not talking to each other; or jealously guarding what information they did possess. A conspiracy of ignorance? What does a corporation executive know about intelligence? A true equestrian (horse's ass).

Then there was NORAD.

There was Dick, Halliburton's man (probably others as well); and Dick's sidekick, Scooter.

The Bush connection to the house of Saud, Carlyle, etc. Money Talks! Listen up!

Conspiracy, honest mistakes, stoopid blunders; just plain ole greed; take your pick!

We became a nation of losers, yet again. Democracy finally went tits-up with the Patriot Act. Which states, you are a terrorist; since you are a terrorist, you ain't got a chance; that is, the dungeon, no charge, no representation, rot in hell, and all that stuff (just for opening your mouth). If you imagined 1984 to be an unimaginable hell, mere child's play next to the Patriot Act. And don't forget those other guys (the monopolists) who are presented with a Get Out Of Jail Free pass. 1984 with a twist of something rancid; drink up folks.

Our current leader says plainly: 'Let me be clear, other nations engage in surveillance, so must we'. The justification appears on page 69 of the HR 3162. See how easy it is to get perverted, and once a pervert, to become habituated. The lame duck goes Quack, Quack, Quack. We, the peeps, fall in between the Quacks. Backquack O. Snowden let the cat out of the bag.

Just because Usama is dead, does not infer that we get rid of the PA. Unh! Uh! Its very convenient to have turned us all into terrorists, and objects of surveillance. You can't jack off without somebody looking on. What are you going to do with a nation where everybody jacks off? Its like eating too many strawberries, or too much ice cream. Saturation and Satiation. What do we do for an encore? TERRORIZE!

Clean it up Durchanek!

It may seem like a lot of repetition and redundancy, but the question must be asked, 'What are we gonna do to get it back?'

This is a mostly anticlimactic query.

If the process was inherently flawed from the beginning (that is riddled with self-interest); now that we know better, could we begin again with something different?

The pundits (those in the know, in control of the known knows) tell us that those in power will not relinquish any part of what they have gained. That is to say the corporations, and their government agents, are quite happy, thank you, without democracy. Corruption works better than democracy. Well, its not really corrupt, its just that slavery has returned with a better design, with a conveyor belt delivering into the maw, the corporate maw. The pundits call it slavery; simply because they recognize it for what it is. Corporations are indifferent to humanitarian distinctions. A slave is a beneficiary.

This whole rant is purportedly involved in a search for the truth. The author will take the truth wherever he can find it.

Yes! It seems like a lot of assertions. Perhaps so.

You wanna believe in something better, or the possibility of something better, as things get worse and worse. That's human nature; one part of human nature. There are those who already have things the way they want them; that is also part of human nature.

It is opined the edifice is so huge and so strong, metaphorically, that you cannot destroy it; that a million WTs leveled wouldn't affect the outcome. The Corporations have all they need in vaults stored in so many places off shore; they even have title, incontrovertibly, to the barren landscape. You have to get your hands on the deeds, otherwise you have gained nothing by leveling it all. Question: What are all those elitists going to do after they knock off all those beneficiaries? Can you picture them doing it in the dirt?

Since our thoughts clearly demonstrate that we are terrorists, we might as well do what needs to be done. Although it is said you can't do a fucking thing about human nature, you can at least go with the flow; that is, execute the monopolists, and their minions; break into their vaults, destroying the evidence. Start again with the same old protoplasm. Imagine it, a leveled playing field. Dig in folks. Break 'new' ground. Plant the seeds of equality, fairness, and justice. Carefully tend them with democratic principles; and for the damned sake, observe the Golden Rule in all things. Anything that even smells of corruption (weeds), off 'em! No more betrayals, ever. Post a guard (Bugger Off!), vigilance at every doorway.

I know how one tires of hearing only the bad things upon which the author seems to dwell. Its like taking a dose of castor oil without a chaser. That's why there are so many cynical, jocular, sardonic, asides.

We need to recognize these bad things, and we need to deal with them. When the author proposes offing the weeds, he is only meeting the intolerable situation head on. Perhaps it is terroristic to so propose. But if everybody ganged up on the weeds, they would cease. One person, or two people, a dozen people a hundred people doing it is not sufficient; everybody needs to do it, NOW!

You can't let the bastards turn you into a second class citizen. Like we used say in the machine shop, *E. pluribus carborundum*.

Don't let the dirty bastards grind you down.

"A republic, madam, if you can keep it"

How those fine words, and many like them, seem so hollow now. Perhaps not so hollow as ineffectual. Right, Gerry?

When the bad guys use words, it is to frighten us, to intimidate us. They throw Homeland Security into our faces.

Our representatives created the PA because they didn't know any better. It has become obvious they didn't. They swallowed all the bull from SOBs at the helm; 'Right Full Rudder!'

The SOBs at the helm didn't know any better either. It was an opportunity to turn the world upside down. The chief asshole said it loud and clear. "There ought to be limits to freedom". Right Full Rudder! That's 2 Right Full Rudders (steaming in a circle).

The author wants to limit that asshole's freedom. Like put him in the pillory; throw rotten eggs at him all day long. Let his thingie hang out thar for all to see; humiliate the fucker, then really start in on him, like they did in Abu Ghraib. Sound cruel and unusual? Certainly no worse than the hunert n' fitty too he Ok'ed for the gallows while guv of that state, whereas CIC he off'ed 5000 sojers n' 100,000 Iwreckies. Nothing compared to what the author had to endure from January 20, 2001 to January 20, 2009. The author wants that fucker to stay in the pillory forever, without parole. For Not Knowing Any Better?

Let the author make it perfectly clear, you have to start somewhere to make leaders accountable. They don't just get to wield a big stick, then march off the stage with impunity.

If Sandy had had any brains she would have realized that something was rotten in Florida, that the 'ubiquitous' Baker III was using her the way politicos use every one everywhere. She had the floor and the stage all within her grasp, and so much was at stake (the Union). Since she was ruling against the State of Florida, and since so much was suspect (corrupted) in that State, either intentionally or inadvertently (through stupidity), she could have admonished them, even though it had nothing to do with the suit brought before the court. Obviously the wrong suit was being played, full of trump cards to boot. Hurricane Sandy chose to sweep Al off'n his feet.

Crying over spilt milk? A lot more than milk was spilt. Sandy threw away a chance to be the greatest jurist ever. If she had voted to allow the recount to continue, as had the Florida Supreme Court, while doing so, since there were so many other irregularities, real or imagined, resulting in disenfranchised voters, she could have encouraged the state of Florida to order a new election along guidelines already setup, ordinary common sense procedures adopted for conducting fair elections. These would include clear choice ballots (no chads or dimples), proper screening for qualified voters, that is, where one lives, and verification of identity. No arbitrary striking from the rolls because one is a suspected felon, or because one's name is nearly the same as a

felon's. Absentee ballots must be properly postmarked, or otherwise authenticated for compliance with time limits. In addition, neutral poll booth monitoring should be made available for those confused by the mechanics of the ballot, otherwise written ballots should be supplied. AND a name attached to the ballot in order to clear up any ambiguities (in order to assure the voter's intent was registered.)

Because we didn't do this, we join Russia, Zimbabwe, Iran, Kenya, Cambodia, Ghana, Egypt, Pakistan, Mexico, and others, in shunning democratic principles; we tear down the walls, turning ourselves into pinpricks. Florida isn't the only state that has wrinkles in its election fabric. Others: Ohio, Iowa, Arizona, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, North Carolina, Missouri.

Why is anyone afraid of the vote?

No, BF didn't tell the lady how to keep it.

You gotta storm the walls of the edifice, everybody at once.

Even with their enormous arsenals, there are more of us (we the people) than them (the gobbleizers, manipulators, usurpers and absconders).

You can try the non-violent means, like passive resistance, or boycotting, even hunger strikes, you can stand in front of the tanks. No matter how you do it, you gotta do it together; you can't let them pick you off one at a time. The end result may be the same, but if you all act together you will do a lotta damage; maybe even succeed. When all the plebes are dead, cluttering the landscape with rotting corpses, that leaves only the Corporation Executives, the cops and the militia to deal with the results. If the cops and the militia would reflect for only a moment, they would realize that with everybody else hypothetically dead, who's gonna be the slaves in the New World Order?

What is happening in Syria gives one a pretty good idea of what to expect if you do it piecemeal, with factional disputes giving the advantage to Assad.

Al said, 'At this point, if I win, I still lose'. It was implied that he would be discredited. Being discredited didn't phase W.; he was impervious. If Sandy had done the right thing, neither would have been discredited.

If Assad wins, he will be discredited, and hated. He will not be able to appear in public, neither will his wife and children; all will become targets (Muslim vengeance can be dreadful). Perhaps he too will be impervious; or he may simply be righteous; he did what had to be done in a very different world, the Muslim world. Power belongs to those who can keep it. Obviously that is not what BF

meant. Assad is not interested in what BF thought. It is not any longer a matter of import what Assad thinks; he has paved the way for his own end. All tyrants earn their just due.

The shoe needs to drop on the tyrant, W.

Mr. D., you seem to be old enough to know better. Its all over; the damage has been done. Its within the power of mankind to ruin many projects. This is just one of many. Face, it, they got away with it. Why not move on, since there isn't anything you can do to change things? You already know or suspect that 'Justice in the interest of the stronger'. If you truly want justice, you will need to become the stronger; they ain't gonna let that happen.

There are no New Frontiers. This is it. The New World Order. By annihilating democracy (selling out to the capitalists [corporations]), it has weeded out the greatest threat to it. All those accustomed to living by 'democratic' principles, albeit, within a very limited scope filling the interstices amongst the laws designed to protect them, are now considered terrorists.

You have already recognized that the system was flawed from the beginning. However felt or designed, with whatever motivation, democracy was something forced upon mankind. Yes many, perhaps most, welcomed it, but many did not. Those who did not were those with a vested interest in something else; and they were the ones most likely to be in power. One equates power with a wealth that was acquired through both legitimate means, and greed. As you know greed is one of the deadly sins; for good reason. But the power gained through this means was not content with its gains. It felt it was entitled to complete control, or to put it another way, it felt threatened by the legal means invented to make them share their gains, in the form of taxes proportional to their wealth. The tax was intended to pay the bills incurred by government, and to aid the indigent masses in their needs, so identified as a safety net; by doing the latter, it was intended to assure for a healthy community. Besides, it was the Christian thing to do.

But the howl arose from the wealthy: I am not my brother's keeper. God helps those who help themselves. The wealthy persuaded government that, as people sought gainful employment, the wealth would trickle down to the masses; that the private sector, instead of the government, would provide sufficient means.

Government is made up of individuals who owe their jobs to the wealthy who have supported them in their pursuit of officialdom. These ones find it very difficult not to be influenced by the desires of their patrons. Over time the system has become so eroded by

vested interests, that it no longer viable as an implementer of fairness, equity and justice.

Government manipulated by corporations and tyrants is in the vogue, achieved through a series of usurpations, as well as legislative fiats, brought about by very narrow controlling interests.

Do you suppose by repeating this ranting over and over, that you will eventually get it right, and that mankind will finally get the message?

One has hopes.

I realize that fine speeches are the easy part. Perhaps one can retire after giving them, feeling he has done his part. It is better than doing nothing. Fortunately, if one has the means, one may still find some places where he can hide, that is, avoid becoming a participant in his own disenfranchisement. Not on the commons. One must never get in arrears with the government, lest he suffer the fate of Randy Weaver. One could become an itinerant, living in a motorized home, pedaling poems, or eulogies to the better life. Eventually, some highwayman, or thrill-seeker; or maybe some local official enforcing a local ordinance, will end it all for you; it is inevitable.

Is one safer staying home with the blinds drawn, assuming one has a home? Suppose one does not have a home, but is on the road anyway, as an itinerant vagrant. If one does have a home with the blinds drawn, will he not become suspected by the thought police (those who enforce the provisions of the patriot act dealing with 'conspiracy' to violate the homeland, allowing them entry into the safe private space of the individual)?

You see how it is. When they get you to thinking this way, they've got you where they want you.

It is only through unified action, even though one hesitates to use the term, it is through 'revolution', in order to remove those who enforce the New World Order, that generic mankind can reclaim what is rightfully theirs. Yes it seems radical, and is radical, however necessary. But it must be a unified action. The Ted Kaczynski's, the Timothy McVeigh's have been easy targets for the Retibutionists, also a lesson to us all that we must act together. Care must be taken to avoid detection of conspiring to overthrow the New World Order. Spontaneous revolution seems impossible, given that so many are intimidated.

This cynic has been broken on the wrack of elusive truths, piccadored by the many pike thrusts upon his credulity, and finally nearly garroted by all those desirous of shutting him down, that now he barely manages to dare to persevere. It's a sorrowful

lamentation. Despite this seemingly dubious resignation, he maintains a belief that the truth will prevail, and in doing so, will yet awaken in those so disposed to awakening, a commitment to serve her unstintingly; perhaps without any cynical outbursts characteristic of this lonely and despised author.

The author has not been completely faithful to his opus. He has whiled away at other writings, meanwhile entering the lists at 80 (eighty). Still he holds off from a public exposure, even though he has contemplated such a venture for some time. He has even signed up for a couple of internet domains, as well as a host. If his foolhardiness exceeds his courage or caution, he may soon appear in PDF fashion via WordPress.com where Truth will become a search engine, it not a source of amusement.