**RSAI 2022 Legislative Priority:
Opportunity Equity for High-Poverty Students**

**Background:** Iowa’s funding formula does not sufficiently recognize poverty as a driver of at-risk student programing. In 2001, about 27% of students were eligible for Free/Reduced Price Lunch (FRPL), with the lowest district percentage of eligibility at 4.2%. That rate has increased significantly. Dropout Prevention funding is based on total enrollment, not the percentage of students at-risk. Although flexibility for the use of DoP funds has been expanded, DoP capacity is still limited to 2.5% of the total regular program district cost or up to 5% of regular program district cost based on historical practice.

The December 2019 School Finance Interim Committee passed a unanimous and bipartisan recommendation to study the impact of poverty on educational outcomes. The study was directed to review other states’ formulas that provide resources for students from low-income families which are showing successful student achievement outcomes for at-risk students. [HF 2490](https://www.legis.iowa.gov/legislation/BillBook?ga=88&ba=hf2490) Poverty Weighting Studywas approved with strong bipartisan support in the House Education Committee in the 2020 Session, but the issues have received no action since. This bill serves as a good starting point for continued conversation.

**COVID-19 Impact:** The Iowa State Board of Education received evidence of significant regression, or slide, in fall early literacy FAST testing in Iowa schools, due to pandemic school closure in March, 2020, including this chart by subgroup. The low-income demographic group experienced the most significant learning loss, testing 12% below low-income early literacy performance in the Fall of 2019.

**Current Reality:** In FY 2021, 41.8% of students, or 202,007 children, were FRPL eligible, according to the Iowa Department of Education’s [reporting](https://educateiowa.gov/documents/district-frl/2020/05/2019-20-iowa-public-school-k-12-students-eligible-free-or-reduced).

* Poverty is now found throughout the state. It is indeed a rural challenge. The previous page’s map’s darkest color shows districts with 60% or more of enrolled students eligible for FRPL (25 of those 31 districts are rural). Of the 78 districts with more than 50% of students eligible, 69 are rural. In districts below 300 students enrolled, 49.9% of enrolled students are eligible.
* Iowa’s funding for at-risk and dropout prevention is less than 10% funding commitment for students in poverty, well short of the national average 29% beyond the base for low-income students. (AIR, [Study of a new Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada](http://www.air.org/sites/default/files/downloads/report/AIR_NV_Funding_Study_Sept2012_0.pdf), Sept. 2012)
* Students from low-income families are more likely to begin school academically behind, exhibit nonproficient literacy skills, especially in early elementary, and fall further behind over summer breaks, unless schools have the resources, staff and programs to meet their needs.
* Low-income rural students are an important piece of Iowa’s workforce puzzle, will stay in Iowa, and either be the backbone of rural communities’ potential or a drain on future resources.
* Districts must waive fees for FRPL-eligible families, meaning districts with concentrated poverty have fewer resources for textbooks and drivers’ education, further stressing the general fund.
* High-poverty School Investments boost achievement. Education Week, [Student Outcomes: Does More Money Really Matter?](https://www.edweek.org/policy-politics/student-outcomes-does-more-money-really-matter/2019/06) *Fresh research bolsters the case for K-12 cash—and a rough road without it*, Daarel Burnette II, June 4, 2019 reports: "More money does, in fact, make a difference, they (researchers) say—provided that you spend enough, and in the right manner. They point to research in the past five years that provides examples of instances where politicians and taxpayers invested more money in teacher salaries, school construction, and schools with high populations of low-income students and saw students’ test scores jump.”
* The McCourt School of Public Policy, Georgetown, FutureEd, [State Education Funding; The Poverty Equation](https://www.future-ed.org/state-education-funding-concentration-matters/), March 2020, states, “What’s more, when poverty is concentrated in a school—that is, when a significant portion of students in a school come from low-income households—the impact on performance is compounded. [A body of research](http://www.prrac.org/pdf/annotated_bibliography_on_school_poverty_concentration.pdf) suggests that there is a ‘tipping point,’ somewhere between 50 to 60 percent of a school’s students living in poverty, where performance for all students there drastically declines.”

**Opportunity Equity:** resources based on at-risk need, in addition to enrollment. All school boards should have 5% dropout prevention funding. School districts should be granted spending authority for FRPL waived fees and Iowa should study the impact of poverty on educational outcomes.