


THE 1ST CHRISTMAS CELEBRATION

THE VISITATION AND PRESENTATION OF THE MAGI

The purpose of this illustration is to ascertain the astronomical array of conjunctions and alignments that spanned the probable time from when Jesus was born on September 11, -3BC to when the *Magi* of the East came to present themselves before the *King of the Universe*, December 25, -2 BC. This study will seek to layout a distinction that the Nativity scene is always confused with when the actual visitation and presentation of the Magi occurred. What most Followers of Christ, at least in the West do is that they blur the line between this distinction and thus cause confusion that is purely based on 'traditions of men' or the tradition and practice of the Roman Catholic Church. The planetary movements that occurred during this timespan from the probable birth of Jesus on September 11, -3 BC do appear to corroborate the notion that the Nativity scene was a different and separate event foremost than when the *Magi* visited and were presented to Jesus. Why is timing an issue? This benchmark of the actual date of Jesus' birth also would thus corroborate when Jesus was crucified, buried and resurrected; at the age of 33 in the year 32 AD. It would be specifically on April 14, 32 AD as a *Blood Moon* eclipse occurred in the constellation of *Libra* nearing midnight over Jerusalem. The December 25, -2 BC assertion is solely based on the astronomical sequence of when *Jupiter* appeared to 'stop' over Bethlehem. This study takes the position that the current rendition of Christmas as passed down from Church history based on tradition is not Biblical and contrary to what Jeremiah 7 and 10 state according to YHWH's perspective. Jesus Himself directed His Followers to commemorate His death, not His birth.

This study puts forth the theory and notion that the current Western interpretation and practice of celebrating Jesus' birthday is not Biblical and/or Scriptural. The birth of Jesus did not take place on December 25. There is however, an alternative reason for celebrating the 1st Christmas on the 25th of December in terms of when gifts were presented to the child Jesus by the *Royal Ambassadors and Astrologers* of Babylon and Persia. This reason is based on the astronomical array of what took place over Bethlehem. The *Magi* came and converged on the scene as they were tracking of the 'King Planet', *Jupiter* that became stationary or appeared to 'stop' over Bethlehem as noted.



1 year, 3 months, 14 days
470 DAYS
(3.14... pi)

The *Magi* did not arrive at the Nativity scene to commemorate the birth-day of the Savior come to take on human form and flesh to be the 'Last Adam' to redeem his fallen race. The Magi, either being just 3 of them or many were not present at the Nativity scene on that actual day of the 1st advent of Israel's Messiah. There are several suppositions that will be discussed that have been misconstrued in terms of the actual and factual account of the Nativity scene. In the first place, Jesus Christ was not physically born on December 25th. It has been well established arguments that infer that in the Jewish context and timing, no sheep or shepherds could have been out in the cold of such a month of December. By this time, all the sheep would have been kept from the elements in mangens or animal stalls. Thus such a timing would corroborate with a Feast of Trumpets birth around the beginning of a September month and timing.





This study however presents evidence that the 1st Christmas was in fact on December 25, -2 BC. It was not however, the time associated with the Nativity scene of the actual birth of Jesus, rather 15 months later and that, based on the retrograde of *Jupiter*, the Planet of the Messiah, etc. The term 'Christ-Mass' is just that, a tradition from the pagan origins of a high occult ritualistic 'Mass' performed at midnight or the 'Witching Hour' with incantations to 'rise' the 'Christ', etc.

The occult origins of Christmas were set-up by the first AntiChrist archetype, Nimrod after the Flood of Noah. The Santa Claus and the Devil duo can also be likened to the Epic of Gilgamesh and Enkidu. Nimrod also took on many forms of deification such as with the Satanic god Molech of which children are given over to his lap to be consumed by its fire.



SATURNALIA December 25 (resurrected on the 3rd day) The Satanic High Un-Holy Days



It was and still is in the occult a time where human sacrifices were/are performed in a religious context and the body and blood of an abducted innocent human became a sacrifice whose blood is drunk and body is eaten. It was and is a mockery of the holy symbolism installed by Jesus at the Last Supper. There is ample evidence that as the Roman Empire 'converted' by Constantine's Edict of Milan of when the dual office of the Caesar was transformed to the Pontifex Maximus as the Roman Catholic Church emerged, that the various pagan practices were just enveloped with a 'Christianization' of the very same practices and rituals.