

- Pickren, W. E., & Rutherford, A. (2010). *A history of modern psychology in context*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Robinson, J. H. (1912). *The new history: Essays illustrating the modern historical outlook*. New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Stocking, G. W., Jr. (1965). On the limits of “presentism” and “historicism” in the historiography of the behavioral sciences. *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences*, *1*, 211–218. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1520-6696\(196507\)1:3_211::AID-JHBS2300010302_3.0.CO;2-W](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1520-6696(196507)1:3_211::AID-JHBS2300010302_3.0.CO;2-W)
- Teo, T. (2005). *The critique of psychology: From Kant to postcolonial theory*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Teo, T. (2013). Agnotology in the dialectics of the history and philosophy of psychology. *Theory & Psychology*, *23*, 840–851. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0959354313504838>
- Vaughn-Blount, K., Rutherford, A., Baker, D., & Johnson, D. (2009). History’s mysteries demystified: Becoming a psychologist-historian. *The American Journal of Psychology*, *122*, 117–129.
- Walsh, R. T. G., Teo, T., & Baydala, A. (2014). *A critical history and philosophy of psychology: Diversity of context*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Watrin, J. P. (2017). The “new history of psychology” and the uses and abuses of dichotomies. *Theory & Psychology*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0959354316685450>
- Watson, J. B., & Rayner, R. (1920). Conditioned emotional reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *3*, 1–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0069608>
- Weidman, N. (2016). Overcoming our mutual isolation: How historians and psychologists can work together. *History of Psychology*, *19*, 248–253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hop0000042>
- Woodward, W. R. (1980). Toward a critical historiography of psychology. In J. Brozek & L. J. Pongratz (Eds.), *Historiography of modern psychology: Aims, resources, approaches* (pp. 29–67). Toronto, Ontario, Canada: C. J. Hogrefe.
- Yanchar, S. C., Slife, B. D., & Warne, R. (2008). Critical thinking as disciplinary practice. *Review of General Psychology*, *12*, 265–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.12.3.265>

Received September 23, 2016

Revision received November 15, 2016

Accepted November 29, 2016

Correction to Brock (2017)

In the article “THE NEW HISTORY OF PSYCHOLOGY: Some (Different) Answers to Lovett’s Five Questions” by Adrian C. Brock (*History of Psychology*, Advance online publication. June 27, 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hop0000036>), there was an error in the 11th paragraph of the **Lovett’s Five Questions for the New Historians** section. The conference paper “*The “new” history of science: Implications for philosophy of science*” by Rachel Laudan (1992) was wrongly attributed to her husband, Larry Laudan. All versions of this article have been corrected.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hop0000054>