	John E. DeWulf (006850) Marvin C. Ruth (024220) Vidula U. Patki (030742) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 T: (602) 224-0999 F: (602) 224-0620 jdewulf@cblawyers.com mruth@cblawyers.com vpatki@cblawyers.com Attorneys for Defendants	
8	·	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA	
10	COUNTY OF MARICOPA	
l 1 l 2	Peter S. Davis, as Receiver of DenSco Investment Corporation, an Arizona corporation,	No. CV2017-013832
[3 [4	Plaintiff, v.	DEFENDANT CLARK HILL'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
15 16	Clark Hill PLC, a Michigan limited liability company; David G. Beauchamp and Jane Doe Beauchamp, husband and wife,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Defendant Clark Hill PLC ("Clark Hill") responds as follows to Plaintiff's First Set of	
19	Requests for Production of Documents dated May 15, 2018.	
20	GENERAL OBJECTIONS	
21	Each of Clark Hill's responses, in addition to any specifically stated objections, are	
22	subject to and incorporate the following General Objections. The assertion of these or similar	
23	objections, additional objections, or a partial response to an individual Request does not waive	
24	any of Clark Hill's General Objections.	
25	1. Clark Hill objects to these Requests to the extent the Plaintiff seeks information	
26	that is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work-	
	(00365274.1)	

7 8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or protection. To the extent that Clark Hill produces, provides or discloses exempt or protected information or documents, such production or disclosure shall not be construed as a waiver by Clark Hill or its attorneys of such privilege or protection. See Ariz. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(6)(B).

2. Clark Hill objects to these Requests to the extent that they seek to impose obligations broader than or inconsistent with the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

REQUEST NO. 1:

Documents reflecting data maintained in Clark Hill's accounting and/or file management systems identifying the date on which each matter that Clark Hill had opened for DenSco Investment Corporation was closed.

RESPONSE:

Clark Hill objects to this Request as unreasonably vague, in that it does not "describe with reasonable particularity" the requested documents as required by Ariz. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A), but instead asks for documents "reflecting" certain data maintained by Clark Hill. Without waiving the foregoing objection, documents responsive to this Request can be found at CH 0013617-13619.

REQUEST NO. 2:

Documents evidencing communications within Clark Hill regarding the termination of Clark Hill's representation of DenSco Investment Corporation for each matter that Clark Hill had opened for DenSco Investment Corporation.

RESPONSE:

Clark Hill objects to this Request as unreasonably vague, in that it does not "describe with reasonable particularity" the requested documents as required by Ariz, R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A), but instead asks for documents "evidencing" certain communications within Clark Hill. Clark Hill also objects to this Request as it seeks materials protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, and other applicable privileges and protections. Without waiving the foregoing objections, all documents that may be responsive to this Request have already been produced to the Receiver and are in the Receiver's possession.

REQUEST NO. 3:

Policies, procedures, or other similar written guidance issued to, or accessible by, Clark Hill attorneys during 2014 relating to identifying, addressing and resolving conflicts of interest.

RESPONSE:

Clark Hill objects to this Request to the extent it seeks materials protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or protection. Without waiving the foregoing objections, documents responsive to this Request can be found at CH_0013620.

REQUEST NO. 4:

Policies, procedures, or other similar written guidance issued to, or accessible by, Clark Hill attorneys during 2014 relating to the termination of a client representation.

RESPONSE:

Clark Hill objects to this Request to the extent it seeks materials protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or protection. Without waiving the foregoing objections, documents responsive to this Request can be found at CH_0013621-13623.

23 | . .

2

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 || . .

25 | . .

26 . .

DATED this 21st day of June, 2018. COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC John E. DeWulf Marvin C. Ruth Vidula U. Patki 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendants ORIGINAL mailed and emailed this 21st day of June, 2018 to: Colin F. Campbell, Esq. Geoffrey M. T. Sturr, Esq. Joshua M. Whitaker, Esq. OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2793 Attorneys for Plaintiff