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#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a Quasi - * - class $A$ normal operator on a complex Hilbert space $H$. In this paper, we prove that if $E$ is the Riesz idempotent for a non-zero isolated point $\lambda$ of the spectrum of $T \in B(H)$ of Quasi * - class $A$ normal operator, then $E$ is self-adjoint and $E H=\operatorname{ker}(T-\lambda)=\operatorname{ker}(T-\lambda)^{*}$. We will also prove a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be quasi - * class $A$ normal where $T$ and $S$ are both non-zero operators.
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## Introduction

Studies on Hilbert space operators has been carried out over a period of time by several authors [1]. Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space $H$. For a positive operators $A$ and $B$, we write $A>B$ if $A-B>0$. If $A$ and $B$ are invertible [2] and positive operators, it is well known that $A>B$ implies that $\log A>\log B$ [3]. However from [4], $\log A>\log B$ does not necessarily imply $A>B$. A result due to [5] states that for invertible positive operators $A$ and $B, \log A>\log B$ if and only if $A^{r}>\left(A^{r 2}\right.$ $\left.B^{r} A^{r 2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all $r>0$ [6]. For an operator $T$, let $U|T|$ denote the polar decomposition of $T$, where $U$ is a partially isometric operator, $|T|$ is a positive square root of $T^{*} T$ and $\operatorname{ker}(T)=\operatorname{ker}$ $(U)=\operatorname{ker}(|T|)$, where $\operatorname{ker}(T)$ denotes the kernel of operator $T$ [7]. An operator $T \in$ $B(H)$ is positive, $T>0$, if $(T x, x)>0$, for all $x \in H$ and posinormal if there exists a positive $\lambda$ such that $T T^{*}=T^{*} \lambda T$. Here $\lambda$ is called interrupter of $T$ [8]. In other words, an operator $T$ is called posinormal if $T T^{*}<$ $c^{2} T^{*} T$, where $T^{*}$ is the adjoint of $T$ and $c>0$ [9]. An operator $T$ is said to be herminormal if $T$ is hyponormal and $T^{*} T$ commutes with $T T^{*}$. An operator $T$ is said to be $p-$ posinormal if $\left(T T^{*}\right) p<c^{2}\left(T^{*} T\right) p$ for some $c>$ 0 [10]. It is clear that $p$ - posinormal is
posinormal. An operator $T$ is said to be $p$ hyponormal, for $p \in(0,1)$, if $\left(T^{*} T\right) p>\left(T T^{*}\right) p$. In [11], they have characterized class $A$ operator as follows. An operator $T$ belongs to class $A$ if and only if $\left(T^{*}|T| T\right)^{1 / 2} \geq T^{*} T$. An operator $T$ is said to be paranormal if $\left\|T^{2} x\right\| \geq$ $\|T x\|^{2}$ and * - paranormal if $\left\|T^{2} x\right\| \geq\left\|T^{*} x\right\|^{2}$ for all unit vector $x \in H$ [12].

Recently, authors in [13] have considered the new class of operators: An operator $T \in B(H)$ belongs to *-class $A$ normal if $\left|T^{2}\right| \geq\left|T^{*}\right|^{2}$. The authors of [14] have extended *- class A normal operators to quasi - * - class A normal operators. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be quasi - *class A normal if $T^{*}\left|T^{2}\right| T \geq T^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{2} T$ and quasi - * - paranormal if $\left\|T^{*} T x\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|T^{3} x\right\|\|| | x\|$, for all $x \in H$ [15]. An operator $T$ is said to be Quasi - * - class A normal operator [16] on a complex Hilbert space $H$ if $T^{*}\left(\left|T^{2}\right|-\left|T^{*}\right|^{2}\right) T \geq$ 0 .

As a further generalization, [17] has introduced the class of $k$-quasi - $*$ - class $A$ normal operators. An operator $T$ is said to be $k$ - quasi - *- class $A$ normal operator on a complex Hilbert space $H$ if $T^{*}\left(\left|T^{2}\right|-\mid T^{* 2}\right) T \geq$ 0 where $k$ is a natural number. An operator $T$ is called normal if $T^{*} T=T T^{*}$ and $(p, k)-$ quasihyponormal if $T^{* k}\left(\left(T^{*} T\right)^{p}-\left(T T^{*}\right)^{p}\right) T \geq 0$ $(0<p \leq 1, k \in \mathrm{~N})$. The authors in [18-23] introduced $p$ - hyponormal, $p$ -
quasihyponormal and $k$ - quasihyponormal operators, respectively. The following classification has been done on these operarors [24, 25, 26]: $p$ - hyponormal $\subset p-$ posinormal $\subset(p, k)$ - quasiposinormal, $p-$ hyponormal $\subset p$-quasihyponormal $\subset(p, k)$ - quasihyponormal $\subset \quad(p, k) \quad-$ quasiposinormal and hyponormal $\subset k-$ quasihyponormal $\subset(p, k)$ - quasihyponormal $\subset(p, k)$ - quasiposinormal for a positive integer $k$ and a positive number $0<p \leq 1$. If $T \in B(H)$, we shall write $N(T)$ and $R(T)$ for the null space and the range of $T$, respectively [27].

Also, let $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_{a}(T)$ denote the spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of $T$, respectively. An operator $T$ is called Fredholm [28] if $R(T)$ is closed, $\alpha(T)=\operatorname{dim} N(T)$ $<\infty$ and $\beta(T)=\operatorname{dim} H / R(T)<\infty$. Moreover if $i(T)=\alpha(T)-\beta(T)=0$, then $T$ is called Weyl. The essential spectrum $\sigma_{e}(T)$ and the Weyl $\sigma W(T)$ are defined by $\sigma_{e}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathrm{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Fredholm $\}$ and $\sigma W(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathrm{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Weyl $\}$,respectively. It is known [29,30] that $\sigma_{e}(T) \subset \sigma W(T) \subset \sigma_{e}(T) \cup$ acc $\sigma(T)$ where we write acc $K$ for the set of all accumulation points of $K \subset C$. If we write iso $K=K \backslash$ acc $K$, then we let $\pi 00(T)=\{\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma(T): 0<\alpha(T-\lambda)<\infty\}$. We say that Weyl's theorem holds for $T$ if $\sigma(T) \backslash \sigma W(T)=$ $\pi 00(T)$.

Let $\sigma_{p}(T)$ denotes the point spectrum of $T$, i.e., the set of its eigenvalues. Let $\sigma j_{p}(T)$ denotes the joint point spectrum of $T$. We note that $\lambda \in \sigma j_{p}(T)$ if and only if there exists a non-zero vector $x$ such that $T x=\lambda x$, $T^{*} x=\lambda x$. It is evident that $\sigma j_{p}(T) \subset \sigma_{p}(T)$. It is well known that, if $T$ is normal, then $\sigma j_{p}(T)=\sigma_{p}(T)$. If $T=U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of $T$ and $\lambda=|\lambda| e^{i \theta}$ be the complex number, $|\lambda|>0,\left|e^{i \theta}\right|=1$. Then $\lambda \in$ $\sigma j_{p}(T)$ if and only if there exist a non-zero vector $x$ such that $U x=e^{i \theta},|T| x=|\lambda| x$. Let $\sigma_{a p}(T)$ denotes the approximate point spectrum of $T$, i.e., the set of all complex numbers $\lambda$ which satisfy the following condition: there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of unit vectors in $H$ such that $\lim _{n}(T-\lambda) x_{n}=$ 0 . It is evident that $\sigma_{p}(T) \subset \sigma_{a p}(T)$. It is evident that $\sigma_{j a p}(T) \subset \sigma_{a p}(T)$, for all $T \in$ $B(H)$. It is well known [5] that, for a normal operator $T, \sigma_{j a p}(T)=\sigma_{a p}(T)=\sigma(T)$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have the single-
valued extension property (or SVEP) if for every open subset $G$ of $C$ and any analytic function $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that $(T-z) f(z) \equiv 0$ on $G$, we have $f(z) \equiv 0$ on $G$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have Bishop's property ( $\beta$ ) if for every open subset $G$ of C and every sequence $f_{n}: G \rightarrow H$ of $H$ - valued analytic functions such that $(T-z) f_{n}(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G, f_{n}(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have Dunford's property ( $C$ ) if $H \boldsymbol{T}(F)$ is closed for each closed subset $F$ of C .

It is well known [7, 9] that Bishop's property $(\beta) \Rightarrow$ Dunford's property $(C) \Rightarrow$ SVEP. Let $T \in B(H)$ and let $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ be an isolated point of $u(T)$. Then there exists a positive number $r>0$ such that $\{A \in \mathrm{C}: A-$ $\left.A_{0} \leq r\right\} f l u(T)=\left\{A_{0}\right\}$. Let $\gamma$ be the boundary of $\left\{A \in \mathrm{C}: A-A_{0} \leq r\right\}$. In general, it is well known that the Riesz idempotent $E$ is not an orthogonal projection and a necessary and sufficient condition for $E$ to be orthogonal is that $E$ is self-adjoint.

In [15], the author showed that if $T$ satisfies the growth condition $G_{1}$, then $E$ is self-adjoint and $E(H)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T-A_{0}\right)$. Recently, [11] and [18] obtained Stampfli's result for quasi - class $A$ normal operators and paranormal operators. In general even if $T$ is a paranormal operator, the Riesz idempotent $E$ of $T$ with respect to $A_{0}$ is not necessarily self adjoint. In this study we show that if $E$ is the Riesz idempotent for a nonzero isolated point $A_{0}$ of the spectrum of a quasi - $*$ - class $A$ normal operator $T$, then $E$ is self - adjoint and $E H=\operatorname{ker}\left(T-A_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}-A_{0}\right)$.

## Materials and methods

## Lemma 2.1.

([12, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3]) (1) Let T E $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ be quasi $-*$ - class A operator and T does not have a dense range, then if T is an quasi $-*-$ class $A$ operator and $M$ is its invariant subspace, then the restriction $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ of T to M is also an quasi - * - class A operator.

## Lemma 2.2.

[12, Theorem 2.4] Let $T \in B(H)$ is an quasi * - class A operator. If $\mathrm{A}=0$ and $(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{x}=$ 0 for some xE H , then $(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A})^{*} \mathrm{x}=0$.

## Lemma 2.3.

Let $T \in B(H)$ is an quasi - * - class $A$ operator. Then T is isoloid.

## Proof.

Let $T \in B(H)$ is an quasi - * - class $A$ operator with representation given in Lemma 2.1. Let z be an isolated point in $\sigma(T)$. Since $\sigma(T)=\sigma(T 1) U\{0\}, \mathrm{z}$ is an isolated point in $\sigma(\mathrm{T} 1)$ or $\mathrm{z}=0$. If z is an isolated point in $\sigma(\mathrm{T} 1)$, then $\mathrm{z} \in \sigma_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{T} 1)$. Assume that $\mathrm{z}=0$ and z is not in $\sigma(\mathrm{T} 1)$. This completes the proof.

## Theorem 2.4.

Let $A \in B(H)$ is an quasi - * class A normal operator and let A be a non-zero isolated point of $\sigma(\mathrm{A})$. Let DA denote the closed disk that centered at A such that DA ${ }^{\mathrm{fl}} \sigma(\mathrm{A})=\{\mathrm{A}\}$. Then the Riesz idempotent E is self adjoint.

## Proof.

If A is quasi - * - class A normal operator, then A is an eigenvalue of A and $\mathrm{EH}=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}$ $-\mathrm{A})^{*}$ by Lemma 2.3. Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}^{*}\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})^{*}$ by Lemma 2.2 , it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ker}(A-A)^{*} \subset \operatorname{ker}(A-A)$. Since $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})$ is a reducing subspace of A by Lemma 2.2 and the restriction of a quasi - * class A normal operator to its reducing subspaces is also a quasi - * - class A normal operator by Lemma 2.1, hence A can be written as follows: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A} \oplus \mathrm{A} 1$ on $\mathrm{H}=$ $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}) \oplus(\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}))^{\prime}$, where A 1 is *class A normal with $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A} 1-\mathrm{A})=\{0\}$. Since $A E \sigma(A)=\{A\} U \sigma(A 1)$ is isolated, the only two cases occur, one is $\mathrm{A} \in \sigma(\mathrm{A} 1)$ and the other is that A is an isolated point of $\sigma(\mathrm{A} 1)$ and this contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A} 1-\mathrm{A})=$ $\{0\}$. Since A1 is invertible as an operator on $(\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}))^{\prime}, \operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})^{*}$. Next, we show that $E$ is self-adjoint. Since $\mathrm{EH}=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A})^{*}$, we have ( $(\mathrm{z}$ $\left.-A)^{*}\right)^{-1} E=(z-\lambda)^{-1} E$. This completes the proof.

## Results and discussions

The tensor products $T \otimes S$ preserves many properties of $T, S \in B(H)$, but by no means all of them. Thus, whereas the normaloid property is invariant under tensor products; again, whereas $T \otimes S$ is normal if and only if $T$ and $S$ are normal $[10,16]$, there exist paranormal operators $T$ and $S$ such that
$T \otimes S$ is not paranormal [4]. It is shown in [11] that $T \otimes S$ is quasi-class $A$ if and only if $S, T$ are quasi-class $A$ operators. In the following theorem we will prove a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be quasi - * - class $A$ operator where $T$ and $S$ are both non-zero operators. Recall that $(T \otimes S)^{*}(T \otimes$ $S)=T^{*} T \otimes S^{*} S$ and so, by the uniqueness of positive square roots, $|T \otimes S|^{\mathrm{r}}=|T|^{\mathrm{r}} \otimes|S|^{\mathrm{r}}$ for any positive rational number $r$. From the density of the rationales in the real, we obtain $|T \otimes S|^{\prime}=|T|^{\prime} \otimes|S|^{\prime}$ for any positive real number $p$. If $T_{1} \geq T_{2}$ and $S_{1} \geq S_{2}$, then $T_{1}$ $\otimes S_{1} \geq T_{2} \otimes S_{2}$ (see, [17]).

## Theorem 3.1.

Let $S, T \in B(H)$ be non-zero normal operators. Then $\mathrm{T} \otimes \mathrm{S}$ is quasi $-*$ - class A normal operator if and only if one of the following holds:
a) S and T are quasi - * - class A normal operators.
b) $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0$ or $\mathrm{T}^{2}=0$.

## Proof.

Since $T \otimes S$ is quasi - *- class A operator if and only if $(\mathrm{T} \otimes \mathrm{S})^{*}\left(|(\mathrm{~T} \otimes \mathrm{~S})|^{2}-\left(\left|(\mathrm{T} \otimes \mathrm{S})^{*}\right|\right)\right)(\mathrm{T} \otimes$ $S) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~T}^{*}\left(\left|\mathrm{~T}^{2}\right|-\left|\mathrm{T}^{*}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{T} \otimes \mathrm{S}^{*}\left|\mathrm{~S}^{2}\right| \mathrm{S}+$ $\mathrm{T}^{*}\left|\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~T} \otimes \mathrm{~S}^{*}\left(\left|\mathrm{~S}^{2}\right|-\left|\mathrm{S}^{*}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{S} \geq 0$. Hence the sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume that T $\otimes \mathrm{S}$ is quasi - * - class A operator. Then for every $x, y \in H$ we have $\left(T^{*}\left(\left|T^{2}\right|-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left|T^{*}\right|^{2}\right) T x, x\right)\left(S^{*}\left|S^{2}\right| S y, y\right)+\left(T^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{2} T x, x\right)\left(S^{*}\left(\left|S^{2}\right|\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.-\left|S^{*}\right|^{2}\right) S y, y\right) \geq 0(3.1)$
It suffices to prove that if (a) does not hold, then (b) holds. Suppose that T2 $=0$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0$. To the contrary, assume that T is not a quasi $-*$ - class A operator, then there exists $x 0 \in H$ such that $\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}\left(\left|\mathrm{~T}^{2}\right|-\left|\mathrm{T}^{*}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{Tx} 0, \mathrm{x} 0\right)=\alpha<0$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}\left|\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{Tx} 0\right.$, $\mathrm{x} 0=\beta>0$. From (3.1) we have $\alpha+\beta\left(\mathrm{S}^{*}\left|\mathrm{~S}^{2}\right| \mathrm{Sy}\right.$, $y) \geq \beta\left(S^{*}\left|S^{*}\right|^{2} S y, y\right)$, for all $y \in H$.

Thus S is quasi - *- class A operator since $\alpha$ $+\beta \leq \beta$. Using the H*older-McCarthy inequality we have $\left(S^{*}\left|S^{2}\right| S y, y\right)=\left(\left(S^{* 2} S^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right.$ Sy, $\quad$ Sy) $\leq\|S y\|^{2(1 / 2)}\left(S^{* 2} S^{2} S y, S y\right)^{1} /^{2}=$ $\|S y\|\left\|\mid S^{3} y\right\|$ and $\left(S^{*}\left|S^{*}\right|^{2} S y, y\right)=\left(S S^{*} S y, S y\right)$ $=\left(\left(S^{*} S\right) y, S^{*} S y\right)=\left\|S^{*} S y\right\|^{2}$. Thus, $(\alpha+$ $\beta)\left\|S y\left|\left\|\mid S^{3} y\right\| \geq \beta \| S^{*}{ }^{*}\right.\right.$ Sy $^{2}$. (3.3)

Since S is a quasi - * - class A operator, Lemma 2.1 imply that $\mathrm{H}=$ $\operatorname{ran}\left(S^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker} S^{*}$. Then S1 is $*-$ class A, $S_{3}{ }_{3}=0$ and $\sigma(S)=\sigma(S 1) \cup\{0\}$. Therefore (3.3)
implies $(\alpha+\beta)\|S 1 \eta\|\left\|S^{3}{ }_{1} \eta\right\| \geq \beta\left\|S^{*}{ }_{1} S 1 \eta\right\|^{2}$, for all $\eta \in \operatorname{ran} S^{k}$. Since $S 1$ is $*-$ class $A$ and $*-$ class A is normaloid. Thus taking supremum on both sides of the above inequality, we have $(\alpha+\beta)\left\|S_{1}\right\|^{4} \geq \beta\left\|S_{1}{ }_{1} S_{1}\right\|^{2}$. Therefore, $S_{1}=0$. Hence $S^{k+1}=0$. This contradicts the assumption $S^{2}=0$. Hence $T$ must be a quasi * - class A operator. A similar argument shows that $S$ is also quasi - * - class A normal operator. This completes the proof.

## Corollary 3.2.

Let $S^{n}, T^{n} \in B(H)$ be non-zero normal power operators. Then $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is quasi - *- class $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}$ normal operator if and only if one of the following holds:
c) $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are quasi - *- class A normal operators.
d) Either $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}=0$ or $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}}=0$.

## Proof:

Follows from Theorem 3.1 and considering all non-zero natural number n greater than 2 for case b .

## Conclusions

In the present work we have characterized Hilbert space operators which are Quasi - * class $A$ normal operator. We have shown that if $S, T \in B(H)$ are non-zero normal operators. Then $T \otimes S$ is quasi - *- class A normal operator if and only if one of the following holds: $S$ and $T$ are quasi $-*$ - class A normal operators, and that either $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0$ or $\mathrm{T}^{2}=0$. These results are useful in classification oh Hilbrt space operators.
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