	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF : CIVIL ACTION AMERICA, : NO.
5	Plaintiff, : 1:90-cv-00229 : 1:17-cv-00006
6	vs. :
7	ROBERT BRACE, ROBERT : BRACE FARMS, INC., and :
8	ROBERT BRACE and SONS, : INC., :
9	Defendants. :
10	October 2, 2017
11	
12	
13	Oral deposition of JEFFREY LAPP,
14	taken at the offices of DoubleTree by Hilton
15 16	Hotel Philadelphia - Valley Forge, 301 West DeKalb Pike, Summit 11, King of Prussia,
17	Pennsylvania 19406, beginning at 9:03 a.m.,
18	before LINDA ROSSI-RIOS, a Federally Approved
19	RPR, CCR and Notary Public.
20	,
21	
22	
23	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MID-ATLANTIC REGION
24	1801 Market Street - Suite 1800 Philadelphia, PA 19103

	Page 2
1 2	APPEARANCES:
3	US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
4	BY: LAURA J. BROWN and
5	SARAH BUCKLEY P.O. Box 7611
6	Washington, DC 20044 202.514.33376
7	Laura.J.S.Brown@usdoj.gov Sarah.Buckley@usdoj.gov
8	and ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
9	REGION III BY: PAMELA J. LAZOS, ESQUIRE
10	3RC20 1650 Arch Street
11	Philadelphia, PA 19103 215.814.2658
	lazos.pamela@epamail.epa.gov
12 13	
14	On behalf of the Defendants
15	THE KOGAN LAW GROUP, P.C. BY: LAWRENCE A. KOGAN, ESQUIRE
16	100 United Nations Plaza Suite 14F
17	New York, NY 10017 212.644.9240
18	lkogan@koganlawgroup.com and
19	KNOX, McLAUGHLIN, GORNALL & SENNETT, PC BY: NEAL R. DEVLIN, ESQUIRE
20	120 West Tenth Street
	Erie, PA 16501 814.459.2800
21	ndevlin@kmgslaw.com
23 24	

	Page 50
1	culverts that were perhaps part of the source
2	of a problem with the property flooding during
3	the enforcement of the restoration plan? (In)
4	other words, what two culverts, do you recall
5	there being any culverts on the Brace farm?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Does this figure depict the
8	same culverts that you know and are familiar
9	with?
10	There are two culverts represented
11	on this figure. One is labeled as "Sharp Road
12	Culvert Crossing," the other as "Lane Road
13	Culvert Crossing." And to the best of my
14	recollection, there are culverts located at
15	both of those.
16	Q. And that Lane Road culvert
17	crossing connects which two tracts, the water
18	flow from which two tracts?
19	MS. BROWN: Objection. Form.
20	MR. KOGAN: Well, based upon
21	his description and his identification
22	of where the Murphy tract is and where
23	the Homestead and Marsh tracts are, can
24	you describe how that culvert operates?

Case 1:90-cv-00229-SPB Document 216-11 Filed 04/17/18 Page 4 of 27 JEFFREY LAPP

```
Page 51
                      THE WITNESS: I would describe
 1
 2
              that culvert as being located under
              Lane Road, and it is Elk Creek flowing
              from the Murphy tract to bisect both
 4
              the Homestead and the Marsh tract.
 5
 6
       BY MR. KOGAN:
 7
                     Would you say based upon that
              0.
       function, it served a vital role of moving
8
9
       water (from the Murphy tract to either one or
10
       both of the Marsh and Homestead tracts?
                     I'm not sure. I could not
11
       (attest) (as) (to) (the) (role, (but) (it) (is) (certainly)
12
       part of the watershed of Elk Creek.
13
14
                     (If) that culvert were in any way
              0.
15
       obstructed, clogged with foreign matter, would
16
       (that affect) (the flow of water from the Murphy)
17
       tract (to either or both the Marsh and
       Homestead tracts?
18
19
                      MS. BROWN: Objection. Calls
20
              for speculation. You can answer.
21
                     THE WITNESS: Would a clogged
22
              culvert affect flow? Yes.
2.3
       BY MR. KOGAN:
                     Would a clogged culvert
2.4
              Q.
```

JEFFREY LAPP

```
Page 52
       effectuating flow to two parcels from a single
 1
 2
       parcel, would that (-- if that flow were
 3
       (impeded) (in) (any) (way, could) (that) (result) (in)
4
       flooding?
5
              A .
                     I don't know.
 6
                     (Is) there another culvert
              Q.
7
       depicted on this Defendants' Exhibit 2?
8
              A .
                     Yes, I believe I indicated
9
       there was also one labeled a Sharp Road
10
       culvert crossing.
11
                     (And the Sharp Road culvert)
              O .
12
       crossing, can you describe where it's located
       relative to the tract and the farm?
13
14
                     (It) (is) (located) (on) (Sharp) (Road,)
              A .
15
       [I'm] basing this off of Exhibit D-2, to the
16
       southwest, just southwest of the intersection
17
       with Greenlee Road.
              O. And what tract is that on?
18
19
       What tract borders, is that the Marsh tract
20
       because that is --
21
                      I think it's a border. I'm not
22
       exactly clear.
2.3
                     Well, let's compare Defendants'
              0.
24
       Exhibit 1 (with Defendants' Exhibit 2.)
```

JEFFREY LAPP

Page 56 Defendants D-4? 1 2 Α. Exhibit D-3 is labeled as "SHARP ROAD & LANE ROAD CULVERT PHOTOS," dated 3 July 31, 2015. And then below the photo, 4 5 there is a -- it says, "PHOTO 1. Sharp Road culvert with over 2 feet of concrete and stone 6 7 base along the bottom, which acts like a dam 8 causing water to backup on the Brace 9 Property." [As read.] 10 MS. BROWN: I just want to -just for the record, you're reading 11 12 from D-3. 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. I am 14 reading from the Exhibit D-3. And then 15 it shows an arrow, three arrows 16 pointing at the bottom of the culvert 17 as well as on the sides. And it is a 18 corrugated metal culvert, looks like 19 there's concrete placed on top of it. 20 Under concrete. 21 BY MR. KOGAN: 2.2 0. And that is --23 Α. That is Exhibit D-3. 24 And that is a Sharp Road Q.

```
Page 58
       And then there is a, for lack of a better way
 1
 2
       to describe it, an inset photo with "Half
 3
       submerged drain tile" and "Fully submerged
       drain tile," and yellow arrows pointing to the
 4
       drain tile outlets.
 5
 6
              0.
                      When you were present on the
 7
       Brace site in 1990 and thereafter, do you
 8
       recall seeing these culverts?
                      I do not recall seeing the
 9
              Α.
10
       Sharp Road culvert. And my recollection is
11
       that there was a culvert under Lane Road. The
       condition what it looked like, any of those
12
13
       things, I do not recall.
14
                     Would you recall the condition
              0.
15
       of Lane Road by the culvert anything unusual
16
       or (--)
17
                     Not that I recall. I recall it
              A .
18
       (as) (a) (gravel) (road.)
19
                     Do you recall ever hearing from
              O.
20
       Mr. Brace that these culverts were cloqged
21
       (following) (the completion and implementation of
22
       the restoration plan accompanying the Consent
23
       Decree from 1996?
24
              A .
                     (I) don't) recall specifically
```

```
Page 59
      (about) culverts, no.
 1
2
              0.
                     Mr. Lapp, do you recall that
3
      there are any natural phenomena also that are
4
      present on the Brace farm such as beaver dams?
5
      Were there beaver dams anywhere that you may
6
      recall, along any of the tributaries on the
7
      three Brace farm tracts?
 8
              A .
                     I do not specifically recall
9
      locations of beaver dams. I do recall that
10
      Mr. Brace (had a conversation with Mr. Lutte of
11
      my staff, concerning the removal of beaver
12
      dam.
13
                     So are you saying for the
              Q.
14
       record that you do not recall a discussion and
15
       correspondence between yourself, Mr. Brace and
16
       Mr. Lutte or any other official concerning
17
       beaver dams located on or around Elk Creek or
18
       on or along named tributaries identified on
19
       this map?
20
                     MS. BROWN: Objection.
21
              Mischaracterizing his testimony. You
2.2
              said --
2.3
                     MR. KOGAN: No.
                                       I'll rephrase
24
              the question. It was a compound
```

Case 1:90-cv-00229-SPB Document 216-11 Filed 04/17/18 Page 9 of 27 JEFFREY LAPP

```
Page 60
               question, so I'll keep it simple.
 1
 2
                      MS. BROWN: Okay.
                      MR. KOGAN: I have complicated
 3
               thoughts in my mind, I apologize.
 4
 5
              Because this is a very complicated case
              for the record.
 6
 7
       BY MR. KOGAN:
 8
              0.
                      Do you recall, Mr. Lapp, that
9
       there were beaver dams on the Brace farm in
10
       (any one or more of the tributaries (flowing)
11
       through it?
                      (My recollection, which I)
12
              A .
       believe I stated earlier, is that there was
13
14
       concern about beaver dams. (I) don't recall
15
       having (a) (specific) (conversation) (with) (Mr.) (Brace)
16
       about the location of those, but my
17
       recollection (is that Mr. Lutte went out and
18
       (actually) (talked) (to) (Mr.) (Brace) (about) (those.)
                      Do you recall what Mr. Brace
19
              O.
20
       was describing as a problem caused by beaver
21
       dams or did he describe a problem that beaver
22
       dams caused to his farm?
23
              A .
                      My understanding is that he was
24
       describing beaver dams constructed in one of
```

```
Page 63
       did you have a role in designing and drafting?
 1
 2
       That was compound, the question.
 3
               A .
                       (I) (was) (involved) (in) (the)
 4
       development of the restoration plan that was
 5
       (attached (as) part) (of the Consent (Decree, and
 6
       (that) (would) (have) (been) (in) (concert) (with) (counsel)
 7
       (and others.)
 8
               0.
                      And how were you involved in
9
       (its) (design) (and) (development?) (What) (specific) (--)
10
       (is) there (a) specific portion (of the Consent)
11
       Decree for which you had responsibility or was
12
       it the entire restoration plan of the Consent
       Decree?
13
14
                       The restoration plan, as I was
               A .
15
       (the) (technical) (person) (who) (crafted) (that.)
16
               0.
                       So you designed it from soup to
17
       nuts, A to Z from the beginning?
18
               A .
                      I mean, in concert as I had
19
       (stated) before with (counsel) (as) well (as) other
20
       (technical) folks who were part of the team.
21
               Q.
                       Did you use any sources of
22
       information to design and develop that
23
       restoration plan?
24
               A .
                       We would have used, I used the
```

Page 66 where this document came from? 1 MR. KOGAN: I believe it came 2 from Mr. Brace. 4 MS. BROWN: Okay. That's all 5 I'm asking is if it was something that 6 we produced. 7 BY MR. KOGAN: 8 I don't think he's had the 9 typed one. The restoration is the third page, I think. There's this document also. 10 11 reason I introduced these documents into 12 evidence, again, is for the purposes of 13 clarifying the record. 14 You had said, if I may have you 15 recall your previous statement, that you did 16 use outside sources in addition to your own 17 observations and thoughts to develop the 18 restoration plan that accompanied the 1996 19 Consent Decree. Is that a correct statement? 20 [I] (said) (that) [I] (believe) (my) (--) (if) 21 I) (recall) (correctly, (is) (that) (I) (did) (this) (in) 22 concert with counsel as well as other 23 technical (folks) within the office who would be 24 familiar.

Page 73 You're stipulating that it's 1 0. 2 approximately 30 acres? 3 MS. BROWN: Counsel, I think he 4 just said -- that's what he just said. 5 MR. KOGAN: I just want to make sure that we're all on board. 6 7 BY MR. KOGAN: 8 Approximately 30 acres. Was it 9 possible at that time to -- for the EPA to 10 have more accurately measured that area 11 subject to the restoration plan? Instead of saying approximately 30 acres, couldn't it 12 13 have been 30.1 or 30.2 acres to be more 14 precise? Was there a survey taken -- to the 15 best of your knowledge and recollection, was 16 there ever a survey taken of the wetland area 17 subject to the restoration plan? 18 A . No, to the best of my 19 knowledge, there was not a survey. 20 So if there was not a survey 0. 21 with accurate metes and bounds taken of the 22 30-acre portion of the Murphy tract, how did 23 you, as an expert, know where it began and 24 ended?

	Page 74
1	MS. BROWN: I'm going to object
2	to the characterization. You can
3	testify to your own personal knowledge.
4	We haven't proffered him as an expert
5	in any portion of this case.
6	MR. KOGAN: If he wrote the
7	restoration plan, if he designed and
8	developed the restoration plan for an
9	approximately 30-acre portion of a
10	50-acre parcel known as the Murphy
11	tract, then he must know where his area
12	begins and ends.
13	MS. BROWN: I'm allowing him to
14	answer the question. My objection is
15	to the characterization of him as an
16	expert in your question.
17	MR. KOGAN: I'll rephrase the
18	question.
19	BY MR. KOGAN:
20	Q. Do you know exactly where it
21	begins and ends, the metes and bounds of this
22	area?
23	The approximate (30-acre) (area)
24	was, to the best of my knowledge, I was not

```
Page 75
      the entity who did this, who undertook the
1
2
      (actual metes and bounds, but it was done
3
      through the analysis of the wetland upland
4
      boundary (in concert) with (aerial photo)
5
      (interpretation which would have been done by
      (an) expert (and (is) fairly (accurate.)
6
7
              Q.
                     So is it fair to say it was an
8
      extrapolation of an extrapolation?
9
                     MS. BROWN: Objection. Form.
10
                     THE WITNESS: No, I don't
11
              believe so.
12
      BY MR. KOGAN:
13
              Q. Let me make it more simple.
14
      You are looking at Defendants' Exhibit D-1
15
      which is colored to show the area of the
16
      approximately,
17
      the 30 -- approximately the 30-acre area
18
      relative to the other portions of the Murphy
19
      tract. Is that an accurate statement?
                                                What
20
      color is the crosshatch?
21
              Α.
                    I believe I indicated earlier
22
      it's red.
23
                     Okay. Now, what color is the
              Ο.
24
      area around the crosshatch?
```

Page 77 7. Α. 1 2 0. There's a map, Attachment A, which is the subject of both the restoration 4 plan and Consent Decree. Now, that map is 5 less -- is that map as accurately depicted -does this map as accurately depict the 6 7 approximate 30-acre wetland area of Murphy 8 tract as does the image that is known as 9 Defendant Exhibit 1? 10 MS. BROWN: If I could just 11 lodge an objection to the foundation. If you could lay some foundation of 12 13 whether he knows how this was created, 14 the second one was created. 15 BY MR. KOGAN: 16 0. Do you know how either of these 17 maps were created? Let's go back to the first 18 map attached to the Consent Decree and 19 restoration plan. Did you create this map? 20 No, I do not believe I created Α. 21 that map. 22 0. Did you approve of the map once 23 it was created? 24 The underlying map to the Α.

```
Page 78
       restoration plan I did not create, nor was I
 1
 2
       part of that. I believe that was created by
 3
       the investigator at the site.
                      Okay. Now, as the author and
 4
               Q.
 5
       designer of the restoration plan, wouldn't it
       have been your responsibility to have
 6
 7
       correlated the instructions in the restoration
 8
       plan with the map depicting the area subject
 9
       to the restoration plan?
                       I'm not sure I understand.
10
               Α.
11
                      Okay. I'll rephrase the
               Q.
12
       question.
                      (If) you did not create
13
14
       Attachment (A) depicting (a) map (of the area)
15
       that's (--) (approximately) (30-acre) (area) (subject)
16
       to the restoration plan but you did create the
       restoration plan which must be applied to the
17
18
       (area) depicted (in) (the) (map, ) (how) (did) (you) (know)
19
       that (it) was accurate? (How) did) you know that
20
       (it) correlated to your plan?
21
               A .
                      (And) (if) (you) (note) (on) (Attachment)
22
       A, it (indicates) that (all) (locations) (are)
       approximate and the intent of the restoration
23
24
       plan was to undue those activities which
```

Page 101 one in Exhibits -- Defendants' Exhibits D-2, 1 2 D-3 and also D-4, you were aware of those culverts? You just discussed earlier that 4 they were present on the Brace farm at the time you were there in 1990. You were not 5 6 intimately familiar as I recall you saying, 7 but you were generally familiar that there 8 were culverts present? 9 MS. BROWN: Objection. 10 Mischaracterizes his testimony. 11 BY MR. KOGAN: 12 Q. Then can you characterize what 13 you said earlier? 14 I believe that my prior testimony 15 was that I recalled that there was a culvert 16 under Lane Road, and I don't recall the 17 condition of it or anything like that. And 18 also I do not recall Sharp Road. (I don't) 19 recall being there at all. 20 Is it possible that other 0. 21 persons within EPA or even the Corps of 22 Engineers were familiar with those culverts 2.3 being engineers? 24 Α. I don't know.

Page 107

not recall being there.

2.

2.2

- Q. Is it fair to say that the restoration plan took into account the Lane culvert crossing, but not the Sharp Road culvert crossing?
- A. The restoration plan took into account those activities that were undertaken and determined to be a violation of Clean Water Act to restore those activities that were affecting the hydrology of the 30-acre system.
- Q. I'll just ask for a simple yes or no. Did the restoration plan take into account the existence of the Lane Road culvert crossing? Yes or no?
 - A. No.
- Q. And based on your -- just on your most recent testimony that you did not know about the existence of the Sharp Road culvert crossing when designing and developing the restoration plan, is it accurate to say that the restoration plan does not all take into account the Sharp Road culvert crossing?
 - A. The Sharp Road culvert crossing

Page 108 1 was not taken into account as part of the 2. design. As I stated earlier, the design of 3 the restoration plan was to remedy those activities undertaken in violation of 4 5 Section 404 to reestablish hydrology in the 30-acre system. 6 7 0. So basically if I can summarize, and you may object, Counsel. The 8 9 restoration plan was drawn up in an office 10 taking into account what evidence and what 11 information which did not include two vital 12 pieces of information, the culverts? 13 MS. BROWN: Objection. Vaque. 14 MR. KOGAN: I'll rephrase, 15 Counsel. You know, I really appreciate 16 this. It's shaping me up. 17 BY MR. KOGAN: 18 0. Of all the information that you 19 took into account, and there must have been a 20 lot of information in developing this 21 restoration plan. Is that an accurate 22 statement, you took into account a lot of 23 information? 24 Α. The information that was taken

Page 112

- Α. One of our concerns is not --1 2 to try to minimize additional environmental 3 insult by remedying the underlying violation. 4 Q. So I guess the point I'm trying to make here, the information I'm trying to 5 get from you, to elicit from you, is, okay, 6 7 you design this restoration plan based on the 8 information you were provided which did not 9 include information about these two culverts 10 and you -- did you expect this plan to operate 11 as designed not taking into account the 12 culverts? 13 Yes, I'm not -- you mentioned Α. 14 the culverts several times, but I'm -- this is 15 all upstream of those culverts. 16 0. I understand that. But, you 17 know, is it accurate to say that when water 18 flows to a certain point and it's obstructed, 19 where does water go? Does it continue to move 20 forward or does it come backward downstream? 21
 - A. If you would obstruct water, it backs up behind that obstruction.
 - Q. That's right. Okay. Now, we know there are two culverts that are within

22

23

24

Page 113 the Brace farm tract. You also testified that 1 2. you were aware of beaver dams also being 3 present on the Brace farm? 4 MS. BROWN: Objection. 5 Mischaracterizes testimony. BY MR. KOGAN: 6 7 0. Did you testify earlier today that you were aware at the time and before the 8 9 time you designed the restoration plan, were 10 you aware that beaver dams were on the Brace 11 farm before and during the development of the 12 restoration plan? No, I don't believe that was my 13 Α. 14 testimony. 15 I'll ask you the question for 16 the first time then. Were you aware of any 17 beaver dams being present on the Brace 18 property before or during the development of 19 the restoration plan? 20 I was aware that -- and this Α. 21 is -- I was personally not aware but I 2.2 remember being or having overheard 23 conversations about beaver dams in the area 24 and activities prior to the actual violation,

JEFFREY LAPP Page 114 1 but not as part of the restoration plan. 2. Did you have communications 0. 3 with Mr. Brace or did your colleague Mr. Lutte have conversations with Mr. Brace to the best 4 5 of your recollection about beaver dams? As I testified earlier, that 6 Α. 7 was 20 years after the installation of the 8 restoration plan. 9 0. So it was after the installation of the restoration plan? 10 11 Α. Significantly, yes. 12 0. Did you ever have any 13 communications with any state officials from 14 Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, the 15 Pennsylvania Game Commission or the 16 Pennsylvania Department of Environmental 17 Protection, did you have any communication 18 with any of those agencies about beaver dams 19 prior to the -- during the restoration plan's 20 development? 21 Α. No. 2.2 So to sum up, the restoration

to beaver dams on the Brace farm and their

plan was developed and designed without regard

23

24

	Page 115
1	impacts on water flow. Is that an accurate
2	statement?
3	A. As I stated earlier, the
4	purpose of the restoration plan was to
5	reestablish the hydrology that was impacted by
6	the discharges regulated under Section 404 of
7	the Clean Water Act.
8	Q. I'll rephrase it again. Are
9	you saying that the restoration plan as
10	designed and developed did not take into
11	account the instances of beaver dams on the
12	Brace property?
13	A. Correct.
1 4	Q. And you previously testified
15	that the restoration plan as designed and
16	developed did not also take into account the
17	existence of two culverts. Correct?
18	MS. BROWN: Counsel, asked and
19	answered.
20	BY MR. KOGAN:
21	Q. Let me repeat the question.
22	Will you answer my question, sir?
23	MS. BROWN: You can answer.
2 4	It's been asked and answered.

Page 125 The first line is restore all Α. 1 2 wetland disturbance since October 1984. 3 Q. When it refers -- disturbed since 1984 refers to those dredge and fill 4 5 activities you just described that the restoration plan seeks to undo? 6 7 Approximately, yes. I mean, 8 it's not the same verbiage. 9 Q. No, of course, but it's the 10 same concept? 11 Α. In essence, yes. 12 Q. Did you testify to as much in 13 the court of claims back in 2005 that the 14 purpose of the restoration plan was to restore 15 the hydrologic drive back to 1984? 16 Α. That's my recollection. 17 0. That would seem to correlate 18 with what you're telling me today and with 19 what this March 1, 1988, letter from Fish and 20 Wildlife to James Butch of EPA also says? 21 Yes, I believe it also states 22 that somewhere in the Third Circuit opinion as 2.3 well. 24 I'm glad we got that clear. Q.

Page 170 caveating or including in the farming 1 2. activities, but as I stated earlier, on the 3 Murphy tract, those areas outside the 30 acres, at least from 404 perspective, is 4 5 that Mr. Brace could conduct farming or whatever other activities he wanted to do. 6 7 MR. DEVLIN: It's 12:30. I don't know if there was a plan that we 8 9 were going to break for lunch. 10 really don't care. 11 MS. BROWN: I'd like to break 12 for lunch. 13 14 (A recess was taken from 15 12:24 p.m. to 1:28 p.m.) 16 17 BY MR. KOGAN: 18 0. Hope everybody had a good 19 lunch. Thank you for returning. 20 Mr. Lapp, if I could trigger 21 your recall just a moment, you had said that, 22 as I recall, that the approximate 30-acre 23 wetland portion of the Murphy tract had been 24 delineated back in 1990 when you had done your

Page 171 1 fieldwork on the Murphy tract. Is that correct? 2. Α. My understanding is that based 3 upon that field visit and maybe prior information or subsequent information, I'm not 4 5 sure, but then that Mr. Rhodes provided an expert report as to the metes and bounds of 6 7 the wetlands on the Murphy tract. 8 To your recollection, do you 0. 9 know if that 30-acre portion was re-delineated 10 thereafter? 11 Α. Not that I am aware of. 12 Q. Now, is a wetland delineation 13 important to a jurisdictional determination in 14 your practice? 15 Α. It is a part of a 16 jurisdictional determination, yes. 17 0. So, because you can have a 18 wetland that's not part of the waters of the 19 US? 20 Right. You can have streams Α. 21 and other aquatic features. 2.2 0. Okay. Now, when a wetland 23 delineation is performed, and given the nature 24 of nature, nature changes over time, does it

	Page 172
1	not?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Soil, vegetation, hydrology can
4	change?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Not necessarily drastically but
7	it can change?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Unless there's a catastrophe.
10	Correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Now, is there a rule of thumb
13	in the practice that every so many years a new
14	wetland delineation must be performed on a
15	given area that's been previously delineated?
16	A. The Army Corps in its permit
17	process gives a five year limit to its
18	approved jurisdictional determinations.
19	Q. Now, is that five-year limit a
20	rule of thumb also? Is it binding, that
21	five-year limit as a matter of law do you know
22	or is it just practice?
23	A. I don't know. I honestly don't
24	know.