

mSMART

Mayo Stratification for Myeloma And Risk-adapted Therapy

Newly Diagnosed Myeloma

mSMART

- Multiple myeloma is increasingly recognized as more than one disease, characterized by marked cytogenetic, molecular, and proliferative heterogeneity.
- The result is widely varied outcome ranging from low to very high risk.
- Treatment is evolving rapidly as more effective agents and combinations become available.
- mSMART (Mayo Stratification for Myeloma And Risk-adapted Therapy) is a consensus opinion that takes into account genetically determined risk status and the various treatment strategies currently available.
- Risk stratification and individualizing treatment options is complex and based not just on the cytogenetic classification presented here, but also on various host factors, disease stage, and a variety of other prognostic factors
- **Therefore we recommend all patients with newly diagnosed myeloma be seen at least once at a referral center with expertise in the disease**

mSMART

- The general approach is presented below (mSMART – off-study). However, **clinical trials must be considered and are preferred** at every level (mSMART – on-study).
- Management decisions are also varied depending on renal function and presence or absence of coexisting amyloidosis.

mSMART 2.0: Classification of Active MM

High-Risk

- FISH^c
 - Del 17p
 - t(14;16)
 - t(14;20)
- GEP
 - High risk signature

Intermediate-Risk^a

- FISH
 - t(4;14)^d
 - 1q gain
- High PC S-phase^f

Standard-Risk^{a,b}

- All others including:
- Trisomies
 - t(11;14)^e
 - t(6;14)

^a Note that a subset of patients with these factors will be classified as high-risk by GEP

^b LDH >ULN and beta-2 M > 5.5 may indicate worse prognosis; ^cTrisomies may ameliorate

^d Prognosis is worse when associated with high beta-2 M and anemia

^e t(11;14) may be associated with plasma cell leukemia; ^f Cut-offs vary

mSMART – Off-Study *Transplant Ineligible*

Standard-Risk

Intermediate-Risk

High-Risk

t(11;14), t(6;14), Trisomies

t(4;14)

Del 17p, t(14;16),
t(14;20)

VRd for ~12 months;
If age ≥75 or frail: Rd ^a

VRd for ~12 months

VRd^c for ~12 months

Rd x 1 year ^{a, b}

Bortezomib-based
maintenance for
minimum of 1 year

Bortezomib-based
maintenance for
minimum of 1 year

^a In patients treated initially with Rd, continuing treatment until progression is an option for patients responding well with low toxicities;

^b Dex is usually discontinued after first year

^c Clinical trials strongly recommended as the first option

mSMART – Off-Study Transplant Eligible

Standard-Risk

Intermediate-Risk

High-Risk

t(11;14), t(6;14), Trisomies

t(4;14)

**Del 17p, t(14;16),
t(14;20)**

4 cycles of VRd

4 cycles of VRd

4 cycles of KRd

Collect Stem Cells ^a

Autologous Stem Cell
Transplant (ASCT);
Consider tandem ASCT

Autologous Stem Cell
Transplant (ASCT);
Consider tandem ASCT

Autologous stem cell
transplant (preferred)

VRd x 4
cycles

Len maintenance for at
least 2 years ^b

Rd until
progression ^c

Bortezomib-based
maintenance for 2
years ^b

Carfilzomib or
Bortezomib-based
maintenance for 2 years ^b

^a If age >65 or > 4 cycles of VRd, consider mobilization with G-CSF plus cytoxan or plerixafor

^b Duration based on tolerance; consider risks and benefits for treatment beyond 2 years

^c Continuing Rd for patients responding to Rd and with low toxicities