Reverse Derivations On Prime Rings

¹Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy, ¹K. Hemavathi

¹Department of mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract- In this paper some results concerning to reverse derivations on prime rings are presented. If R be a prime ring with a non-zero reverse derivation d and S be the ideal of R then R is commutative.

Keywords- Center, Prime ring, Derivation, Reverse derivation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bresar and Vukman [1] have introduced the notion of a reverse derivation. The reverse derivations on semi prime rings have been studied by Samman and Alyamani [2].

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center Z(R) defined as $Z = \{z \in R / [z, R] = 0\}$. We write [x, y] for xy - yx. Recall that a ring R is called prime if aRb=0 implies a = 0 or b = 0. An additive mapping d from R into itself is called a derivation if d(xy)=d(x)y+xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$ and is called a reverse derivation if d(xy)=d(y)x+yd(x) for all $x, y \in R$.

III. MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 1: Let R be a prime ring with a nonzero reverse derivation d and let S be an ideal of R Suppose that $d(xr) \in Z, \forall x \in S, r \in R$ where Z denotes the center of R. Then R is commutative.

PROOF: Given [d(xr), y] = 0, $\forall x \in S$ and $y, r \in R$ i.e., [d(r)x + rd(x), y] = 0 $\Rightarrow [d(r)x + rd(x)] y - y[d(r)x + rd(x)] = 0$ $\Rightarrow d(r)xy + rd(x)y - yd(r)x - yrd(x) = 0$ Adding and subtracting ryd(x), d(r)yx, then we get, $\Rightarrow d(r)xy + rd(x)y - ryd(x) + ryd(x) - yd(r)x + d(r)yx - d(r)yx - yrd(x) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)xy + r[d(x)y - yd(x)] + [ry - yr]d(x) + [d(r)y - yd(r)]x - d(r)yx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [d(r)xy - d(r)yx] + r[d(x)y - yd(x)] + [ry - yr]d(x) + [d(r), y]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[xy - yx] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) + [d(r), y]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, y] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) + [d(r), y]x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, y] + r[d(x), y] + [r, y]d(x) = 0$$

Put y = x in the above equation, then we get,

$$\Rightarrow d(r)[x, x] + r[d(x), x] + [r, x]d(x) = 0$$

By expanding this equation, we conclude that,

$$\Rightarrow r[d(x), x] + [r, x]d(x) = 0$$

By expanding this equation, we conclude that,

$$\Rightarrow r(d(x)x - xrd(x)) + (rx - xr)d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x - rxd(x) + rxd(x) - xrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x - xrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow rd(x)x - xrd(x) = 0$$

(1)
We write zr instead of r in (1) and using this equality, we get,

$$\Rightarrow zrd(x)x = xzrd(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow zxrd(x) - xzrd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [zx - xz]rd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [zx - xz]rd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [zx - xz]rd(x) = 0$$

Since *R* is prime, we have, $[z, x] = 0$ or $d(x) = 0$.
Since *d* is a non zero reverse derivation,

we have, $d(x) \neq 0$. $\Rightarrow [z, x] = 0, \forall z \in R, x \in S$. $\Rightarrow [R, S] = 0$.

Hence R is commutative. This completes the proof of the theorem.

IV. REFERENCES

- 1. M. Bresar and J. Vukman, on some additive mappings in rings with involution, Aequations math., 38(1989), 178-185.
- M. Samman, N. Alyamani, Derivations and reverse derivations in semiprime rings, International Mathematical Forum, 2, No. 39(2007), 1895-1902.