

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

1. Call to Order

2. Agenda:
 - a) January 29th, 2020 Regular Council Meeting Agenda

3. Minutes:

p1-4
p5-6
p7-8
p9-11

 - a) November 20th, 2019 Regular Council Meeting Minutes
 - b) December 20th, 2019 Special Council Meeting
 - c) January 18th, 2020 Regular Council Meeting
 - d) January 18th, 2020 Public Hearing

4. Appointments:

p12-13

 - a) 9:35 a.m. – Jim Woslyng:
 - a) has requested an audience with Council with respect to his current development and the proposed land use bylaw changes
 - b) January 2nd, 2020 email request seeking permission to access the lake to install a seasonal pier

(direction as given at meeting time)

5. Bylaws:

p14-17
p18-19
p20-22
p23-29

 - a) Bylaw 207-2019, a bylaw to remove the park reserve designation from certain lands and to confirm an environmental reserve designation to certain lands. First reading was given to this bylaw on April 24, 2019. A public hearing was held on January 18th, 2020. The Summer Village's Planner Jane Dauphinee will be providing a summary of what she heard at the public hearing. I have attached section 230 of the Municipal Government Act which speaks to public hearings and next steps. *(direction as given at meeting time)*

 - b) Fees and Charges Bylaw – the current Fees and Charges Bylaw 202-18 is here for Council's review and to consider adding a fee for a development permit time extension request. Attached is a listing comparing what other municipalities in Alberta charge for this type of request *(direction as given by Council at meeting time)*

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

6. Business: a) Land Use Bylaw Revisions – further to previous direction of Council, the consultant leading this project will be present to review with Council these proposed revisions. Further discussion at meeting time (*direction as given by Council at meeting time*)

p 30-32

p 33-34

- b) Municipal Law Seminars – each year the legal firms of Reynolds Mirth Richards (RMRF) and Farmer as well as Brownlee LLP host their annual law seminars. This year RMRF will be held on Friday February 7th, 2020 in Edmonton with a registration fee of \$175.00, topics include: Municipal Authority and Reserve Lands, The Recovery of Taxes Related to Lands, Pipelines in your Backyard, Red Tape Reduction Tax Incentives and Other Changes in Assessment and Taxation, FOIP Pitfalls and Best Practices, Policing/Enforcement with CPO's, Bear Pit. Brownlee's seminar will be held on Thursday, February 13th, 2020 in Edmonton with a registration fee of \$180.00, topics include: Harassment Liability for Employers in the #Metoo Era, Council Code of Conduct in Practice, Judicial Reviews: insulating, mitigating, successfully litigating, Environmental Compliance responding to inspections and investigations, year in review recent cases and legislative amendments impacting municipalities.

In the past Council and Administration have attended one or the other, or both of these one day law seminars and we are requesting consideration to attend again (*authorize attendance or accept for information*).

- c) Intermunicipal Development Plans (IDP) – please refer to the December 16th, 2019 letter from Lac Ste. Anne County Manager of Planning and Development Matthew Ferris advising County Council passed a motion at their December 13th, 2019 letter that given the Bill 25 amendment that an IDP not be required with neighbouring municipalities. While this is relief in some aspects and definitely will be a time and money saver for both municipalities, Municipal Planner Jane Dauphinee has suggested Councils still consider this document's

p 35-36

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

worthiness. This will be an important planning tool and will provide the partnering municipalities with an opportunity to apply a consistent land management strategy within the watershed and implement watershed management best practices that support lake health. Attached is an undated letter from the Minister of Municipal Affairs Kaycee Madu updating municipalities on matters including IDP's, ICF's etc. Also attached is the November 26th, 2019 email from ASVA Executive Director Deb Hamilton on these same matters. *(agree to not proceed with /DP at this time, or some other direction as given by Council at meeting time)*

p37-44

p45-46

- d. Alberta Community and Social Services - Family and Community Support Services Funding Agreement between the Province and the Summer Village of South View for the period January 1st, 2020 to December 31st, 2022 (3 years). Annual Provincial contribution to be \$3,508.00, Municipal contribution to be \$877.00 for a total of \$4,385.00. This agreement and the funding is unchanged from previous years *(approve agreement and ratify execution)*

p47-49

p50

- e. Northern Gateway Public Schools - January 15th, 2020 invite letter to the Alberta Rural Education Symposium scheduled for March 1-3 at West Edmonton Mall. Our Summer Village has not sent a rep in the past, and perhaps this is something better for representation from the SVLSACE on our behalf. I do know that both Lac Ste. Anne County and the Town of Onoway have, and are, sending reps. This is the conference that then Chair Judy Muir thanked municipalities for their attendance at the regional municipalities meeting held last spring in Onoway. She stated NG had the most municipal rep attendance of any school division there. *(accept for information or authorize attendance)*

p51-54

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

p 55-56

- f. Director of Emergency Management (DEM) training course scheduled for February 28th, 2020 hosted by Yellowhead County in Edson. Councillor Ward asked for this to be on the agenda (*authorize attendance or accept for information*)

p 57-60

p 61

- g. Boat Launch Inspection and Maintenance Policy A-TRA-BOAT-1 & Boat Launch Sign – please see attached proposed new policy for Council’s consideration as well as the new boat launch sign. These are both required to be in place in accordance with our current insurance provider (*approve policy as is or with amendments, or some other direction as given by Council at meeting time*)

- h. 2020 Draft Operating and Capital Budget – a draft 2020 Operating and Capital budget will be presented and reviewed in detail at meeting time. (*discussion and direction as given at meeting time*)

i.

j.

k.

7. Financial

- a) Income and Expense Statement – as of December 31, 2019

**SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
AGENDA**

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

8. Council Reports

- a) Mayor Benford
- b) Deputy Mayor Johnson
- c) Councillor Ward

9. Chief Administrator's Report

- SVLSACE – new Emergency Management Partnership Agreement (with only 11 SV's)
- Onoway Regional Fire Services Update
- MSI Grant Allocations report
- Regional wastewater line update
- ICF update

p62

10. Information and Correspondence

- p63-64 a) Development Permit 19-17: construction of a home at 151 Oscar Wikstrom Drive
- p65-66 b) Alberta Municipal Affairs – December 4th, 2019 letter on Municipal Sustainability Funding
- p67-68 c) Alberta Invasive Plants publication
- p69-70 d) SPRINK – Smart Fire Protection for Community information (I believe Peter Pellatt may be at your Feb. SVLSACE meeting)
- p71-72 e) Police Funding Model – Joint Media Release
- p73-76 f) Police Funding Model – December 19th, 2019 email from AUMA President Barry Morishita
- g)

11. Closed Meeting Session (n/a)

12. Next meeting:

13. Adjournment

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting at the Onoway Civic Centre, held on
Wednesday, January 29th, 2020 commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Upcoming Meetings:

- Feb. 29, 2020 Summer Villages of Lac Ste. Anne County East (SVLSACE) meeting

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2019
TOWN OF ONOWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

PRESENT: Council: Mayor Sandi Benford
Deputy Mayor Brian Johnson
Councillor Garth Ward

Administration: Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer
Heather Luhtala, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer

Appointments: None

Public at Large: 1

	MOTION #	
1.	CALL TO ORDER	Mayor Benford called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m.
2.	AGENDA 167-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that the November 20, 2019 Agenda be approved with the following correction: Under Business 6a) change "is" to "was" CARRIED
3.	MINUTES 168-19	MOVED by Deputy Mayor Johnson that the minutes of the October 23, 2019 Regular Council Meeting be approved as presented. CARRIED
4.	APPOINTMENTS	n/a
5.	BYLAWS	n/a
6.	BUSINESS 169-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that a public hearing with respect to Bylaw 207-2019 being a bylaw to remove the park reserve designation from certain lands and to confirm an environmental reserve designation to certain lands being Lot P, Block 1, Plan 2647KS be scheduled for Saturday, January 18, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. at the Interlake Golden Age Club in Darwell, Alberta. CARRIED

①

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2019
TOWN OF ONOWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

	176-19	<p>MOVED by Councillor Ward that Council accept for information the verbal and written Chief Administrative Officer's reports as presented.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
10.	INFORMATION AND CORRESPONDENCE 177-19	<p>MOVED by Deputy Mayor Johnson that the following information and correspondence be accepted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Development Permit 19-16: demolition of home at 151 Oscar Wikstrom Drive b) Community Peace Officer Reports for October 2019 c) Government of Alberta Direct Deposit on November 1st, 2019 of \$536.00 for remaining fourth quarter FCSS funds d) Fortis Alberta – October 31st, 2019 letter on annexation update e) Municipal Climate Change Action Centre – letter received October 31st, 2019 on celebrating ten years of real savings on real change for municipalities f) Alberta Beach - letter on organizational meeting results <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
11.	CLOSED MEETING	n/a
12.	NEXT MEETING 178-19 179-19	<p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that the next Regular Council meeting be scheduled for Wednesday, January 29, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>The meeting recessed at 10:25 a.m.</p> <p>The meeting reconvened at 10:30 a.m.</p> <p>MOVED by Councillor Ward that the proposed land use bylaw amendment discussions with Development Officer, Diane Burnick and Consultant, Dwight Moskalyk, be accepted for information AND THAT this item be placed back on the January 29, 2020 meeting agenda for further discussion.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
13.	ADJOURNMENT	The meeting adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

③

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2019
TOWN OF ONOWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

UNAPPROVED

4

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2019
TOWN OF ONOWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

PRESENT:

Council: Mayor Sandi Benford
 Deputy Mayor Brian Johnson..... Via Teleconference
 Councillor Garth Ward

Administration: Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer
 Heather Luhtala, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer

Public at Large: 0

	MOTION #	
1.	CALL TO ORDER	Mayor Benford called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
2.	AGENDA 180-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that the December 20, 2019 Agenda be approved as presented. CARRIED
3.	BUSINESS 181-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that the Summer Village of South View approve the Ste. Anne Summer Villages Regional Emergency Management Partnership Agreement and authorize execution of the document. CARRIED
3.	BYLAWS 182-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that Bylaw 213-2019 being a Bylaw to establish a regional emergency advisory committee and a regional emergency management agency to provide for emergency management for the Summer Village of South View and the following Summer Village partners: Silver Sands, Nakamun Park, Yellowstone, Ross Haven, West Cove, Sunrise Beach, Sunset Point, Val Quentin, Sandy Beach and Birch Cove, be given 1 st reading. CARRIED
	183-19	MOVED by Deputy Mayor Johnson that Bylaw 213-2019 be given second reading. CARRIED
	184-19	MOVED by Mayor Benford that Bylaw 213-2019 be considered for third reading. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5)

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VEW
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2019
TOWN OF ONOWAY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

	185-19	MOVED by Councillor Ward that Bylaw 213-2019 be given third and final reading. <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
5.	ADJOURNMENT	The meeting adjourned at 9:10 a.m.

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

UNAPPROVED

⑥

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
SATURDAY, JANUARY 18, 2020
INTERLAKE GOLDEN AGE CLUB, DARWELL, ALBERTA

PRESENT:

Council: Mayor Sandi Benford
Deputy Mayor Brian Johnson

Administration: Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer
Heather Luhtala, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer

Delegations: Michelle Gallagher, Patriot Law
Jane Dauphinee, Municipal Planning Services

Public at Large: 18

MOTION #	
1.	CALL TO ORDER Mayor Benford called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.
2.	AGENDA 1-20 MOVED by Deputy Mayor Johnson that the January 18, 2020 Agenda be approved as presented. CARRIED
3.	RECESS The regular meeting recessed at 10:01 a.m. to go into the Public Hearing for Bylaw 207-2019, which is a Bylaw requesting the Registrar of Land Titles to remove the Park Reserve designation of one parcel of land so as to allow for the disposition of the parcel and confirmation of the location of the Environmental Reserve. RECONVENE The regular meeting reconvened at 11:10 a.m.
4.	BYLAWS 2-20 MOVED by Mayor Benford that further discussion and consideration of bylaw 207-2019, a bylaw with respect to requesting the Registrar of Land Titles to remove the Park Reserve designation of one parcel of land so as to allow for the disposition of the parcel and confirmation of the location of the Environmental Reserve be deferred to the regular Council meeting scheduled for Wednesday, January 29, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. at the Onoway Civic Centre Council Chambers. CARRIED
5.	ADJOURNMENT The meeting adjourned at 11:11 a.m.

⑦

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
SATURDAY, JANUARY 18, 2020
INTERLAKE GOLDEN AGE CLUB, DARWELL, ALBERTA

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

UNAPPROVED

4

Summer Village of South View Public Hearing

Hearing with Respect to Bylaw 207-2019
Held on Saturday, January 18, 2020 at the Interlake Golden Age Club
Darwell, Alberta

PRESENT	Sandi Benford Mayor & Meeting Chair Brian Johnson Deputy Mayor Wendy Wildman Chief Administrative Officer Heather Luhtala Recording Secretary Michelle Gallagher Patriot Law Jane Dauphinee Municipal Planning Services
ALSO PRESENT	18 members of the public
1. CALL TO ORDER	Chairman Benford called the Public Hearing to order at 10:02 a.m.
2. INTRODUCTIONS	Sandi Benford Mayor & Meeting Chair Brian Johnson Deputy Mayor Wendy Wildman Chief Administrative Officer Heather Luhtala Recording Secretary Michelle Gallagher Patriot Law Jane Dauphinee Municipal Planning Services
3. PUBLIC HEARING	<p>The purpose of this public hearing is for the Council of the Summer Village of South View to hear testimony and take action relating to the proposed Bylaw 207-2019 which is a Bylaw requesting the Registrar of Land Titles to remove the Park Reserve designation of one parcel of land so as to allow for the disposition of the parcel and confirmation of the location of the Environmental Reserve.</p> <p>The Reserve is that land legally described as Lot P (Park Reserve), Block 1, Plan 2647 KS, which is adjacent to Isle Lake. This parcel is located south of Oscar Wikstrom Drive and east of 102 Street in the Summer Village of South View.</p> <p>The Municipality has, following lengthy investigation, determined that there may have been errors made in the boundaries of Lot P at the time of registration of the subdivision that created Lot P, such that certain lots adjacent to Lot P did not extend to the shoreline of Lake Isle, as intended. These errors resulted in the adjacent landowners constructing improvements that encroach onto Lot P and the Municipality has also levied and collected property taxes in a manner that reflects the mistaken belief that the boundaries of the certain lots extended to the shoreline of Lake Isle.</p>

Summer Village of Silver Sands Public Hearing

Hearing with Respect to Bylaw 207-2019
Held on Saturday, January 18, 2020
Interlake Golden Age Club, Darwell, Alberta

	And whereas the Municipality has determined that the result of their investigations indicate "an omission, error or other defect in the certificate of title" for Lot P, and "an encroachment problem and other concerns" with respect to Lot P, within the meaning of section 676(1)(d) of the Municipal Government Act.
4. STAFF PRESENTATIONS	Michelle Gallagher Patriot Law Jane Dauphinee Municipal Planning Services
5. PUBLIC TESTIMONY AND COMMENT	<p><u>Written Submissions</u> Peter & Lynnda Abrams</p> <p><u>Written Request for Oral Presentation</u> Jim Woslyng</p> <p><u>Oral Presentations/Comments from those persons signed up on the Sign-In Sheet)</u> -Dan Slemko -Joe Napora -Phil Baril -Scott McKenzie</p> <p><u>Oral Presentations/Comments from any other persons</u> -Gail Slemko -Valerie McKenzie -Patty Napora -Vicky Baril -Rita John</p>
6. QUESTIONS & ANSWERS (Council Members)	No Council members had any further questions.
7. COUNCIL DISCUSSION	No further discussion took place.
8. ADJOURNMENT	Chair Benford declared the public hearing closed and adjourned the public hearing at 11:09 a.m.

10

**Summer Village of Silver Sands
Public Hearing**

Hearing with Respect to Bylaw 207-2019
Held on Saturday, January 18, 2020
Interlake Golden Age Club, Darwell, Alberta

Chairman, Sandi Benford

Recording Secretary, Heather Luhtala

UNAPPROVED



----- Original Message -----

Subject: Land Access for Pier Permit

From: James Woslyng <jamwoslyng@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, January 02, 2020 10:11 am

To: Heather Luhtala <administration@wildwillowenterprises.com>

Good morning.


We are seeking permission to access the lake to install a seasonal pier, approximately 50 of west of the 99 Street road allowance, in the summer village of South View . This is the same location we've had a pier for over 25 years.

Please let me know if you require any further information. You can contact me at 780-995-0505.

Thank you.

Jim Woslyng

12



13.

A BYLAW OF THE SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW, IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA, TO REMOVE A PARK RESERVE DESIGNATION FROM CERTAIN LANDS AND TO CONFIRM AN ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVE DESIGNATION TO CERTAIN LANDS.

WHEREAS, the Summer Village of South View ("the Municipality") is the registered owner of the lands legally described as

PLAN
2647KS
BLOCK 1
LOT P (PARK RESERVE)
CONTAINING 1.08 ACRES MORE OR LESS
EXCEPTING THEREOUT ALL MINES AND MINERAL AND
THE RIGHT TO WORK THE SAME AS SET FORTH IN
TRANSFER 7849JV
("Lot P")

AND WHEREAS, the Municipality has noted that the "Park Reserve" designation assigned to Lot P is not a recognized designation of land under the current *Municipal Government Act* ("MGA") and that the designation of Lot P most closely resembles an "Environmental Reserve" designation under the current MGA,

AND WHEREAS, the Municipality has, following lengthy investigation, determined that there may have been errors made in the boundaries of Lot P at the time of registration of the subdivision that created Lot P, such that certain lots adjacent to Lot P did not extend to the shoreline of Lake Isle, as intended. These errors resulted in the adjacent landowners constructing improvements that encroach onto Lot P and the Municipality has also levied and collected property taxes in a manner that reflects the mistaken belief that the boundaries of the certain lots extended to the shoreline of Lake Isle,

AND WHEREAS the Municipality has determined that the result of their investigations indicate "an omission, error or other defect in the certificate of title" for Lot P, and "an encroachment problem and other concerns" with respect to Lot P, within the meaning of section 676(1)(d) of the MGA,

AND WHEREAS, the Municipality may, by Bylaw made in accordance with section 676 of the MGA, change the boundaries of an Environmental Reserve in order to correct an omission, error or other defect in the certificate of title, or to rectify an encroachment problem or other concern,

AND WHEREAS, the Municipality wishes to remove the "Park Reserve" designation from Lot P in order to correct the identified problems and concerns prior to subdivision of Lot P,

AND WHEREAS, the Municipality wishes to add an "Environmental Reserve" designation to a portion of Lot P in conjunction with correcting the identified problems and concerns outlined above;

AND WHEREAS a portion of Lot P is also to be subdivided to assist with correction of the problems noted above, a copy of the intended subdivision plan for which is attached as Schedule "A",

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the Summer Village of South View, in the Province of Alberta, duly assembled, enacts as follows:

1. THAT this Bylaw may be cited as the "REMOVAL OF PARK RESERVE DESIGNATION BYLAW";
2. THAT the Summer Village of South View shall remove the reserve designation from all of Lot P.
3. THAT the Summer Village of South View shall designate Lot 6ER as identified on the intended subdivision plan at Schedule "A" as Environmental Reserve.
4. THAT this Bylaw shall come into force and have effect on the date of the third and final reading.

Read a first time on this 24th day of April, 2019.

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

Read a second time on this _____ day of _____, 2019.

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

15

Read a third and final time on this _____ day of _____, 2019.

Mayor, Sandi Benford


Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

16

SCHEDULE "A" – BYLAW 207-2019



SCHEDULE "A"



- REMOVE PARK RESERVE DESIGNATION AND CONSOLIDATE
- CONFIRM ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVE DESIGNATION

NOTES:
 1. ALL DISTANCES ARE IN METRES AND DECIMALS THEREOF
 2. LOT OWNERS LAST NAME MARKED ON LOTS
 3. AREA OUTLINED THUS AND CONTAINS 0.893 ha.

AREAS

LOT 1: 0.059 ha	LOT P: 0.034 ha	LOT 1A: 0.093 ha
2: 0.033 ha	P: 0.029 ha	2A: 0.082 ha
3: 0.047 ha	P: 0.023 ha	3A: 0.072 ha
4: 0.049 ha	P: 0.028 ha	4A: 0.077 ha
5: 0.048 ha	P: 0.046 ha	5A: 0.084 ha
	P: 0.273 ha	6ER: 0.273 ha
TOTAL		0.693 ha

TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1 TO 5 INCLUSIVE, BLOCK 1, AND LOT P, BLOCK 1, PLAN 2647 KS
 SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW - ALBERTA
 SCALE 1:1000 FEBRUARY 2019

NAVLAND GEOMATICS INC.
 10722 - 181st STREET, EDMONTON, ALBERTA
 PHONE NO. 780-488-1119 FAX NO. 780-483-0240
 FILE NO. 2675/19 2675-TENT-19.DWG

17

and the meeting must be held no later than 30 days after the chief administrative officer declares the petition to be sufficient.

1994 cM-26.1 s229;1995 c24 s27

Public Hearings

When to hold public hearing

230(1) When this or another enactment requires council to hold a public hearing on a proposed bylaw or resolution, the public hearing must be held, unless another enactment specifies otherwise,

- (a) before second reading of the bylaw, or
- (b) before council votes on the resolution.

(2) When this or another enactment requires a public hearing to be held on a proposed bylaw or resolution, council must

- (a) give notice of the public hearing in accordance with section 606, and
- (b) conduct the public hearing during a regular or special council meeting.

(3) A council may by bylaw establish procedures for public hearings.

(4) In the public hearing, council

- (a) must hear any person, group of persons, or person representing them, who claims to be affected by the proposed bylaw or resolution and who has complied with the procedures outlined by the council, and
- (b) may hear any other person who wishes to make representations and whom the council agrees to hear.

(5) After considering the representations made to it about a proposed bylaw or resolution at the public hearing and after considering any other matter it considers appropriate, the council may

- (a) pass the bylaw or resolution,
- (b) make any amendment to the bylaw or resolution it considers necessary and proceed to pass it without further advertisement or hearing, or
- (c) defeat the bylaw or resolution.

18

(6) The minutes of the council meeting during which the public hearing is held must record the public hearing to the extent directed by the council.

RSA 2000 cM-26 s230;2015 c8 s31

Petitions for Vote of the Electors - Advertised Bylaws and Resolutions

Petition for vote on advertised bylaws and resolutions

- 231(1)** Except for a bylaw under section 22 or a bylaw or resolution under Part 17, after a proposed bylaw or resolution that is required to be advertised under this or another enactment has been advertised, the electors may submit a petition for a vote of the electors to determine whether the proposed bylaw or resolution should be passed.
- (2) A separate petition must be filed with respect to each advertised bylaw or resolution even if a council advertises 2 or more bylaws or resolutions in a single advertisement.
- (3) A petition under this section for a vote of the electors on a proposed bylaw required to be advertised by Part 8 is not sufficient unless it is filed with the chief administrative officer within 15 days after the last date on which the proposed bylaw or resolution is advertised.
- (4) A petition under this section for a vote of the electors on a proposed bylaw or resolution required to be advertised by another Part of this Act or another enactment is not sufficient unless it is filed with the chief administrative officer within 60 days after the last date on which the proposed bylaw is advertised.
- (5) If a sufficient petition is received under this section, the council must either
- (a) decide not to proceed with the proposed bylaw or resolution, or
 - (b) decide to proceed with the proposed bylaw or resolution and submit the bylaw or resolution to a vote of the electors within 90 days after the chief administrative officer declares the petition to be sufficient.
- (6) If a vote of the electors approves the proposed bylaw or resolution, the council must proceed to pass it.
- (7) If a vote of the electors does not approve the proposed bylaw, the council must not give the bylaw any further readings and any previous readings are rescinded.

Bylaw

**Municipal Government Act RSA 2000 Chapter M-26
Section 8 Establishing Fees**

**THIS IS A BYLAW OF THE SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW, IN THE PROVINCE
OF ALBERTA, TO BE KNOWN AS THE SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW FEES &
CHARGES BYLAW.**

WHEREAS, in accordance with the *Municipal Government Act*, a municipality has the authority to establish fees and charges for the provision of goods and services;

AND WHEREAS, the Summer Village of South View wishes to establish, in a bylaw, certain fees and charges.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the Summer Village of South View, in the Province of Alberta, duly assembled, enacts as follows:

1. That this Bylaw may be cited as the "FEES and CHARGES BYLAW".
2. That the Summer Village of South View shall charge fees as established in Schedule A, 'The Fee Schedule', attached hereto.
3. THAT this BYLAW shall come into force and have effect on the date of the third and final reading.
4. By-law 199-17 shall be rescinded on the date of final reading of this By-law.

Read a first time on this 13th day of June, 2018.

Read a second time on this 13th day of June, 2018.

Unanimous Consent to proceed to third reading on this 13th day of June, 2018.

Read a third and final time on this 13th day of June, 2018.

Signed this 13th day of June, 2018.

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

Municipal Government Act RSA 2000 Chapter M-26
Section 8 Establishing Fees

SCHEDULE 'A' – Page 1 of 2	
BYLAW #202-18 FEES & CHARGES	
Summer Village of South View	
ADMINISTRATIVE FEES	
REQUEST FOR COPIES OF VILLAGE DOCUMENTS PER REQUEST	\$25
COST OF COPIES PER COPY	\$0.50
SPECIAL SERVICES RATE PER HOUR	\$75
TAX CERTIFICATE	\$25
APPEAL/AMENDMENT FEES	
ASSESSMENT APPEAL FEE (Refundable if applicant is successful)	\$50
DEVELOPMENT APPEAL FEE (Refundable if applicant is successful)	\$200
SUBDIVISION APPEAL FEE	\$200
LAND USE BYLAW AMENDMENT FEE	\$2,000
DEVELOPMENT FEES	
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS - DWELLINGS	\$150
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS - ACCESSORY/ADDITIONS	\$100
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS - SEPTIC, CISTERN, FENCE	\$100
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS - DEMOLITION	\$100
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS - COMMERCIAL	\$100
LETTER OF COMPLIANCE – STANDARD (3-7 DAYS)	\$100
LETTER OF COMPLIANCE – RUSH (1-2 DAYS)	\$200
PLAN CANCELLATION BYLAW (LOT CONSOLIDATION)	\$400
PLAN CANCELLATION BYLAW REGISTRATION	\$35
BUILDING PERMITS	AS PER
ELECTRICAL PERMITS	SAFETY CODES
PLUMBING PERMITS	SERVICE PROVIDER
GAS & HEATING PERMITS	FEES

(21)

Municipal Government Act RSA 2000 Chapter M-26
Section 8 Establishing Fees

SCHEDULE 'A' – Page 2 of 2	
BYLAW #202-18 FEES & CHARGES	
Summer Village of South View	
SUB-DIVISION FEES	
Subdivision fees at application for up to 3 lots, including any remainder	\$700 + \$100 per lot
Subdivision fees at application for 4 or more lots, including any remainder	\$700 + \$250 per lot
Separation of Titles (MGA 652 (4))	\$700
Condominium Plan Consent	\$50 per unit
Extension	\$250
Re-circulation	\$250
Fee at Endorsement **Endorsement fees are charged at time of endorsement for all subdivisions except Separation of Titles	\$100 + (\$150 per lot)
Current Land Title	\$12 (per title)
Air Photo	\$7 (per photo)
RE-DISTRICTING/RE-ZONING FEES	
Re-districting application	\$2,000
Amend Municipal Development Plan	\$2,000
Amend Land Use Bylaw	\$2,000
Amend Provision of a Statutory Plan	\$2,000
Adoption of New Statutory Plan	\$2,000
<i>GST will be charged where applicable.</i>	

Examples of Fees for a Development Permit Time Extension

Municipality	Fee
S.V. of Waiparous	\$ 250.00
S.V. of Ghost Lake	\$ 105.00
Town of Hinton	Half the Application Fee (New Dwelling - 1/2 fee = \$100 / Garages/Additions - 1/2 fee = \$37.50)
City of Fort Saskatchewan	\$ 105.00
City of Spruce Grove	50% of the original processing fee (Single Detached Dwelling - 1/2 fee = \$102.50 / Additions/Accessory Buildings - 1/2 fee = \$40)

23

S.V. of Waiparous

dential Dwelling - Addition
20% gfa or less

Home Based Business \$800 <http://municipalsystems.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Development-Application.pdf> Download Form

Signs \$450 Download Form

Demolition Permit Call Village Office -

Development Appeal \$1,100

Development Permit Time Extension \$250

Certificate of Compliance \$175

Development Permit - Refund Request \$200

Subdivision Application Contact Village Office

Safety Codes Permits

Safety Codes permits, which include building, electrical, plumbing and private sewage are administered by Alberta Municipal Affairs. Following are agencies authorized by Alberta Municipal Affairs to issue permits and provide compliance monitoring at the Summer Village of Waiparous:



Summer Village of Ghost Lake

Home | Administration | Council | Committees and Appointments | Community

Municipal Development Plan | Contacts



Planning & Development

Development permits and subdivision applications are administered by Planning Protocol. The Summer Village planning and development is regulated under the Ghost Lake Land Use Bylaw.

Development / PLANNING Applications	Fees	Forms
Development Permit – Accessory Building (includes detached garage)	\$570 plus deposit \$600	Download Form
Development Permit – Residential Dwelling (New or addition) http://masystems.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Development-Permit-Application.pdf	\$990 plus deposit \$2,000	Download Form
Demolition Permit	\$570 plus deposit \$600	Download Form
Development Appeal	\$990	
Development Permit Time Extension	\$105	
Certificate of Compliance	\$105	
Development Permit – Refund Request	\$105	
Subdivision Application	Contact Village Office	
Land Use Bylaw Amendment		

25



Planning & Development Fees and Charges SCHEDULE "A"

Bylaw #1104-1

Development Permit Fees

Category	Application Type	Fee	
Residential	New Dwelling Development Permit	\$ 200.00	
	Garages or Dwelling Additions	\$ 75.00	
	Other Accessory Structures Requiring Permits:	\$ 50.00	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessory Building/Structures greater than 10.0 metres² and meeting district requirements Fences and Gates greater than 1.0 metre in height in the Front Yard and greater than 2.0 metres in Side and Rear Yards, meeting district requirements Flag Poles and Other Poles greater than 4.5 metres in height Landscaping where the proposed grades will adversely affect the subject or adjacent Parcel Maintenance where such work includes or constitutes structural alterations Retaining walls greater than 1.2 metres in height Satellite Dishes greater than 1.2 metres in diameter directly attached to a roof, side wall or Balcony 		
	<i>Outdoor fire pit, barbecue, fireplace or stove contact Fire Department for Permit.</i>		
	Secondary Suites (Internal & External)	\$ 200.00	
	Development Permit Extension	Half the Application fee	
	Commercial	New Development Permit	\$ 200.00
		plus \$2.00/m ² on total floor area	
		Additions	\$ 75.00
Exterior Renovations		\$ 200.00	
Change of Use		\$ 100.00	
Industrial	New Development Permit	\$ 200.00	
	plus \$2.00/m ² on total floor area		
	Additions	\$ 75.00	
	Exterior Renovations	\$ 200.00	
	Change of Use	\$ 100.00	
Multiple Dwelling Residential District	New Development Permit	\$ 350.00	
Home Based Businesses	Home Occupations	\$ 75.00	
	Live/Work Units	\$ 75.00	
	Bed and Breakfasts	per guest room \$ 100.00	
Relocation/Demolition	All Districts - Principal Building	\$ 250.00	
	Accessory Building (over 30m ²)	\$ 50.00	
Signage	Signs (per sign)	\$ 50.00	
	Billboard Sign	\$ 200.00	
Stripping and Grading	Tree Clearing	\$ 200.00	
	Stripping and Grading	\$ 200.00	
Underground Petroleum Tank	---	\$ 50.00	

26

Fort Saskatchewan

2020 FEE SCHEDULE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT Effective January 1, 2020



DEVELOPMENT FEES (All fees are G.S.T. Exempt unless noted)

RETAINED FOR CANCELLED PERMITS: If no inspections conducted, 50% of permit fee retained or \$55.00 – whichever is greater & no refund of Safety Codes Fee. If inspections conducted, no refund.

COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION PRIOR TO BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE: Twice the regular fee

NEW RESIDENTIAL:

Single Detached Dwelling (includes Garage & Deck)	\$185.00
Semi-Detached (Includes Garage & Deck)	\$185.00 per unit
Lot Grading Program	\$271.30
Stripping and Grading	\$310.00
Show Home Use	\$300.00
Development Permit Time Extension	\$105.00
Variance	\$160.00
Re-Submission of Plans (after Development Permit approval)	\$115.00

EXISTING RESIDENTIAL:

Secondary Suite	\$160.00
Demolition	\$155.00
Raised Deck (Includes Pergola)	\$55.00
Accessory Building (e.g. Shed/Garage)	\$75.00
Addition (not increasing number of dwelling units)	\$130.00
In Ground Swimming Pool	\$105.00
Second Access	\$160.00
Variance (except side yard setback of Principal Building)	\$160.00
Variance for side yard setback of Principal Building	\$105.00 plus \$55.00/percent to \$870.00 maximum
Development Permit Time Extension	\$105.00
Re-Submission of Plans (after approval)	\$115.00

MULTI-FAMILY (more than two units):

Development Permit	\$320.00 + \$130.00/unit
Stripping & Grading	\$310.00
Development Permit Time Extension	\$105.00
Re-Submission of Plans (after Development Permit approval)	\$115.00
Re-Inspection Fee for Securities Release	\$130.00

27

Variances – Non-residential	\$260 as a separate approval; \$130 if processed with associated development permit application
Discretionary use notification fee – Residential	\$80
Discretionary use notification fee – Non-residential	\$130
Sign – Balloon	\$125
Sign – Billboard	\$200
Sign – Digital	\$260
Sign – Permanent	\$160
Sign –Temporary banners	\$55
Sign –Temporary portable signs	\$30 per 30 day period for first 90 days; \$15 per 30 day period thereafter
Extension of development permit approval	50% of original processing fee
SECTION 3. DEVELOPMENT APPEALS	
Appeal of Development Permit Decision	\$130
Appeal of Stop Order	\$275
Appeal of Subdivision	\$275
SECTION 4. COMPLIANCE REQUESTS AND FILE REVIEWS	
Compliance requests	Fees are per unit.
-- Three (3) day processing	\$210
-- Ten (10) day processing	\$130
Zoning verification letter	\$105
File review (searches for environmental assessments, safety codes history, etc.)	\$105 per hour, minimum of two hours
Re-stamp	50% of the applicable fee
SECTION 5. BUILDING PERMITS	
Note: All building permit fees for new structures and additions are subject to a 10% fire inspection surcharge per the Fire Services Bylaw. Fees below do not include Safety Codes Council Fees. For unlicensed contractors or homeowners, all fees are automatically doubled.	
Residential	
Dwelling units (1 to 4 units)	\$5.90 per square meter for all floors including attached garage.
Dwelling units – Construction water	10% of building permit fee
Renovations and additions (1 to 4 units)	\$5.90 per square meter for all floors
Basement Development	\$2.95 per square meter
Mobile homes (new location)	\$103 per unit flat rate
Mobile home additions	\$5.90 per square meter for all floors
Accessory buildings or garages (detached)	\$4.50 per square meter, minimum \$125

28

Postponement/discharge of caveat document execution requiring solicitor review	\$175 + GST
Right-of-way amending agreement or easement amendment	\$250 + GST per affected lot
Capital Region Board Referral Fee	\$500
Show home Agreement	\$1,000 + GST
Telecommunication tower review	\$2,500
SECTION 2. DEVELOPMENT PERMITS	
Single detached, semi-detached and duplex dwellings.	\$205 per unit
Secondary suites	\$175 per unit
Show homes and show home conversions to residential units (on registered lots)	\$205 per unit
Show homes and show home conversions to residential units (on unregistered lots)	\$375 per unit
Multifamily dwellings (three or more units)	\$300 + \$100 per unit
Residential additions, accessory buildings, decks, fences	\$80
Home occupations	\$150
Mobile home	\$205 per unit
Mobile home park & campground Development	\$300 + \$100 unit
Mobile home park accessory structures	Same as residential accessory structure fees.
Mobile Home Park and campground non-residential structures	Same as non-residential accessory structure fees.
Non-residential development (new, additions, alterations and accessory structures)	\$260 + \$1.30 per square meter
New cannabis production facility or cannabis sales development	\$760 + \$1.30 per square meter
Excavation and topsoil stripping (not including processing)	\$300
Topsoil stripping with onsite processing	\$400
Change of occupancy or use – Non-residential	\$257.50
Change of occupancy or use – Cannabis sales or cannabis production facility	\$757.50
Temporary Use – Non-residential	
-- up to 60 days	\$150
-- up to six months	\$200
-- up to 12 months	\$275
Demolition – Single family detached	\$100
Demolition – All other uses	\$200
Variances – Residential (including accessory structures)	\$155 as a separate approval; \$80 if processed with associated development permit application

29

You are invited to the following event:
MUNICIPAL LAW SEMINARS



Event to be held at the following time, date, and location:

Friday, 7 February 2020 from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM (MST)

Delta Hotel Edmonton South
 4404 Gateway Blvd NW
 Edmonton, AB T6H 5C2
 Canada
[View Map](#)

Attend Event

Share this event:



You joined us last year to get insight on timely issues affecting your municipality and our 2020 Municipal Law Seminars are set to provide you with in-depth understanding and insight once again. Follow the links below for topics at each seminar location...

Central Municipal Law Seminar – Edmonton
 Friday, February 7, 2020
[View Topics](#)

Northern Municipal Law Seminar – Grande Prairie
 Friday, February 14, 2020
[View Topics](#)

Southern Municipal Law Seminar – Airdrie
 Friday, February 21, 2020
[View Topics](#)

This invitation was sent to administration@wildwillowenterprises.com by the organizer of this event, [Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer LLP](#).

To stop receiving invitations from this organizer, you can [unsubscribe](#).

Eventbrite, Inc. | 155 5th St, 7th Floor | San Francisco, CA 94103

30

Eventbrite, and certain approved third parties, use functional, analytical and tracking cookies (or similar technologies) to understand your event preferences and provide you with a customized experience. By closing this banner or by continuing to use Eventbrite, you agree. For more information please review our cookie policy. X



Search for events

Browse Events

Create Event

Help



Sign In

35th Annual Central Municipal Law Seminar - Edmonton

Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer LLP
Friday, 7 February 2020 from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM (MST)
Edmonton, AB



Ticket Information

TICKET TYPE	SALES END	PRICE *	FEE	QUANTITY
Reserved Seating	26 Jan 2020	\$175.00	\$12.25	1

* Prices include GST/HST



Order Now

Share 35th Annual Central Municipal Law Seminar - Edmonton

Email Share Tweet Like Sign Up to see what your friends like.

Event Details

Our 35th Annual Central Municipal Law Seminar will run from 8:30am - 3:30pm followed by a casual reception. Doors open at 7:45am and your registration fee includes breakfast, lunch, coffee breaks, and our post-program reception.

Topics at this year's seminar will include:

Plenary Session:

36 Years, Thousands of Miles, and a Wealth of Insight: A Fireside Chat with Sheila McNaughtan

Breakout Sessions:

Having Reservations? Municipal Authority and Reserve Lands

When can a municipality require an applicant for subdivision to provide reserve lands; and once reserve land has been provided to a municipality, what can (and can't) the municipality do with the land? This session will explain the statutory framework set out in the *Municipal Government Act*, including types of reserve land, the circumstances under which reserve land can be required and the mechanisms for implementing the requirement, and restrictions on the use and disposal of reserve lands by municipalities. A municipality's authority to enter into agreements permitting the use of reserve lands, and options for dealing with unauthorized use of reserve lands, will also be discussed.

The Recovery of Taxes Related to Land

Municipalities rely on the collection of property taxes to provide services, make improvements to their infrastructure and meet their financial obligations. The *Municipal Government Act* contains a comprehensive process by which municipalities can take action to collect outstanding taxes. This session will cover not only the statutory requirements applicable to selling property to pay

Save This Event

When & Where



Delta Hotel Edmonton South
4404 Gateway Blvd NW
Edmonton, AB T6H 5C2
Canada
Friday, 7 February 2020 from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM (MST)

Add to my calendar

Organizer

Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer LLP



Contact the Organizer

View organizer profile

7 upcoming events on Eventbrite

11 past events on Eventbrite

31

for outstanding taxes, but also some of the more practical considerations such as determining market value, obtaining vacant possession of the property, dealing with deceased registered owners, and factoring in CRA's frustrating priority.

Pipelines in your Backyard

Municipalities cannot prevent the routing of federal pipelines through their boundaries – and in fact may welcome them! This session will provide information on what you may control and what you can't, and what the benefits and burdens are of having pipelines routed through a municipality. This will help municipalities be better informed so that when a pipeline company comes to stay -- a municipality has a better idea of what to expect.

Red Tape Reduction, Tax Incentives and Other Changes in Municipal Assessment and Taxation

Alberta's newly-elected government has introduced and passed a number of major legislative initiatives that impact municipal property taxes and assessments. This presentation will provide an overview of these new legislative initiatives, including a review of the *Red Tape Reduction Implementation Act*, the *Municipal Government (Property Tax Incentives) Amendment Act*, the *Municipal Government Amendment Act (Machinery and Equipment Tax Incentives)*, new regulations regarding the assessment of cannabis production facilities, and other legislative and regulatory changes that impact municipal assessments and taxation.

FOIP Pitfalls and Best Practices

The *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* imposes difficult and sometimes competing obligations on municipalities to provide transparency in disclosing records, while ensuring they protect personal privacy and confidential information of third parties. This session will provide an overview of the applicable rules and processes to follow when responding to a FOIP request. We will review some common concerns and misconceptions that arise when dealing with sensitive or complex requests, and highlight some of the decisions from the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner that provide guidance on how to comply with these legislative requirements.

Policing/Enforcement with Community Peace Officers

Employer obligations and the responsibilities of CPOs. Jurisdiction and risk. Enforcement in a sensitive world.

Bear Pit

Registrants will have the opportunity to submit legal questions on matters affecting municipalities for discussion by our panel of lawyers.

A block of rooms has been reserved for seminar attendees. To reserve your room please call 780-431-4647 before January 7, 2020.

Can't make this session? See other upcoming Municipal Law Seminars:

Northern Municipal Law Seminar - Grande Prairie

Southern Municipal Law Seminar - Airdrie

Have questions about 35th Annual Central Municipal Law Seminar - Edmonton? Contact Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer LLP

Use Eventbrite for event management and online registration

© 2020 Eventbrite About Terms Privacy Help Centre Report This Event Cookie Policy

Already registered? Get your tickets

Questions? Contact the organizer

32



BROWNLEE LLP
Barristers & Solicitors



EMERGING TRENDS IN MUNICIPAL LAW

Calgary

February 6, 2020
Best Western Premier Calgary
Plaza Hotel & Conference Centre

Edmonton

February 13, 2020
Edmonton Expo Centre

The latest trends to keep building strong communities and adapt to challenging times.

Topics include:

- Harassment Liability for Employers in the #Metoo Era
- Judicial Reviews: Insulating, Mitigating, and Successfully Litigating
- Council Code of Conduct in Practice
- Environmental Compliance: Responding to Inspections and Investigations
- Year in Review: Recent Cases and Legislative Amendments Impacting Municipalities

Registration is \$180 + GST.

Click **HERE** to Register
for **CALGARY** event

Click **HERE** to Register
for **EDMONTON** event

Event is by invitation only.

BrownleeLaw.com

33

An Exclusive Legal Educational Seminar for Municipal Elected Officials & Employees of Municipalities Only.

Topics include:

- Harassment Liability for Employers in the #Metoo Era

- Judicial Reviews: Insulating, Mitigating, Successfully Litigating
- Environmental Compliance: Responding to Inspections and Investigations
- Year in Review: Recent Cases and Legislative Amendments Impacting Municipalities

Registration \$180 + GST per person

Calgary:

Emerging Trends in Municipal Law

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2020

Time: 8:00am-5:00pm

Location: The Best Western Premier Calgary Plaza Hotel

Address: 1316 33 Street NE

→ [Register here: YYC](#)

Edmonton:

Emerging Trends in Municipal Law

Date: Thursday, February 13, 2020

Time: 8:00am-5:00pm

Location: Edmonton Expo Centre

Address: 7515 118 Ave NW

→ [Register Here: YEG](#)

Discounted room rates are available at the Sutton Place Hotel from \$144 a night. Only available until January 29, 2020. Call 1.866.378.8866 or [click here](#) to book.

**Emerging Trends is proud to be going green! We recommend you use the conference materials which will be available online closer to the date. Please note, we will not have any printed materials on-site.*

Event is by Invitation Only.

We hope you can make it!

Cheers,

Brownlee LLP



PRESCILLA THOMPSON | MARKETING ASSISTANT | BROWNLEE LLP

MARKETING

m. 780-497-4800 | d. 780-970-5739 | f. 780-424-3254 | pthompson@brownleelaw.com

2200 COMMERCE PLACE | 10155 - 102 STREET | EDMONTON, AB T5J 4G8

Toll-Free. 800-661-9069 | www.brownleelaw.com

34

Brownlee LLP would like the opportunity to send you invitations and legal news electronically. Please give us your permission by clicking [here](#).

This information transmitted is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential, proprietary and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, distribution or other use of or the taking of any action in reliance upon this information is prohibited. Attachment to this E-mail may contain viruses that could damage your computer system. We do not accept liability for any damage which may result from software viruses. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete or destroy this message and any copies.



December 16th 2019

Summer Village of South View
Box 8, Alberta Beach AB T0E 0A0

Re: Bill 25 Impacts on our Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP)

To Council:

As a direct result of "Bill 25" Lac Ste. Anne County would like to pre-emptively discuss the requirements of having an IDP between our municipalities.

On December 13th 2019, Lac Ste. Anne County Council moved to stop the requirement of any IDP between any of our municipal partners that are in agreement to not require an IDP.

This letter is our formal request to not require an IDP between our municipalities. We will continue to work in mutual collaboration as we always have and are of the opinion that any of the other details can be addressed within the ICF or our existing agreement's.

Please contact the undersigned and inform us if your Council is willing to not proceed with an IDP, or if your Council would like to continue the process.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Matt Ferris".

Matthew Ferris

Manager of Planning and Development

mferris@Lsac.ca

CC:

LSAC Council

County Manager, Mike Primeau

General Manager of Infrastructure & Planning, Greg Edwards

A handwritten number "35" in blue ink, enclosed within a hand-drawn blue circle.

Hello Wendy please find the attached letter regarding our IDP. If you have any questions do not hesitate to ask.

Matthew Ferris | Manager of Planning and Development
Lac Ste. Anne County
Box 219 | Sangudo AB, T0E 2A0
Tel **(780) 785-3411** | Toll Free **1 (866) 880-5722**

This email communication is CONFIDENTIAL AND LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return email and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you.

 **Think about our Environment. Print only if necessary.**

30



ALBERTA
MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

*Office of the Minister
MLA, Edmonton - South West*

AR99186

To Mayors and Reeves,

Since my appointment as Minister of Municipal Affairs last spring, I have had the opportunity to travel to many communities within Alberta, to hear about your priorities and perspectives. I am very grateful for the way in which you have welcomed me into your communities and shared your thoughts with me. I have also had the pleasure of meeting with many of you during the fall conventions of the Alberta Urban Municipalities Association (AUMA) and Rural Municipalities of Alberta (RMA) and, again, I thank you for the gift of your time and wisdom.

One of the consistent messages I have heard over the past several months is concern regarding Intermunicipal Collaborative Frameworks (ICFs) and Intermunicipal Development Plans (IDPs) - both in terms of the challenges you are facing in building these frameworks and plans, and the challenges posed by the legislated deadline for completion of April 1, 2020.

Intermunicipal collaboration is a priority for me, and for the Government of Alberta; all Albertans benefit when our communities collaborate to share services, create efficiencies, and reduce overall costs for their residents. Therefore, my government colleagues and I agree that it is important to maintain the overall requirements for ICFs and IDPs.

We very much appreciate the work that many of you have done to date, but we also recognize that the current legislative requirements are overly complex and onerous. Based on your feedback, I am proposing important changes to the ICF process as well as IDP requirements. These changes will streamline and clarify the process for building ICFs and IDPs, and I believe will make it much easier for all of you to complete the process by April 1, 2020.

.../2

37

Earlier this week, these changes were introduced to the Legislative Assembly as part of Bill 25, the *Red Tape Reduction Implementation Act*. The bill contains various amendments to reduce red tape affecting municipalities, with the most substantive changes focused on streamlining and clarifying the ICF/IDP requirements. In particular, I am proposing the following important changes:

- Simplifying reporting to the province;
- Enabling municipalities to adopt ICFs by resolution (or bylaw), to recognize the way in which many municipalities typically adopt cost-sharing agreements;
- Simplifying the process of developing an ICF, so municipalities can focus on discussing and reaching agreement on how to share services that benefit residents in both municipalities, instead of spending too much time on meeting specific process requirements that overcomplicate their discussions;
- Streamlining and clarifying the arbitration process, to more closely align ICF arbitrations with the standard provisions of the *Arbitration Act*, and to very clearly limit the scope of an arbitrator's authority; and
- Enabling municipalities to be exempted from the requirement to develop an IDP, where both municipalities agree that one is not necessary.

None of the proposed amendments will require municipalities to go back and make changes to already completed ICFs and IDPs. For those requiring further work, the proposed legislative changes will make it easier to get this work done. As you move forward, I would like to take this opportunity to remind you of a few key points in relation to ICFs:

1. The deadline of April 1, 2020 remains in place. I am expecting all municipalities to meet this deadline. I am prepared to consider short-term extensions of the deadline in exceptional circumstances, or where municipalities simply need an additional one to two months to be able to complete the process. However, beyond these exceptions, I do not intend to provide time extensions; I encourage all municipalities to act accordingly in order to avoid arbitration and retain local control of ICF content.
2. ICFs are about the cost sharing of services that benefit residents in more than one municipality. They are not about revenue sharing, and I do not support any attempt to leverage the ICF negotiations in an effort to extract a revenue sharing agreement.
3. I do expect municipalities to negotiate in good faith, and to make decisions based on concrete facts. If municipal residents utilize a service in meaningful numbers and/or account for a meaningful proportion of those service costs, I would expect the municipality to compensate the municipality providing those services accordingly.
4. Municipal Affairs will not be evaluating individual ICFs to determine whether they are "a good deal" or not. As Minister, my interest is that you have conversations with your neighbours about shared services, and reach an agreement that makes sense at the local level.



ALBERTA
MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

Office of the Minister
MLA, Edmonton - South West

-3-

I am optimistic that the legislative changes I am proposing will help ease the way for you to fulfill your legislated obligations to complete ICFs by April 1, 2020. However, the success of these negotiations depends on each of you, and your willingness to engage with your municipal neighbours respectfully and with an openness to reasonable compromise. A locally developed solution is always best, so I encourage all of you to take this opportunity to shape these agreements for yourselves, and for the overall betterment of your regions.

Yours very truly,

Kaycee Madu
Minister

Attachment: Changes to the ICF and IDP requirements

cc: Alberta Urban Municipalities Association
Rural Municipalities of Alberta
Paul Wynnyk, Deputy Minister

39

Summary of Changes to Intermunicipal Collaboration Framework (ICF) and Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP) Requirements, and Q&A Reference

Recently announced changes to ICF and IDP legislation will streamline and simplify the requirements. This summary is intended to provide information about the changes and how the requirements will apply going forward. The information is intended to describe the general nature of the most significant changes, but municipalities should refer to the *Municipal Government Act (MGA)* as amended for complete specifics.

The changes will simplify reporting to the province, allow municipalities to adopt an ICF by council resolution, simplify the ICF content requirements, streamline the *MGA*-prescribed arbitration process that applies when municipalities cannot reach agreement, limit the scope of arbitration to issues of disagreement, and exempt municipalities from the IDP requirement where both municipalities agree.

Comparative Summary of the Changes

Requirement / Process	Previously	Now
Municipal neighbours that must adopt an IDP	<p>An IDP exemption was available to municipalities with boundaries composed of crown land by mutual agreement.</p> <p>Agreement was to be made by council resolution, and copies of the resolutions were to be filed with the Minister.</p>	<p>An IDP exemption is now available to all municipalities by mutual agreement.</p> <p>There is no requirement to file copies of the council resolutions with the Minister.</p> <p>Any municipality can revoke its agreement by written notice, in which case the municipalities are required to adopt an IDP within one year.</p>

40

Summary of Changes to ICF and IDP Requirements

Requirement / Process	Previously	Now
Contents of an ICF	ICFs were previously required to list all services provided by each municipality; identify how each of those services were best provided, delivered, funded, or discontinued; and set time frames for implementation.	<p>The ICF must now describe the services that benefit residents in more than one of the municipalities.</p> <p>The ICF must identify which municipality is responsible for providing these services and how the service will be delivered and funded.</p> <p>Other services that do not benefit residents in more than one of the municipalities do not have to be listed or addressed in the ICF.</p>
Listed services to be addressed in an ICF	ICFs were required to address a specific list of services which included transportation, water and wastewater, solid waste, emergency services, and recreation.	There is now no requirement to address listed services; just the general requirement above to describe services that benefit residents in more than one of the municipalities.
Method of creating an ICF	ICFs were required to be adopted by bylaw.	ICFs can now be adopted by bylaw or resolution.
Relationship of ICF to IDP	An ICF was not complete until an IDP was also adopted.	The completion of an ICF is now independent of the IDP process. An ICF can be completed before an IDP is completed, or in the absence of an IDP.
Filing an ICF and IDP with the Minister	A copy of the ICF and IDP was required to be filed with the Minister.	There is now no requirement to file copies of the ICF or IDP with the Minister. However, the Minister must be notified that the ICF is completed.
Arbitration process for ICFs	<p>The <i>MGA</i> and ICF Regulation previously set out a detailed arbitration process that applied where municipalities are not able to create a framework or where a dispute is not resolved within one year.</p> <p>The <i>Arbitration Act</i> did not apply to these arbitrations.</p>	Arbitration still applies where municipalities are not able to create a framework or where a dispute is not resolved within one year. However, the <i>Arbitration Act</i> now applies to the arbitration, except as modified by the <i>MGA</i> .

41

Summary of Changes to ICF and IDP Requirements

Requirement / Process	Previously	Now
Arbitration process for IDPs	The ICF arbitration process applied to IDPs.	Where municipalities are not able to agree on an IDP by the due date, the Minister will now refer the matter to the Municipal Government Board for recommendations. The Minister may subsequently order the municipalities to establish an IDP in accordance with the Minister's order.
Role of the arbitrator	The arbitrator was required to create the ICF.	The arbitrator is now required to make an award that resolves the issues in dispute. The municipal parties will have the responsibility to create and adopt the ICF in accordance with the arbitrator's award.

Questions and Answers

Why were the requirements for ICFs changed?

- The original ICF content requirements were very prescriptive; the changes simplify the process and allow municipalities to focus on results that will benefit residents and businesses.
- The original ICF rules set out a complete arbitration process, even though the province already has an established process in the *Arbitration Act*. To be consistent and avoid duplication, ICF arbitrations will follow the *Arbitration Act* process except as modified by the *MGA*.

Are the ICF requirements still mandatory for all municipalities?

- Municipalities are still required to complete ICFs.
- It is in the best interest of municipalities across the province to work together to reduce duplication of services and infrastructure by creating ICFs.

42

Summary of Changes to ICF and IDP Requirements

What will happen to ICFs that have been completed, or that are almost completed, using the old rules?

- No new requirements have been added, so ICFs that have been completed following the previous rules will meet the requirements under the new rules.
- Municipalities that are still in the process of negotiating their ICFs should continue on as scheduled, since any results that meet the current requirements will more than meet the new requirements.

Do ICFs address revenue sharing?

- ICFs are only required to address the sharing of costs for services that are intermunicipally delivered or that are provided by one municipality and utilized by the residents of one or more other municipalities.
- ICFs are to be negotiated in good faith based on sharing of costs.
- Municipalities have the autonomy to negotiate revenue sharing agreements on a voluntary basis, but these agreements are not part of the ICF process.

Under the revised requirements, when do we have to complete our ICFs?

- The April 1, 2020 deadline to complete ICFs remains in effect.
- This reflects the priority the Government of Alberta places on intermunicipal cooperation, as a means of ensuring that all Albertans benefit from the efficient delivery of local services.
- Changes to the ICF requirements will streamline the process, which may support earlier completion.
- A one-year extension continues to be available for ICFs between municipal districts and improvement districts; between growth management board members; and between a municipality that is a growth management board member and a municipality within its boundary. This extension is available on the condition that all parties agree by resolution and file copies of the resolutions with the Minister within 90 days of passage.
- The Minister of Municipal Affairs has the authority to authorize additional time extensions; however, the Minister has been very clear that he does not intend to approve extensions except in exceptional circumstances.

43

Summary of Changes to ICF and IDP Requirements

What happens if we can't come to an agreement with our municipal neighbour on our ICF?

- If the ICF is not completed by the required date, the municipalities involved must refer the matter to an arbitrator.
- A list of private sector arbitrators is available at <https://www.alberta.ca/mediator-and-arbitrator-rosters.aspx> . The roster is not a certification of competency or a credentialing process. It is intended to provide municipalities with a list of arbitrators who have relevant training and experience and who have expressed an interest in intermunicipal arbitration.
- The arbitrator has one year to make an award that resolves the issues in dispute.
- The municipal parties are bound by the arbitrator's award, and must adopt an ICF in accordance with the award.

Where can we get more information or resources to assist with the changes?

- For more information,

Phone:	780-427-2225
Toll-free in Alberta:	310-0000
Fax:	780-420-1016
Email:	lgsmail@gov.ab.ca

44

Wendy Wildman

From: svislandlake@wildwillowenterprises.com
Sent: November 26, 2019 11:21 AM
To: Heather Luhtala; Wendy Wildman
Subject: [FWD: Bill 25]

FYI

Charlsie Moskalyk
S.V. of Island Lake
780-967-0271
FAX 780-967-0431

Box 8,
Alberta Beach, AB T0E 0A0
www.islandlake.ca
svislandlake@wildwillowenterprises.com

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Bill 25
From: ASVA Exec Director <summervillages@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, November 26, 2019 10:39 am
To: Association of Summer Villages of Alberta <summervillages@gmail.com>
Cc: Brenda Shewaga <brenda.yellowstone@gmail.com>, Dennis Evans <d.evans@xplornet.com>, Duncan Binder <12028dak@gmail.com>, Gary Burns <g_burns@telus.net>, Gary Burns <gmburns45@gmail.com>, Marlene Walsh <marwal46@yahoo.com>, MIKE PASHAK <mike.pashak@shaw.ca>, Morris Nesdole <mnnesdole@outlook.com>, Pete Langelle <plangell@telus.net>, Peter Pellatt <ppellatt@shaw.ca>, "R.W. (Rob) Dickie" <dickie@nicholsenvironmental.com>, Teresa Beets <tabeets@gmail.com>

Member CAO's

By now I am sure most of you have reviewed Bill 25. Thought I would pass along the link, just in case some of you have not had the opportunity yet, and highlight a few paramount areas. The MGA section starts on page 13 of the document, 26 of the PDF file. Interesting changes.

Of course one of the big ones during the MAP review was that minutes must be drafted "without note or comment" and this requirement will be removed from the MGA (Section 208 (a)(i)).

There is the big change to the clerks of an ARB and SDAB in that they will no longer have to be appointed by council as designated officers.

Another big area are the changes to the IDP requirement (Section 631 (1) and (2) and a few things around ICF's (this document must still be done). While there will be, once this bill is passed, a change to the requirement to have an IDP, (but only if both municipalities agree), the environmental component of an IDP is still something to think about and possibly still worth pursuing (watershed, development setbacks, storm sewer management - basically lake management).

45

There are some other key areas and I encourage you to read the entire MGA section and share with your council's.

Here is the link:

https://www.assembly.ab.ca/ISYS/LADDAR_files/docs/bills/bill/legislature_30/session_1/20190521_bill-025.pdf

Regards,

Deb Hamilton

Executive Director

Association of Summer Villages of Alberta

780-236-5456

execdirector@asva.ca

www.asva.ca

46



AWAITING MINISTRY SIGNATURE

**Family and Community Support
Services
Funding Agreement**

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN in RIGHT OF ALBERTA
as represented by the Minister of Community and Social Services
("the Minister")

AND

S.V. OF SOUTH VIEW, whose address is
Box 8
Alberta Beach, Alberta T0E 0A0
("the Municipality")

The Minister and Municipality agree as follows:

1. This Agreement shall be effective from January 1, 2020 until December 31, 2022 subject to early termination in accordance with Clause 12 of this Agreement ("Term").
2. Subject to appropriation by the Legislature of Alberta, termination of this Agreement, and clause 5 of this Agreement, the Minister shall pay the Municipality a provincial contribution of up to \$10,524 to establish, administer, and operate the program referred to in clause 8 of this Agreement ("Funding").
3. (a) The maximum Funding set out in clause 2 will be allocated as follows ("Annual Funding Amounts"):
 - (i) \$3,508 for the first year of the Term (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020);
 - (ii) an anticipated amount of \$3,508 for the second year of the Term (January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021);
 - (iii) an anticipated amount of \$3,508 for the third year of the Term (January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022).(b) All Annual Funding Amounts set out in clause 3(a) may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the Minister as set out in clause 5.
4. The Minister shall pay the Municipality an advance of one-fourth (1/4) of the Annual Funding Amount payable for each year of the Term in January, April, July and October of that respective year.
5. Notwithstanding clauses 2, 3, and 4 of this Agreement, the total amount of Funding, the Annual Funding Amounts, or any scheduled payment of Funding during the Term may be adjusted (including an increase or decrease) in the sole discretion of the Minister.
6. If the total amount of Funding, the Annual Funding Amounts, or any scheduled payment of Funding is reduced pursuant to clause 5:
 - (a) The Minister shall provide the Municipality ninety (90) days' written notice of any proposed reduction.
 - (b) Upon receipt of the Minister's notice to reduce funding, the Annual Funding Amounts, or scheduled payments of Funding, the Municipality shall have thirty (30) days to either accept the reduction or terminate this Agreement. The Municipality's decision shall be communicated to the Minister in writing.
 - (c) If the Municipality chooses to terminate this Agreement, termination shall be effective thirty (30) days after the date of the notice of termination by the Municipality.

47

7. If the Minister determines there is unexpended Funding ("Surplus") during the Term or at any time following the expiry or termination of this Agreement, the Minister, in the Minister's sole discretion, may:
- demand repayment of all or part of the Surplus by the Municipality to the Government of Alberta within ninety (90) days of the demand or the expiry or termination of this Agreement;
 - adjust the total amount of Funding by withholding payment of any portion of Funding equal to the Surplus amount, or by setting-off the Surplus amount against any future scheduled payments of Funding in the Term;
 - authorize the Municipality to retain the Surplus and redistribute the Surplus amount across the remaining year(s) of the Term; or
 - apply the Surplus to any payment made by the Minister pursuant to a further grant agreement with the Municipality for the same or similar purpose.
8. The Municipality shall:
- provide for the establishment, administration, and operation of a Family and Community Support Services Program (the "Program") in accordance with the *Family and Community Support Services Act* ("Act") and Family and Community Support Services Regulation ("Regulation").
 - use the total anticipated Funding of \$13,155 including a required municipal contribution of at least \$2,631 to deliver the Program. The Municipality's Contribution for the Term is allocated as follows:
 - \$877 for the first year of the Term (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020);
 - \$877 for the second year of the Term (January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021);
 - \$877 for the third year of the Term (January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022);
 - prepare and submit Program and financial information required under the Act, within one-hundred and twenty (120) days of the end of the Municipality's fiscal year, or if the agreement with the Minister is terminated, within a period of time determined by the Minister.
 - ensure that required program and financial information is collected, maintained, used, and disclosed in accordance with the provisions of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*; and records identified as necessary under the Act must be kept for a period of five (5) years, in accordance with the retention schedule under which the Ministry operates.
9. If a disagreement arises between the parties regarding the expenditure of funding under the Act, the decision of the Minister to resolve the disagreement shall be final and conclusive.
10. If, in the opinion of the Minister,
- The Municipality's program fails to meet the requirements of the Regulation; or
 - the financial report of the Municipality
 - has not been submitted to the Minister within one-hundred twenty (120) days of the end of the Municipality's fiscal year;
 - does not meet the requirements of the Regulation; or
 - shows that the Municipality has wrongfully used funds provided to it under the Act,
- the Minister may withhold amounts of funding under any new agreement or require the Municipality to repay the amounts of funding that in the opinion of the Minister are equivalent to the value of the program components not met or the funds wrongfully used.
11. Where 2 or more municipalities have entered into an agreement to provide joint family and community support services programs, the Municipality represents that pursuant to the agreement with the other municipalities, it has the authority to agree to the terms of this Agreement on their behalf.
12. This Agreement may be terminated:

48

- (a) at any time upon mutual written agreement of the parties; or
- (b) by either party for any reason by providing one-hundred and eighty (180) days written notice to the other party.

13. If this Agreement is terminated for any reason, the Municipality's obligations under clauses 7, 8(c), 8(d), and 10 continue.

The Municipality has signed this Agreement on the 18th day of December, 2019.

The Minister has signed this Agreement on the ____ day of ____, 20__.

Signatures (Affix municipal corporate seal if applicable):

Wendy Wildmansv on behalf of

 Municipality's duly authorized signing officer
 (s)
 (Mayor, Reeve as the case may be)

 Minister of Community and Social
 Services

Participating Municipalities

Title of Program: S.V. OF SOUTH VIEW
Scope of Program: Single Municipality

For the Period:
 January 1, to December 31,

List all participating municipalities.

Funding Proportions:		
\$3,508	\$877	\$4,385
Committed Provincial Contribution	Required Municipal Contribution	Total Sum

49



This certifies that
South View FCSS

is a member of the FCSS Association of Alberta
in good standing for the period of

September 1, 2019 - August 31, 2020

*FCSS Association: uniting and strengthening the FCSS community by
representation and advocacy on behalf of member boards*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "V. Vaitkunas", is written over a horizontal line.

Vicki Van Vliet Vaitkunas, President

50



January 15, 2020

Mayor Sandi Benford
Summer Village of South View
Box 8
ALBERTA BEACH, Alberta
T0E 0A0

Dear Mayor Benford:


Each year, school divisions gather together with learning partners from government and other organizations to discuss topics of critical importance to rural schools and communities. This conference, the Alberta Rural Education Symposium, has as its theme "A Clear 20-20 Vision for Rural Alberta Schools & Communities". The importance of these discussions extends beyond the classroom and into the board rooms of municipalities and counties across the province. Given that there is an opportunity to advance our mutual goals, I wish to invite you, as our community partners, to join us at this important conference which will be held March 1 – 3, 2020 at West Edmonton Mall in Edmonton.

Registration for the 2020 Alberta Rural Education Symposium is open. This year's symposium will focus on global and local trends in education, and address some critical questions facing rural school divisions. What will learning look like in the future? How should student health be a priority in our planning? How can we prepare our students for the rural workforce of the future? How will conceptual understanding position our students for greater success? And, how can we re-imagine rural schools of the future? These are just some of the critical topics our delegates will be discussing under the leadership of several nationally and internationally renowned keynote speakers.

For more information, including program details and registration information, go to:
<https://www.albertraruraleducation.ca>

Rural Alberta needs to work collaboratively to find solutions that will support the revitalization of our communities. Building strong communities is a responsibility for all of us; working together just makes sense. We hope to see you there!

Sincerely,


Linda Wigton
Board Chair

51





ALBERTA RURAL EDUCATION SYMPOSIUM

Join us **March 1st - 3rd** at the Fantasyland Hotel in Edmonton for the 2020 Alberta Rural Education Symposium.

A CLEAR 20-20 VISION FOR RURAL ALBERTA SCHOOLS & COMMUNITIES



REGISTRATION

Discounted early bird registration prior to January 24th, 2020.
Register by going to albertaruraleducation.ca/register

LOCATION

We are returning to the **Fantasyland Hotel in West Edmonton Mall**. Reservations can be made by calling their reservations department at (780) 444-3000 or toll-free 1-800-737-3788. To get the group rate you must mention that you are attending the Alberta Rural Education Symposium. The cutoff date for room reservations with the group rate is Friday, January 31, 2020.

albertaruraleducation.ca

COMM 258 LENT 2019.10

52



PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS

MINISTER'S PANEL



ADRIANA LAGRANGE
Education Minister

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS



KEN COATES
Canada Research Chair



LEE CROCKETT
Author




DR. LARS HALLSTROM
Professor/Political Studies



JULIE STERN
Author



DR. GREG WELLS
Physiologist and Author



Full program details can be found on our website and mobile app



albertaruraleducation.ca

53



A CLEAR 20-20 VISION FOR RURAL ALBERTA SCHOOLS & COMMUNITIES

albertaruraleducation.ca

Subject to revisions

Alberta Rural Education Symposium

Fantasyland Hotel, Edmonton AB

Sunday, March 01, 2020

5:00 pm - 7:00 pm	Registration
7:00 pm - 7:30 pm	Opening Address
7:30 pm - 9:00 pm	Minister's Panel Discussion
9:00 pm - 10:00 pm	Reception with MLAs - No Host Bar

Monday, March 02, 2020

7:45 am - 8:30 am	Breakfast and Registration
8:30 am - 8:45 am	Opening Ceremonies
8:45 am - 10:00 am	Keynote 1: Lee Crockett "Global Trends in Education"
10:00 am - 10:30 am	Coffee and Networking
10:30 am - 11:45 am	Keynote 2: Dr. Lars Hallstrom "Rural Youth, Mental Health and Education: Building Agency in Young Adults"
11:45 am - 12:00 pm	Table Talk
12:00 pm - 1:00 pm	Lunch
1:00 pm - 2:15 pm	Keynote 3: Dr. Greg Wells "Healthy Students - The Ripple Effect: Sleep, Eat, Move, and Think Better for Exponential Health and Performance"
2:15 pm - 2:30 pm	Coffee and Networking
2:30 pm - 3:30 pm	Keynote 4: Dr. Bonnie Stelmach "The Ties that Bind: "Community" as Assumed and Lived in Rural School Contexts"
3:30 pm - 4:00 pm	Alberta Education
4:00 pm - 4:15 pm	Closing Comments

Tuesday, March 03, 2020

7:45 am - 8:30 am	Breakfast
8:30 am - 8:45 am	Opening Comments
8:45 am - 10:00 am	Keynote 5: Julie Stern - "How Conceptual Understanding Positions Students for Success"
10:00 am - 10:15 am	Coffee and Networking
10:15 am - 10:45 am	Lacombe Student Presentation "20-20 EcoVision"
10:45 am - 11:45 am	Closing Keynote: Ken Coates "Imagining the Rural Schools of 2050: Vision, Strategy, and Action for an Age of Perpetual Transition"
11:45 am - 12:00 pm	Closing Comments
12:00 pm - 1:00 pm	Lunch

54



Cc: Albert Bahri <abahri@yellowheadcounty.ab.ca>

Subject: Yellowhead County Director of Emergency Management (DEM) Course

Hello All,

Yellowhead County is hosting a Director of Emergency Management (DEM) Course, **28 February 2020 from 0830 -1630**. The DEM course is now mandatory under the Local Authority Emergency Management Regulation (LAEMR).

The course will take place at the YC Fire Headquarters **2716 – 1st Ave Edson**. DEM Albert Bahri will be providing lunch and there is no cost for the course. Training Materials will be provided. Space is limited to 20 positions.

Pls contact me if you want to attend the training in Edson. Mark and I will be planning a few more of DEM courses if this one is not suitable for you to attend.

Thxs

John Swist

Field Officer, North Central Region
Alberta Emergency Management Agency (AEMA)
Ministry of Municipal Affairs
14515 122 ave, Edmonton, Alberta T5L 2W4
Phone: 780 638 1057
Cell: 780 289 3874
Fax: 780 422 1549
Email: john.swist@gov.ab.ca

Alberta Emergency Alert: Stop. Listen. Respond.

www.emergencyalert.alberta.ca

Follow us on Twitter: @AB_EmergAlert

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

55

Wendy, Garth has requested this be added.

Thank you,

Heather Luhtala,
Asst. CAO
S.V. of South View
S.V. of Silver Sands
S.V. of Yellowstone
Phone: 587-873-5765
Fax: 780-967-0431
Website: www.wildwillowenterprises.com
Email: administration@wildwillowenterprises.com

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: [FWD: FW: Yellowhead County Director of Emergency Management (DEM) Course]
From: Garth Ward <garthward51@icloud.com>
Date: Mon, January 20, 2020 4:18 pm
To: "<administration@wildwillowenterprises.com>"
<administration@wildwillowenterprises.com>

Hi Heather can you please add this to agenda for next meeting.

Thks Garth

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 20, 2020, at 3:38 PM, <administration@wildwillowenterprises.com>
<administration@wildwillowenterprises.com> wrote:

DEM's and Deputy DEM's, please see course information below.

Thank you,

Heather Luhtala,
Asst. CAO
S.V. of South View
S.V. of Silver Sands
S.V. of Yellowstone
Phone: 587-873-5765
Fax: 780-967-0431
Website: www.wildwillowenterprises.com
Email: administration@wildwillowenterprises.com

From: John Swist <John.Swist@gov.ab.ca>
Sent: January 20, 2020 1:15 PM
To: John Swist <John.Swist@gov.ab.ca>

56



Summer Village of South View

Administrative Policy

Number	Title		
A-TRA-BOAT-1	Boat Launch Inspection & Maintenance		
Approval	Approved	Last Revised	
(CAO initials)	Resolution No:		Resolution No:
	Date:		Date:

POLICY STATEMENT

The Summer Village of South View will inspect and maintain the municipally-controlled boat launch on a yearly basis.

PURPOSE

The Summer Village of South View has deemed it necessary to provide the users of the boat launch a safe environment to lessen the likelihood of personal or property harm.

RELATED INFORMATION

The Summer Village of South View, upon inspection, having found a defective or worn area of the boat launch, may elect to repair the equipment or remove it from service until such time that it can be returned to service.

RESPONSIBILITIES

It will be the responsibility of the Chief Administrative Officer or designate to ensure completion of an annual checklist and identify all defective or worn areas of the boat launch. The Chief Administrative Officer or designate will determine whether the launch site or specific areas of the launch site will be removed from service or repaired taking into consideration the approved annual operating budget.

57



Summer Village of South View

Administrative Policy

Boat Launch Inspection Report

Site: Summer Village of South View				Date:
Area of Inspection	Okay	Concern	N/A	Comments
Launch Ramp				
Gravel approach condition				
Cable condition				
Concrete pad condition				
Concrete pad position				
Granular fill between pads				
Signage				
Rip Rap condition / quantity				
Building Interior				
General Cleanliness				
Plumbing fixtures and fittings				
Washroom/Laundry coin boxes				
Condition of paint and trim				
Floors free of debris exits not blocked				
Fire Lanes and Parking Lot				
Check fire lanes and hydrant are clear				
Lighting				
Catch Basins				
Garbage area				
Seasonal - Weeds				
Free of ice/snow				

58



Summer Village of South View

Administrative Policy

Floating Dock				
Gangways - check hinge pins, transition plates, surface, rollers, wheel guards & landings - lubricate when necessary				
Life rings/Rope				
Deck Planks & Rub Boards				
Piles, Pile wells & rings				
Floatation tubs				
Float transition plates				
No storage of gear, skiffs or other materials				
Channels free of debris				
Safety ladders				
Vessel tie up lines				
Free of ice/snow				
Electrical				
Main Electrical Panel: Doors and locks lubricated, breakers clearly marked				
Transformer Panel - Breakers clearly marked				
Receptacle Panel: Ensure that door has sticker showing cord requirements & check for damaged outlets				
Check for uncertified cords, plugs & adaptors				
Check for damaged cords & corroded plugs				
Check for cord tripping hazards				
Check dock lighting				
Used oil collection area				
Inspect area for spills and waste				

59




Summer Village of South View

Administrative Policy

Visual check of oil tank and drums for collection				
Signage maintained				
Misc Items				
Panic Book in Visible Area of Office				
WHMIS Information Binders up to date				
Safety Equipment				
Flammables Locker				
Signature of Inspector				Date of Inspection:

60



SPECIFICATIONS
 QUANTITY: 1
 ALUMINUM WITH 3930 WHITE HIP APPLIED
 C/W FIRST SURFACE FLATBED GRAPHICS
 & 1170 LAMINATE

**PRODUCTION
 DRAWING**

#045891-00
 P088301
 DRAWING 1 | 1

COLOUR KEY

SCALE: 1:4

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTHVIEW
 SUSAN DALES
 780.967.0271

SALES:	CANCEL
DESIGNER:	K. DYER
DATE:	JANUARY 7, 2020
REVISIONS:	
APPROVED:	

310-SIGN

hi signs

9370 - 58 Avenue Edmonton, AB T6E 0B6
 PH: 780.468.6181 • FX: 780.468.6745
 ST: 1.800.590.1446 • www.hisign.com

The artwork herein remains the exclusive property of hi signs™. hi signs™ GROUP is not to be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of hi signs™. All other trademarks, service marks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.



APPROVAL REQUIRED:

Please only check one & sign: PRODUCTION ART ACCEPTED REVISE & RE-SUBMIT NOT APPROVED

Name: _____

Date: _____

61

South View

2019 ALLOCATIONS (MSI & GTF)

Year	Description		Amount
2019	MSI-Capital Allocation - August 2019	\$	67,649
2019	MSI-Capital Allocation - October 2019 Additional Funding	\$	1,785
2019	MSI-Operating Allocation	\$	8,452
2019	Gas Tax Fund Allocation	\$	17,571
2019	Total	\$	95,457

2020 MSI ALLOCATION ESTIMATES ONLY FOR BUDGETING PURPOSES

Year	Description		Amount
2020	MSI-Capital Allocation	\$	77,123
2020	MSI-Operating Allocation	\$	6,541
2020	Total	\$	83,664

2021 MSI ALLOCATION ESTIMATES ONLY FOR BUDGETING PURPOSES

Year	Description		Amount
2021	MSI-Capital Allocation	\$	75,724
2021	MSI-Operating Allocation	\$	6,760
2021	Total	\$	82,484

62



Box 8, Alberta Beach, Alberta T0E 0A0
Phone: 587-873-5765 Fax: 780-967-0431
Email: administration@wildwillowenterprises.com

PERMITTED USE APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

December 27, 2019

File #: 19-17

Peter Parsons - Reno Spaces

Mr. Parsons

YOUR APPLICATION FOR: **the construction of home** at Plan **3155 MC**, Lot **B**, Municipal Address **151 Oscar Wikstom Drive** using the exact same footprint as the demolished home was considered by the Development Officer and approved subject to the following conditions:

General Conditions for All Development Permits:

1. Failure to conform to the conditions of a development permit will render the permit null and void.
2. Prior to construction/demolition or commencement of any development, the Owner/Applicant or contractor is responsible for the disconnection of electric, plumbing, sewage, and gas services. Permits must be obtained from the Inspection Group Inc. The Applicant is required to consult with the permit issuer to ensure that there are no conflicts between homeowner/contractor permits and the person(s) responsible for performing the actual work.
3. The Applicant shall be responsible for obtaining and complying with any required permits from federal, provincial, or other regulatory bodies. The Applicant shall also be responsible for complying with the condition of any easement, covenant, building scheme, or development agreement affecting the site.
4. All development shall be landscaped and graded in a manner that all surface run-off is either contained on-site, directed into an existing water body (i.e. a lake or stream) or public drainage system (i.e. a municipal ditch).
5. The Applicant shall remove all garbage and waste at his/her own expense and keep the site in a neat and orderly manner.
6. Any field work or construction undertaken prior to the effective date of the development permit is at the risk of the Owner(s)/Applicant(s).

63

7. The Applicant must obtain Summer Village approval for all approaches required for the proposed development.
8. Any changes, amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to an expansion or intensification of the use.
9. The proposed development shall be sited and conform to all building setbacks as shown on the submitted drawing, shall not be moved or enlarged except where authorized and conform to all building setbacks as required as per the Land Use Bylaw #179.
10. All arrears that may be owed by the Applicant to the Municipality to be paid in full.

PERMIT NOTES

1. The applicant shall comply with the Alberta Safety Codes Act by obtaining the necessary building, plumbing, electrical, gas and private sewage permits from the Inspections Group Inc.
2. The applicant shall comply with the Alberta Fire Code.

Date of issue: **December 27, 2019**

Effective Date: **January 17, 2020 (21 days)**

An appeal of any of the conditions of approval may be made to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board by serving written notice of appeal to the Secretary of the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board. Such an appeal shall be made in writing and shall be delivered either personally or by mail so as to reach the Secretary of the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board no later than fourteen (14) days after the notice of decision. The appeal should be directed to this office, and must include a statement of the grounds for the appeal.

If you wish to appeal the decision of the Development Officer you may do so by completing a form available from the

Summer Village of South View
Box 8
Alberta Beach, AB T0E 0A0
(780) 819-3681

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding the development permit and conditions.

Signature of Development Officer: _____
Diane Burnick, Development Officer

cc: Wendy Wildman, Municipal Administrator, S V of South View
Dan Kanuka – SV Assessor

*** THE MUNICIPALITY WILL NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION, BUILD OR ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES THAT ARISE FROM THE ISSUANCE OF THIS DEVELOPMENT PERMIT.**

Any development carried out prior to the Effective Date of this permit is at the sole risk of the applicant.

64



ALBERTA
MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

*Office of the Minister
MLA, Edmonton - South West*

AR98902

December 4, 2019

Her Worship Sandra Benford
Mayor
Summer Village of South View
PO Box 8
Alberta Beach AB T0E 0A0

Dear Mayor Benford,

In August, our government provided \$597 million in interim Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) funding to help municipalities and Metis Settlements move forward with their local priorities.

I am pleased to confirm the additional \$74 million in MSI capital funding announced as part of Budget 2019. Combined with the interim funding, municipalities and Metis Settlements will have access to \$671 million in MSI funding, \$641 million under the capital component and \$30 million under the operating component.

For the Summer Village of South View, the additional MSI capital funding is \$1,785; \$607 under the MSI component and \$1,178 under the Basic Municipal Transportation Grant (BMTG) component. When added to the MSI capital funding of \$67,649 committed through the Interim Supply in August 2019, your municipality's total capital funding for program year 2019 is \$69,434. Your municipality's MSI operating funding for 2019 remains unchanged at \$8,452.

The Future of MSI

Our government committed to helping municipalities meet their infrastructure needs with predictable, long-term funding, and Budget 2019 fulfills this commitment.

In 2020, capital funding will be \$963 million (including MSI Capital and BMTG). This represents a 9 per cent reduction from the previous provincial budget. In 2021, capital funding will be \$897 million (including MSI Capital and BMTG). This represents a 14 per cent reduction from the previous provincial budget. In both years, MSI Operating will be \$30 million.

Allocation estimates for 2020 and 2021 are now available online at open.alberta.ca/publications/municipal-sustainability-initiative-allocation-estimates-2020-2021.

In 2022 and beyond, funding amounts will be determined by the Local Government Fiscal Framework.

65 1/2

Local Government Fiscal Framework

The Local Government Fiscal Framework replaces the MSI program (including BMTG) beginning in 2022. It includes new legislation passed as part of this year's budget, which determines how much capital funding the province must provide to municipalities every year. The framework also includes \$30 million in annual operating funding, though this is not legislated.

In 2022, municipalities will receive \$860 million in capital funding. Calgary and Edmonton will share \$455 million, and other municipalities will share \$405 million.

In following years capital funding will change depending on changes in provincial revenue, which means that municipalities will share in the success of the province. The funding amount will be published two years in advance. For example, the province is legally required to publish the funding amount for 2023 on or before September 30, 2021. Predictable funding will make it easier for municipalities to plan for the future and fulfill planning requirements.

Our government is proud to support municipalities through the Local Government Fiscal Framework, and I will be seeking municipal support in the next steps of this important work. This will include developing a formula to distribute the funding among municipalities, and determining the administrative elements of the program including project eligibility, and application and reporting requirements.

I understand that provincial support is critical for Albertans to receive the infrastructure and services they expect. Working together, as partners, we can ensure these needs are met and set the course for a prosperous future.

Yours very truly,



Kaycee Madu
Minister

cc: Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer, Summer Village of South View

66

Water Invaders

Plants that can change Alberta's waters and wetlands

A GUIDE TO INVASIVE PLANTS FOR BOATERS, COTTAGE OWNERS, AND WATER GARDENERS



What are invasive species or "unwanted invaders"?

Invasive species are plants, animals, or other organisms, growing in places other than the native region in which they originate, that have the unique ability to outcompete or replace indigenous native organisms. Coming from ecosystems in other geographic regions, "unwanted invaders" are free of natural enemies. Lacking the checks and balances from their own natural environments, invasive species have a distinct advantage over native species whose populations are kept in check naturally by native predators, competitors or diseases.

Why should you care?

Invasive species tend to be aggressive, and can reproduce at a high rate, giving them the ability to "take over" entire areas by choking out native plants and animals. This reduces the biodiversity (variety of life) in an area, degrading or diminishing habitat for wildlife, including birds, insects and microorganisms. Invasive species can become costly crop weeds, impede water flow and quality, and interfere with recreational activities like boating or fishing.

Detection is important!

Invasive species are a major threat to natural ecosystems around the world, and Alberta's lands and waters are no exception.

Species featured in this brochure are relatively new, or do not yet exist in the wild, within Alberta, e.g., Salt Cedar, Eurasian Watermilfoil, and Hydrilla. In some instances, it may still be possible to contain, eradicate, or, ideally, prevent introduction of newer species like these. In all cases, the goal must be to limit the spread of all invasive species within the province.



Alberta has 800 lakes with fish and over 300 anglers per lake. Many Albertans can be impacted by water loving invasive plants.

—Alberta Environment and Parks | June 2014

Quick TIPS

- Do not dump bait or bait water
- Never dump aquarium contents into water
- Spread the information – not the invasives

SHORES AND MARSHES

Purple Loosestrife

Lythrum salicaria
(aka Lythrum)

HABITAT: Mostly along riverbanks, wetlands and ditches.
DESCRIPTION: Many stems per plant; to 7 m ht; stems square-shaped; leaves dark green, lance shaped, opposite; showy purple flower spikes July to Sept.; up to 7 million seeds per plant.

THREAT: Loss of native flora and fauna; degradation of wetland habitats; clogging of irrigation systems.



Flowering Rush

Rottboellia exaltata

HABITAT: Shorelines and in water to 3 m. depth, wet ditches, irrigation canals; water gardens.
DESCRIPTION: Perennial; emergent form (flowering) 1-1.5m. ht, rush-like; non-emergent form (non-flowering) lemp, floating; rhizomes; pink umbel of flowers June to Aug.; bulbils on rhizomes; can grow from disturbed roots; cross-section of leaf blade triangular.

THREAT: Displaces native shoreline vegetation; interferes with boating and swimming; changes fish and bird habitat – favors non-native predator fish.



Yellow Flag Iris

Iris pseudacorus
(aka Pale Yellow Iris)

HABITAT: Wet ditches, marshes, water gardens.
DESCRIPTION: Perennial; showy yellow iris flowers late spring to early summer; 3 large, drooping, yellow sepals with purple-brown markings, 3 upward pointing petals; 0.9-1.2m ht; long, dark green, sword-like leaves; spreads by rhizomes, and seeds; withstands drought, acidic or brackish water.

THREAT: Fast spreading in ditches and marshes; forms almost impenetrable thickets; loss of diversity, native habitat, access.



Himalayan Balsam

Impatiens glandulifera
(aka Himalayan orchid, Ornamental jewelweed, Touch-Me-Not)

HABITAT: Areas with high soil moisture, riparian areas.
DESCRIPTION: Succulent annual 0.9-3 m ht; stems purple-tinged and hollow; flowers lil. pink to purple, orchid-like, June to Oct.; mature seed capsules explode when touched; partially shade tolerant.

THREAT: Can dominate water edges – loss of diversity; dies after first frost – erosion, silting of water.



Invasive Phragmites

Phragmites australis subsp. *australis*
(aka European common reed, common reed grass)

HABITAT: Sunny wetlands; borders of marshes, riverbanks, lakeshores; brackish waters; to 2 m depth.
DESCRIPTION: Perennial grass, 1-7 m ht; strongly spreading by rhizomes; cane-like stems pale yellow (native Phragmites reed/purplish stems); large dense, feathery, grayish purple plumes, late June to Sept., forms large colonies.

THREAT: Blocks light and occupies all space below ground; produces monoculture; displaces wild rice, cattails, and native wetland orchids; reduces habitat.



Biddym

Diodymosphenia geminata
(aka Rock Snot)

HABITAT: Shallow, clear waters, attaches to streambeds, shoreline rocks.
DESCRIPTION: Sand encased single celled alga; forms dense pale brown to white mats; feels like wet wool to touch, not slimy; does not affect water quality; not toxic.

THREAT: Eye irritation; may impact freshwater fish, aquatic plants, and insects; changes stream flow; clogs water intakes; reduces access to water; aesthetics. Transported on felt soled waders – use non-felt waders!



67

Boaters & Anglers: YOU CAN HELP!

Inspect your boat, motor, trailer, and boating equipment such as anchors and fishing gear, centerboards, rollers, and axles.

Clean your boat, tackle, downriggers, trailer, and other boating equipment by removing any plant parts, zebra mussels, or other organisms that are visible before leaving any waterbody. Some aquatic species can survive more than two weeks out of water.

In waterbodies where harmful organisms are known to be present, thoroughly wash equipment with high pressure water (250 psi) to dislodge all small parts and propagules.

Drain water from the motor, live well, bilge and transom wells while on land immediately before leaving the waterbody.

Dry your boat and equipment in the sun before transporting them to another body of water. When moving from an infested waterbody, dry your boat and equipment for at least 5 days before entering another waterbody.

Empty your bait bucket on land before leaving any body of water. Never release live bait into water, or release aquatic animals from one water body into another.

Learn how to identify invasive species.



References

- APEC - www.apec.org
- Centre for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health - www.ciseh.ca
- Federation of Fish Producers - www.fishproducers.org
- Invasive Species Awareness Program - www.isp.ca
- Ministry of Wildlife, Parks, Aquatics, Invasive Species - www.alberta.ca
- Municipalities for Invasive Species - www.municipalitiesforinvasivespecies.ca
- National Invasive Species Information Center - www.nisic.org
- National Invasive Species Information Center - www.nisic.org

Sponsored by Environment Canada and the Government of Alberta



Why should I care?

Nuisance weeds threaten the habitat of wildlife by altering natural communities. Nuisance weeds can wipe out the native vegetation for nesting, food and cover needed by birds, mammals and fish.



STOP AQUATIC HITCHHIKERS!

Prevent the transport of nuisance species. Clean all recreational equipment. www.ProtectYourWaters.net

Aquatic invasive species often travel from one body of water to another by "hitching a ride" on watercraft, recreational equipment, and animals.



CLEAN

Remove all plants, animals, and mud. Thoroughly wash everything, including crevices and other hidden areas.

DRAIN

Thoroughly drain your boat before leaving the area, including wells, ballast, and engine cooling water.

DRY

Allow time for your boat to completely dry before launching in other waters.

WARNING - APPROVAL REQUIRED!

In Alberta, before any vegetation control work is done in or near water, an approval is required. For information on approval requirements for shoreline work:

- Alberta Environment and Water - Pesticide Use In or Near Water - Factsheet: <http://environment.gov.ab.ca/0963.html>
- Alberta Sustainable Resource Development: Shoreline Modifications Factsheet: <http://environment.alberta.ca/03067.html>

What can you do?

Prevention, early detection and rapid response are critical for saving habitats from invasive species

IN THE WATER

Eurasian Watermilfoil

Myriophyllum spicatum

HABITAT: Fertile lake sediments; highly disturbed or high nutrient lakes.
DESCRIPTION: Submersed; large, floating mats; stems pale pink to reddish brown; leaves gray-green, whorls of 3-4 each with 12-21 pairs thin leaflets; leaves lose stability and collapse around stem when taken out of the water; reproduces by stem fragments.

THREAT: Quickly dominates shallow lakes and rivers; prevents boating, fishing, hunting, and swimming; stems attach to boats, trailers, or animals; **specific lake trout fishery damage;** eradication nearly impossible.



NATIVE LOOKALIKE: Northern Watermilfoil

Myriophyllum eschbeckii
(aka Sibiricum)

HABITAT: Same habitat as Eurasian; Northern watermilfoil common in Alberta lakes.
DESCRIPTION: Similar to Eurasian, but has 5-9 pairs of thin leaflets on each leaf in the leaf whorl; leaves keep rigidity when taken out of the water.

THREAT: Sometimes dominates shallow water areas; interferes with use.



Curly Leaf Pondweed

Potamogeton crispus

HABITAT: Ponds, lakes with fertile, hard water; low light tolerant to 5m depth.
DESCRIPTION: Submersed; unique life cycle: first in spring, dies mid-summer; leaves reddish green, stiff, wrinkled, small "teeth" on leaf edges; stems flattened; flowers whitish, tiny on spikes; burr-like winter bud.

THREAT: dense mats interfere with water recreation; displaces native plants; dying mats unsightly; increase phosphorus; algal blooms; also spread wrapped around propellers; sold as aquarium plant.



Hydrilla

Hydrilla verticillata

HABITAT: Lakes, rivers, reservoirs, ponds, and ditches; aquariums, water gardens.

DESCRIPTION: Submersed; stems to 8m long, leaves in whorls of 4-8, small, pointed; leaf margins distinctly saw-toothed; toothed leaf midrib; tiny white flowers on long stalks; potato-like tubers attached to the roots. (Tubers distinguish this plant from lookalikes).

THREAT: "Worst aquatic invader of North America"; outcompetes Eurasian watermilfoil and Brazilian clodea; dense mats reduce sport fish size, water quality; can impact power generation, irrigation; prevents boating, fishing, hunting, and swimming. Do not release from aquariums or water gardens.



NATIVE LOOKALIKE: Canadian waterweed

Elodea canadensis

HABITAT: Common in North America; lakes, rivers, ponds and ditches; food and habitat for fish, waterfowl, other wildlife.

DESCRIPTION: Leaves in whorls of three along stem; to 5 mm wide.

THREAT: Occasional rampant growth; interferes with water access, aesthetics.



Brazilian Elodea

Egeria densa
(aka Brazilian waterweed, Anacharis)

HABITAT: Still or flowing waters, lakes, ponds, pools, ditches; aquarium plant.

DESCRIPTION: Submersed; rooted or drifting floating mats; looks like large Canadian waterweed; bushy whorls bright green leaves, 4-6 per whorl, each at least 2 cm long; leaf midrib smooth (Hydrilla has toothed midrib).

THREAT: May outcompete Eurasian watermilfoil; can cover hundreds of hectares; displaces native plants; unsightly; interferes with water recreation; poor fish habitat.



WOODY SHORELINE

Russian Olive

Elaeagnus angustifolia

HABITAT: Invasive in riparian areas - southern Alberta and US States; shelterbelts, urban parks.
DESCRIPTION: Deciduous silver tree or shrub to 10.6 m ht; thorny stems; small, fragrant yellowish flowers June and July; hard green to yellow fruits.

THREAT: Invades old fields, woodland edges, disturbed areas, stream and river banks, seasonal wetlands; forms dense shrub layer; displaces native species and closes open areas.



Salt Cedar

Tamarix sp.
(aka Pink Cascade Tamarisk)

HABITAT: Margins of streams, river, irrigation canals, flood plains, seasonal wetlands.

DESCRIPTION: Deciduous, loosely branched shrubs or small trees, 1.5-6.0 m ht; juniper-like scaly leaves; flowers small, pale pink to white, in finger-like clusters; deep tap root.

THREAT: Salt accumulates in leaf scales; leaf litter salinizes soil; unsuitable for native vegetation; high water use; lowers water tables; dense stands restricting access.



See it - report it

You find it - Experts verify it - Together we keep Alberta natural!

www.invasiveplants.ab.ca



68

SPRINK

SMART FIRE PROTECTION FOR COMMUNITIES™

SPRINK is an app for communities in the vulnerable wildland-urban interface responding to encroaching wildfires. Integrated with leading sprinkler systems, SPRINK's distribution control software enables *remote* activation of multiple rooftop sprinklers by pre-designated authorities. It is a community based solution, allowing property owners, community officials, firefighters, and any other authorized stakeholder to remotely control permanently mounted sprinklers on any number of structures – from anywhere, at any time – with redundant communications, power, and water supply built-in. SPRINK helps protect against the spread of wildfire, safeguards structures until fire crews are on scene, and allows homeowners to evacuate safely.




Communities

SPRINK can be introduced as part of an overall fire mitigation strategy.



Owners

Protect property in vulnerable wildland-urban interface areas, even when you're not there.



Fire Services

Activate all SPRINK homes remotely before sending out fire services, buying time and encouraging owner evacuation.



Insurers

Reduce risk lower claim pay-outs as wildfire threat increases globally for remote communities.

What happens in the first few minutes of a fire normally dictates the outcome.



Contact:
Peter Pellatt
 780.499.6327

69

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Requested Copies of Insurance Documents -- Follow up

From: "Peter Pellatt" <ppellatt@shaw.ca>

Date: Fri, November 29, 2019 9:29 am

To: <administration@wildwillowenterprises.com>

Good Morning Wendy,

Rather than start phoning your various counsellors from the summer villages about my request for insurance information, I thought I would ask for advice from you. Did your respective councils have an opportunity to discuss my request? If so, what was the reaction and is it appropriate for me to consider some follow-up?

I think this initiative is absolutely the right thing at the right time: there is absolutely no doubt that insurance premiums all summer village properties and other properties in the wildland – urban interface areas and in areas with limited volunteer fire department coverage will increase dramatically – probably by 25% to 50%. Insurance companies are in the business of making money and they have lost money because of the disastrous wildfires throughout Western Canada and the United States in the past several years.

If there is anything I should do to stimulate a response to my request, such as attending Council meetings, I am available to do whatever you recommend.

Thanks again for your fabulous service to your summer villages. You make me tasks of the elected officials so much easier than others face.

Peter

Peter G. Pellatt
Mayor
Summer Village of Sundance Beach

Cell: 780-499-6327

Home: 780-436-7427

Email: ppellatt@sundancebeach.ca





JOINT MEDIA RELEASE IN RESPONSE TO PROVINCIAL ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEW POLICE FUNDING MODEL

Municipal leaders not briefed on fast-track of program; concerned over resultant impacts to rural ratepayers.

Sangudo, Alberta, Thursday, December 5, 2019 – The Councils of Lac Ste. Anne County and adjacent municipalities have today issued a joint response to the United Conservative Party's December 4th announcement regarding its intent to download policing costs onto rural Albertans. Municipal leaders had not been briefed by the Province prior to this decision, and are now focused on determining the resultant bottom line for regional ratepayers.

"We were not part of the announcement," stated Joe Blakeman, Reeve of Lac Ste. Anne County. "We heard about it the same way everyone else did: in the news. In fact, it feels like we weren't even part of the Province's consultation process. Numerous concerns were voiced by rural municipalities over the past year; none of which are reflected in their media spin as 'a brand new day for rural Alberta.'"

Secrecy and Sparse Detail Precede Provincial Announcement

At the end of the Province's consultation process in October, and during the Rural Municipalities of Alberta (RMA) Fall Convention in November, Alberta's Minister of Justice and Solicitor General (JSG) Doug Schweitzer reassured municipal leaders that the police funding model was still in its consultation stage, and that further conversations would occur to determine how the model *might* look if it were to go ahead. At the time, it was stated that:

- Consultations are ongoing and no decisions have been made yet on a new police-costing model
- The model has not been finalized and the province is only at the beginning of the consultation process

"The County feels blindsided by the news, and disappointed in how it was disseminated," continued Reeve Blakeman. "We had been told repeatedly by Minister Schweitzer and his team that they are still consulting, contemplating, and playing with formulas. Now all of a sudden the trigger is pulled and it's out in the public domain without any prior notice to those impacted most by the plan. It's interesting to note how critical it was to Justice Minister Schweitzer that municipal leaders took part in the consultation piece; yet apparently we had no business knowing about its outcome."

"There is little doubt in my mind that the engagement process was a mere formality," shared Reeve Blakeman. "In the final analysis, none of our concerns were addressed, and none of the Province's reassurances rang true. Now we're left holding the bag, and our ratepayers are stuck with the bill."

It is the position of Lac Ste. Anne County Council that the policing initiative places yet another financial burden on resource-strapped rural municipalities. The County seeks to remind the Province – and taxpayers in rural Alberta – that the new police funding model will result in increased municipal tax rates, reduced service levels, re-evaluation of intermunicipal agreements, and closer scrutiny of policing efficiencies.

Reeve Blakeman voiced a common sentiment among municipal leaders regarding the cold, hard reality of the Province's decision. "Let's be clear: this is a tax expense, and it is going to cost rural Albertans an additional \$200 million," stated Blakeman. Our job on Council is to now determine how we are going to shoulder this new tax hit, and what value we are receiving as a result. Fewer sanders on the road next winter? A property tax increase? This is another sucker punch to rural Albertans who are already dealing with job loss, failed crops and numerous other setbacks. These are the same rural Albertans who, may I remind Premier Kenney, form the bedrock of the United Conservative Party."

71

Municipal leaders blindsided by policing program fast-track and concened over resultant impacts to rural ratepayers.

Storm Clouds of Regional Dissent

Neighbouring municipalities are joining ranks with the County to determine outcomes of the police costing model, and to communicate these impacts to residents and business owners who will ultimately pay the price. Mayerthorpe Administration voiced concern about the impact of this new program, in addition to other increases outside of the Town's control. Administration expressed that this is the worst possible time to implement this tax with the downturn in the economy and people struggling to make ends meet. Mayerthorpe will be separating policing costs on our tax notices similar to third party requisitions so that ratepayers can see that this is a tax being paid directly for RCMP policing.

Janet Jabush, Mayor of the Town of Mayerthorpe, welcomes a stronger police presence in rural Alberta and understands that the costs for this presence should be shared. However, she questions the logic of laying such a heavy burden on battle-fatigued Albertans on the heels of drastic budget cuts from Premier Kenney's recent omnibus bill. "We are not opposed to municipalities chipping in for policing costs," she states, "but you cannot cut, cut, cut and then just download a tax hit onto rural Alberta. If the general idea is to cripple small municipalities and the businesses and industries within, then by all means carry on."

In addition to the tax implications of the Province's new program, Reeve Blakeman questioned the likelihood of adding an additional 300 RCMP officers when present staffing levels are already woefully inadequate. "The Province is spinning this drastic move as good news," he added. "We're being told (via media sound bites) that it gives Rural Alberta a true seat at the table. If it's the same seat we had during their perfunctory consultation process, we'd like another table."

Known Program Details to Date

In the coming weeks, municipal leaders expect to receive more detail from the Province regarding how costs and policing resources are aggregated to each municipality. As it becomes available, this information will be posted on the Lac Ste. Anne County website at LSAC.ca/police-funding. At time of publication, the following details were made available by the Province:

- Municipal allocations are based on a formula that uses 50% equalized assessment and 50% population
- Modifiers are included to reduce costs based on crime severity, distance from detachment, shadow population, and existing enhanced policing positions
- The overall amount collected will be 10% of the overall costs of frontline police officers under the PPSA. This will increase to 15% in 2021, 20% in 2022, and 30% in 2023. At this time, there is no indication the costs will increase above 30%.
- The new model is intended to be implemented on April 1, 2020, with annual increases on April 1 of each subsequent year
- A provincial police advisory board will be formed, which will include municipal representatives from each of the four RCMP districts in Alberta. No details on the function or scope of this board are known.

Impacts to the Lac Ste. Anne region of the contemplated police funding model are shown on the following page. News updates and related resources — including contact information for the Minister of Justice and Solicitor General and the MLA for Lac Ste. Anne-Parkland — are available on the Lac Ste. Anne County website at LSAC.ca/police-funding.

— 30 —

Media Contact:
Joe Blakeman | Reeve
Lac Ste. Anne County
TEL 780.918.1916
jblakeman@LSAC.ca

72

Date Thu, 05 Dec, 19 3:05:30PM
From President
President@auma.ca
Subject Provincial Announcement of Police Funding Model

Dear municipal colleagues,

I'm sure you have already been made aware of yesterday's provincial government announcement of a new police costing model that applies to urban municipalities with populations under 5,000 and all rural municipalities.

AUMA has long advocated for a more equitable police funding model to address RCMP vacancies and the rising costs of policing while improving community safety. We have been equally clear in our advocacy that any new funding model must take into consideration a municipality's ability to pay for policing services, and that paying for policing must give municipalities a say in the delivery of police service in their community.

The new police costing model reflects many of AUMA's recommendations, such as use of population and equalized assessment to simulate demand and ability to pay, and the establishment of an Alberta Police Advisory Board with equal representation from AUMA and RMA to guide the implementation of the new model. The funds generated by this new model, a total of \$286 million over five years, will be invested in frontline law enforcement, with the federal government contributing an additional \$85.6 million to rural policing.

However, there is more work to be done. We are very concerned with the proposed timelines for implementation, which suggest that invoices will be issued as early as next spring, well after municipal budgets have been set for 2020.

We are working with senior staff at the Ministry of Justice and Solicitor General to see if the implementation of the new model can be delayed in order to align with the 2021 municipal budgeting process, and we will pass along any further information once it becomes available.

Provincial staff have also provided us with the attached table that outlines preliminary estimates of the funding model's financial impacts to municipalities. The Ministry of Justice and Solicitor General staff have told AUMA that they will contact municipalities directly to confirm these amounts and provide additional information.

We remain committed to working with our partners at the Rural Municipalities Association (RMA) and the provincial government on this critical priority, as safe and healthy municipalities build strong communities and a stronger Alberta.

If you would like to discuss this further, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

73

Barry Morishita | President
Mayor, City of Brooks

C: 403.363.9224 | president@auma.ca

Alberta Municipal Place | 300 8616-51 Ave Edmonton, AB T6E 6E6



Toll Free: 310-AUMA | www.auma.ca



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.



[:2019-12 Police Costing Model - Municipal Costs byMunicipality.pdf \(866K\)](#)

74

Attachment 2: Municipal Impacts of the Police Funding Model

	NET IMPACT				
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
	10%	15%	20%	30%	30%
MUNICIPALITY	Police Funding Model Share with modifiers less Enhanced Policing Billing	Police Funding Model Share with modifiers less Enhanced Policing Billing	Police Funding Model Share with modifiers less Enhanced Policing Billing	Police Funding Model Share with modifiers less Enhanced Policing Billing	Police Funding Model Share with modifiers less Enhanced Policing Billing
County of Barrhead No. 11	\$ 133,492	\$ 200,382	\$ 266,985	\$ 400,764	\$ 400,764
Lac Ste. Anne County	\$ 235,655	\$ 353,736	\$ 471,311	\$ 707,473	\$ 707,473
Parkland County	\$ 907,378	\$ 1,362,043	\$ 1,814,756	\$ 2,724,085	\$ 2,724,085
Sturgeon County	\$ 586,497	\$ 880,376	\$ 1,172,993	\$ 1,760,751	\$ 1,760,751
Woodlands County	\$ 171,195	\$ 256,977	\$ 342,390	\$ 513,953	\$ 513,953
Yellowhead County	\$ 534,094	\$ 801,716	\$ 1,068,188	\$ 1,603,431	\$ 1,603,431
Town of Mayerthorpe	\$ 23,064	\$ 34,620	\$ 46,127	\$ 69,241	\$ 69,241
Town of Onoway	\$ (140,495)	\$ (130,721)	\$ (120,989)	\$ (101,442)	\$ (101,442)
Alberta Beach	\$ 21,520	\$ 32,303	\$ 43,040	\$ 64,606	\$ 64,606
Summer Village of Birch Cove	\$ 1,114	\$ 1,673	\$ 2,229	\$ 3,346	\$ 3,346
Summer Village of Castle Island	\$ 609	\$ 914	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,829	\$ 1,829
Summer Village of Nakamun Park	\$ 2,663	\$ 3,997	\$ 5,326	\$ 7,995	\$ 7,995
Summer Village of Ross Haven	\$ 4,591	\$ 6,892	\$ 9,182	\$ 13,783	\$ 13,783
Summer Village of Sandy Beach	\$ 5,545	\$ 8,323	\$ 11,089	\$ 16,646	\$ 16,646
Summer Village of Silver Sands	\$ 4,277	\$ 6,420	\$ 8,554	\$ 12,840	\$ 12,840
Summer Village of South View	\$ 1,626	\$ 2,441	\$ 3,252	\$ 4,881	\$ 4,881

75
1

Summer Village of Sunrise Beach	\$ 2,700	\$ 4,053	\$ 5,401	\$ 8,107	\$ 8,107
Summer Village of Sunset Point	\$ 4,922	\$ 7,388	\$ 9,844	\$ 14,776	\$ 14,776
Summer Village of Val Quentin	\$ 5,510	\$ 8,270	\$ 11,019	\$ 16,541	\$ 16,541
Summer Village of West Cove	\$ 4,114	\$ 6,175	\$ 8,228	\$ 12,351	\$ 12,351
Summer Village of Yellowstone	\$ 3,335	\$ 5,006	\$ 6,670	\$ 10,013	\$ 10,013
Total	\$ 15,407,888	\$ 26,655,970	\$ 37,855,777	\$ 60,351,940	\$ 60,351,940

Based on 2018 data from Municipal Affairs Financial Statistics for population and equalized assessment

Based on 2015-2017 weighted crime severity data from Statistics Canada with detachment workload divisions from RCMP

Note: Negative numbers are included in the table to show the police funding model billing minus the cost of enhanced officers. However, when it comes time to bill, every municipality will receive a PFM invoice and enhanced policing positions will not be billed. See enhanced positions tables for further details.

Tb
2